Color of Sorghum Food Products

16

L. W. Rooney and D. S. Murty*

Summary

Color of sorghum milled products and foods is an important aspect of quality that must be measured. Color measurements on sorghum grain, toti and tortilla samples using the Hunter Lab Color Difference Meter and the Munsell Soil Color Charts showed that Munsell Color Charts are effective for a rapid and inexpensive assessment of a large number of samples from quality breeding programs. It would be possible to obtain standardized color schemes to assess a wide array of sorghum food products among laboratories. Sophisticated instruments such as the Hunter Lab Color Difference Meter can be used for fundamental studies to backup crop improvement programs.

Color has long been a criterion of product quality and plays a major role in the acceptance or rejection of food products. Flour color was important to the Romans, who prided themselves on making the finest, whitest flours. Even today many people still equate flour color with quality for use in food products. If sorghum is to compete with wheat and maize products in urban areas, highly refined products will be required.

The color of sorghum flour and sorghum products plays an important part in their acceptance. In many cases where traditional foods are concerned, a white color is not required, but in general it is preferred. The accepted color is conditioned by what people are used to, and unaccustomed variability is often viewed with distrust.

In the International Sorghum Food Quality Trials (ISFQT), brown sorghums produced food products that were unacceptable (Murty and House 1980). In general, white sorghum grains produced the most acceptable colored food products but considerable variation in color of some products was acceptable. For example, sankati made from sorghums with a subcoat was acceptable while rotis made from sorghums with a succoat were not acceptable (Murty et al. 1951ab) Thus, it is important to measure color of grain flour, and the food products in an efficient manner. The purpose of this paper is to review methods to determine color that can be applied in practical breeding programs. Color measurements range from matching the color of the product with that of a standard by subjective evaluation, to measuring the components of color with expensive and sophisticated color analyzers

Subjective Measurements of Color

An effective method used over the years for wheat flour color measurements was a "Slick" or Pekar test, which compared the color of one flour sample with that of a standard flour (Pomeranz 1971). The flour samples are laid side by side and pressed into a thin layer to form a smooth surface. Then, the color is visually compared. The test is more accurate when the flour samples are wetted and compared. For sorphum flour, the test reflects the level of phenols in the product, the granulation of the flour, and the carotenoid pigments in the endosperm. The major factor affecting the color of sorghum flour is the level of polyphenols, which is related to the amount of contamination of endosperm with pericarp and testa (bran in milling terminology). The use of a test like the Pekar test can be effective especially when standard color plates are used to serve as control samples.

 ^a Stessor Cereal Quality Laboratory, Texas A&M - Versity, College Station, Texas, USA, Sorghum Breader (CRISAT)

Color Meters

A number of colorimeters have been designed to

Proceedings of the International Symposium on Songium 323 Grain Quality, 28-30 Cet 1981, ICRISHT Certer measure the color of a sample in terms of the intensity of the three primary colors: red, blue, and yellow. For instance, the Hunter Lab Color Difference Meter measures color in terms of lightness (L), redness (+a) or greenness (-a), and yellowness (+b) or blueness (-b) in a sample. The signs of the 'a' or 'b' readings determine the color value and intensity of the three primary colors. The Agtron photoelectric colorimeter works essentially in the same manner. These instruments are calibrated with standard color plate and provide unprejudiced, reproducible results with a minimum of operating skill and training.

Although the instruments are simple to operate, data must be interpreted with an awareness of the factors affecting color. The relatively high cost of these instruments and the need for sophisticated maintenace, constant voltage and other factors, in general, preclude their use in sorghum quality selection except as back-up instrumentation to establish more simple, economical methods.

Sorghum Color Measurements with the Hunter Lab Color Difference Meter

The color of sorghum grain and tortillas as measured by the Hunter Lab Color Difference Meter for ISEQT samples grown in 1979, is presented in Table 1. The procedures followed were the same as those described by Johnson et al. (1979). The Hunter Lab Color Difference Meter is not able to distinguish among sorghums with a testa since it only measures surface color. Thus, a person must be familiar with kernel properties of sorghum to evaluate color data on the whole grain The surface texture of tortillas affects color measurements significantly and numerous measurements are required to obtain a reliable reading. This is expecially true when tortillas are unevenly cooked. The AF values in Table 1 are a way of condensing color measurements into one value. In general. ΔE values were highest for sorghum tortillas with the darkest, most unacceptable color

The Hunter Lab Color Difference Meter has been used to measure the color of fresh and state (stored 24 hr) *to* (Akingbala, in press) and *ogr* (Akingbala et al. 1981)

Munsell Soil Color Charts

The Munsell Soil Color Charts (Anon. 1975)

display different standard color chips systematically arranged according to their Munsell notations, on cards carried in a loose leaf notebook. The arrangement is by three simple variables that combine to describe all colors and are known in the Munsell system as Hue. Value, and Chroma. The Hue notation of color indicates its relation to red, yellow, green, blue, purple, yellow-red, green-yellow, blue-green, purple-blue, and redpurple; the Value notation indicates its lightness; and the Chroma notation indicates strength (or departure from a neutral of the same lightness) The colors displayed on individual cards are of constant Hue, designated by a symbol. Vertically, the colors become successively lighter from the bottom of the card to the top by visually equal steps: that is, their Value increases. Horizontally they increase in Chroma to the right and become grayer to the left. The Value notation for each individual column and row are indicated on the chart

As arranged in the notebook, the charts provide three scales: (1) radial, or from one chart to the next in Hue, (2) vertical in Value, and (3) horizontal in Chroma The charts provide color names as well as the Munsell notation of color. The Munsell notation is used to supplement the color names wherever greater precision is needed. The Munsell notation is especially useful for international correlation, since no translation of color names is needed.

The Munsell notation for color consists of separate notations for Hue, Value, and Chroma, which are combined in that order to form the color designation. The symbol for Hue is the letter abbreviation of the color of the rainbow (R for red, YR for yellow red, Y for yellow) preceded by numbers from 0 to 10. Within each letter range, the Hue becomes more yellow and less red as the numbers increase. The middle of the letter range is at 5, the zero point coincides with the 10 point of the next redder Hue. Thus 5VR is in the middle of the yellow red Hue, which extends from 10R (zero YR) to 10VR (zero Y).

The notation for Value consists of numbers from 0 for absolute black, to 10 for absolute white. Thus a color of Value 5 is visually midway between absolute white and absolute black. One of Value 6/ is slightly less dark, $60 \, {}^\circ_0$ of the way from black to white, and midway between Values of 5, and 7/-

The notation for Chroma consists of numbers beginning at 0 for neutral grays and increasing a equal intervals to a maximum of about 20.

	ź	
	2	
	ĕ	
3	e	
	ŝ	
	≥	
	÷	
	ŝ	
	ete	
	Š	
	ance	
	fer	
	õ	
	olo	
	ں م	
	r La	
	e tu	
	Ť	
	Ē	
	vith	
	þ	
	asur	
	meä	
	lor	
	00 10	
	real	
	d to	
	UR .	
	rot	
	.010	
	95	
	÷	
1	to be	
	÷	

and forthing color measured with the number can color purchase and a	
ortina	
and a	
. rot.	
Grann.	
-	
-	

			Grain o	color*		Grain ^b	Rotr color		Tortilla ci	olorª	
saidmes muitpio?	Apparent grain color description	Ļ	e	٩	AEC	Munsell	Munseil		е	٩	٧Ee
		501	3.1	191	20	2.5Y 8.2	5Y 6 4	49.9	- 0.4	15.8	29
17/-	Annie		- c > c		06	54 8.2	5782	538	-03	152	25
E35 1	White	0 9 0	7 C	t •		2023	EV 7 3				
S158 d	White	62.2	23	2		1010		107		143	30
Market . 1	White	62 2	26	17.6	17	5Y 8/2	7 8 7 G	1.04	- 0		3:
S29	White	61.1	33	181	18	2.5Y 8:2	578,2	48.5	0.1	0.7	ō
		003	0	14.4	11	57.7.1	2.5Y 5.2	29.7	0.4	4.7	51
IS2317	Light gray	000	•		5	5 7 1	2 5 Y B 5 2	33.9	0.85	53	44
WS1297	Light gray	593	0.					36.0	0.38	69	45
157035	Light grav	564	10	126	24	1.7 76	7 + 110 7	0.00	200		: ;
	wollow aled	56.6	3.7	19.6	22	5Y 8 3	5Y 8/2	46.4	0.7 -	7 6 1	
1-CCW		56.0	99	19.8	23	5Y 8 3	5782	49.4	-2.4	16.0	£7
CSH-5	Fale yellow		5								ł
	:		36	18.7	26	2.5Y 8-4	5Y 8:3	48.1	-20	15.4	31
M50009	Pale yellow	7.76	5 0 7 0		9.6	2 EV 8 4	5Y 8 2	481	-1.4	16.0	30
M50013	Pale vellow	527	6 E	ומת	07	+ 0 10.7		007	111	14.3	29
	Pala vallow	52 3	40	181	27	2 5Y 8 4	C/ 1C				36
TOODOW .		565	33	191	22	25784	5783	54.3			38
M5029/	Fale yellow		• •	9 . 1	75	5Y 8/3	5783	511	- 1.8	16.8	17
Mothi	Pale yellow	533	32	0 / 1	3	5					
		2		0	76	2 5Y 8 4	2 5Y 8 2	510	- 0.6	14.2	28
Segaolane	Pale yellow	8 6	4 0	-	à		7 5 4 2	527	- 0.8	15.3	27
Swarna	Pale yellow	542	44	19.2	67	+-0 LC.7			5	15.4	25
5.13	Pale vellow	537	40	18.2	25	2 5Y 8 4	5 / JG		 -		: 6
	P ile velloue	52.0	48	192	27	25784	5Y 8 4	400	, i		35
146560		2 2 2	5	193	35	5778	5766	415	58	5/1	, r
Patcha Jonna	Yellow	104	-	2	2						
		6	0 1	001	90	10YR 7 6	2 5Y 8 2	52.0	0.23	15.8	27
1S9985	Yellow	9 09	л 0		3 2		10VB 6 4	46 R	3.0	14.1	32
CO-4	Light red	416	164	172	9/			9.95	0 0	10.7	44
158743	Dark reddish grav	34 4	140	126	45	10H 4 1	5 X H 5 4	0.00	, .		55
	Buddleh hrown	38.0	86	14 0	42	5YR 4.4	2 5YR 5-4	26.6	0	4 0	33
CC0/ C1		V V V	8	16.8	35	7.5YR 7 2	2 5YR 5 4	26.9	4.7	4	đ
Dobos	LINKING AND										
							and have a	I JOJOD Service			

a Grain and totills color was measured with a Hunter Lab Color Difference Meter with L = 78 2, a \times - 2 3, b = 22 4 as standard "L" measures color intensity increasing, "D"

b The number and letters describe the Hue. Value, and Chroma of standard Munsell color plates that matched the rotr and grain color yellow color Decreasing "b' ⊸ increasing blue color Increasing a ' ⊨ more red. Decreasing "a ' ≞ more green.

 $c_1E = \int \Delta (1 + 1 \Delta^2 + 1 D^2)$ where Λ indicates the difference between observed and standard L, a and b values, respectively d Hunter Lab Color Difference Meter data were not collected for *routilits* of 15158

absolute achromatic colors (pure grays, white, and black) which have 0 Chroma and no Hue, the letter N (neutral) takes the place of a Hue designation. In writing the Munsell notation, the order is Hue, Value, Chroma with a space between the Hue letter and the succeeding Value numbers, and a virgule between the two numbers for Value and Chroma If expression beyond the whole numbers is desired, decimals are always used. Thus the notation for a color of Hue 5YR. Value 5. Chroma 6, is 5YR 5/6, a yellowish red. Thus, the Munsell values can be related to values obtained with the Hunter Lab Color Difference Meter But the Munsell color can be evaluated without an instrument by selecting the best match of product color with standard Munsell plates.

The color plates can be purchased at low cost to provide the range in color values expected for a product. It is estimated that the human eye can perceive several million different colors under optimum color-matching conditions. It is economically impractical to match every possible color Thus visual interpolation is required

Sorghum Color Measurements with Munsell Color Charts

Color measurements obtained on grain samples of 25 sorghum cultivars of ISFQT-1979 are presented in Table 1 in comparison with those obtained with the Hunter Lab Color Difference Meter, it was observed that apparently the white gray and pale yellow group of grain samples could be further distinguished by different Hue, Value. and Chroma values of Munsell charts. Variation in a. b. and AE values of the Hunter Lab Color Difference Meter was associated with variation in the Hue, Value, and Chroma values of Munsell The white grain samples P721 and E35-1 showed different a and b values while the Munsell Color Chart also distinguished them by different Hue values. Even minute differences among a and b values of Market-1 and S-29 were reflected in different Hue values of Munsell Light gray sample IS-7035, which has a testa, showed the least a and b values while the Munsell chart distinguished its color as 5Y 7.1 Among the pale vellow samples. the b values of M35-1 and CSH-5 were the highest while the Munsell Color Chart also distinquished these samples with 5Y 8-3. Similarly, differences in a, b and AE values among the two yellow samples were adequately reflected in the Munsell notation. Higher AE values were associated with darker shades in the Munsell system. Thus, the Munsell Color Charts are as effective in color description as is the Hunter Lab Color Difference Meter.

At ICRISAT, Munsell Soil Color Charts were used to measure the color of several hundred sorghum grain and roti samples (Murty et al. 1981b). Repeated color measurements on grain samples drawn from check cultivar bulks over several weeks showed that color comparisons with Munsell Charts are highly repeatable within <u>-</u> 1 limits of Chroma. This was also true with *roti* color observations made on samples drawn from the same cultivars replicated in the field in various experiments (Table 2)

The Munsell Soil Color standards have been

Table 2. Grain color of six sorghum cultivars grown in a split plot experiment with four treatments replicated three times.⁴

	Replication 1	Replication 2	Replication 3
P-721	2 5Y 7/2* 5Y 6 3 5Y 6 2	5Y 7 2 5Y 7 2 5Y 6 2	5Y 7 3 5Y 7 2 5Y 6 3
	5Y 6 3	2 5Y 7 2	5Y 6 3
CS3541	5Y 8 3 5Y 8 3 5Y 8 3 5Y 8 3 5Y 8 3	5 Y 8 2 5 Y 7 3 5 Y 8 2 5 Y 8 2	57 8,2 57 8,3 57 8 3 57 8/2
SPV351	5Y 8 2 5Y 8 3 5Y 8 2 5Y 8 2	5Y 8 2 5Y 8 3 5Y 8 2 5Y 8 2	5Y 8/2 5Y 8 3 5Y 8 2 5Y 8 2
E35-1	5Y 7 3 5Y 8 3 5Y 7 2 5Y 8 2	5Y 8 2 5Y 8 2 5Y 8 3 5Y 8 3	5Y 8 2 5Y 8 3 5Y 8 2 5Y 8 2 5Y 8 2
SPV393	5782 5782 5783 5783 5783	5Y 8 3 5Y 8 2 5Y 8 2 5Y 8 3	5783 5783 5783 5783 5782
M35-1	5Y 8 3 5Y 8 2 5Y 8 3 5Y 8 3	5Y 8 2 5Y 8 3 5Y 8 2 5Y 8 3	5Y 8 3 5Y 8 3 5Y 8 3 5Y 8 3 5Y 8 3

a Grain color observations are according to Munsell notation

b. Each observation pertains to a sample from a treatment

used to designate color of tortillas (Bedolla and Rooney, unpublished data 1981) and tô (Akingbala and Rooney 1981, unpublished data). The Munsell color values for tortilla and tô were obtained by using tristimulus values measured with the Hunter Lab Color Difference Meter to produce Munsell Color notations. Tortilla colors ranged from 10Y to 10YR, lightness Values of 7 to 8, to Chroma of 1 to 6. The yellow and yellow red Hues described tortillas Hue very well.

We have accumulated sufficient data on color measurements of sorghum and sorghum products to permit accurate acquisition of Munsell plates (Anon. 1975). A set of standard plates can be purchased for as little as \$10 per set provided 20– 30 sets are purchased, or the whole soil color classification manual can be purchased for about \$30–40. Therefore, we recommend to those who want to determine color in sorghum food products to try the Munsell standard charts as an inexpensive practical method of evaluating color.

Acknowledgment

This research was supported in part by grant AID DSAM XII 6-0149 from the Agency for International Development, Washington, D C. 20523, USA.

References

- AkingBala, J. D. (in press) The properties of starch in relation to to quality. Ph.D. dissertation. Texas A&M. University, College Station, Texas, USA.
- AKINGBALA, J. O., FAUBION, J. M., and ROONEY, L. W. 1981. Physical, chemical and organoleptic evaluation of *agr* from sorghum of differing kernel characteristics Journal of Food Science 46: 1527.
- ANONYMOUS. 1975 Munsell Soil Color Charts Baltimore. Maryland 21218, USA., Macbeth Corporation.
- JOHNSON, B. A., ROONEY, L. W., and KHAN, M. N. 1979 *Tottula* making characteristics of micronized sorghum and corn flours, Journal of Food Science 45(3) 671 674
- Muary, D. S., and House, L. R., 1980. Sorghum food quality, its assessment and improvement. Report presented to the Joint Meeting of UNDP-CIMMYT-

ICRISAT Policy Advisory Committee, 14-18 Oct 1980, Patancheru, India.

- MURTY, D. S., PATIL, H. D., and House, L. R., 1981a. Sankati quality evaluation of sorghum cultivars. These Proceedings.
- MURTY, D. S., PATIL, H. D., and House, L. R., 1981b. Sorghum Roti II. Genotypic and environmental variation for *roti* quality parameters. These proceedings.
- POMERANZ, Y. (ed). 1971. Chapters 4, 5 and 10 in Wheat chemistry and technology. St. Paul, Minnesota, U.S.A.: American Association of Cereal Chemists

SHUEY, W. C. 1975 Flour color as a measurement of flour quality. Bakers Digest 28: 18–22.