frontiers in PLANT SCIENCE

Plant Genetics and Genomics

Application of genomics-assisted breeding for generation of climate resilient crops: Progress and prospects

Chittaranjan Kole, Mehanathan Muthamilarasan, Robert Henry, David Edwards, Rishu Sharma, Michael Abberton, Jacqueline Batley, Alison Bentley, Michael Blakeney, John Bryant, Hongwei Cai, Mehmet Cakir, Leland J Cseke, James Cockram, Antonio Costa de Oliveira, Ciro De Pace, Hannes Dempewolf, Shelby Ellison, Paul Gepts, Andy Greenland, Anthony Hall, Kiyosumi Hori, Glenn Thomas Howe, Stephen Hughes, Mike W Humphreys, Massimo Iorizzo, Abdelbagi M. Ismail, Athole Marshall, Sean Mayes, Henry T Nguyen, Francis Chuks Ogbonnaya, Rodomiro Ortiz, Andrew H. Paterson, Philipp W. Simon, Joe Tohme, Roberto Tuberosa, Babu Valliyodan, Rajeev K Varshney, Stan D Wullschleger, Masahiro Yano and Manoj Prasad

Journal Name:	Frontiers in Plant Science
ISSN:	1664-462X
Article type:	Review Article
Received on:	25 May 2015
Accepted on:	08 Jul 2015
Provisional PDF published on:	08 Jul 2015
Frontiers website link:	www.frontiersin.org
Citation:	Kole C, Muthamilarasan M, Henry R, Edwards D, Sharma R, Abberton M, Batley J, Bentley A, Blakeney M, Bryant J, Cai H, Cakir M, Cseke LJ, Cockram J, Oliveira AC, Pace CD, Dempewolf H, Ellison S, Gepts P, Greenland A, Hall A, Hori K, Howe GT, Hughes S, Humphreys MW, Iorizzo M, Ismail AM, Marshall A, Mayes S, Nguyen HT, Ogbonnaya FC, Ortiz R, Paterson AH, Simon PW, Tohme J, Tuberosa R, Valliyodan B, Varshney RK, Wullschleger SD, Yano M and Prasad M(2015) Application of genomics-assisted breeding for generation of climate resilient crops: Progress and prospects. <i>Front. Plant Sci.</i> 6:563. doi:10.3389/fpls.2015.00563
Copyright statement:	© 2015 Kole, Muthamilarasan, Henry, Edwards, Sharma, Abberton, Batley, Bentley, Blakeney, Bryant, Cai, Cakir, Cseke, Cockram, Oliveira, Pace, Dempewolf, Ellison, Gepts, Greenland, Hall, Hori, Howe, Hughes, Humphreys, Iorizzo, Ismail, Marshall, Mayes, Nguyen, Ogbonnaya, Ortiz, Paterson, Simon, Tohme, Tuberosa, Valliyodan, Varshney, Wullschleger, Yano and Prasad. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative</u> <u>Commons Attribution License (CC BY)</u> . The use, distribution and reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

This Provisional PDF corresponds to the article as it appeared upon acceptance, after rigorous peer-review. Fully formatted PDF and full text (HTML) versions will be made available soon.

Application of genomics-assisted breeding for generation of climate resilient crops: Progress and prospects

Chittaranjan Kole^{1*}, Mehanathan Muthamilarasan², Robert Henry³, David Edwards⁴, Rishu Sharma⁵, Michael Abberton⁶, Jacqueline Batley⁷, Alison Bentley⁸, Michael Blakeney⁹, John Bryant¹⁰, Hongwei Cai¹¹, Mehmet Cakir¹², Leland J. Cseke¹³, James Cockram¹⁴, Antonio de Costa Oliveira¹⁵, Ciro De Pace¹⁶, Hannes Dempewolf¹⁷, Shelby Ellison¹⁸, Paul Gepts¹⁹, Andy Greenland²⁰, Anthony Hall²¹, Kiyosumi Hori²², Glenn T. Howe²³, Stephen Hughes²⁴, Mike W Humphreys²⁵, Massimo Iorizzo²⁶, Abdelbagi M. Ismail²⁷, Athole Marshall²⁸, Sean Mayes²⁹, Henry T. Nguyen³⁰, Francis C. Ogbonnaya³¹, Rodomiro Ortiz³², Andrew H. Paterson³², Phillip W. Simon³⁴, Joe Tohme³⁵, Roberto Tuberosa³⁶, Babu Valliyodan³⁷, Rajeev Varshney³⁸, Stan D. Wullschleger³⁹, Masahiro Yano⁴⁰ and Manoj Prasad⁴¹*

¹*Chittaranjan Kole, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur 741252, Dist. Nadia, West Bengal, India; <u>ckole2012@gmail.com</u>

²Mehanathan Muthamilarasan, National Institute of Plant Genome Research, Aruna Asaf Ali Marg, JNU Campus, New Delhi 110067, India; <u>muthamilarasan@nipgr.ac.in</u>

³Robert Henry, Queensland Alliance for Agriculture and Food Innovation, John Hay Building, Queensland Biosciences Precinct [#80], University of Queensland, St Lucia, QLD 4072, Australia; robert.henry@uq.edu.au

⁴Dave Edwards, School of Agriculture and Food Sciences, University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia; <u>dave.edwards@uq.edu.au</u>

⁵Rishu Sharma, Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, Mohanpur 741252, Dist. Nadia, West Bengal, India; <u>trishu.sharma90@gmail.com</u>

⁶Michael Abberton, Genetic Resources Centre, International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan, Nigeria; <u>M.Abberton@cgiar.org</u>

⁷Jacqueline Batley, Centre for Integrated Legume Research, University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD 4072, Australia; J.Batley@uq.edu.au

⁸Alison Bentley, The John Bingham Laboratory, NIAB, Huntingdon Road, Cambridge CB3 0LE, United Kingdom; <u>alison.bentley@niab.com</u>

⁹Michael Blakeney, Centre for Commercial Law Studies, Roy Goode House, 13-14 Charterhouse Square, London EC1M 6AX, UK; <u>m.blakeney@qmul.ac.uk</u>

¹⁰John Bryant, CLES, Hatherly Laboratories, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4PS, UK; J.A.Bryant@exeter.ac.uk

¹¹Hongwei Cai, Forage Crop Research Institute, Japan Grassland Agriculture and Forage Seed Association, Nasushiobara, Japan; <u>hcai@jfsass.or.jp</u>, and Department of Plant Genetics and Breeding, College of Agronomy and Biotechnology, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China; <u>caihw@cau.edu.cn</u> ¹²Mehmet Cakir, School of Biological Sciences and Biotechnology, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Murdoch University, 90 South St., Murdoch, WA 6150, Australia; <u>M.Cakir@murdoch.edu.au</u>

¹³Leland J. Cseke, The University of Alabama in Huntsville, Department of Biological Sciences, Huntsville, AL, USA; <u>csekel@uah.edu</u>

¹⁴James Cockram, The John Bingham Laboratory, NIAB, Huntingdon Road, Cambridge CB3 0LE, United Kingdom; James.cockram@niab.com

¹⁵Antonio Costa de Oliveira, Plant Genomics Center, PO Box 354, FAEM, UFPEL, Brazil; <u>acostol@terra.com.br</u>

¹⁶Ciro De Pace, Department of Agriculture, Forests, Nature and Energy (DAFNE), University of Tuscia, Viterbo, Italy; <u>depace@unitus.it</u>

¹⁷Hannes Dempewolf, Global Crop Diversity Trust, C/O FAO, Viale delle Terme di Caracalla, 00153 Rome, Italy; <u>hannes.dempewolf@croptrust.org</u>

¹⁸Shelby Ellison, Department of Horticulture, University of Wisconsin, 1575 Linden Drive, Madison, WI 53706, USA; <u>slrepinski@wisc.edu</u>

¹⁹Paul Gepts, University of California, Department of Plant Sciences, Section of Crop and Ecosystem Sciences, 1 Shields Avenue, Davis, CA, USA; <u>plgepts@ucdavis.edu</u>

²⁰Andy Greenland, The John Bingham Laboratory, NIAB, Huntingdon Road, Cambridge CB3 0LE, UK; <u>Andy.greenland@niab.com</u>

²¹Anthony Hall, 2922 Lindsay Lane, Quincy, CA 95971-9602, USA; anthony.hall@ucr.edu

²²Kiyosumi Hori, National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences, 2-1-2 Kannondai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8602, Japan; <u>horikiyo@affrc.go.jp</u>

²³Glenn Thomas Howe, Oregon State University, Department of Forest Ecosystems and Society, Corvallis, OR, USA; <u>glenn.howe@oregonstate.edu</u>

²⁴Stephen Hughes, University of Exeter, C/O Byrne House, St Germans Road, Exeter, EX4 4PJ, UK; <u>S.G.Hughes@exeter.ac.uk</u>

²⁵Mike W. Humphreys, Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences (IBERS), Aberystwyth University, Gogerddan, Aberystwyth, Ceredigion, Wales, UK, SY23 3EE; <u>mkh@aber.ac.uk</u>

²⁶Massimo Iorizzo, Department of Horticulture, University of Wisconsin, 1575 Linden Drive, Madison, WI 53706, USA; <u>iorizzo@wisc.edu</u>

²⁷Abdelbagi M. Ismail, International Rice Research Institute, Manila, Philippines; a.ismail@irri.org

²⁸Athole Marshall, Institute of Biological, Environmental and Rural Sciences (IBERS), Aberystwyth University, Reception, Penglais, Aberystwyth, Ceredigion, UK, SY23 3FL; <u>thm@aber.ac.uk</u>

²⁹Sean Mayes, Crops for the Future, Jalan Broga, 43500 Semenyih, Malaysia; <u>Sean.Mayes@nottingham.ac.uk</u>

³⁰Henry T. Nguyen, National Center for Soybean Biotechnology and Division of Plant Science, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, USA; <u>nguyenhenry@missouri.edu</u>

³¹Francis C. Ogbonnaya, Grains Research and Development Corporation (GRDC), Barton ACT 2600 Australia, PO Box 5367, Kingston, ACT, 2604 Australia; <u>Francis.Ogbonnaya@grdc.com.au</u>

³²Rodomiro Ortiz, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, SLU, Department of Plant Breeding, Sundvagen 14 Box 101, SE 23053, Sweden; <u>rodomiro.ortiz@slu.se</u>

³³Andrew H. Paterson, Plant Genome Mapping Laboratory, University of Georgia, Athens, GA, USA; <u>paterson@plantbio.uga.edu</u>

³⁴Philipp W. Simon, USDA-ARS, Department of Horticulture, University of Wisconsin, 1575 Linden Drive, Madison, WI 53706, USA; <u>Philipp.Simon@ars.usda.gov</u>

³⁵Joe Tohme, Agrobiodiversity and Biotechnology Project, Centro International de Agricultura Tropical, CIAT, AA 6713, Cali, Columbia; <u>J.Tohme@cgiar.org</u>

³⁶Roberto Tuberosa, Department of Agroenvironmental Sciences and Technology Via Fanin, 44 40127-Bologna, Italy; <u>roberto.tuberosa@unibo.it</u>

³⁷Babu Valliyodan, National Center for Soybean Biotechnology and Division of Plant Science, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, USA; <u>valliyodanb@missouri.edu</u>

³⁸ Rajeev K. Varshney, Bldg # 300, Center of Excellence in Genomics (CEG), International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru 502 324, Greater Hyderabad, India; <u>r.k.varshney@cgiar.org</u>

³⁹Stan D. Wullschleger, Environmental Sciences Division, Climate Change Science Institute (CCSI), Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Building 2040, Room E212, MS 6301, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6301, USA; <u>wullschlegsd@ornl.gov</u>

⁴⁰Masahiro Yano, National Agriculture and Food Research Organization (NARO), Institute of Crop Science, Tsukuba, Japan; <u>myano@affrc.go.jp</u>

⁴¹Manoj Prasad, National Institute of Plant Genome Research, Aruna Asaf Ali Marg, JNU Campus, New Delhi 110067, India; <u>manoj_prasad@nipgr.ac.in</u>

*Correspondence

Prof. Chittaranjan Kole Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya Mohanpur 741252 Dist. Nadia, West Bengal, India ckole2012@gmail.com

Dr. Manoj Prasad National Institute of Plant Genome Research Aruna Asaf Ali Marg, New Delhi 110067, India manoj prasad@nipgr.ac.in

Abstract

1

2 Climate change affects agricultural productivity worldwide. Increased prices of food 3 commodities are the initial indication of drastic edible yield loss, which is expected to surge further due to global warming. This situation has compelled plant scientists to develop climate 4 5 change-resilient crops, which can withstand broad-spectrum stresses such as drought, heat, cold, 6 salinity, flood and submergence, and pests along with increased productivity. Genomics appears 7 to be a promising tool for deciphering the stress responsiveness of crop species with adaptation 8 traits or in wild relatives towards identifying underlying genes, alleles or quantitative trait loci. 9 Molecular breeding approaches have been proven helpful in enhancing the stress adaptation of 10 crop plants, and recent advancement in next-generation sequencing along with high-throughput sequencing and phenotyping platforms have transformed molecular breeding to genomics-11 12 assisted breeding (GAB). In view of this, the present review elaborates the progress and 13 prospects of GAB in improving climate change resilience in crop plants towards circumventing 14 global food insecurity.

15

16 Keywords: Climate change, crop improvement, stress tolerance, breeding, genomics

17

18 Introduction

19

20 Three major events in agricultural history, namely domestication, displacement of native crops 21 by major crops along with genetically limited introductions of non-native species, and 22 intensification of agricultural production through the Green Revolution have contributed 23 significantly towards reduced genetic and trait diversity within major crop species. Despite this 24 decrease in crop diversity, global production of the major staple crops was increased in the last century (Fischer et al., 2009). This increase in productivity has largely been driven by 25 conventional plant breeding coupled to intensification and simplification of production systems. 26 27 This includes selection for edible yield and adaptation, and against yield reducing factors such as 28 susceptibility to pathogens as well as pests, and optimization of crop husbandry practices 29 (through high inputs such as the use of fertilizers, herbicides, pesticides, and mechanization) to 30 minimize the impact of environmental flux. However, selection under such 'ideal', high-input 31 environments has led to the loss of certain genes which are responsible for efficiency or adaptation to stress(es) (Brown, 2003). This situation presents three potential challenges: (i) to 32 33 modify the selection criteria to focus on efficiency or adaptation to stress(es) rather than total 34 edible yield, (ii) to ensure the presence and efficiency of stress-tolerance genes and its exploitability in elite material and wider breeding germplasm, and (iii) to expand the use of 35 minor crops, which may possess better nutrition quality, environmental sustainability or 36 37 resilience and require lower inputs than major crops.

38

At present, global agriculture is facing a serious threat of climate change resulting in reduced productivity. Increasing food prices and greater global food insecurity are the outcomes of decreased productivity (FAO 2014) and this scenario, if persists, would lead to further increase in food prices in developed countries, and social unrest and famine in these regions. Climate change will affect food supply unless actions are taken to increase the resilience of crops as projections have shown a drastic decrease in the production of major cereals by 2020, including 9% for maize, 11% for rice and 14% for wheat (Hisas, 2011). Global warming, changes of

4

rainfall pattern and other extreme weather events may mostly contribute to this disaster, and thechanging pattern of climate would result in increased attack of pathogens and pests. Moreover,

the elevated CO_2 levels will reduce the nutritional quality of many crops, while some crops may

become toxic due to changes in the chemical composition of their tissues (Dwivedi et al., 2013).

50

51 Therefore, increasing the resilience of crops to climate change is the prime need to ensure food 52 and nutritional security, which could be achieved through genetic engineering-based approaches 53 or molecular breeding strategies. Genetic engineering allows direct transfer of beneficial gene(s) or manipulation of existing gene(s) in the crop of interest for generating expected phenotype(s). 54 55 whereas breeding approaches involve the improvement of germplasm through introduction of novel alleles into target crops by breeding. Since genetic modification remains controversial in a 56 57 number of countries though it serves as an invaluable tool in tailoring modifications to produce 58 alleles and phenotypes beyond the range available through exploitation of existing genetic 59 variation, molecular breeding could offer an easy-to-accept approach for crop improvement.

60

61 **Potential of genomics-assisted breeding in producing climate resilient crops**

62

63 Genomics offers tools to address the challenge of increasing food yield, quality and stability of production through advanced breeding techniques. Applications of DNA markers to facilitate 64 marker-aided-selection (MAS) for crop improvement have been proved successful in 65 crossbreeding. Advances in plant genomics provide further means to improve the understandings 66 on crop diversity at species and gene levels, and offer DNA markers to accelerate the pace of 67 genetic improvement (Muthamilarasan et al., 2013). A genomics-led breeding strategy for new 68 cultivars commences by defining the stress(es) that will likely affect crop production and 69 70 productivity under a certain climate change scenario. Data from multi-environment testing provide an opportunity for modeling "stress-impacts" on crops and target populations of 71 environments. Plant breeders and genebank curators will search for morphological and 72 physiological traits in available germplasm that could enhance crop adaptation under such 73 74 climate variability. In this regard, crop physiology may help define the ideotypes to be pursued 75 for enhancing such adaptation. Moreover, the use of geographic information systems and 76 passport data can allow identification of accessions for stress-prone environments, whereas the available characterization, including DNA fingerprinting, and evaluation data as well as mapping 77 of desired genes or quantitative trait loci (QTL) will assist in selecting promising accessions for 78 79 further screening against specific stress(es). Similarly, precise phenotypic assessments and 80 appropriate biometric analysis will assist in identifying unique responses of a set of genotypes in a given phenological stage influenced by variation of weather patterns. This information will be 81 further used in genomics-aided breeding approaches such as genome-wide selection of promising 82 83 germplasm for further use in crop breeding aiming at both population improvement and cultivar 84 releases.

85

Genetic mapping and QTL analysis and association genetics (AG) have accelerated the dissection of genetic control of agricultural traits, potentially allowing MAS, QTL and AG studies or direct calculation and genomic selection (GS) of high value genotypes to be made in the context of breeding programs. Until recently, AG and GS were hampered by the need for

90 very high marker density coverage of the genome. Advancement of next-generation sequencing

91 (NGS) methods has facilitated the development of large-scale, genome-wide, high-throughput

markers such as single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP), insertion-deletions (InDels), etc. even 92 93 in relatively research-neglected crop species. Discovery of novel genes/alleles for any given trait 94 could be then performed through genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) approaches. Similarly, 95 genome-wide association study (GWAS) identifies the genomic regions governing traits of interest by performing statistical associations between DNA polymorphisms and trait variations 96 97 in diverse collection of germplasms that are genotyped and phenotyped for traits of interest. NGS 98 coupled with GWAS increases the mapping resolution for precise location of genes/alleles/QTL 99 (Ma et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2013; Varshney et al., 2014).

100

101 In course of evolution, nature has evolved new genes, and shuffled and selected these genes in a wide range of environments to produce the diversity evidenced in wild species. In contrast, the 102 103 selection and domestication of crops by humans is relatively recent, having occurred over the last 104 10,000 years. During the domestication and breeding process, there has been a significant 105 reduction of genetic diversity in major crops, alongside a selection for yield under highly managed agricultural environments. Currently, breeders are shuffling the combinations of 106 107 relatively few alleles to produce enhanced combinations that provide increased yield and other attractive agronomic characteristics. In many large genome crop species, even this reshuffling 108 process is limited by restricted recombination patterns within the species, leading to the 109 110 consistent inheritance of blocks of genes, raising issues of linkage drag and fixed linkage blocks, which may not contain the best possible combination of alleles. Breaking down these linkage 111 constraints will allow breeders to access novel combinations from within their current elite 112 113 parents. The need to evaluate the genetics of the processes that allow genes to be recombined 114 between parental genotypes in crops is a critical requisite. Genomics possesses the potential to increase the diversity of alleles available to breeders through mining of allied gene pools and 115 genomes of crop wild relatives (CWRs). Genomics tools also enable rapid identification and 116 selection of novel beneficial genes and their controlled incorporation into novel germplasm. In 117 the next-generation genomics era, this technology will be used to safeguard the future through 118 improved food security. Taken together, application of genomics for crop germplasm 119 120 enhancement thus offers the greatest potential to increase food production in the coming decades. With continued rapid advances in genome technologies, the application of genomics to identify 121 and transfer valuable agronomic genes from allied genepools and crop relatives to elite crops will 122 123 increase in pace and assist in meeting the challenge of global food production.

124

125 Genomics of climate resilience in major crops

126

The following section summarizes the state of knowledge of the genetic blueprints of many 127 leading crops, together with information about breeding needs and priorities related to climate 128 129 resilience. Genomic tools and resources are widely available and being employed in most of these plants and will soon be ubiquitous, aiding 'MAS' strategies that can be successful even 130 based only on phenotypic information. Knowledge of gene functions is less consistent, 131 leveraging to varying degrees of the accumulated information from botanical models. However, 132 even in model crops, the exact functions of most genes remain unknown, and exploring the 133 variations conferred during angiosperm diversification represents an opportunity to identify a 134 135 host of solutions to agricultural challenges.

136

137 Cereals

138

139 Cereals are a staple to billions and their production is increasingly threatened by the recent 140 changes in weather patterns due to global warming, particularly in less-developed countries 141 where the consequences of changing climate have devastating socio-economic impact. Reaching a level of cereal production sufficient to sustain an adequate level of global food security will 142 require the effective integration of crossbreeding with 'omics' approaches that allow dissecting 143 144 and more effectively manipulating the genetic make-up of adaptation to abiotic stresses 145 (Langridge et al., 2011). In the past decade, genomics-based approaches have been extensively deployed to dissect the genetic make-up of abiotic stress adaptation and given the quantitative 146 nature of abiotic stress tolerance, QTL have been the main target of research to identify the 147 genetic loci regulating the adaptive response of cereal crops to unfavorable environmental 148 149 conditions. This includes drought-adaptive traits (Serraj et al., 2009; Tuberosa 2012), root 150 architecture (Wasson et al., 2012; Uga et al., 2013; Lynch et al., 2014), accumulation of water-151 soluble carbohydrates and their partitioning to storage organs (Landi et al., 2005; Salem et al., 2007; Snape et al., 2007; Rebetzke et al., 2008), abscisic acid concentration (Rebetzke et al., 152 153 2008; Rehman et al., 2011), stay-green (Yang et al., 2007; Borrell et al., 2014), canopy temperature (Lopes et al., 2014), and carbon isotope discrimination (Δ^{13} C) (Pinto et al., 2014). 154

155

156 Global warming is intimately associated with an increase in temperature that accelerates leaf senescence, disrupts starch accumulation and curtails yield, particularly when combined with 157 drought. In wheat, a major QTL located on chromosome 4A explained 27% and 17% of 158 159 phenotypic variance for reduction in yield under drought and heat stress, respectively (Pinto et 160 al., 2014). The same study also identified common QTL for drought and heat stress adaptation on chromosomes 1B, 2B, 3B, 4B, and 7A. Yield QTL were shown to be associated with 161 162 components of other traits, supporting the prospects for dissecting crop performance under abiotic stress conditions into physiological and genetic components in order to facilitate a 163 strategic approach to breeding (Reynolds et al., 2008). Additional QTL with concurrent effects 164 under both heat and drought conditions have been described by Wang et al. (2012). 165

166

In rice, the result of a study based on 227 intensively managed irrigated farms forecast a net negative impact on yield from the warming expected in the coming decades, and clearly show that diurnal temperature variation must be considered when investigating the impact of climate change (Welch et al., 2010). Higher temperatures are speculated to reduce rice grain yields through two main pathways: (i) high maximum temperatures that in combination with high humidity cause spikelet sterility, and (ii) increased nighttime temperatures, which may reduce assimilate accumulation (Wassmann et al., 2009).

174

175 Flooding is one of the abiotic stresses, whose frequency and intensity is increasing due to global warming and changes in rainfall patterns. Therefore, it is important to produce cereal crops with 176 177 the ability to withstand the anoxic conditions associated with waterlogging and/or extended submergence. Among cereals, rice is more prone to submergence stress, which periodically 178 179 affects approximately 15 million hectares of rain-fed lowland areas in Asia to cause annual losses in excess of US \$1 billion (Mackill et al. 2012). In rice, the Sub1 QTL accounts for a 180 181 major portion of variability for survival under prolonged submergence. Positional cloning of Sub1 has revealed a cluster of three putative ethylene response factors (ERFs), namely Sub1A, 182 Sub1B, and Sub1C. Further work unequivocally assigned the functional polymorphism to Sub1A 183

184 (Xu et al., 2006). Following the identification of Sub1A QTL, marker-aided backcrossing 185 (MABC) was used to efficiently convert submergence-susceptible rice cultivars into tolerant cultivars in only three backcross generations. Accordingly, DNA markers were developed for 186 187 introgressing Sub1 into six popular cultivars to meet the needs of farmers in flood-prone regions (Bailey-Serres et al., 2010). This clearly demonstrate the effectiveness of MAS for introgressing 188 189 agronomically beneficial QTL alleles into elite material. The success of this work is largely due 190 to the major effect of Sub1 QTL and the stability of its effect in different genetic backgrounds 191 under submergence conditions. In maize, Mano et al., (2005a) identified QTL for adventitious 192 root formation at the soil surface, one of the most important adaptations to soil waterlogging, 193 which can severely impair root growth at an early stage, thus reducing the capacity of the plant to 194 extract soil moisture at a later stage when water shortage is more likely to occur. Several QTL 195 for adventitious root formation have been mapped, and a major QTL was mapped on 196 chromosome 8 (Mano et al., 2005b).

197

198 Salinity is also an impact of global climate change, which affects over 20% of the world's 199 agricultural soils and thereby affecting cultivation. In durum wheat (genome AABB), two major QTL have been shown to control Na⁺ accumulation in shoot via Na⁺ exclusion (James et al., 200 2006). Both exclusion genes represent introgressions from an accession of Triticum monococcum 201 (genome AA). Remarkably, under standard conditions, durum wheat containing the salinity 202 tolerant allele at *TmHKT1;5-A*, which is one of the two salt-tolerance loci showed the phenotype 203 similar to durum wheat that lacked the beneficial allele at this locus. But under saline conditions, 204 205 it outperformed its durum wheat parent, with increased yields of up to 25% (Munns et al., 2012). In barley, evaluation of a mapping population derived from a cross between a wild barley 206 (Hordeum vulgare ssp. spontaneum) accession and cultivated barley (H. vulgare) allowed the 207 208 identification of a major QTL capable of limiting Na⁺ accumulation in the shoots under saline conditions (Shavrukov et al., 2010). In rice, several QTL for salinity tolerance have been 209 identified (Wang et al., 2012) indicating that pyramiding by marker-assisted selection (MAS) of 210 OTL can be applied to enhance salt tolerance of rice. 211

212

213 Oilseeds and pulses

214

215 Oilseeds and pulses are major food crops, known for their unique protein and oil rich characteristics. Major biotic and abiotic stresses are the most serious production constraint for 216 global oilseed and pulse production, and are predicted to worsen with anticipated climate change. 217 218 Among the oilseeds, soybean has the highest protein content (40%) and the second highest oil content (20%). In spite of this importance, efforts are yet to be invested towards improving stress 219 tolerance and other traits in soybean. Phaseolus beans are an essential part of the human diet and 220 221 are a source of proteins, vitamins, and minerals (Gepts et al., 2008). Of the five domesticated Phaseolus species, common bean (P. vulgaris L.) is the economically important bean. Genetic 222 223 studies and cultivar breeding in P. vulgaris have shown that heat and drought tolerance are under complex genetic control, although a single instance of a major gene has also been observed 224 (Schneider et al., 1997; Asfaw et al., 2012). Selection of lines with improved drought adaptation 225 has also been successful (Singh, 2007; Beebe et al., 2008; Urrea et al., 2009). Development of 226 227 MAS methodology for drought adaptation has been initiated (Schneider et al., 1997; Asfaw et al., 228 2012) with the assistance of genomic resources developed through whole-genome sequencing of Andean (accession: G19833) (Schmutz et al., 2014) and Mesoamerican (accession: BAT93, 229

OAC Rex) bean genomes, and a bean breeder's genome toolbox and database
 (http://phaseolusgenes.bioinformatics.ucdavis.edu/).

232

233 In case of chickpea and pigeonpea, several abiotic and biotic stresses pose a threat to high and 234 stable grain yields. To overcome these production constraints and meet the growing demand for 235 these crops, efforts at national and international levels have led to the development of large-scale 236 genetic and genomic resources (Varshney et al., 2013a). These resources have been used to 237 understand the existing genetic diversity and exploit it in breeding programs. In chickpea, several 238 intra- and inter-specific genetic maps have been developed (Gaur et al., 2011; Gujaria et al., 239 2011; Thudi et al., 2011; Hiremath et al., 2012) and genomic regions responsible for different 240 biotic stresses (Anbessa et al., 2009; Kottapalli et al., 2009; Anuradha et al., 2011), abiotic stress (Rehman et al., 2011; Vadez et al., 2012) and agronomic traits (Cobos et al., 2009; Rehman et 241 242 al., 2011; Bajaj et al., 2014, 2015; Kujur et al., 2015a, 2015b; Das et al., 2015) have been 243 reported. In pigeonpea, more than 3000 SSR markers (Saxena et al., 2010; Bohra et al., 2011; Dutta et al., 2011), ESTs (Raju et al., 2010), 454/FLX transcript reads (Dubey et al., 2011; Dutta 244 245 et al., 2011), transcriptome assemblies (Dubey et al., 2011; Kudapa et al., 2012) and SNPs (Saxena, 2008) have been developed for their use in genomics-assisted breeding for crop 246 247 improvement.

249 The draft genome sequence of both Kabuli (http://www.icrisat.org/gtbt/ICGGC/GenomeSequencing.htm) and Desi (http://www.nipgr.res.in/CGWR/home.php) 250 251 chickpeas have recently been published (Varshney et al., 2013b; Jain et al., 2013). Similarly, 252 International Initiative on Pigeonpea Genomics (IIPG. http://www.icrisat.org/gt-253 bt/iipg/Home.html) released the draft genome of pigeonpea (Varshney et al., 2012). These 254 sequence data of chickpea and pigeonpea will assist in enhancing their crop productivity and lead to conserving food security in arid and semi-arid environments. Further, attempts have been 255 made towards improvement of oilseed crops such as peanut (or groundnut) using genomics-256 assisted breeding. Large-scale genomic resources were developed during recent years to facilitate 257 258 molecular breeding in peanut and QTL have been identified for stress adaptation related traits 259 (Varshney et al., 2009; Gautami et al., 2012), rust and late leaf spot resistance (Khedikar et al., 2010; Sujay et al., 2012), and oil quality (Sarvamangala et al., 2011). 260

261

248

262 Millets

263

Millets are small-grained graminaceous crops, well known for their water-use efficiency, 264 excellent nutrient content, adaptation to a range of ecological conditions and ability to flourish in 265 nutrient-poor soils. Foxtail millet, proso millet, pearl millet, barnyard millet, finger millet and 266 kodo millet are few notable millet crops cultivated worldwide and of these, foxtail millet is 267 considered as a C₄ crop model for studying the biology of other millets and biofuel grasses (Lata 268 et al., 2013; Muthamilarasan and Prasad, 2015). Therefore, the Beijing Genomics Institute, China 269 and the US Department of Energy - Joint Genome Institute have sequenced the foxtail millet 270 genome (Zhang et al., 2012; Bennetzen et al., 2012). As foxtail millet serves as a rich source of 271 genes, alleles, or QTL for genetic improvement of major cereals and bioenergy grasses, large-272 273 scale genomic resources were developed including simple sequence repeats (SSRs) (Pandey et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014), intron length polymorphisms (Muthamilarasan et al., 2014), eSSRs 274 (Kumari et al., 2013), miRNA-based markers (Yadav et al., 2014) and transposable-elements 275

276 based markers (Yadav et al., 2015). Moreover, open access online databases such as foxtail 277 millet marker database (FmMDb) (Suresh et al., 2014), foxtail millet miRNA database (FmMiRNADb) (Khan et al., 2014) and foxtail millet transposable elements-based marker 278 279 database (FmTEMDb) (Yadav et al., 2015) have been constructed. In addition to development of these markers, their utility in population genetics, association mapping, comparative genomics 280 and genomics-assisted breeding have also been demonstrated (Muthamilarasan and Prasad, 281 282 2015). An allele-specific marker developed from an SNP in SiDREB2 gene linked to drought 283 tolerance in foxtail millet (Lata et al., 2011) is being used in allele mining and MAS for drought 284 tolerance (Lata and Prasad, 2012; Lata and Prasad, 2013).

285

In pearl millet, three major QTL for grain yield with low QTL × environment interactions were 286 identified across a range of post-flowering moisture environments (Bidinger et al., 2007). One of 287 288 these major QTL accounted for up to 32% of the phenotypic variance of grain yield under 289 drought. The effects of this QTL were validated in two independent MABC programs in which 30% improvement in general combining ability for grain yield expected from this QTL under 290 291 terminal drought stress was recovered in introgression lines, based on the information provided by the markers flanking the QTL (Yadav et al., 2011). Compared to other crops, research on 292 millets is at initial stage. Being predominantly climate resilient crops, millets could serve as 293 294 valuable source of novel genes, alleles and QTL for stress tolerance, which needs to be identified and characterized. The close phylogenetic relationships between millets and other cereals could 295 enable the introgression of novel alleles, genes or QTL identified in millets for better agronomic 296 297 traits into other cereals towards ensuring food security under changing climate.

299 Forest and fruit tree crops

300

298

301 Clones of trees, namely populus, pinus, abies, and eucalyptus are used in afforestation, as they are dedicated to the production of wood and other wood-derived products. Therefore, it is 302 imperative to develop climate-change resilient clones or populations of these forest trees. Several 303 304 procedures have been developed for high-throughput DNA genotyping and genome-wide marker identification in forest trees. The genome complexity reduction DArT (Alves-Freitas et al., 2011) 305 and whole-exome capture using in-solution target enrichment (Neves et al., 2011) have been 306 tested successfully for genome-wide marker identification needed for GS in Pinus taeda. 307 Considering the importance of genome sequence for development of genetic markers, Conifer 308 309 Genome Project (http://www.pinegenome.org/cgp/) has been launched with an aim of promoting 310 advance genome research in loblolly pine (P. taeda; 21.7 Gbp/1C; n = 12), white pines (Pinus subgenus strobus; 25.1 Gbp/1C; n = 12), as well as Sequoia sempervirens (31.4 Gbp/1C; n = 3x311 = 33) and Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*; 18.6 Gbp/1C; n = 13). An extensive genetic 312 resources and gene catalog was developed for P. taeda and Picea glauca (white spruce; 19.7 313 Gbp/1C; (http://www.pinegenome.org/cgp/). 314 n = 12) The GENOAK project (http://urgi.versailles.inra.fr/Projects/GenOak) aims to establish a high quality reference genome 315 sequence for pedunculate oak (*Quercus robur*; 905 Mbp/1C; n = 12). The *Eucalyptus grandis* 316 317 (640)Mbp/1C. п 11) genome has been deciphered = (http://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html#!info?alias=Org_Egrandis) and will benefit agro-318 319 foresters utilizing this fast-growing hardwood tree to support industries based on Eucalypt fibre and hardwood products, and the production of Eucalypt feedstock for cellulosic biofuels. 320

321 Importantly, this would assist in accelerating forest tree breeding for fast response to the need of

- 322 adapted populations facing environmental modifications induced by climate change.
- 323

324 Fruit trees are also as important as the pulse and cereal crops and climate-change resilient clones or populations of fruit tree crops are necessary to maintain the source of nutrients that help the 325 326 daily intake of healthy food ingredients. Genomics-based breeding approaches, along with 327 bioinformatics capability and other omics resources will be the essential components of perennial 328 fruit crop breeding and particularly, to adapt their cropping to combat or mitigate climate change effects. Genome sequencing and annotation projects include perennial fruit crops such as apple 329 (Velasco et al., 2010), banana (Velasco et al., 2007), cacao (D'Hont et al., 2012), grape (Argout 330 et al., 2011), peach (Ahmed et al., 1992) and sweet orange (Xu et al., 2013). The advances in 331 genome sequencing, along with high-resolution genetic mapping and precise phenotyping will 332 333 accelerate the discovery of functional alleles and allelic variations that are associated with traits 334 of interest for perennial fruit crop breeding. However, very less progress has been made in this aspect and particularly, enhancing climate resilience needs more attention. For achieving this, 335 336 genetics and genomics methodologies could provide the toolbox for identifying genomic regions associated with the desired phenotype, and assist the selection from the wild genetic resources of 337 the parental plants that will be intercrossed to provide the progenies for commencing breeding 338 procedures for recurrent selection. 339

340

341 Genomics-assisted breeding strategies for climate resilient traits

342

343 Genomics-based approaches and NGS have ushered in sequence-based breeding strategies that will expedite the dissection and cloning of the loci controlling abiotic stress tolerance, while 344 345 providing unparalleled opportunities to tap into wild relatives of crops, hence expanding the reservoir of genetic diversity available to breeders (Tuberosa et al., 2011; Edwards et al., 2013) 346 (Figure 1). In view of the complexity and low heritability of yield, particularly under drought and 347 other abiotic stresses, GS will provide the most powerful approach to raise the yield potential to 348 349 the levels required to meet the fast-increasing global demand in cereal grain. However, MAS will remain a valid option for major loci or QTL, while QTL cloning will become a more routine 350 activity facilitated through a more widespread utilization of high-throughput, accurate 351 phenotyping (Araus et al., 2014), sequencing (Imelfort et al., 2009; Edwards et al., 2012), and 352 identification of suitable candidate genes through 'omics' profiling (Gupta et al., 2013). Cloned 353 354 QTL will provide novel opportunities for genetic engineering for abiotic stress tolerance and for a more targeted search for novel alleles in wild germplasm (Salvi et al., 2007). Even with the 355 application of advanced genomics technologies, mitigating the negative effects of climate change 356 on crop productivity will remain a daunting undertaking. This requires a multidisciplinary and 357 integrated approach, which will eventually allow plant breeders to more effectively select crops 358 that are more resilient to climate change and ensure a sufficient level of food security for the 359 360 decades to come.

361

362 Flowering time and drought adaptation

363

Temperature influences crop development in concert with additional floral pathways such as day-length (photoperiod), which collectively control floral transition through interconnected genetic pathways. Global warming will result in increased ambient temperature with unchanged 367 photoperiods at given latitudes. Annual plants generally respond to increased temperatures with 368 accelerated growth and development, having shortened lifecycles, less opportunity for photosynthesis (Reynolds et al., 2010), a shorter reproductive phase and lower yield potential 369 370 (Ainsworth and Ort, 2010). There is also an increased risk of damage to reproductive tissue caused by the coincidence of high temperatures and sensitive developmental stages. Therefore, 371 372 detailed knowledge of the interplay between genetic control of flowering, allelic variants, 373 epistatic interactions and phenotypic variations in varied growth conditions is necessary in order 374 to identify breeding targets for climate change scenarios.

375

376 There are increasing number of germplasm resources including precise near isogenic lines (NILs) (Bentley et al., 2011; Bentley et al., 2013) as well as next-generation populations such as 377 multi-founder populations (e.g., multi-parent advanced generation intercross populations), which 378 379 have been developed in wheat (Mackay et al., 2014) and other crops to facilitate further research 380 and validation of climate-smart crops. New variation incorporated into elite backgrounds from landraces, ancestral or wild crop relatives (e.g., www.wheatisp.org) also offers potential for 381 382 discovery of functional variation for manipulating flowering time to suit future climate permutations. However, initial work should focus on understanding the effect of flowering time 383 on yield potential across environments and environmental stresses. Identifying the potential 384 utility of loci of minor effect and/or which affect various stages of reproductive development 385 could offer the ability to shorten or lengthen various phases of the flowering process, thereby 386 enabling fine-tuning of flowering to suit particular regional climatic conditions, and to adapt to 387 388 any changes in these conditions.

389

390 In case of drought tolerance, multi-disciplinary research is underway to improve plants' response to drought and water-use efficiency. With the advent of molecular breeding, QTL identification 391 and QTL use in breeding programs assist in developing new cultivars with improved drought 392 tolerance. In maize, extensive work has been carried out to investigate the role of root in 393 mitigating the negative effects of drought. OTL for root traits have been described in a number 394 395 of maize populations (Ruta et al., 2010; Tuberosa et al., 2011; Hund et al., 2011) in which some QTL showed a concurrent effect on grain yield performance under drought (Landi et al., 2005). 396 Recently, Syngenta and Pioneer-DuPont deployed proprietary genomics-assisted approaches to 397 select drought-tolerant maize hybrids (Agrisure ArtesianTM and AQUAmaxTM, respectively) 398 (Cooper et al., 2014). The superior performance of these maize hybrids in the severe drought that 399 plagued the US Corn Belt in summer 2012 underlines their validity under dry soil conditions 400 401 (Cooper et al., 2014). In wheat, yield QTL were shown to be associated with components of other traits, supporting the prospects for dissecting crop performance under abiotic stress 402 conditions into its physiological and genetic components in order to facilitate a strategic 403 404 approach to breeding (Reynolds et al., 2008). At least 15 different populations have been used to map drought adaptation in rice and four regions were identified as key for yield or yield 405 components under stress, and drought-tolerant component traits were identified across 406 populations with interval lengths of 35-64 cM (Kamoshita et al., 2008). The first region (on 407 chromosome 1) was associated with grain yield drought-resistance traits, plant type traits (Zhang 408 et al. 2001a), and QTL for cell-membrane stability (Tripathy et al., 2000) and osmotic 409 410 adjustment (Lilley et al., 1992), and root traits (Robin et al., 2003). Second genomic region on chromosome 4 was rich in root trait QTL (Zheng et al. 2000; Hemamalini et al. 2000; Zhang et 411 al. 2001b; Kamoshita et al. 2002; Nguyen et al. 2004; Boopathi et al. 2005) under well-watered 412

413 and drought conditions. The third region located on chromosome 8 contained QTL for plant 414 water status, grain yield, cell membrane stability, osmotic adjustment, rate of non-stomatal water loss and deep and thick root traits (Zheng et al. 2000; Hemamalini et al. 2000; Zhang et al. 415 416 2001b; Nguyen et al. 2004; Boopathi et al. 2005). The fourth important region for drought was located in chromosome 9, which was characterized by QTL for root traits, cell membrane 417 stability, plant water status, leaf rolling and leaf drying, biomass, number of grains per panicle, 418 419 relative spikelet fertility and delay in flowering time (Hemamalini et al. 2000; Tripathy et al. 420 2000; Zhang et al. 2001a; Kamoshita et al. 2002; Price et al. 2002a, 2002b; Robin et al. 2003; Courtois et al. 2003; Babu et al. 2003; Zheng et al. 2003; Lafitte et al. 2004; Lanceras et al. 2004; 421 422 Nguyen et al. 2004; Boopathi et al. 2005; Gomez et al. 2005; Jearakongman 2005; Li et al. 2005; Xu et al. 2005; Yue et al. 2006). Since these four regions are consistently reported to be 423 424 associated with drought response and stood above the average, these regions should be part of 425 marker assisted breeding program for drought tolerance in rice.

426

427 Cold and heat stress tolerance

428

429 Tolerance to freezing temperatures is the most important component for winter survival, but also of considerable importance is the capability to withstand combinations of stresses due to 430 desiccation, wind, ice-encasement, heaving, low light, snow cover, winter pathogens, and 431 fluctuating temperatures. Resistance to desiccation through the maintenance of cell membrane 432 integrity and retention of cellular water is essential, and it is unsurprising that the same genetic 433 response to the onset of freezing temperatures is often observed with drought or salinity stress 434 (Yue et al., 2006). Indeed, cold acclimation (CA) can frequently improve adaptation to a mild 435 drought stress and vice versa (Seki et al., 2002). Major genes or gene clusters involved in the 436 437 control of frost and drought adaptation are located on a region of the long arm of Triticeae group 5 chromosomes. Traits such as winter hardiness (Thomas et al., 1993), vernalization response 438 and frost tolerance (Hayes et al., 1993; Galiba et al., 1995), cold- and drought-induced ABA 439 production (Laurie et al., 1995), and osmotic stress-tolerance (Galiba et al., 1993), have all been 440 441 mapped to this region. Across the grasses and cereals, this chromosome region has been a major focus for genome research and for plant breeding. It may well be as consequence of climate 442 change from the perspective of future crop design that in many locations where winter 443 temperatures are on the increase and favoring continued plant growth, and where this is 444 accompanied by a decrease in winter rainfall, that unseasonal winter droughts will ensue, which 445 446 will require a new breeding strategy for common stress tolerance to both stress factors.

447

448 The C-repeat binding factor (CBF) genes are key regulators of the expression of COR (cold regulated genes), which are conserved among diverse plant lineages such as eudicots and 449 monocots. The CBF transcription factors recognize the cis-acting CRT/DRE (C-450 repeat/dehydration responsive element) element in the regulatory regions of COR genes 451 (Stockinger et al., 1997). Twenty CBF genes have been identified in barley (H. vulgare), of 452 which 11 are found in two tight tandem clusters on the long arm of chromosome 5H in the same 453 region as the Fr-H2 frost tolerance locus (Skinner et al., 2006; Francia et al., 2007). An 454 orthologous genomic region in T. monococcum contains similar CBF gene clusters located at the 455 Fr-A^m2 frost tolerance QTL (Miller et al., 2006; Vaguifalvi et al., 2003). Studies of the 456 organization of CBF cluster in barley and wheat have shown that the number of CBF genes at 457 *Fr-H2/Fr-A1* locus may vary among cultivars with winter forms having a higher copy number of 458

459 some CBFs (Francia et al., 2007; Knox et al., 2010). The co-segregation of CBF gene clusters with barley Fr-H2 and wheat $Fr-A^m2$ frost tolerance loci, their role in cold acclimation 460 (Stockinger et al., 1997), and the association of transcript levels of CBF genes with frost 461 462 tolerance loci (Vagujfalvi et al., 2003) make them obvious candidates for one of the two major frost tolerance QTL on Triticeae group 5 chromosomes. The locations of two frost 463 464 tolerance/winter survival QTL on the chromosome 5F of forage grass Festuca pratensis 465 correspond most likely to the Fr-Al and Fr-A2 loci on wheat homoeologous group 5A 466 chromosomes. One of these QTL (QFt5F-2/QWs5F-1) has FpCBF6 as a candidate gene shown to be rapidly up-regulated during CA (Alm et al., 2011). 467

468

Conversely, many crops are currently grown in places, where high temperature prevails and field 469 studies have indicated that increase in temperature reduces grain yield of cereals and legumes by 470 471 4 to 14% per 1°C increase (Quarrie et al., 1997). Current projections indicate that both day and 472 night temperatures are likely to increase during this century (Hall et al., 2000) and ideally, heatresistant cultivars should not only have higher grain yields in hot environments but also similar 473 474 grain yields as current cultivars in cool atmosphere. Public plant breeding programs have developed heat-resistant cultivars of cowpea, common bean, tomato and Pima cotton that are 475 more productive in hot environments than standard cultivars. Commercial plant breeding 476 companies rarely divulge their methods, but from the available heat-resistant commercial 477 cultivars, it is clear that they have had some success in breeding for heat tolerance during 478 479 reproductive development in tomato and upland cotton. In the past, very few public or commercial plant-breeding programs gave any emphasis to breeding heat-resistant cultivars. For 480 crops that are sensitive to high temperatures during reproductive development the way forward is 481 to give great emphasis to breeding and finding DNA markers for heat adaptation during 482 483 flowering.

484

485 **Submergence and salinity tolerance**

486

487 Waterlogging is a major problem for cereal production worldwide, as in sodic environments, soils are affected by seepage from irrigation canals, and excess wetting due to rainfall or floods, 488 489 especially if it rains after irrigation. Genetic diversity in waterlogging tolerance was reported in various crops, including wheat, barley, maize and oats (Kerr, 1986), and diverse mechanisms 490 have been associated with tolerance. They are associated with phenology and morphology, 491 492 nutrition balances, metabolism, including anaerobic catabolism and anoxia tolerance, and post-493 anoxia damage and recovery (Setter et al., 2003). Tolerance of flooding during germination and early seedling growth is essential for direct seeding of rice, both in rainfed and irrigated areas, 494 where even waterlogging is sufficient to cause considerable reduction in crop stand because of 495 496 their high sensitivity to hypoxia at this stage (Setter et al., 2003). Substantial genetic variation was recently observed in the ability to germinate and establish in flooded soil. Tolerant 497 genotypes are capable of catabolizing starch reserves in seeds germinating under hypoxia into 498 499 simple sugars, and use them as substrates to generate energy via anaerobic pathways for the growing embryos (Miro et al., 2013; Septiningsih et al., 2013). Several QTL originating from a 500 few rice landraces were identified, two of them with large effects; on chromosome 9 (qAG-9-2) 501 502 (Setter et al., 2003) and chromosome 7 (qAG-7-1) (Septiningsih et al., 2013). These QTL are being targeted for cloning and for use through MAB, which could eventually result high yielding 503 504 rice cultivars for deep-water areas. Recently, tolerant rice varieties carrying SUB1 locus became

505 available. SUB1 is a major QTL on chromosome 9 that has been cloned and the gene responsible 506 for tolerance identified as SUB1A-1. This gene encodes an ERF that suppresses ethylene-507 mediated responses under submergence, and subsequently limits excessive elongation and halts 508 chlorophyll degradation. Both processes are essential to prevent carbohydrate starvation of the submerged plants. These varieties can survive 4 to 18 days of complete submergence, with yield 509 benefits of 1 to over 3.5 t ha⁻¹ (depending on flood duration and floodwater condition), compared 510 to current farmers' varieties, and without any undesirable effects on the features of the original 511 512 varieties (Singh et al., 2009; Bailey-Serres et al., 2010; Ismail et al., 2013; Mackill et al., 2012). 513 Additional genes are being targeted for submergence tolerance, and once identified they could be 514 combined with SUB1 for higher tolerance during germination and stagnant flooding. Further, the progress made in rice could potentially be exploited to improve flood tolerance of other crop 515 species and provide more resilient varieties for current and future flood-affected areas. 516

517

518 Progressive salt accumulation due to excessive irrigation with poor water quality coupled with poor or improper drainage results in high salt levels (Tuberosa, 2012). Numerous studies have 519 520 characterized responses mediated by salt stress in different plant species and highlighted the complexity of the mechanisms involved (Munns et al., 2008). Studies have shown that few major 521 loci and many minor ones were associated with various aspects of salinity tolerance. The best 522 523 known for rice is Saltol on chromosome 1 (Thomson et al., 2010), which possesses a major gene, 524 OsHKT1;5 (Ren et al., 2005). In wheat, two members of HKT gene family (including the wheat *HKT1*;5 orthologue) have also been shown to co-localize with major QTL (Byrt et al., 2007). 525 526 Apparently, many other QTL have been identified in rice and other cereals, and several of them 527 are common across mapping populations. In addition, numerous genes have been identified 528 through functional genomics studies of salt-stress responses, and many of them lead to improved 529 tolerance when they are over- or under-expressed. Some even co-localize with QTL regions, but there has been no further success in using them for breeding tolerant cereal crops or in cloning 530 531 additional QTL.

532

533 Current approaches in this aspect involves using NGS to target major QTL for cloning, and to develop efficient SNP and InDel marker systems to manipulate these loci during MAB. The 534 substantial genetic diversity in the tolerance of salt stress and mechanisms used by various crops 535 to cope with increasing salt concentrations in soil and water provides opportunities to enhance 536 salt-stress tolerance in cereals. However, this will require large investments to dissect and 537 538 combine the genetic determinants of various traits. Developing such cultivars that are highly 539 tolerant of salt stress is a requisite to cope with the current worsening climatic conditions and to meet the urgent need of producing more food from marginal land and limited water resources. 540

541

542 Host plant resistance to pathogens and pests

543

The climatic variables including changes in temperature, rainfall and atmospheric chemical composition along with predominantly elevated CO_2 levels would accelerate the reproduction time of many plant pathogens and pests, thereby increasing their infection pressure on crop plants (Boonekamp, 2012). Climate change may also affect the ability of plants to express resistance to pathogens and insects. Experiments conducted by Huang et al. (2009) indicated a 45% increase in leaf lesions in oilseed rape, when the surrounding temperature was increased by 550 5°C. This finding suggests that the expression and efficacy of R-genes in host plants may be 551 affected where both crop and associated pathogen or pest are affected by climatic variation. This 552 may be influenced by different combinations of selective pressures, and each may respond to these pressures at different rates. Improved understanding on the host-pest/pathogen interactions 553 554 and knowledge on different effects of climate change is a requisite for the development of climate-resilient crops. To date, research on the impact of climate change on plant diseases has 555 556 been limited, with many studies focusing on the effects of a single atmospheric constituent or 557 meteorological variable on the host, pathogen, or the interaction of the two, under controlled 558 conditions. Whilst this work is a valuable base to start from, the combined effects of biotic and 559 abiotic stresses must be studied (Ramegowda and Senthil-Kumar, 2015).

560

Recent advances in genome sequencing and genotyping assays allow for many strategies at the 561 genomics level, which can be developed to understand the impact of climate change on plant 562 563 diseases. The newly available genome sequences for plants, pathogens and pests provide the 564 resources to study their co-evolution in response to climate change. An understanding of the coevolution of genes responsible for virulence and resistance will lead to improved plant protection 565 566 strategies and provide a model to understand plant-pathogen and plant-insect interactions in diverse species. Though it is important to understand the genomics of disease resistance in crop 567 species, and how allelic differences are altering resistance, combining this with studies of CWR 568 569 or germplasm collections further allows the identification of novel variants. These variants can be used for the introgression of novel resistance genes into cultivars, utilizing the germplasm for 570 breeding and developing new cultivars, or genetic engineering with the advantageous genes. 571 572 Taken together, it is obvious that the impact of climate change on disease resistance is difficult to predict and is likely to be variable depending on the crop and local environment. However, crop 573 disease is an important factor when considering the impact of climate change on food production 574 and intensive studies applying advanced genomics tools will be required to help ameliorate the 575 impact of climate change on future cropping scenarios in relation to plant disease. 576

577

578 **Genomic engineering tools for targeted mutagenesis by editing genes for adaptation** 579

Plant breeders have been applying mutagenesis to induce genetic variation for increasing crop 580 yield and later, the strategy has been used for improving the adaptability of crop plants. Initially, 581 X-ray radiation was used as a mutagen since it was readily available to researchers (Muller, 582 1927). Subsequently, gamma-ray radiation has been used to induce point mutations, although 583 584 chromosomal mutation were also produced (Devreux and Mugnozza, 1964). From recent times, 585 chemical mutagenesis is being practiced since they are easy to use, do not require any specialised equipment, and can provide a very high mutation frequency. Compared to radiation methods, 586 chemical mutagens tend to induce SNPs rather than chromosomal mutations. Currently, chemical 587 mutagens, such as Ethyl methanesulfonate (EMS) are being used to induce random mutations 588 into the genome and have become a useful complement to the isolation of nuclear DNA from 589 590 mutated lines by TILLING (Targeting Induced Local Lesions in Genomes) technology and screening of the M₂ population at the DNA level using advanced molecular techniques. Single 591 592 mutations in specific genes for adaptation could be identified by cleavage of mismatched bases formed as a result of repeated melting and reannealing of PCR products amplified from a pool of 593 594 alleles for the specific gene in a pool of DNA from a set (usually 8) of M₂ plants (McCallum et al., 2000; Caldwell et al., 2004). NGS can efficiently accelerate the identification of mutations at 595 the whole-genome level. Promotor mutations and mutation in other regulatory elements 596

597 responsible for the downstream effect can be identified by qPCR, microarray and RNA-seq. 598 Once a mutant allele is identified within gene(s) of interest, those mutations may be linked to a specific phenotype for stress resistance by backcrossing the mutant to the parental line. This 599 600 TILLING approach is a reverse genetics procedure to associate a mutant allele to its phenotype. Of note, TILLING can also be used for a forward genetic approach by screening phenotypes for 601 602 adaptation to stresses and then characterize the phenotype using a combination of whole-genome 603 resequencing, linkage maps and microarrays, to gain a broad picture of gene expression changes 604 due to the newly introduced SNPs compared to the original line.

605

606 Other molecular tools and resources are now available for genome engineering and reverse genetics experiments in crop plants in order to implement precise manipulation of genetic 607 building blocks and regulatory machinery that underlie yield improvement under stress condition 608 609 and directly correct harmful mutations by genome editing (Hsu et al., 2014). Targeted genome 610 engineering has emerged as an alternative to classical plant breeding and transgenic methods to improve crop plants and ensure sustainable food production (Belhaj et al., 2013; Osakabe and 611 612 Osakabe, 2015). Currently, four types of engineered nucleases are used for genome editing: engineered homing endonucleases/meganucleases (EMNs) (Silva et al., 2011), zinc finger 613 nucleases (ZFNs) (Townsend et al., 2009), transcription activator-like effector nucleases 614 (TALENs) (Cermak et al., 2011), and CRISPR (clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic 615 repeats)/Cas (CRISPR-associated)9 (Cong et al 2013; Mali et al 2013). 616

617

618 Sequence-specific nucleases (SSN) enable precise genome engineering by introducing DNA 619 double-strand breaks (DSB) that subsequently trigger endogenous DNA repair by nonhomologous end joining (NHEJ) or homology directed repair (HDR) recombination mechanisms 620 621 in different species. Site-directed mutagenesis mediated via NHEJ can be achieved while HDR cause directed gene knock-in/correction at specific locations in the genome (HDR uses a DNA 622 template to replace the DNA sequence at the break point). NHEJ functions throughout the entire 623 cell cycle whereas HR is restricted to late S/G2 phases in the cell cycle. Therefore, NHEJ is the 624 625 major DSB repair pathway in eukaryotes. Belhaj et al. (2013) and Osakabe and Osakabe (2015) display a clear illustration of genome editing assays in model (Arabidopsis thaliana and 626 Nicotiana benthamiana) and crop (Oryza sativa, Triticum aestivum and Sorghum bicolor) plant 627 628 species. These SSN effects generate targeted genome modifications including mutations, insertions, replacements and chromosome rearrangements and have been induced in a variety of 629 630 important crops, such as rice, maize, wheat, barley and soybean. Each technology has advantages and disadvantages with regard to cost, ease of construction, efficiency of targeting, and 631 specificity (Chen and Gao, 2014; Gao, 2015). Major advantages of ZFNs are related to the 632 acceptance of the technology as no transgenic is produced because viral vectors have been used 633 634 for expressing transiently the nuclease, which do not integrate into the genome. However, it has disadvantages such as difficulties to design the experiments, limited number of target sites, and 635 the regeneration of juvenile and chimeric mutated plants when custom-designed nucleases have 636 been delivered in tree explants. 637

638

639 CRISPR was first discovered as an immune system of prokaryotes, which subsequently became a 640 powerful tool for genome editing in eukaryotes (Gaj et al., 2013). It has emerged as an 641 alternative to classical plant breeding and transgenic methods to improve crop plants. Plant 642 transformation and co-expression of the Cas9 with a chimeric guide-RNA (gRNA) targeting a

GN19NGG motif in the gene of interest, results in a double-strand non-self DNA cleavage on 643 644 both strands at a specific site near the protospacer adjacent motif (PAM) (Jinek_et al., 2012; Gasiunas et al., 2012). The Cas9 from Streptococcus pyogenes (SpCas9) recognizes 5'-NGG-3' 645 646 as the PAM sequence. PAM plays an important role in target binding and cleavage by the Cas9gRNA complex. CRISPR/Cas9 has greater number of advantages, including the straightforward 647 648 construct design and assembly and the achievement of high mutation rates, matching or 649 exceeding those obtained with ZFNs and TALENs. Only 20 nucleotides in the gRNA need to be 650 modified to recognize a different target making unnecessary the sophisticated protein engineering for each target that is crucial for the other SSN approaches. 651

652

So far, the CRISPR-Cas9 technology has been applied in A. thaliana (Feng et al., 2013, 2014; 653 Jiang et al., 2013; Li et al., 2013; Mao et al., 2013) Nicotiana benthamiana (Jiang et al., 2013; 654 655 Nekrasov et al., 2013; Li et al., 2013), Oryza sativa (Feng et al., 2013, Jiang et al., 2013, Mao et 656 al., 2013, Shan et al., 2013; Feng et al., 2013; Xie and Yang, 2013; Miao et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014), Solanum lycopersicum (Brooks et al., 2014), Sorghum bicolor (Jiang et al., 2013), 657 658 Triticum aestivum (Wang et al., 2014), Citrus sinensis (Jia and Wang, 2014) and Populus tremula x alba (Zhou et al., 2015). Genes controlling traits of importance for adaptation have 659 also been edited by CRISPR-Cas9 technology. gRNAs were designed to target three specific 660 sites of the rice OsMPK5 gene which encodes a stress-responsive rice mitogen-activated protein 661 kinase and the targeted mutation of OsMPK5 enhanced rice disease resistance (Xie and Yang, 662 2013). Transgenic wheat plants carrying mutations in TaMLO-A1 allele were susceptible to 663 powdery mildew diseases (Wang et al., 2014). The bacterial blight susceptibility genes, 664 OsSWEET14 and OsSWEET11, were targeted for mutation at the promoter region in 665 Arabidopsis, tobacco, sorghum and rice (Jiang et al., 2013). High CRISPR-Cas9 mutational 666 efficiency was achieved for three 4-coumarate:CoA ligase (4CL) genes, 4CL1, 4CL2 and 4CL5, 667 associated with lignin and flavonoid biosynthesis in Populus tremula x alba (Zhou et al., 2015). 668 669

Moreover, accelerated breeding of crop plants carrying targeted gene mutation(s) without foreign 670 671 DNA is possible using CRISPR genome editing. In fact, although transgene Cas9 and selectable marker integration is hemizygous, CRISPR editing at the target loci is biallelic. Therefore, in 672 autogamous plants, self-fertilization of T₁ plants will provide 25% of the T₂ plants without the 673 transgene but maintaining the edited gene in homozygosity. In self-incompatible or dioecius 674 perennial woody trees, biparental hemizygous Cas9/sgRNA transformation and biallelic-edited 675 gene can be produced. Controlled crosses between male and female primary transformants with 676 677 confirmed biallelic mutations should produce transgene-free, biallelic mutants in 25% of the progeny (Zhou et al., 2015). Taken together, genome engineering for targeted mutagenesis by 678 editing genes serves as a potential strategy for generating elite cultivars of crop plants with 679 680 durable climate resilience.

681

682 Concluding remarks

683

It is realized that the global climate change is going to impose a severe threat on agricultural productivity worldwide, and thereby challenging food security and nutritional security. Advances in technology, particularly transgene-based and molecular breeding technologies have facilitated the development of elite genotypes with durable adaptation to climate change. Noteworthy, crossbreeding coupled with genomics forms genomics-assisted breeding, which is playing a 689 significant role for developing climate change resilient crops. Excellent model organisms for 690 climate change such as foxtail millet and green foxtail (for C4 photosynthesis), Brachypodium (grass model) have been identified for deciphering traits that need to be decoded and introgressed 691 692 in the crop plants. Advances in DNA sequencing technologies and the sequencing of CWR, along with advanced genomics tools will expedite the identification of novel genes and key 693 694 regulatory regions of stress tolerance towards the development of new cultivars with durable 695 resistance. Although, the impact of climate change on crop's resistance is difficult to predict and 696 is likely to be variable depending on the crop and environment, genomics-assisted breeding could contribute significantly to reduce the impact of climate change on future cropping 697 698 scenarios.

699

700 **Conflict of Interest Statement** 701

702 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or 703 financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

704

705 **Author contributions**

706

707 C.K. conceived and outlined the review; M.M., R.H., D.E., R.S., M.A., J.B., A.B., M.B., J.B., H.C., M.C., L.J.C., J.C., A.C.O., C.D.P., H.D., S.E., P.G., A.G., A.H., K.H., G.T.H., S.H., 708 M.W.H., M.I., A.M.I., A.M., S.M., H.T.N., F.C.O., R.O., A.H.P., P.W.S., J.T., R.T., B.V., R.V., 709 710 S.D.W., M.Y., M.P. wrote the manuscript. M.M., M.P. edited the manuscript and prepared the 711 final version. M.P. and C.K approved the final version. 712

713 Acknowledgements

714 This manuscript was researched and composed by the members of International Climate-Resilient Crop Genomics Consortium (ICRCGC). The white paper authored by the members is 715 http://www.climatechangegenomics.org/climate change version 1.1.pdf available at 716 and 717 http://www.icrcgc.org/ncc_manuscript.docx.

718

719 References 720

- 721 Ahmed, F. E., Hall, A. E., DeMason, D. A. (1992). Heat injury during floral development in 722 cowpea (Vigna unguiculata, Fabaceae). Am. J. Bot. 79, 784-791.
- 723 Ainsworth, E. A., and Ort, D. R. (2010). How do we improve crop production in a warming 724 world? Plant physiol. 154, 526-530
- 725 Alm, V., Busso, C. S., Ergon, A., Rudi, H., Larsen, A., Humphreys, M.W., and Rognli, O.A. (2011). QTL analyses and comparative genetic mapping of frost tolerance, winter survival and 726 drought tolerance in meadow fescue (Festuca pratensis huds.). Theor. Appl. Genet. 123, 369-727 382.
- 728
- Alves-Freitas, D., Kilian, A., Grattapaglia, D. (2011). Development of DArT (Diversity 729 730 Arrays Technology) for high-throughput genotyping of *Pinus taeda* and closely related species. BMC Proceedings 5, 22. 731

- Anbessa, Y., Taran, B., Warkentin, T. D., Tullu, A., and Vandenberg, A. (2009). Genetic
 analyses and conservation of QTL for ascochyta blight resistance in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet* 119, 757-765.
- Anuradha, C., Gaur, P. M., Pande, S., Gali, K. K., Ganesh, M., Kumar, J., and Varshney, R.
- K. (2011). Mapping QTL for resistance to botrytis grey mould in chickpea. *Euphytica* 182, 19.
- Araus, J. L., Cairns, J. E. (2014). Field high-throughput phenotyping: The new crop breeding
 frontier. *Trends Plant Sci.* 19, 52-61.
- Argout, X., Salse, J., Aury, J. M., Guiltinan, M. J., Droc, G., Gouzy, J., et al. (2011). The
 genome of *Theobroma cacao*. *Nat. Genet.* 43, 101-108.
- Asfaw, A., Blair, M. W., Struik, P. C. (2012). Multienvironment quantitative trait loci analysis
 for photosynthate acquisition, accumulation, and remobilization traits in common bean under
 drought stress. *G3 (Bethesda)* 2, 579-595.
- Babu, R. C., Sarkarung, S., Wade, L. J., Nguyen, H. T., Nguyen, B. D., Chamarerk, V., et al.
 (2003). Genetic analysis of drought resistance in rice by molecular markers: Association
 between secondary traits and field performance. *Crop Sci.* 43, 1457-1469.
- Bailey-Serres, J., Fukao, T., Ismail, A., Heuer, S., Mackill, D. (2010). Submergence tolerant
 rice: SUB1's journey from landrace to modern cultivar. *Rice* 3, 138-114.
- Bailey-Serres, J., Fukao, T., Ronald P., Ismail A., Heuer, S., and Mackill, D. (2010).
 Submergence tolerant rice: *Sub1's* journey from landrace to modern cultivar. *Rice* 3, 138-147.
- Bajaj, D., Saxena, M. S., Kujur, A., Das, S., Badoni, S., Tripathi, S., et al. (2014). Genomewide conserved non-coding microsatellite (CNMS) marker-based integrative genetical
 genomics for quantitative dissection of seed weight in chickpea. *J. Exp. Bot.* 66, 1271-1290.
- Bajaj, D., Upadhyaya, H. D., Khan, Y., Das S., Badoni S., Shree T., et al. (2015). A
 combinatorial approach of comprehensive QTL-based comparative genome mapping and
 transcript profiling identified a seed weight-regulating candidate gene in chickpea. *Sci. Rep.* 5,
 9264.
- Beebe, S., Rao, I. M., Cajiao, C., Grajales, M. (2008). Selection for drought resistance in
 common bean also improves yield in phosphorus limited and favorable environments. *Crop Sci.* 48, 582-592.
- Belhaj, K., Chaparro-Garcia, A., Kamoun, S., and Nekrasov, V. (2013). Plant genome editing
 made easy: targeted mutagenesis in model and crop plants using the CRISPR/Cas system. *Plant Methods* 9, 39.
- Bennetzen, J. L., Schmutz, J., Wang, H., Percifield, R., Hawkins, J., Pontaroli, A. C., et al.
 (2012). Reference genome sequence of the model plant *Setaria*. *Nature Biotechnol*. 30, 555561.

- Bentley, A.R., Horsnell, R., Werner, C. P., Turner, A. S., Rose, G. A., Bedard, C., et al.
 (2013). Short, natural, and extended photoperiod response in BC₂F₄ lines of bread wheat with
 different *Photoperiod-1* (*Ppd-1*) alleles. *J. Exp. Bot.* 64, 1783-1793.
- Bentley, A. R., Turner, A. S., Gosman, N., Leigh, F. J., Maccaferri, M., Dreisigacker, S., et al.
 (2011). Frequency of photoperiod-insensitive Ppd-A1a alleles in tetraploid, hexaploid and
 synthetic hexaploid wheat germplasm. *Plant. Breed.* 130, 10-15.
- Bidinger, F. R., Napolean, T, Hash, C. T. (2007). Quantitative trait loci for grain yield in pearl
 millet under variable post flowering moisture conditions. *Crop Sci.* 47, 969-980.
- Bohra, A., Dubey, A., Saxena, R. K., Penmetsa, R. V., Poornima, K. N., Kumar, N., et al.
 (2011). Analysis of BAC-end sequences (BESs) and development of BES-SSR markers for
 genetic mapping and hybrid purity assessment in pigeonpea (*Cajanus* spp.).. *BMC Plant Biol*.
 11, 56.
- Boonekamp, P. M. (2012). Are plant diseases too much ignored in the climate change debate? *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* 133, 291-294.
- Boopathi, N. M., Chezhain, P., Jeyaprakash, P., Satheesh Kumar, S., Gomez, M., Suresh, R.,
- et al. (2005). QTL mapping of drought-resistance traits using indica rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)
 lines adapted to target population of environment. In: *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Integrated Approaches to Sustain and Improve Plant Production under Drought Stress*, Rome, Italy.
- Borrell, A. K., Mullet, J. E., George-Jaeggli, B., van Oosterom, E. J., Hammer, G. L., Klein,
 P. E., et al. (2014). Drought adaptation of stay-green sorghum is associated with canopy
 development, leaf anatomy, root growth, and water uptake. *J. Exp. Bot.* 65, 6251-63.
- Brooks, C., Nekrasov, V., Lippman, Z. B., and Van Eck, J. (2014). Efficient Gene Editing in
 Tomato in the First Generation Using the Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic
 Repeats/CRISPR-Associated9 System. *Plant Physiol*, 166, 1292-1297.
- Byrt, C. S., Platten, J. D., Spielmeyer, W., James, R. A., Lagudah, E. S., Dennis, E. S., et al.
 (2007). HKT1;5-like cation transporters linked to Na+ exclusion loci in wheat, Nax2 and
 Kna1.. *Plant Physiol.* 143, 1918-1928.
- Caldwell, D. G., McCallum, N., Shaw, P., Muehlbauer, G. J., Marshall, D. F., and Waugh, R.
 (2004). A structured mutant population for forward and reverse genetics in Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Plant J.* 40, 143-50.
- Cermak, T., Doyle, E. L., Christian, M., Wang, L., Zhang, Y., Schmidt, C., et al. (2011).
 Efficient design and assembly of custom TALEN and other TAL effector-based constructs for
 DNA targeting. *Nucl. Acids Res.* 39, e82.
- Chen, K., and Gao, C. (2014). Targeted genome modification technologies and their
 applications in crop improvements *Plant Cell Rep.* 33, 575-583.

- Cobos, M. J., Winter, P., Kharrat, M., Cubero, J. I., Gil, J., Milian, T., and Rubio, J. (2009).
 Genetic analysis of agronomic traits in a wide cross of chickpea. *Field Crops Res.* 111, 130136.
- Cong, L., Ran, F. A., Cox, D., Lin, S., Barretto, R., Habib, N., et al. (2013). Multiplex genome
 engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems. *Science* 339, 819-823.
- Cooper, M., Gho, C., Leafgren, R., Tang, T., and Messina, C. (2014). Breeding droughttolerant maize hybrids for the us corn-belt: Discovery to product. *J. Exp. Bot.* 65, 6191-6204.
- 811 Courtois, B., Shen, L., Petalcorin, W., Carandang, S., Mauleon, R., and Li, Z. (2003).
- Locating QTLs controlling constitutive root traits in the rice population IAC $165 \times Co39$. *Euphytica* 134, 335-345.
- B14 Das, S., Upadhyaya, H. D., Bajaj, D., Kujur, A., Badoni, S., Laxmi., et al. (2015). Deploying
- 815 QTL-seq for rapid delineation of a potential candidate gene underlying major trait-associated
- 816 QTL in chickpea. DNA Res. 22, 193-203.
- B17 Devreux, M., and Mugnozza, G. T. S. (1964). Effects of gamma radiation of the gametes,
 B18 zygote and proembryo in *Nicotiana Tabacum* L. *Rad. Botany* 4, 373-386.
- B19 D'Hont, A., Denoeud, F., Aury, J. M., Baurens, F. C., Carreel, F., Garsmeur, O., et al. (2012).
 B20 The banana (*Musa acuminata*) genome and the evolution of monocotyledonous plants. *Nature*B21 21, 213-217.
- Dubey, A., Farmer, A., Schlueter, J., Cannon, S. B., Abernathy, B., Tuteja, R., et al. (2011).
 Defining the transcriptome assembly and its use for genome dynamics and transcriptome
 profiling studies in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.). *DNA Res.* 18, 153-164.
- Dutta, S., Kumawat, G., Singh, B., Gupta, D., Singh, S., Dogra, V., et al. (2011).
 Development of genic-SSR markers by deep transcriptome sequencing in pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millspaugh]. *BMC Plant Biol.* 11, 17.
- Dwivedi, S. L., Sahrawat, K. L., Upadhyaya, H. D., Ortiz R. (2013). Food, nutrition and agrobiodiversity under global climate change. *Adv. Agron.* 120, 1-128.
- Edwards, D., Batley, J., Snowdon, R. J. (2013). Accessing complex crop genomes with nextgeneration sequencing. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 126, 1-11.
- Edwards, D., Wang, X. (2012). "Genome sequencing initiatives" in *Genetics, Genomics and Breeding of Oilseed Brassicas*, eds. D. Edwards, J. Batley, I. A. P. Parkin, C. Kole (Enfield,
 NH: Science Publishers), 152-157.
- Feng Z., Zhang B., Ding W., Liu X., Yang D-L., Wei P., et al. (2013). Efficient genome editing in plants using a CRISPR/Cas system. *Cell Res.* 23, 1229-1232.
- 837 Feng, Z. Y., Mao, Y. F., Xu, N. F., Zhang, B. T., Wei, P. L., Wang, Z., et al. (2014). Multi-
- 838 generation analysis reveals the inheritance, specificity and patterns of CRISPR/Cas induced
- gene modifications in Arabidopsis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 111, 4632-4637.

- Fischer, R. A., Byerlee, D., Edmeades, G. O. (2009). *Can technology deliver on the yield challenge to 2050?* Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.
- 842 Francia, E., Pecchioni, N., Barabaschi, D., Tondelli, A., Laidò, G., Rizza, F., et al. (2007).
- Fine mapping of a HvCBF gene cluster at the frost resistance locus Fr-H2 in barley.. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 115, 1083-1091
- 645 Gaj, T., Gersbach, CA., and Barbas, CF. (2013). ZFN, TALEN, and CRISPR/Cas-based 646 methods for genome engineering. *Trends Biotechnol.* 31, 397-405.
- Galiba, G., Quarrie, S. A., Sutka, J., Morgounov, A., Snape, J. W. (1995). RFLP mapping of
 the vernalization (*VRN1*) and Frost-resistance (*FR1*) genes on chromosome 5A of wheat. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 90, 1174-1179.
- Galiba, G., Tuberosa, R., Kocsy, G., Sutka, J. (1993). Involvement of chromosomes 5A and
 5D in coldinduced abscisic acid accumulation and in frost tolerance of wheat calli. *Plant breed*. 110, 237-242.
- Gao, C. (2015). Developing genome editing technologies for crop improvement. In: *Meeting on Plant Genome Engineering*, Plant & Animal Genome XXIII, San Diego, CA, USA.
- Gasiunas, G., Barrangou, R., Horvath, P., and Siksnys, V. (2012). Cas9-crRNA
 ribonucleoprotein complex mediates specific DNA cleavage for adaptive immunity in
 bacteria. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, E2579-E2586.
- Gaur, R., Sethy, N. K., Choudhary, S., Shokeen, B., Gupta, V., and Bhatia, S. (2011).
 Advancing the stms genomic resources for defining new locations on the intraspecific genetic
 linkage map of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *BMC Genomics* 12, 117.
- Gautami, B., Foncéka, D., Pandey, M. K., Moretzsohn, M. C., Sujay, V., Qin, H., et al.
 (2012). An international reference consensus genetic map with 897 marker loci based on 11
 mapping populations for tetraploid groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *PLoS ONE* 7, e41213.
- Gepts, P., Aragão, F. (2008). "Genomics of Phaseolus beans, a major source of dietary protein
 and micronutrients in the tropics," in *Genomics of Tropical Crop Plants*, eds. P. Moore, R.
 Ming (Berlin: Springer), 113-143.
- Gomez, M., Babu, R. C., Shanmugasundaram, P., Kumar, S. R., Suresh, R., Biji, K. R., et al.
 (2005). QTL mapping and marker assisted selection for drought tolerance in rice (*Oryza* sativa L.). in: *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Integrated Approaches to*
- 870 Sustain and Improve Plant Production under Drought Stress Rome, Italy
- Gujaria, N., Kumar, A., Dauthal, P., Dubey, A., Hiremath, P., Prakash, A. B., et al. (2011).
 Development and use of genic molecular markers (GMMS) for construction of a transcript
 map of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 122, 1577-1589.
- Gupta, B., Sengupta, A., Saha, J., Gupta, K. (2013). Plant abiotic stress: 'Omics' approach. J. *Plant Biochem. Physiol.* 1, e108.

- Hall, A. E., Ziska, L. H. (2000). "Crop breeding strategies for the 21st century," in *Climate Change and Global Crop Productivity*, eds. K. R. Reddy, H. F. Hodges (New York, USA: CAB International), 407-423.
- Hayes, P. M., Blake, T., Chen, T. H., Tragoonrung, S., Chen, F., Pan, A., et al. (1998).
 Quantitative trait loci on barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) chromosome 7 associated with
 components of winter hardiness. *Genome* 36, 66-71.
- Hemamalini, G. S., Shashidhar, H. E., and Hittalmani, S. (2000). Molecular marker assisted
 tagging of morphological and physiological traits under two contrasting moisture regimes at
 peak vegetative stage in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Euphytica* 112, 69-78.
- Hemamalini, G. S., Shashidhar, H. E., Hittalmani, S. (2000). Molecular marker assisted
 tagging of morphological and physiological traits under two contrasting moisture regimens at
 peak vegetative stage in rice (*Oryza sativa* L). *Euphytica* 112, 69-78.
- Hiremath, P. J., Kumar, A., Penmetsa, R. V., Farmer, A., Schlueter, J. A., Chamarthi, S. K., et
- al. (2012). Large-scale development of cost-effective snp marker assays for diversity
 assessment and genetic mapping in chickpea and comparative mapping in legumes. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 10, 716-732.
- Hisas, S. (2011). *The Food Gap. The Impacts of Climate Change in Food Production: A 2020 Perspective.* Alexandria, US: Universal Ecological Fund.
- Hsu, P. D., Lander, E. S., and Zhang, F. (2014). Development and Applications of CRISPRCas9 for Genome Engineering. *Cell* 157, 1262-1278.
- Hsu, P. D., Scott, D. A., Weinstein, J. A., Ran, F. A., Konermann, S., Agarwala, V., et al.
 (2013). DNA targeting specificity of RNA-guided Cas9 nucleases. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 31, 827832.
- Huang, Y. J., Qi, A., King, G. J., Fitt, B. D. (2009). Quantitative resistance to symptomless
 growth of *Leptosphaeria maculans* (phoma stem canker) in *Brassica napus* (oilseed rape). *Plant Pathol.* 58, 314-323.
- Hund, A., Reimer, R., Messmer, R. (2011). A consensus map of QTLs controlling the root
 length of maize. *Plant Soil* 344, 143-158.
- Imelfort, M., Batley, J., Grimmond, S., Edwards, D. (2009). Genome sequencing approaches
 and successes. *Methods Mol. Biol.* 513, 345-358.
- Ismail, A. M., Singh, U. S., Singh, S., Dar, M. H., and Mackill, D. J. (2013). The contribution
 of submergence-tolerant (*Sub1*) rice varieties to food security in flood-prone rainfed lowland
 areas in asia. *Field Crops Res.* 152, 83-93.
- Jain, M., Misra, G., Patel, R. K., Priya, P., Jhanwar, S., Khan, A. W., et al. (2013). A draft
 genome sequence of the pulse crop chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Plant J.* 74, 715-729.
- 911 Jearakongman, S. Validation and discovery of quantitative trait loci for drought tolerance in
- 912 backcross introgression lines in rice (Oryza sativa L.) cultivar IR64. PhD Thesis Kasetsart
- 913 University (2005).

- Jia, H., and Wang, N. (2014). Targeted Genome Editing of Sweet Orange Using Cas9/sgRNA.
 PLoS ONE 9, e93806.
- Jiang, W., Zhou, H., Bi, H., Fromm, M., Yang, B., and Weeks, D. P. (2013). Demonstration of
- 917 CRISPR/Cas9/sgRNA-mediated targeted gene modification in Arabidopsis, tobacco, sorghum
 918 and rice. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 41, e188.
- Jinek, M., Chylinski, K., Fonfara, I., Hauer, M., Doudna, J. A., and Charpentier, E. (2012). A
 programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity. *Science*337, 816-821.
- Kamoshita, A., Chandra Babu, R., Boopathi, N. M., Fukai, S. (2008). Phenotypic and
 genotypic analysis of drought-resistance traits for development of rice cultivars adapted to
 rainfed environments. *Field Crops Res.* 109, 1-23.
- Kamoshita, A., Wade, L., Ali, M., Pathan, M., Zhang, J., Sarkarung, S., and Nguyen, H.
 (2002). Mapping QTLs for root morphology of a rice population adapted to rainfed lowland
 conditions. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 104, 880-893.
- 928 Kerr, RA. (1986). Greenhouse warming still coming. *Science* 232, 573-575.
- Khan, Y., Suresh, B. V., Muthamilarasan, M., Yadav, C. B., Prasad, M. (2014).
 Comprehensive genome-wide identification and expression profiling of foxtail millet [*Setaria italica* (L.)] miRNAs in response to abiotic stress and development of miRNA database. *Plant Cell Tiss. Organ Cult.* 118, 279-292.
- Khedikar, Y. P., Gowda, M. V., Sarvamangala, C., Patgar, K. V., Upadhyaya, H. D.,
 Varshney, R. K. (2010). A QTL study on late leaf spot and rust revealed one major QTL for
 molecular breeding for rust resistance in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 121, 971-984.
- Knox, A. K., Dhillon, T., Cheng, H., Tondelli, A., Pecchioni, N., and Stockinger, E. J. (2010).
 Cbf gene copy number variation at frost resistance-2 is associated with levels of freezing
 tolerance in temperate-climate cereals. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 121, 21-35.
- Kottapalli, P., Gaur, P. M., Katiyar, S. K., Crouch, J. H., Buhariwalla, H. K., Pande, S., and
 Gali, K. K. (2009). Mapping and validation of QTLs for resistance to an indian isolate of
 ascochyta blight pathogen in chickpea. *Euphytica* 165, 79-88.
- Kudapa, H., Bharti, A. K., Cannon, S. B., Farmer, A. D., Mulaosmanovic, B., Kramer, R., et
 al. (2012). A comprehensive transcriptome assembly of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.) using
 sanger and second-generation sequencing platforms. *Mol. Plant* 5, 1020-1028.
- Kujur, A., Bajaj, D., Upadhyaya, H. D., Das, S., Ranjan, R., Shree, T., et al. (2015b). A
 genome-wide SNP scan accelerates trait-regulatory genomic loci identification in chickpea. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 11166.

- Kujur, A., Upadhyaya, H. D., Shree, T., Bajaj, D., Das, S., Saxena, M., et al. (2015a). Ultrahigh density intra-specific genetic linkage maps accelerate identification of functionally
 relevant molecular tags governing important agronomic traits in chickpea. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 9468.
- Kulwal, P. L., Thudi, M., and Varshney, R. K. (2011). "Genomics interventions in crop
 breeding for sustainable agriculture," in *Encyclopedia of Sustainability Science and Technology*, ed. R. A. Meyers (New York, Springer), 2527-2540.
- Kumari, K., Muthamilarasan, M., Misra, G., Gupta, S., Subramanian, A., Parida, S. K., et al.
 (2013). Development of eSSR-markers in *Setaria italica* and their applicability in studying
 genetic diversity, cross-transferability and comparative mapping in millet and non-millet
 species. *PLoS ONE* 8, e67742.
- Lafitte, H. R., Price, A. H., and Courtois, B. (2004). Yield response to water deficit in an
 upland rice mapping population: Associations among traits and genetic markers. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 109, 1237-1246.
- Lanceras, J. C., Pantuwan, G., Jongdee, B., and Toojinda, T. (2004). Quantitative trait loci associated with drought tolerance at reproductive stage in rice. *Plant Physiol*. 135, 384-399.
- Landi, P., Sanguineti, M. C., Salvi, S. (2005). Validation and characterization of a major QTL
 affecting leaf ABA concentration in maize. *Mol Breed*. 15, 291-303.
- Langridge, P., Fleury, D. (2011). Making the most of 'omics' for crop breeding. *Trends Biotechnol.* 29, 33-40.
- Lata, C., and Prasad, M. (2012). Validation of an allele-specific marker associated with
 dehydration stress tolerance in a core set of foxtail millet accessions. *Plant Breed*. 132, 496499.
- Lata, C., and Prasad, M. (2013b). Association of an allele-specific marker with dehydration
 stress tolerance in foxtail millet suggests *SiDREB2* to be an important QTL. J. *Plant Biochem. Biotechnol.* 23, 119-122.
- Lata, C., Bhutty, S., Bahadur, R. P., Majee, M., and Prasad, M. (2011b). Association of an
 SNP in a novel DREB2-like gene *SiDREB2* with stress tolerance in foxtail millet [*Setaria italica* (L.)]. *J. Exp. Bot.* 62, 3387-3401.
- Lata, C., Gupta, S., Prasad, M. (2013). Foxtail millet: a model crop for genetic and genomic
 studies in bioenergy grasses. *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.* 33, 328-343.
- Laurie, D. A., Pratchett, N., Snape, J. W., Bezant, J. H. (1995). RFLP mapping of five major
 genes and eight quantitative trait loci controlling flowering time in a winter x spring barley
 (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) cross. *Genome* 38, 575-585.
- Lei, Y., Lu, L., Liu, H-Y., Li, S., Xing, F., and Chen, L-L. (2014). CRISPR-P: a web tool for synthetic single-guide RNA design of CRISPR-system in plants. *Mol. Plant* 7, 1494-1496.

- Li, J., Aach, J., Norville, J. E., McCormack, M., Zhang, D., Bush, J., et al. (2013). Multiplex
 and homologous recombination-mediated genome editing in Arabidopsis and *Nicotiana benthamiana* using guide RNA and Cas9. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 31, 688-691.
- Li, Z., Mu, P., Li, C., Zhang, H., Li, Z., Gao, Y., and Wang, X. (2005). QTL mapping of root
 traits in a doubled haploid population from a cross between upland and lowland japonica rice
 in three environments. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 110, 1244-1252.
- Lilley, J. M., Ludlow, M. M., McCouch, S. R., O'Toole, J. C. (1996). Locating QTL for
 osmotic adjustment and dehydration tolerance in rice. *J. Exp. Bot.* 47, 1427-1436.
- Liu, S., Wang, X., Wang, H., Xin, H., Yang, X., Yan, J., et al. (2013). Genome-Wide Analysis
 of *ZmDREB* Genes and Their Association with Natural Variation in Drought Tolerance at
 Seedling Stage of *Zea mays* L. *PLoS Genet*. 9, e1003790.
- Lopes, M. S., Rebetzke, G. J., Reynolds, M. (2014). Integration of phenotyping and genetic
 platforms for a better understanding of wheat performance under drought. J. Exp. Bot. 65,
 6167-77.
- Lynch, J. P., Chimungu, J. G., Brown, K. M. (2014). Root anatomical phenes associated with
 water acquisition from drying 1 soil: Targets for crop improvement. *J. Exp. Bot.* 65, 6155-66.
- Ma, Y., Qin, F., and Tran, L. S. (2012). Contribution of Genomics to Gene Discovery in Plant
 Abiotic Stress Responses. *Mol. Plant* 5, 1176-1178.
- Mackay, I. J., Bansept-Basler, P., Barber, T., Bentley, A. R., Cockram, J., Gosman, N., et al.
 (2014). An eight-parent multiparent advanced generation inter-cross population for wintersown wheat: creation, properties and validation. *G3 (Bethesda)* 4, 1603-1610.
- Mackill, D. J., Ismail, A. M., Singh, U. S., Labios, R. V., and Paris, T. R. (2012).
 Development and rapid adoption of submergence-tolerant (Sub1) rice varieties. *Adv. Agron.*1007 115, 299-352.
- Mali, P., Yang, L., Esvelt, K. M., Aach, J., Guell, M., DiCarlo, J. E., et al. (2013). RNAguided human genome engineering via Cas9. *Science* 339, 823-826.
- Mano, Y., Muraki, M., Fujimori, M., Takamizo, T., Kindiger, B. (2005a). Identification of
 QTL controlling adventitious root formation during flooding conditions in teosinte (*Zea mays* ssp. *huehuetenangensis*) seedlings. *Euphytica* 142, 33-42.
- Mano, Y., Omori, F., Muraki, M., Takamizo, T. (2005b). QTL mapping of adventitious root
 formation under flooding conditions in tropical maize (*Zea mays* L.) seedlings. *Breeding Sci.*55, 343-347.
- 1016 Mao, Y., Zhang, H., Xu, N., Zhang, B., Gao, F., and Zhu, J. K. (2013). Application of the 1017 CRISPRCas system for efficient genome engineering in plants. *Mol. Plant* 6, 2008-2011.
- 1018 McCallum, C. M., Comai, L., Greene, E.A., and Henikoff, S. (2000). Targeted screening for
- 1019 induced mutations. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 18, 455-457.

- 1020 Miao, J., Guo, D., Zhang, J., Huang, Q., Qin, G., Zhang, X., et al. (2013). Targeted 1021 mutagenesis in rice using CRISPR-Cas system. *Cell Res.* 23, 1233-1236.
- Miller, A. K., Galiba, G., and Dubcovsky, J. (2006). A cluster of 11 CBF transcription factors
 is located at the frost tolerance locus Fr-Am2 in Triticum monococcum. *Mol. Genet. Genom.*275, 193-203.
- 1025 Miro, B., Ismail, A. M. (2013). Tolerance of anaerobic conditions caused by flooding during 1026 germination and early growth in rice (*Oryza sativa* L). *Front. Plant Sci.* 4, 269.
- 1027 Muller, H. J. (1927). Artificial transmutation of the gene. *Science* 66, 84-87.
- Munns, R., Tester, M. (2008). Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. *Ann. Rev. Plant Biol.* 59, 651-681.
- 1030 Muthamilarasan, M., Prasad, M. (2015). Advances in Setaria genomics for genetic 1031 improvement of cereals and bioenergy grasses. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 128, 1-14.
- Muthamilarasan, M., Theriappan, P., Prasad, M. (2013). Recent advances in crop genomics
 for ensuring food security. *Curr Sci.* 105, 155-158.
- Muthamilarasan, M., Venkata Suresh, B., Pandey, G., Kumari, K., Parida, S. K., Prasad, M.
 (2013). Development of 5123 intron-length polymorphic markers for large-scale genotyping
 applications in foxtail millet. *DNA Res.* 21, 41-52.
- Nekrasov, V., Staskawicz, B., Weigel, D., Jones, J. D. G., and Kamoun, S. (2013). Targeted
 mutagenesis in the model plant *Nicotiana benthamiana* using Cas9 RNA-guided
 endonuclease. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 31, 691-693.
- Neves, L., Davis, J., Barbazuk, B., Kirst, M. (2011). Targeted sequencing in the loblolly pine
 (*Pinus taeda*) megagenome by exome capture. *BMC Proceedings* 7, O48-O48.
- 1042 Nguyen, T. T. T., Klueva, N., Chamareck, V., Aarti, A., Magpantay, G., Millena, A. C. M., et
- al. (2004). Saturation mapping of QTL regions and identification of putative candidate genes
 for drought tolerance in rice. *Mol. Genet. Genom.* 272, 35-46.
- Osakabe, Y., and Osakabe, K. (2015). Genome Editing with Engineered Nucleases in Plants.
 Plant Cell Physiol. 56, 389-400.
- Paliwal, R., Röder, M. S., Kumar, U., Srivastava, J. P., Joshi, A. K. (2012). QTL mapping of
 terminal heat tolerance in hexaploid wheat (*T. aestivum* L.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 125, 561575.
- Pandey, G., Misra, G., Kumari, K., Gupta, S., Parida, S. K., Chattopadhyay, D., et al. (2013).
 Genome-wide development and use of microsatellite markers for large-scale genotyping applications in foxtail millet [*Setaria italica* (L.)]. *DNA Res.* 20, 197-207.
- Pingali, P. L. (2012). Green Revolution: Impact, limits and the path ahead. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 109, 12302-1230.
- Pinto, R. S., Reynolds, M. P., Mathews, K. L., McIntyre, C. L., Olivares-Villegas, J. J.,
 Chapman, S. C. (2010). Heat and drought adaptive QTL in a wheat population designed to
 minimize confounding agronomic effects. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 121, 1001-1021.

- Price, A. H., Cairns, J. E., Horton, P., Jones, H. G., and Griffiths, H. (2002a). Linking
 drought-resistance mechanisms to drought avoidance in upland rice using a QTL approach:
 Progress and new opportunities to integrate stomatal and mesophyll responses. *J. Exp. Bot.*53, 989-1004.
- Price, A. H., Townend, J., Jones, M. P., Audebert, A., and Courtois, B. (2002b). Mapping
 QTLs associated with drought avoidance in upland rice grown in the philippines and west
 africa. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 48, 683-695.
- Quarrie, S. A., Laurie, D. A., Zhu, J., Lebreton, C., Semikhodskii, A., Steed, A., et al. (1997).
 QTL analysis to study the association between leaf size and abscisic acid accumulation in
 droughted rice leaves and comparisons across cereals. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 35, 155-165.
- Raju, N., Gnanesh, B., Lekha, P., Jayashree, B., Pande, S., Hiremath, P., et al. (2010). The
 first set of est resource for gene discovery and marker development in pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.). *BMC Plant Biol.* 10, 45.
- 1071 Ramegowda, V., and Senthil-Kumar, M. (2015). The interactive effects of simultaneous biotic
 1072 and abiotic stresses on plants: Mechanistic understanding from drought and pathogen
 1073 combination. J. Plant Physiol. 176, 47-54.
- 1074 Rebetzke, G. J., Herwaarden, A. F., Jenkins, C., Weiss, M., Lewis, D., Ruuska, S., et al. 1075 (2008). Quantitative trait loci for water-soluble carbohydrates and associations with 1076 agronomic traits in wheat. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 59, 891-905.
- Rehman, A. U., Malhotra, R. S., Bett, K., Tar'an, B., Bueckert, R., and Warkentin, T. D.
 (2011). Mapping QTL associated with traits affecting grain yield in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*L.) under terminal drought stress. *Crop Sci.* 51, 450-463.
- 1080 Rehman, A., Malhotra, R., Bett, K., Tar'an, B., Bueckert, R., Warkentin, T. (2011). Mapping
 1081 QTL associated with traits affecting grain yield in Chickpea under terminal drought stress.
 1082 Crop Sci. 51, 450-463.
- 1083 Ren, Z., Gao, J., Li, L. G., Cai, X., Huang, W., Chao, D., et al. (2005). A rice quantitative trait
 1084 locus for salt tolerance encodes a sodium transporter. *Nat. Genet.* 37, 1141-1146.
- Reynolds, M. P., Hays, D., and Chapman, S. (2010). "Breeding for adaptation to heat and
 drought stress," in *Climate change and crop production*, ed. M. P. Reynolds (Oxfordshire,
 UK: CABI), 71-91.
- Reynolds, M., Tuberosa, R. (2008). Translational research impacting on crop productivity in
 drought-prone environments. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 11, 171-179.
- Robin, S., Pathan, M. S., Courtois, B., Lafitte, R., Carandang, S., Lanceras, S., et al. (2003).
 Mapping osmotic adjustment in an advanced back-cross inbred population of rice. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 107, 1288-1296.
- 1093 Robin, S., Pathan, M. S., Courtois, B., Lafitte, R., Carandang, S., Lanceras, S., et al. (2003.)
- 1094 Mapping osmotic adjustment in an advanced back-cross inbred population of rice. *Theor.*
- 1095 Appl. Genet. 107, 1288-1296.

- Ruta, N., Liedgens, M., Fracheboud, Y., Stamp, P., Hund, A. (2010). QTLs for the elongation
 of axile and lateral roots of maize in response to low water potential. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 120,
 621-631.
- Salem, K. F. M., Roder, M. S., Borner, A. (2007). Identification and mapping quantitative
 trait loci for stem reserve mobilisation in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Cereal Res. Commun.*35, 1367-1374.
- Salvi, S., Sponza, G., Morgante, M., Tomes, D., Niu, X., Fengler, K. A., et al. (2007).
 Conserved noncoding genomic sequences associated with a flowering-time quantitative trait
 locus in maize. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 104, 11376-11381.
- Sarvamangala, C., Gowda, M. V. C., Varshney, R. K. (2011). Identification of quantitative
 trait loci for protein content oil content and oil quality for groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Field Crops Res.* 122, 49-59.
- 1108 Saxena, K. (2008). Genetic improvement of pigeonpea-a review. *Trop. Plant Biol.* 1, 159-178.
- 1109 Saxena, R., Prathima, C., Saxena, K., Hoisington, D., Singh, N., and Varshney, R. (2010).
- 1110 Novel SSR markers for polymorphism detection in pigeonpea (*Cajanus* spp.). *Plant Breed*.
- 1111 129, 142-148.
- Schmutz, J., McClean, P. E., Mamidi, S., Wu, G. A., Cannon, S. B., Grimwood J., et al.
 (2014). A reference genome for common bean and genome-wide analysis of dual domestications. *Nat. Genet.* 46, 707-713.
- Schneider, K. A., Brothers, M. E., Kelly, J. D. (1997). Marker-assisted selection to improve
 drought tolerance in common bean. *Crop Sci.* 37, 51-60.
- Seki, M., Narusaka, M., Ishida, J., Nanjo, T., Fujita, M., Oono, Y., et al. (2002). Monitoring
 the expression profiles of 7000 Arabidopsis genes under drought, cold and high-salinity
 stresses using a full-length cDNA microarray. *Plant J.* 31, 279-292.
- Septiningsih, E. M., Ignacio, J. C., Sendon, P. M., Sanchez, D. L., Ismail, A. M., Mackill, D.
 J. (2013). QTL mapping and confirmation for tolerance of anaerobic conditions during
 germination derived from the rice landrace Ma-Zhan Red. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 126, 13571366.
- Serraj, R., Kumar, A., McNally, K. L., Slamet-Loedin, I., Bruskiewich, R., Mauleon, R., et al.
 (2009). Improvement of drought resistance in rice, *Adv. Agron.* 103, 41-99.
- 1126 Setter, T. M., Waters, I. (2003). Review of prospects for germplasm improvement for 1127 waterlogging tolerance in wheat, barley and oats. *Plant Soil* 253, 1-34.
- Shan, Q., Wang, Y., Li, J., Zhang, Y., Chen, K., Liang, Z., et al. (2013). Targeted genome
 modification of crop plants using a CRISPR-Cas system. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 31, 686-688.
- 1130 Silva, G., Poirot, L., Galetto, R., Smith, J., Montoya, G., Duchateau, P., and Pâques, F.
- 1131 (2011). Meganucleases and Other Tools for Targeted Genome Engineering: Perspectives and
- 1132 Challenges for Gene Therapy. *Curr. Gene Ther.* 11, 11-27.
- 1133 Singh, S. P. (2007). Drought resistance in the race durango dry bean landraces and cultivars.
- 1134 *Agronomy J.* 99, 1219-1225.

- Singh, S., Mackill, D. J., and Ismail, A. M. (2009). Responses of Sub1 rice introgression lines
 to submergence in the field: Yield and grain quality. *Field Crops Res.* 113, 12-23.
- 1137 Skinner, J. S., Szucs, P., Zitzewitz, Jv., Marquez-Cedillo, L., Filichkin, T., Stockinger, E. J., et
- al. (2006). Mapping of barley homologs to genes that regulate low temperature tolerance in arabidopsis. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 112, 832-842.
- Snape, J. W., Foulkes, J., Simmonds, J., Leverington, M., Fish, L. J., Wang, Y., et al. (2007).
 Dissecting gene × environmental effects on wheat yields via QTL and physiological analysis. *Euphytica* 154, 401-408.
- Stockinger, E. J., Gilmour, S. J., and Thomashow, M. F. (1997). Arabidopsis thaliana CBF1 encodes an AP2 domain-containing transcriptional activator that binds to the C-repeat/DRE, a cis-acting DNA regulatory element that stimulates transcription in response to low temperature and water deficit. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 94, 1035-1040.
- Sujay, V., Gowda, M. V., Pandey, M. K., Bhat, R. S., Khedikar, Y. P., Nadaf, H. L., et al.
 (2012). Quantitative trait locus analysis and construction of consensus genetic map for foliar
 disease resistance based on two recombinant inbred line populations in cultivated groundnut
 (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Mol Breed.* 30, 773-788.
- Suresh, B. V., Muthamilarasan, M., Misra, G., Prasad, M. (2013). FmMDb: A versatile
 database of foxtail millet markers for millets and bioenergy grasses research. *PLoS ONE* 8, e71418.
- 1154Thomas, H., James, A. R. (1993). Freezing tolerance and solute changes in contrasting1155genotypes of *Lolium perenne* L. acclimated to cold and drought. *Ann. Bot.* 72, 249-254.
- Thomson, M. J., Ocampo, Md., Egdane, J., Rahman, M. A., Sajise, A. G., Adorada, D. L., et
 al. (2010). Characterizing the saltol quantitative trait locus for salinity tolerance in rice. *Rice*3, 148-160.
- Thudi, M., Bohra, A., Nayak, S. N., Varghese, N., Shah, T. M., Penmetsa, R. V., et al. (2011).
 Novel SSR markers from bac-end sequences, dart arrays and a comprehensive genetic map
 with 1, 291 marker loci for chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *PLoS ONE* 6, e27275.
- Townsend, J. A., Wright, D. A., Winfrey, R. J., Fu, F., Maeder, M. L., Joung, J. K., and
 Voytas, D. F. (2009). High-frequency modification of plant genes using engineered zincfinger nucleases. *Nature* 459, 442-445.
- Tripathy, J. N., Zhang, J., Robin, S., Nguyen, T. T., and Nguyen, H. T. (2000). QTLs for cellmembrane stability mapped in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under drought stress. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*100, 1197-1202.
- Tripathy, J. N., Zhang, J., Robin, S., Nguyen, T. T., Nguyen, H. T. (2000). QTLs for cellmembrane stability mapped in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) under drought stress. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*100, 1197-1202.
- Tuberosa, R. (2012). Phenotyping for drought tolerance of crops in the genomics era. *Front. Physiol.* 3, 347.

- Tuberosa, R., Salvi, S., Sanguineti, M. C., Frascaroli, E., Conti, S., Landi, P. (2011).
 "Genomics of root architecture and functions in maize," in *Root genomics*, eds. In: A. Costa de Oliveira, R. Varshney (Berlin: Springer), 179-204.
- Uga, Y., Sugimoto, K., Ogawa, S., Rane, J., Ishitani, M., Hara, N., et al. (2013). Control of
 root system architecture by deeper rooting 1 increases rice yield under drought conditions. *Nat. Genet.* 45, 1097-1102.
- Urrea, C. A., Yonts, C. D., Lyon, D. J., Koehler, A. E. (2009). Selection for drought tolerance
 in dry bean derived from the Mesoamerican gene pool in western Nebraska. *Crop Sci.* 49, 2005-2010.
- Vadez, V., Krishnamurthy, L., Thudi, M., Anuradha, C., Colmer, T. D., Turner, N. C., et al.
 (2012). Assessment of ICCV 2 × JG 62 chickpea progenies shows sensitivity of reproduction
 to salt stress and reveals QTL for seed yield and yield components. *Mol. Breed.* 30, 9-21.
- Vagujfalvi, A., Galiba, G., Cattivelli, L., and Dubcovsky, J. (2003). The cold-regulated
 transcriptional activator Cbf3 is linked to the frost-tolerance locus Fr-A2 on wheat
 chromosome 5A. *Mol. Genet. Genom.* 269, 60-67.
- Varshney, R. K., Chen, W., Li, Y., Bharti, A. K., Saxena, R. K., Schlueter, J. A., et al. (2012).
 Draft genome sequence of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*), an orphan legume crop of resourcepoor farmers. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 30, 83-89.
- 1191 Varshney, R. K., Graner, A., and Sorrells, M. E. (2005). Genomics-assisted breeding for crop
 1192 improvement. *Trends Plant Sci.* 10, 621-630.
- Varshney, R. K., Mohan, S. M., Gaur, P. M., Gangarao, N. V., Pandey, M. K., Bohra, A., et
 al. (2013a). Achievements and prospects of genomics-assisted breeding in three legume crops
 of the semi-arid tropics. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 31, 1120-1134.
- Varshney, R. K., Penmetsa, R. V., Dutta, S., Kulwal, P. L., Saxena, R. K., Datta, S., et al.
 (2009). Pigeonpea genomics initiative (PGI): an international effort to improve crop
 productivity of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* L.). *Mol. Breed.* 26, 393-408.
- Varshney, R. K., Song, C., Saxena, R. K., Azam, S., Yu, S., Sharpe, A. G., et al. (2013b).
 Draft genome sequence of kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*): genetic structure and breeding
 constraints for crop improvement. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 31, 240-246.
- Varshney, R. K., Terauchi, R., and McCouch, S. R. (2014). Harvesting the Promising Fruits of
 Genomics: Applying Genome Sequencing Technologies to Crop Breeding. *PLoS Biol.* 12,
 e1001883.
- Velasco, R., Zharkikh, A., Affourtit, J., Dhingra, A., Cestaro, A., Kalyanaraman, A., et al.
 (2010). The genome of the domesticated apple (*Malus x domestica* Borkh.). *Nat. Genet.* 42, 833-839.
- Velasco, R., Zharkikh, A., Troggio, M., Cartwright, D. A., Cestaro, A., Pruss, D., et al.
 (2007). A high quality draft consensus sequence of the genome of a heterozygous grapevine variety. *PLoS ONE* 2, e1326.

Wang, H., Penmetsa, R. V., Yuan, M., Gong, L., Zhao, Y., Guo, B., et al. (2012).
Development and characterization of BAC-end sequence derived SSRs, and their incorporation into a new higher density genetic map for cultivated peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* 1214 L.). *BMC Plant Biol.* 12, 10.

Wang, Y., Cheng, X., Shan, Q., Zhang, Y., Liu, J., Gao, C., and Qiu, J-L. (2014).
Simultaneous editing of three homoeoalleles in hexaploid bread wheat confers heritable
resistance to powdery mildew. *Nat. Biotechnol.* 32, 947-951.

- Wassmann, R., Jagadish, S. (2009). Regional vulnerability of climate change impacts on
 Asian rice production and scope for adaptation. *Adv. Agron.* 102, 91-133.
- Wasson, A. P., Richards, R. A., Chatrath, R., Misra, S. C., Prasad, S. V., Rebetzke, G. J., et al.
 (2012). Traits and selection strategies to improve root systems and water uptake in waterlimited wheat crops. *J. Exp. Bot.* 63, 3485-3498.
- Welch, J. R., Vincent, J. R., Auffhammer, M., Moya, P. F., Dobermann, A., Dawe, D. (2010).
 Rice yields in tropical/subtropical Asia exhibit large but opposing sensitivities to minimum and maximum temperatures. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 107, 14562-14567.
- Xie, K., and Yang, Y. (2013). RNA-Guided Genome Editing in Plants Using a CRISPR-Cas
 System. *Mol. Plant* 2013; 6, 1975-1980.
- Xu, J. L., Lafitte, H. R., Gao, Y. M., Fu, B. Y., Torres, R., and Li, Z. K. (2005). QTLs for
 drought escape and tolerance identified in a set of random introgression lines of rice. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 111, 1642-1650.
- Xu, K., Xu, X., Fukao, T., Canlas, P., Maghirang-Rodriguez, R., Heuer, S., et al. (2006).
 Sub1A is an ethylene-response-factor-like gene that confers submergence tolerance to rice.
 Nature 442, 705-708.
- 1234 Xu, Q., Chen, L. L., Ruan, X., Chen, D., Zhu, A., Chen, C., et al. (2013). The draft genome of 1235 sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis*). *Nat. Genet.* 45, 59-U92.
- Yadav, C. B., Bonthala, V. S., Muthamilarasan, M., Pandey, G., Khan, Y., Prasad, M. (2015).
 Genome-wide development of transposable elements-based markers in foxtail millet and construction of an integrated database. *DNA Res.* 22, 79-90.
- Yadav, C. B., Muthamilarasan, M., Pandey, G., Khan, Y., Prasad, M. (2014). Development of
 novel microRNA-based genetic markers in foxtail millet for genotyping applications in related
 grass species. *Mol. Breed.* doi:10.1007/s11032-014-0137-9.
- Yadav, R. S., Sehgal, D., Vadez, V. (2011). Using genetic mapping and genomics approaches
 in understanding and improving drought tolerance in pearl millet. *J. Exp. Bot.* 62, 397-408.
- Yang, J., Zhang, J., Liu, K., Wang, Z., Liu, L. (2007). Abscisic acid and ethylene interact in rice spikelets in response to water stress during meiosis. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* 26, 318-328.
- Yue, B., Xue, W., Xiong, L., Yu, X., Luo, L., Cui, K., et al. (2006). Genetic basis of drought
 resistance at reproductive stage in rice: separation of drought tolerance from drought
 avoidance. *Genetics* 172, 1213-1228.

- Yue, B., Xue, W., Xiong, L., Yu, X., Luo, L., Cui, K., et al. (2006). Genetic basis of drought resistance at reproductive stage in rice: Separation of drought tolerance from drought avoidance. *Genetics* 172, 1213-1228.
- Zhang, G., Liu, X., Quan, Z., Cheng, S., Xu, X., Pan, S., et al. (2012). Genome sequence of
 foxtail millet (*Setaria italica*) provides insights into grass evolution and biofuel potential. *Nature Biotechnol.* 30, 549-554.
- Zhang, H., Zhang, J., Wei, P., Zhang, B., Gou, F., Feng, Z., et al. (2014). The CRISPR/Cas9
 system produces specific and homozygous targeted gene editing in rice in one generation. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* 12, 797-807.
- Zhang, J., Nguyen, B. D., Sarkarung, S., Blum, A., Nguyen, H. T., Zheng, H. G., et al.
 (2001a). Locating genomic regions associated with components of drought resistance in rice:
 Comparative mapping within and across species. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 103, 19-29.
- Zhang, S., Tang, C., Zhao, Q., Li, J., Yang, L., Qie, L., et al. (2014). Development of highly
 polymorphic simple sequence repeat markers using genome-wide microsatellite variant
 analysis in Foxtail millet [*Setaria italica* (L.) P. Beauv]. *BMC Genomics* 2, 15.
- Zhang, W. P., Shen, X. Y., Wu, P., Hu, B., and Liao, C. Y. (2001b). QTLs and epistasis for
 seminal root length under a different water supply in rice (oryza sativa l.). *Theor. Appl. Genet.*103, 118-123.
- Zheng, B. S., Yang, L., Zhang, W. P., Mao, C. Z., Wu, Y. R., Yi, K. K., et al. (2003).
 Mapping QTLs and candidate genes for rice root traits under different water-supply
 conditions and comparative analysis across three populations. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 107, 15051515.
- 1271 Zheng, H. G., Babu, R. C., Pathan, M. S., Ali, L., Huang, N., Courtois, B., and Nguyen, H. T.
 1272 (2000). Quantitative trait loci for root-penetration ability and root thickness in rice:
 1273 Comparison of genetic backgrounds. *Genome / National Research Council Canada* 43, 53-61.
- Zhou, X., Jacobs, T. B., Xue, L-J., Harding, S. A., and Tsai, C-J. (2015). Exploiting SNPs for
 biallelic CRISPR mutations in the outcrossing woody perennial *Populus* reveals 4coumarate:CoA ligase specificity and redundancy. *New Phytol.* doi: 10.1111/nph.13470.
- 1277
- 1278
- 1279

Figure legends

Figure 1: Flow-chart demonstrating the steps involved in generating climate resilient crops using genomics and next-generation sequencing technology.

