CP 1316

Multilocational Evaluation of Some Selected Chickpea Nodulation Variants in India

S S Dudeja¹, S R Potdukhe², S L Namdeo³, V V Datar⁴, V Kumar⁵, K V B R Tilak⁵, A L Khurana¹, and O P Rupela⁶

Abstract

High- (HN) and low-nodulating (LN) selections from each of the two cultivars ICC 4948 and ICC 5003 developed at ICRISAT Asia Center, were evaluated in seven experiments in five different agroecological zones in India. The objectives of this multilocational experiment were to validate nodulation capacities of the selections in different environments, and to determine if the high-nodulating selections were indeed high yielding. Two nonnodulating selections were included as references to assess N₂ fixed by the different selections using the N difference method. Relative differences for nodule number and nodule mass between the HN and LN selections within a cultivar were consistent across locations and years. The HN selections generally yielded higher (range 4-41% in ICC 5003 HN and 4-106% in ICC 4948 HN) than the LN versions of the same cultivar, but the differences were significant (P < 0.05) only in two of the seven experiments.

1. Department of Microbiology, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar 125 004, Haryana, India.

2. Pulses Research Unit, Dr Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola 444 104, Maharashtra, India.

3. Main Pulses Research Center, R A K College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Sehore 466 001, Madhya Pradesh, India.

4. Marathwada Agricultural University, Agricultural Research Station, Badnapur, Jalna 431 202, Maharashtra, India.

5. Division of Microbiology, Indian Agicultural Research Institute, New Delhi 110 012, India.

6. Agronomy Division, ICRISAT Asia Center, Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India. ICRISAT Conference Paper no. CP 1316.

Dudeja, S. S., Potdukhe, S. R., Namdeo, S. L., Datar, V. V., Kumar, V., Tilak, K. V. B. R., Khurana, A. L., and Rupela, O. P. 1997. Multilocational evaluation of some selected chickpea nodulation variants in India. Pages 261–276 in Extending nitrogen fixation research to farmers' fields: proceedings of an International Workshop on Managing Legume Nitrogen in the Cropping Systems of Asia, 20–24 Aug 1996, ICRISAT Asia Center, India (Rupela, O. P., Johansen, C., and Herridge, D. F., eds.). Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics.



134E

Estimates of nitrogen fixation, as measured by deducting the N-yield of nonnodulating lines from that of the nodulating lines, showed that HN lines had more fixed N in grains $(2-44 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1})$ than those of LN selections. The long-duration nonnodulating chickpea line ICC 4993 NN grew well at Hisar and New Delhi (both 29° N), and should be preferred as the nonfixing control. At the other three locations, both ICC 4993 NN and ICC 4918 NN could be used as nonfixing control lines.

ICC 4948 HN was more susceptible to fusarium wilt than its parent, suggesting the need to ensure that selections are not inferior to parents for tolerance to diseases.

Introduction

In the process of biological nitrogen fixation (BNF) by legumes, both partners, the root nodule bacteria (*Rhizobium* or *Bradyrhizobium*) and the host plant have key roles. There are many constraints limiting the success of root nodule bacteria as inoculants. These include host, quality of inoculants, soil nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P), high native rhizobial population, soil moisture, and interactions of these factors (Thies et al. 1991, Triplett and Sadowsky 1992). There is a considerable scope to enhance N_2 fixation by legumes through host-plant selection (Bliss 1985), in addition to selection of rhizobial strains for high N_2 fixation.

Variability in nodulation and N, fixation has been reported in different legumes such as faba bean, fieldpea, common bean, soybean, mungbean, cowpea, chickpea, and pigeonpea. Most work, however, has been on soybean, in which supernodulating lines have been selected (Carroll et al. 1985, Gremaud and Harper 1989, Francisco and Akao 1993) High-nodulating (HN) and low-nodulating (LN) lines have been reported in common bean (Pereira et al. 1993), chickpea (Rupela 1992, Rupela 1994), and pigeonpea (Rupela and Johansen 1995a, Dudeja and Khurana 1996). Intra-cultivaral variability for nodulation capacity in chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.) has recently been established in studies at ICRISAT Asia Center, where occurrence of nonnodulating, HN, and LN lines have been observed within several cultivars. All these nodulation selections were developed by pure line selection (Rupela 1992, Rupela 1994). Unlike the hypernodulating mutants of soybean, which were agronomically inferior to their normal nodulating parent cultivar (Wu and Harper 1991, Pracht et al. 1994, Song et al. 1995), the yield of HN selections was greater than those of the LN selections of the same cultivars in these studies (Rupela 1994). To study if the HN and LN selections of chickpea were stable for nodulation across locations, which are likely to differ in the population spectrum of native root-nodule bacteria and other soil and environmental factors, a multilocational experiment was conducted. Results of this experiment at five locations in India are described in this paper.

Materials and Methods

Chickpea, a cool-season legume is grown during the postrainy season (September/November to February/April) in India. It is generally grown on conserved soil moisture without irrigation. Also, rainfall during its growing period is occasional and scanty (Table 1). Seven experiments were conducted at five locations in India at research farms of Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University (CCS HAU), Hisar, Haryana (29°N); Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI), New Delhi (29°N); Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Rafi Ahmad Kidwai (RAK) College, Sehore, Madhya Pradesh (27°N); Dr Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth (PDKV), Akola, Maharashtra (23°N); and Marathwada Agricultural University, Agricultural Research Station (ARS), Badnapur, Maharashtra (20°N). Some soil characteristics of the experimental sites are given in Table 1. Five of the seven experiments (Table 1) were sown after a presowing irrigation provided to facilitate land preparation for sowing. One irrigation at flowering is a recommended practice at locations below 26°N or in light soils. The two experiments at Akola were irrigated thrice after sowing, an unusual practice.

The four test entries were HN and LN selections each from two chickpea cultivars ICC 4948 and ICC 5003. Their parent cultivar ICC 4948 (a low- to average-nodulating cultivar) and ICC 5003 (a high-nodulating cultivar), and two nonnodulating (NN) selections, one each from cultivars ICC 4993 and ICC 4918, were used as controls. The main plot had two N levels, low (N1) and high (N2), and the eight chickpea entries were the subplot treatments of splitplot design. No nitrogen was added to N1, while 100 kg N ha⁻¹ as urea was added to N2, 1 month before the sowing of chickpea, either to soil or to a previous cover crop sorghum. The subplot size was 4×3 m² at Hisar, Sehore, Badnapur, and 4×1.8 m² at Akola and New Delhi. The experiment had three replications at Hisar and four replications each at Akola, Badnapur, New Delhi, and Sehore. A basal dose of 17.5 kg P ha-1 was applied at the time of sowing. Seeds of all the cultivars were uniformly inoculated with efficient Rhizobium strains (Table 1). ICC 4948 and its selections and ICC 4993 NN are long-duration lines, ICC 5003 and its selections are medium-duration lines, and ICC 4918 NN is a short-duration line.

Observations on nodule number, nodule, plant biomass, total dry matter, and grain yield were made at all the five locations (Table 1) on at least 10 plants per plot. Observations on acetylene reduction activity (ARA) were made only at IARI, New Delhi, on the same plants used for nodulation observations. Final yield of grain and stover were determined on a net plot size of 3.6 m² at Akola and New Delhi, and 7.2 m² at Hisar, Sehore, and Badnapur. A subsample from each plot was used to determine N concentration in the different cultivars to

	A	Akola	Badnapur	New Delhi	Η	Hisar	Schore
Character	1994/95	1995/96	96/5661	1994/95	1994/95	1995/96	1994/95
Soil characteristics at sowing							
oui type	Vertisol	Vcrtisol	Vertisol	Sandy	Sandy	Sandy	Vertisol
pH (1:2.5)	8.1	8.1	08	loam o c	loam	loam	
Total N (%)	0.051	0.047	0.045	0.042	7.8 0.061	7.6 0.065	7.6 0.045-
Mineral N (µg g ⁻¹)	nd'	pu	ľ	2	2		0.082
Organic matter (%)	pu	0.59	0.45	145	14-10	14-10	13-19
EC (dS m^{-1})	0.24	0.23	0.30			0.47 0.0	0.55
Olsen-P (µg g ⁻¹)	9.8	10.3	9.0	0.4		67.0	4. J
Sowing date	16 Nov	4 Dec	10 Nov	30 Nov	11 Nov	10.0 23 Nov	8.CI
Rhizobial strain	1994	1995	1995	1994	1994	1995	1994
for seed inoculation	Nil	AKCR 1	RCD71	r 43	į		
Nodulation observation (days after sowing)	45	45	40	65 1	Ca 181 .55	55 181 55 181	0 8 8
Final harvest (days after sowing)	112	113	124	175	164	150	133
Dates of postsowing Irrigation	22Nov1994 7 Dec 1994 5 Eek 1005	5 Dec 1995 18 Jan 1996 10 E-L 1005	10 Dec1995 ¹ 15 Feb 1996	Nil ²	Nil²	Nil²	3 Jan 1995²
Rainfall (mm) between		10 reg 1390					
sowing and harvesting	24	6	Nil	63	85	6	75

calculate N uptake. N_2 fixed by the different cultivars was calculated by deducting N uptake by the nonnodulating lines from that by nodulating lines in a replication at the given N level (difference method).

Results and Discussion

Nodulation and Acetylene Reduction Assay

ICC 4948 HN generally formed significantly (P < 0.05) higher numbers of nodules than its parent ICC 4948, both at N1 and N2, except at Akola in the postrainy season 1995/96, and at Hisar at N2 in the postrainy season 1994/95 (Table 2). At Hisar, this selection was adversely affected by the soilborne fungal disease caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* fsp. ciceri. ICC 5003 HN nodulated similar to its parent ICC 5003 at all the locations except at Akola in 1994/95 and at N2 in 1995/96; at Badnapur in 1995/96, at Schore in 1994/95 when it formed significantly (P < 0.05) greater number of nodules than its parent (Table 2).

Nodule mass was recorded near flowering at different ages [45-65 days after sowing (DAS), Table 1] of plants at different locations, and therefore, its comparison across locations is difficult. Still, most nodule mass was observed at Hisar in both the years (range 476-1336 mg plant⁻¹ at 55 DAS), and least nodule growth was observed at Badnapur (range 5–195 mg plant⁻¹) and in New Delhi (range 47-183 mg plant⁻¹, Table 3). As in case of nodule number per plant, HN selections of both the cultivars (ICC 4948 and ICC 5003) formed consistently high nodule mass at all the locations at both the soil N levels, except for ICC 5003 at N1 level at Akola in 1995/96, and for cultivar ICC 4948 at N2 level at Akola and Hisar in 1995/96. In five out of the seven experiments, the parent line ICC 4948 formed nodule mass closer to its LN selection (ICC 4948 LN). In the other two cases, it formed a nodule mass greater than its LN selection, but still lower than its HN selection. ICC 5003 is a high-nodulating cultivar, and ICC 5003 HN was selected to be only marginally superior to its parent. But ICC 5003 HN formed significantly (P < 0.05) greater nodule mass at N1 than its parent ICC 5003 in five out of seven experiments. The two nonnodulating lines ICC 4918 NN and ICC 4993 NN did not form any nodules in any of the seven experiments at the five locations.

Both nodule number and nodule mass are known to be suppressed due to high mineral N in soil (Rawsthorne et al. 1985, Rupela and Johansen 1995b, Streeter 1988). Mean (of all entries) nodule number per plant was lower at N2 than at N1 at all the five locations except in New Delhi, where 5% more nodules formed at N2. The extent of reduction in nodule number per plant ranged from 10% at Hisar in 1995/96 to 64% at Badnapur in 1995/96 (Table 2). Reduced nodule mass due to high soil N was recorded in four of the six experiments, where both low (N1) and

264

Chickpea line ICC 4948 LN ICC 4948 LN ICC 4948 LN ICC 4948 LN ICC 5003 LN ICC 5003 LN ICC 5003 LN	1994/95 19 19 19 19 19 26 26 26 26 0 0 €4,0				Badnapur			Ž		hi		4	nisar	1995/96			1994/95	OCHOIC
hickpea line C 4948 HN C 4948 LN C 4948 LN C 4948 LN C 4948 LN C 4948 LN C 4993 NN	1994/95 11 11 19 26 26 26 0 6 ¹ 0		96/5661	8	-	1995/96	8		1994/95	2	1994/95	- 56/			ور			8
C 4948 HN C 4948 LN C 4948 LN C 4948 C 5003 HN C 5003 LN C 5003 LN C 5003 LN	41 11 19 22 21 24,0 0 €4,0		R	NI N2 Mcan	Ī	R	N2 Mcan	Ī	N2 Mcan	Acan	NI N2 Mcan	Mcan	īz	N2 Mcan	lcan	ī	Z	Mcan
CC 4948 LN CC 4948 CC 5003 HN CC 5003 LN CC 5003 LN CC 5003 LN	11 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 1	29	27	28	61	22	8	31	3	35	16 21	61	20	\$	₽	2	16	18
C 4948 C 5003 HN C 5003 LN C 5003 LN C 4993 NN	19 36 14.0 0 ¹ 14.0	14	15	14	21	9	14	6	6	6	11 8	6	01	11	11	0	6	6
CC 5003 HN CC 5003 LN CC 5003 CC 5003	36 ±4,0 €4,0	24	27	26	28	13	21	16	6	1	6 17	13	17	14	16	16	12	=
CC 5003 LN CC 5003 CC 4993 NN	21 26 €4.0	ŝ	29	29	82	61	51	31	38	35	22 20	21	35	31	33	21	14	18
C 5003 C 4993 NN	26 0' ±4.0	25	81	22	15	~	6	6	15	12	01 6	0	6	24	17	11	6	2
IC 4993 NN	0' +4.0	34	20	11	59	9	33	30	40	35	38 25	32	36	11	31	15	12	14
	0 ±4.0	0	0	0	0	ď	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O
KCC 4918 NN	±4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sec.		+4,19(4,01)	(4.01)	7+	±0.6 (0.6)	(0.6)	±0.1		(2.2)	±3.7	±3.7(3.3)	±2.3	±2.6 (2.4)		±1.8	±0.2	(0.3)	±0.2
Warn	7	02	1			2			81		13 13		20	18		12	6	
Mean		3			5	±0.3			; + 1.0		±2.2		+1			Ŧ	±0.1	
seasons, 1994/95 and 1995/96.	994/95	and	1995/96	/96.									Hisar				S	Schore
		4	Akola		l	Badr	Badnapur		New Dclhi)elhi								
			6	1995/96		1995/96	96/3		1994/95	/95	5	1994/95	1	96/5661	8	1	6	
Chickpea line	e 1994/95		NIN	N2 Mcan	Ī	I N2	2 Mean	Ī	1 1	N2 Mcan	Z IN	N2 Mcan			$\mathbf{\Sigma}$			2
ICC 4948 HN ICC 4948 LN ICC 4948 LN	HN 511 N 373		258 2 202 2 212 2	254 384 219 292 242 307		96 70 53 5 89 16	0 83 5 29 6 53	-	05 106 75 62 90 63	3 69	872 386 299	442 657 106 246 429 364	4 930	0 583 3 476 7 527	3 757 5 525 7 717	221 126 161	6 11 7 148	8 158
						4		-	28 183 27 40	3 156 AB	845 176	352 599 158 142	9 1336 2 726	6 733 6 546	3 1035 6 637		8 237 3 120	7 283 0 132
ICC 5003 LN ICC 5003	LN 279 366		277 2 242 3	233 252 317 323	-		4 76	-	-	-	687	49		8		26	21	2
ICC 4993 NN			0	0	0	00	00	0	00	00	00	00	00	00	00		00	00
ICC 4918 1	0 NN		0		> :	5	9		11)[+		±57.8(63.3) ± 44.7		4.8(55.	±74.8(55.6)±39.3	1 1	±2.7Q.7)	£15

266

•

267

Chickpea lines without nodules were excluded from statistical analysis.
Values in parentheses are SEs to compare means at the same level of N.
nr = not relevant.

.

158 129 ±1.2

604 433 ±61.6

402 224 ±2.1

±13.9(14.3) ±10.1 75 77 ±4.8

±0.01

0 0 0 0 ±0.1(0.1) 80 17 ±3.2

±17.1(16.8)⁴ ±12.1

±40.3 nrj

SE

176 197 ±8.8

Ы

Mean SE

high (N2) levels were included. The extent of reduction in the four experiments ranged from 18% at Schore in 1994/95 to 79% at Badnapur in 1995/96. At the other two locations, a 3% increased nodule mass was recorded in New Delhi and 12% at Akola. Even at these two locations, a small reduction (2-30%) in nodule mass was recorded in two of the six nodulating lines. Interactions between genotypes and N-levels for nodule number and nodule mass per plant were statistically significant in three experiments and nonsignificant in the other three experiments (Tables 2 and 3).

Acetylene reduction assay (ARA) to compare N, fixation between and among the different lines was done only at IARI, New Delhi. Acetylene reduction assay values (μ moles C₂H₄ produced per plant per hour) of ICC 5003 HN were significantly superior to those of ICC 5003 LN, both at N1 and N2. There were no consistent trends for ICC 4948 HN (Table 4). Acetylene reduction assay is a pointin-time observation, and has been criticized for its validity (Minchin et al. 1994). It may, however, detect large treatment differences, for example, between ICC 4948 HN and ICC 4948 LN (Rupela et al. 1995). But this was not so in this case and this is surprising, particularly when nodulation differences between HN and LN selections were large (Table 3).

			Acetyl	ene reduct	ion assay	7
	μМ	C ₂ H ₄ pla	nt-1h-1	μMC,	H ₄ g ⁻¹ no	dule mass
Chickpea line	N1	N2	Mean	N1	N2	Mean
ICC 4948 HN	4.8	4.3	4.6	46	42	44
1CC 4948 LN	3.4	4.4	3.8	44	62	53
ICC 4948	4.9	1.9	3.4	53	29	41
ICC 5003 HN ICC 5003 LN	5.4	10.8	8.1	47	59	53
ICC 5003 LN	1.6	2.6	2.1	29	51	40
ICC 5005	6.2	3.8	5.0	38	24	31
ICC 4993 NN ICC 4918 NN	0	0	0	0	0	0
ICC 4918 NN	0	0	0	0	0	Ō
SE Mean		0(1.10)1	±0.76	±7.9	(8.2)	±5.8
	3.3	3.5		32	33	
SE	±0	.38		±	1.6	

Table 4. Acetylene reduction assay of chickpea nodulation selections at the Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, postrainy season 1994/95.

1. Values in the parentheses are SEs to compare means at the same level of N.

Total Dry Matter and Grain Yield

Only grain yield was assessed at final harvest at Akola in 1994/95 and at Badnapur in 1995/96. In the other five experiments where both total dry matter and grain vield were measured (Tables 5 and 6), Hisar was the highest-yielding location in both 1994/95 and 1995/96 seasons, followed by New Delhi. Generally, shortduration lines perform best at lower latitudes and long-duration lines perform well at higher latitudes (Saxena 1987). The nonnodulating lines ICC 4993 NN and ICC 4918 NN yielded the lowest in all the seven experiments, except at Hisar in 1994/ 95. ICC 4918 NN, a short-duration line, yielded low even at high soil N conditions (Tables 5 and 6) at Hisar and New Delhi. At the other three locations (Akola, Badnapur, Sehore), the yields of ICC 4993 NN and ICC 4918 NN at N2 level were similar. This suggests that both cultivars can be used as non N,-fixing references at Akola, Badnapur, and Sehore (all < 25°N latitude), while at Hisar and New Delhi (both 29°N latitude), ICC 4993 NN, a long-duration line, should be preferred. The yield of ICC 4993 NN was exceptionally high at Hisar in 1994/95, suggesting high soil-N status at the experimental site. Its high yield, close to or better than that of the HN line ICC 5003 (a medium-duration line) could also be due to its duration being longer than that of ICC 5003.

The yield differences among the six nodulating lines were generally nonsignificant in most experiments. The HN selection ICC 5003 HN produced higher grain yield than ICC 5003 LN in all the seven experiments at the five locations, and the increase ranged from 4 to 41%. In six of the seven experiments, it also yielded higher than its parent ICC 5003 (Table 6). The beneficial effect of higher nodulation of ICC 5003 HN than that of its parent ICC 5003 was not marked in case of total dry matter (Table 5), but was apparent for grain yield (Table 6). This perhaps suggests a better partitioning of its resources into grains by ICC 5003 HN. The HN selection ICC 4948 HN yielded higher than ICC 4948 LN at all the locations except at Hisar and at N2 level in New Delhi. The increase in yield ranged from 4 to 106% at the different N levels and locations. At Hisar, a significant number of plants of ICC 4948 HN died due to fusarium wilt, which apparently affected its yielding capacity. This was an important observation, suggesting that care should be exercised in selection for high N₂-fixing lines such that the selected material should not be more susceptible to stress factors than their parents.

The selection ICC 4948 HN yielded 5 to 42% higher than its parent in four of the five experiments (data from Hisar not considered due to the problem of fusarium wilt). ICC 5003 HN yielded 2 to 29% higher than its parent ICC 5003 in all the seven experiments, and the two N levels.

Super-nodulating mutants of soybean (Eskew et al. 1989; Wu and Harper 1991) and *Phaseolus* bean (Buttery et al. 1990) are known to produce significantly lower yield than their normal nodulating parents. In contrast, the HN selections reported 3

Table 5. Total dry matter (t ha⁻¹) of chickpea nodulation selections at four different locations in India, postrainy seasons, 1994/95 and 1995/96.

		Ak	ola	1	New De	lhi			н	lisar			:	Schore	:
		1995/	′96		1994/9	5		1994/9	95		1995/	'96	1	994/9	5
Chickpea line	NI	N2	Mcan	NI	N2	Mcan	NI	N2	Mean	NI	N2	Mcan	NI	N2	Mean
ICC 4948 HN	2.82	3.69	3.26	5.83	4.74	5.29	6.00	5.97	5.56	5.83	4.58	5.21	2.55	3.31	2.93
ICC 4948 LN	2.10	2.28	2.14	5.57	4.95	5.26	9.72	9.58	9.65	6.39	6.46	6.42			2.41
ICC 4948	2.15	2.75	2.45	6.04	4.64	5.34	8.89	9.17	9.03	6.45	6.66	6.56	2.56		2.86
ICC 5003 HN	2.57	3.39	2.98	6.33	5.94	6.13	7.78	6.53	7.15	3.85	4.58	4.22	2.85	3.49	3.17
ICC 5003 LN	2.23	3.26	2.75	5.73	6.38	6.06	7.92	6.39	7.15	4.27	3.89	3.96	2.74	3.42	3.08
ICC 5003	2.03	2.85	2.44	5.39	5.42	5.40	7.78	6.81	7.29	4.38	4.46	4.26	2.99		3.20
ICC 4993 NN	1.52	2.29	1.90	5.47	5.47	5.47	7.50	8.89	8.19	1.81	2.74	2.27	1.98	2.75	2.37
ICC 4918 NN	1.74	2.26	2.00	4.09	3.85	3.97	2.78	1.85	2.31	2.50	3.06	2.78	1.65	2.44	2.04
SE	±0.183 (0.191) ¹	±0.135	±0.467	(0.469)	±0.332	±0.525	(0.523)	±0.37	±0.192	(0.189)	±0.136	±0.117 (0.108)	±0.076
Mcan	2.13		2.85	5.56		5.17	7.29		6.79	4.41		4.51	2.42		3.09
SE	-	±0.0.3	7	±	0.159		±	0.192		1	£0.083		1	:0.06	

I. Values in parentheses are SEs to compare means at the same level of N.

· · ·

Table 6. Grain yield (t ha-1) of chickpea nodulation selections at different locations in India, postrainy seasons, 1994/95 and 1995/96.

ż

		Ako	 ola		E	adna	pur	N	cw D	elhi			Hi	sar			S	chore	
	<u></u>		995/9	 96		1995/	'96	•	1994/	95	-	1994/	95		1995/	96		1994/9)5
Chickpea line	1994/95	NI	N2	Mean	NI	N2	Mean	NI	N2	Mcan	NI	N2	Mcan	N1	N2	Mcan	NI	N2	Mean
	1.50	1 50	1.85	1.61	0.96	1.00	0.98	1.32	1.05	1.20	1.44	1.35	1.40	1.95	1.02	1.49	1.30	1.58	1.44
ICC 4948 HN	0.73			0.90			0.73	1.27			2.50	3.13	2.81	2.50	1.69	2.09	1.04		
ICC 4948 LN ICC 4948	1.06			1.22			0.82	1.34	1.23	1.28	2.57	2.70	2.63	1.23	1.51	1.37	1.23	1.51	1.37
ICC 5003 HN	1.30	1.34	1.78	3 1.43	1.08	1.18	8 1.13	1.64	1.59	1.62	2.12	1.74	1.93	1.59	1.17	1.38	1.50	1.80	1.65 1.59
ICC 5003 LN	1.17	0.94	1.56	5 1.21	0.95	0.94	0.95						1.68						
ICC 5003	1.14	1.16	1.59	1.25	1.05	1.07	1.06	1.27	1.45	1.36			1.72					·	1.61
ICC 4993 NN	0.66	0.60	0.98	3 0.71	0.78	0.78	3 0.78	1.22	0.96	1.09	2.23	2.54	2.41	0.66	0.92	0.79	0.69	1.06	`*0.87
ICC 4918 NN				2 0.68			9 0.84			0.33		0.14				0.84			
SE	±0.015	±0.195	(0.201)	1±0.138	±0.061	(0.057)	±0.041	±0.176	(0.184)	±0.130) ±0.130						
Mean	nr²		1.47		•	0.9			1.85			1.85 .037		1.54 ±0.0	1.21 048			1.51 0.029	
SE	nr	±0	.039		±().028		±U	.037		10								

1. Values in parentheses are SEs to compare means at the same level of N.

2. nr = not relevant.

21

here generally produced yields at least marginally superior to those of their parents.

High soil N level (N2) resulted in 7% reduced total dry matter (means of all six nodulating cultivars) at two of the five locations (New Delhi in 1994/95 and Hisar in 1994/95) where it was measured (Table 5). However, such a reduction was not recorded for grain yield in these two experiments (Table 6). This could be due to interactions for partitioning of resources into grains and/or the problem of fusarium wilt at Hisar. A 21% reduced grain yield at N2 over N1 was recorded at Hisar in 1995/96. At the other locations, a 21-34% increase was recorded for total dry matter, and a 3-29% increase in grain yield at N2 over that at N1. Reduction in grain yield due to N application has also been reported by Rupela et al. (1997) and its reason(s) need to be studied.

Nitrogen Fixation by Difference Method

Grain N concentration was determined only at Akola, Badnapur, and Sehore, and stover N concentration only at Akola in 1995/96. Therefore, the quantity of fixed N in stover could be determined only in the Akola experiment in 1994/95 (data not shown) and that in grain for the four experiments at the three locations (Table 7). At all the three locations, both N1 and N2 grain of ICC 4948 HN had more (8.4-43.3 kg N ha⁻¹) fixed N than did ICC 4948 LN and its parent ICC 4948. Similarly, grain of ICC 5003 HN had more (2.3–12.6 kg N ha⁻¹) fixed N both at N1 and N2 in all the four experiments (where it was measured), than ICC 5003 LN. Also, ICC 5003 HN had more (1.6–9.5 kg N ha⁻¹) fixed N in grain than its parent except at N2 at Akola in 1995/96 when it was lower by 1.4 kg N ha⁻¹ (Table 7). In most cases, the superiority of the two HN selections was statistically nonsignificant.

The amount of N, fixed by the different chickpea lines in the four experiments are small $(1.2-50 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1})$ and therefore the additional N, fixed $(2.3-43.3 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1})$ due to the higher nodulation capacity of the HN selections. In high producing environments the level of N, fixation is likely to be high and thus the benefits of HN selections are also likely to be higher. This needs to be examined. There is also a need to compare the difference method with a more reliable method such as ¹⁵N enrichment and natural abundance.

Conclusions

The LN and HN selections from the two cultivars nodulated consistently across locations (Tables 2, 3), and there were significant differences (P < 0.05) in their nodulation capacities at most locations. Any small increase in yield due to HN selections should be welcomed because the HN selections generally yielded more and fixed more N (even if marginally) than LN selections and their parents (Tables 6 and 7).

Table 7. Fixed N (kg ha⁻¹) in grains of chickpea nodulation selections measured by difference method at five different locations in India, postrainy seasons 1994/95 and 1995/96.

1

		Ak	ola		В	adnap	ur	S	ehore	•
			1995/9	96		1995/9	96	1	994/9	5
Chickpea line	1994/95	NI	N2	Mean	NI	N2	Mean	NI	N2	Mean
	54.3	36.8	40.1	35.8	11.6	8.3	10.0	22.5	22.6	5 22.6
ICC 4948 HN	11.0	20.5	26.9	23.7	1.5	01	0	9.4	11.7	7 10.
ICC 4948 LN ICC 4948	25.2	27.6	31.7	29.7	1.2	0	0	18.1	18.9	9 18.
100 (001 UN	32.4	32.5	38.5	35.5	17.6	16.8	17.2	32.2		4 32.
ICC 5003 HN	21.6	22.6	36.2		8.0		6.1	25.3		9 27.
ICC 5003 LN	31.1	25.1	39.9		11.1	7.3	9.2	28.6	31.	8 30.
ICC 5003 SE	±2.14		2(5.49) ²		±2.68	(2.48)	±1.75) ±1.4
Mean	29	27.5	35.5		8.5	5 4.	1	22.7		
SE	nr ³		±0.31			±1.4	5		±1.5	2

 Negative values were calculated during analysis which were obviously artifacts and perhaps due to relatively unadapted nature of the selections than that of the nonnodulating reference of similar duration. These were replaced by zero.

2. Values in parentheses are SEs to compare means at the same level of N.

3. nr = not relevant.

The presence of HN plants (higher than the mean nodulation of the parent) seems to be a widely occurring phenomenon (Rupela and Johansen 1995b), and the search for more versions of these can be extended to agronomically accepted, diseases- and other stress-tolerant lines. In multilocational experiments, characterization of the experimental sites should include determination of mineral N at sowing. Physiological parameters, e.g., days to 50% flowering and maturity affecting yield of cultivars should also be recorded. The methods used for characterization of soil parameters should be the same across locations. Most participating locations in this report did not have ready access to soil analysis facilities for variables reported in Table 1, and the soil samples had to wait for long periods before analyses, or were not done. Data on N, fixation quantification should be assembled in all the experiments. It seems that $\delta^{15}N$ analysis can be reliable only from some sites (Peoples, Turner, et al., these proceedings). Any future experiments should be restricted only to such sites. Most importantly, the high N₁-fixing selections must be at par (if not better) with their parents for the degree of tolerance to stress factor(s).

Acknowledgement

This research work was partly supported by the Special Purpose Grant from the Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research (PN 95710) to ICRISAT, and is gratefully acknowledged.

References

Bliss, F. A. 1985. Breeding for enhanced dinitrogen fixation potential of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris L*). Pages 303–310 in Nitrogen fixation and CO₂ metabolism (Ludden, P. W., and Burries J. E., eds.). New York, USA: Elsevier Publishers.

Buttery, B.R., Park, S.J., and Dhanvantri, B.N. 1990. Effect of combined nitrogen, *Rhizobium* strain and substrate on a supernodulating mutant of *Phaseolus vulgaris L.* Canadian Journal of Plant Science 70: 955–963.

Carroll, B. J., Mc Neil, D. L., and Gresshoff, P. M. 1985. A supernodulation and --nitrate-tolerant symbiotic (nts) soybean mutant. Plant Physiology 78:34-40.

Dudeja, S. S., and Khurana, A. L. 1996. Genetic improvement of pigeonpea host for better nodulation. Pages 162–165 in Perspectives in microbiology (Kahlon, R. S., ed.). Ludhiana, India: National Agricultural Technology Information Center, Punjab Agricultural University.

Eskew, D.L., Kapuya, J., and Danso, S.K.A. 1989. Nitrate limitation of nodulation and nitrogen fixation by supernodulating nitrate-tolerant symbiosis mutant of soybean. Crop Science 29: 1491-1496.

Francisco, Jr. P. B., and Akao, S. 1993. Autoregulation and nitrate inhibition of nodule formation in soybean cv Enrei and its nodulation mutants. Journal of Experimental Botany 44:547-553.

Gremaud, M. F., and Harper, J. E. 1989. Selection and initial characterization of partially nitrate tolerant nodulation mutants of soybean. Plant Physiology 89:169–173.

Minchin, F. R., Witty, J. F., and Mytton, L. R. 1994. Reply to 'Measurement of nitrogenase activity in legume root nodules: in defense of the acetylene reduction assay' by J. K. Vessey. Plant and Soil 158:163-167.

Pereira, P. A. A., Miranda, B. D., Attewell, J. R., Kmiecik, K. A., and Bliss, F. A. 1993. Selection for increased nodule number in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris L*). Plant and Soil 148:203-209.

Pracht, J. E., Nickell, C. D., Harper, J. E., and Bullock, D. G. 1994. Agronomic evaluation of non-nodulating and hypernodulating mutants of soybean. Crop Science 34:738-740.

Rawsthorne, S., Hadley, P., Summerfield, R. J., and Roberts, E. H. 1985. Effect of supplemental nitrate and thermal regime on the nitrogen nutrition of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) II. Symbiotic development and nitrogen assimilation. Plant and Soil 83:279–283.

Rupela, O. P. 1992. Natural occurrence and salient characters of nonnodulating chickpea plants. Crop Science 32:349-352.

Rupela, O. P. 1994. Screening for intracultivaral variability of nodulation in chickpea and pigeonpea. Pages 75-83 in Linking biological nitrogen fixation research in Asia: report of a Meeting of the Asia Working Group on Biological Nitrogen Fixation in Legumes, 6-8 Dec 1993, ICRISAT Asia Center, India (Rupela, O. P., Kumar Rao, J. V. D. K., Wani, S. P., and Johansen, C., eds.). Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics.

Rupela, O. P., and Johansen, C. 1995a. Identification of nonnodulating, and low and highnodulating plants in pigeonpea. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 27:539-544.

Rupela, O. P., and Johansen, C. 1995b. High mineral-N tolerant N₂-fixation in legumes – its relevance and prospects for development. Pages 395–402 in Improving soil management for intensive cropping in the tropics and sub-tropics: proceedings of the Inter-Congress Conference of Commission IV, International Society of Soil Science, 1–3 Dec 1992, Dhaka Bangladesh (Hussian, M.S., Imamul Huq, S.M., Anwar Iqbal, M., and Khan, T.H., eds.). Dhaka, Bangladesh: Bangladesh Agricultural Research Council.

Rupela, O. P. Sharma, L. C., and Danso, S. K. A. 1997. Evaluation of N₂ fixation by nodulation-variants of chickpea in India. Pages 99-119 *in* Improving yield and nitrogen fixation of grain legumes in the tropics and sub-tropics of Asia (Eaglesham, A. R., ed.). Vienna, Austria: International Atomic Energy Agency.

Rupela, O. P., Wani, S.P., Danso, S. K. A., and Johansen, C. 1995. Effect of high nodulating selection of chickpea cultivar ICC 4948 on yield and soil properties of a chickpea-sorghum cropping system. Journal of Soil Biology and Ecology 15:127–134.

Saxena, N. P. 1987. Screening for adaption to drought: case studies with chickpea and pigeonpea. Pages 63-76 in Adaptation of chickpea and pigeonpea to abiotic stress: proceedings of the Consultants' Workshop, 19-21 Dec 1984, ICRISAT Asia Center, India (Saxena, N. P., and Johansen, C., eds.). Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics. Song, L., Carroll, B. J., Gresshoff, P. M., and Herridge, D. F. 1995. Field assessment of supernodulating genotypes of soybean for yield, N_2 fixation and benefit to subsequent crops. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 27:563-569.

Streeter, J. 1988. Inhibition of legume nodule formation and N_2 fixation by nitrate. Critical Reviews in Plant Science 7:1–23.

Thies, J. E., Singleton, P. W., and Bohlool, B. B. 1991. Modelling symbiotic performance of introduced rhizobia in the field by use of indices of indigenous population size and nitrogen status of the soil. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 57:29-37.

Triplett, E. W., and Sadowsky, M. J. 1992. Genetics of competition for nodulation of legumes. Annual Review of Microbiology 46:29-37.

Wu, S., and Harper, J. E. 1991. Dinitrogen fixation potential and yield of hypernodulating soybean mutants: a field evaluation. Crop Science 31:1233–1240.