

LIBRARY

Pigeonpea Breeding  
Progress Report: 25

# Pigeonpea Breeding

Report of Work  
June 1987-May 1989

Project No.: P-103(85)IC

**Project Title: Development of Medium-Duration Cultivars and Superior Breeding Lines for Grain Production**

K.C. Jain, M. Chenchi Reddy, and Laxman Singh



ICRISAT

Legumes Program

International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics  
Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh 502 324, India

**Pigeonpea Breeding  
Progress Report: 25**

**Pigeonpea Breeding**

**Report of Work**

**June 1987 - May 1989**

**Project No.: P-103(85)IC**

**Project Title: Development of Medium-Duration Cultivars and Superior  
Breeding Lines for Grain Production**

**K.C. Jain, M. Chenchu Reddy and Laxman Singh**

**August 1989**

**ICRISAT**

**Legumes Program**

**International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics  
(ICRISAT), Patancheru 502324, A.P., India**

PIGEONPEA BREEDING STAFF AND COOPERATING SCIENTISTS ASSOCIATED WITH PROJECT  
P-103(85)IC

June 1, 1987 to May 31, 1989

Program Staff	:	Dr. Y.L. Nene, Program Director Mr. G.J. Michael, Administrative Officer
Breeding	:	Dr. Laxman Singh, Principal Pigeonpea Breeder Dr. K.C. Jain, Project Scientist Mr. M. Chenchu Reddy, Sr. R.A. I Mr. P. Raghupathi Rao, Secretary Mr. K. Shankaraiah, Sr. Field Asst. Mr. R. Anjaiah, Field Attendant Mr. P. Krishna Goud, Field Attendant
Cooperating Scientists	:	
Breeding	:	Dr. K.B. Saxena, Sr. Plant Breeder Dr. S.C. Gupta, Plant Breeder
Pathology	:	Dr. Y.L. Nene, Principal Plant Pathologist Dr. M.V. Reddy, Sr. Plant Pathologist
Entomology	:	Dr. M. Pimbert, Principal Entomologist Dr. S.S. Lateef, Entomologist
Physiology	:	Dr. C. Johansen, Principal Agronomist Dr. Y.S. Chauhan, Agronomist
Genetic Resources Unit	:	Dr. P. Remanandan, Botanist
Biochemistry	:	Dr. R. Jambunathan, Principal Biochemist Dr. Umaid Singh, Biochemist

## HIGHLIGHTS

- \* A proposal for the release of ICPL 270 (a wilt resistant line) for region I of Karnataka State has been submitted by the Senior Scientist (Pulses), ARS, Gulbarga, Karnataka, India.
- \* Seven disease resistant and high yielding lines (ICPL 227, ICPL 8357, ICPL 85063, ICPL 85066, ICPL 87119, ICPL 88046 and ICPL 88047) were in different stages of testing in the All India Coordinated Pulses Improvement Project trials. In the south zone ICPL 87119, ICPL 85066 and ICPL 85063 occupied first three ranks in 1988-89 season.
- \* One of the BDN 1 backcross progenies (BDN1 BC3-P2-2-S6-S3-SB-B) bred for SM resistance produced significantly higher grain yield (2.70 t/ha) as compared to the recurrent parent, BDN 1 (2.04 t/ha).
- \* ICPL 88045, a wilt resistant line developed through irradiation of LRG 30 produced similar yield as control variety C 11.
- \* In a medium-duration hybrids trial, all hybrids yielded more than control variety C 11. Eleven hybrids were significantly higher yielding than C 11 and three hybrids produced more than 4 t/ha grain yield.
- \* One Helicoverpa tolerant line (ICPX 820042-E17-E5-EB) was the highest yielding entry in PIRYT (Pigeonpea Insect Resistant Lines Yield Test). It yielded 1.31 t/ha as compared to 1.09 t/ha for Helicoverpa control, ICPL 332, 1.22 t/ha for C 11 and 0.91 t/ha for BDN 1 control under pesticide-free conditions. The percent bole damaged pods in this line was 18.5% as compared to 33.4% in resistant control, 31.7% in C 11 and 47.8% in BDN 1 control.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>A. OBJECTIVES</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>B. IDENTIFICATION AND INVESTIGATION OF PARENTS</b>	<b>5</b>
(i) Parents used in crosses	5
<b>C. CROSSES MADE AND F1'S GROWN</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>D. BREEDING POPULATIONS</b>	<b>6</b>
(i) Three-Way Cross F2 Populations	6
(ii) F2 Populations	11
(iii) Yield potential of Populations	11
(iv) Composite Populations	13
<b>E. BREEDING FOR DISEASE RESISTANCE</b>	<b>13</b>
a) Wilt	13
(i) LRG 30 BC2F2 Generation	14
(ii) F3 Generation	14
(iii) F5 Generation	14
(iv) Irradiation of ICP 8863	17
(v) Monitoring and Maintenance of lines	17
b) Sterility Mosaic	21
i) F5-F6 Generation	21
c) Wilt and Sterility Mosaic	21
i) F2 Generation	23
ii) F3 Generation	23
iii) F5-F7 Generation	27
iv) BDN 1 BC3F6 Generation	27
v) Progenies from ICPP4	30
vi) Monitoring of Lines	30
d) Multiple Disease Resistance	30
i) F2 Generation	37
ii) F6 Generation	37
iii) F7 Generation	37
iv) Monitoring of Lines	40
e) Wilt and Helicoverpa Resistance	42
i) F2 Generation	42
ii) F3 Generation	42
iii) F4 Generation	46
iv) Selections from Composite Population	46

F. BREEDING FOR HELICOVERPA RESISTANCE	48
i) F2 Generation	48
ii) F3 Generation	48
iii) F4 Generation	54
iv) Monitoring of Advanced Lines	54
G. YIELD TEST OF LINES	54
1987 Tests:	54
i) BDN1 BC3F6 Progenies Test	54
ii) C11 BC2F6 Progenies Test	57
iii) Wilt Resistant Advanced Lines Test	57
iv) SM Resistant Advanced Lines Test	61
v) Determinate Lines Test	61
vi) Multiple Diseases Resistant Lines Test	61
vii) Heterosis and Inbreeding Depression Test	65
viii) Pigeonpea Insect Resistant Lines Yield Test	68
ix) Pigeonpea Insect Resistant Lines Yield Observation Nursery	71
1988 Tests:	71
i) Wilt Resistant Advanced Lines Test	71
ii) Sterility Mosaic Resistant Advanced Lines Test	74
iii) Wilt and SM Resistant Advanced Lines Test	74
iv) BDN 1 BC3F7 Lines Test	76
v) Kenyan Lines Test	76
vi) Hybrids Test	79
vii) Determinate Lines Test	83
viii) Pigeonpea Insect Resistant Lines Yield Test	83
ix) Pigeonpea Insect Resistant Lines Yield Evaluation	86
H. COOPERATIVE YIELD TRIALS	89
1987	89
(i) MPAY	89
(ii) ART	91
(iii) MPUB	93
(iv) ACT 2	93
1988	96
(i) MPAY	96
(ii) ACT 2	99
I. MISCELLANEOUS	99
(i) Seed Multiplication	99
(ii) Temperature and Rainfall data	101
(iii) New ICRISAT Lines	101
J. SEED SUPPLY	101

P-103(85)IC: Development of medium-duration cultivars and superior breeding lines for grain production

A. OBJECTIVES

- (a) To develop high yielding medium-duration cultivars with resistance to yield constraints such as diseases and insect-pests and of acceptable grain quality that are adapted to pure and companion cropping with various other crops.
- (b) To contribute breeding lines and populations to pigeonpea breeders throughout the semi-arid tropics.

B. IDENTIFICATION AND INVESTIGATION OF PARENTS

(i) Parents used in crosses: In 1987 three wilt resistant (ICP 8863, ICP 9174 and ICP 9145) and three wilt-susceptible parents (ICP 2376, ICP 6997 and LRG 30) were used in crosses to study the inheritance of resistance to Fusarium wilt. Two another wilt resistant parents (ICPL 335 and ICPL 9145) and two Helicoverpa tolerant parents (ICPL 87088 and ICPL 87089) were also selected. In addition one MS wilt resistant line (ICP 3783 ms - ms JA 275) and 15 wilt resistant lines were selected for making hybrids.

During 1988 ICPL 270 and C 11 were identified for making crosses with four Kenyan lines (60/8, NPP 270, 623/11 and 11RA).

### C. CROSSES MADE AND F1'S GROWN

To study the inheritance of resistance to Pusarium wilt 15 crosses in diallel fashion were made in 1987. In addition four crosses between wilt resistant and two Helicoverpa tolerant lines were also made (Table 1). To develop wilt resistant high yielding hybrids in medium-duration, one wilt resistant medium-duration ms line ICP 3783 was crossed with 15 wilt resistant high yielding lines (Table 2). For the wilt inheritance study backcrosses to both the parents were made in 1988 (Table 3).

F1's Grown: Four single cross F1's (ICPX 860112 to 860115) involving wilt, SM and Phytophthora (P3 isolate) blight resistant parents and three BC1 F1's for roguing out the selfes and for further advance were sown in 1987 rainy season. In 1988 rainy season 15 crosses made for wilt inheritance study were grown for making backcrosses and for producing P2 seed.

### D. BREEDING POPULATIONS

#### (i) Three-Way Cross F2 Populations:

In 1987 a total of 11 TCF2 populations each in 4 m long 50 rows accommodating nearly 1000 plants per population were grown. These populations were observed for morphological and yield attributes. In five populations (ICPX 850093, -850100, -850102, -850103 and ICPX 850118) we could not observe enough variability for different agronomically desirable attributes. Hence single plant selection was not practiced and these populations were rejected. Another six populations (Table 4) were found promising and 25 plants from each population were selected for growing single plant progenies in wilt and SM nursery during 1988.

**Table 1. List of Crosses made during 1987 rainy season  
at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru.**

S.No.	Cross No.	Parentage	No.of Seeds
<b>A. Wilt Inheritance:</b>			
1	870107	ICP 8863 X ICP 9174	164
2	870108	ICP 8863 X ICP 9145	106
3	870109	ICP 8863 X ICP 2376	93
4	870110	ICP 8863 X ICP 6997	105
5	870111	ICP 8863 X LRG 30	300
6	870112	ICP 9174 X ICP 9145	155
7	870113	ICP 9174 X ICP 2376	211
8	870114	ICP 9174 X ICP 6997	134
9	870115	ICP 9174 X LRG 30	129
10	870116	ICP 9145 X ICP 2376	135
11	870117	ICP 9145 X ICP 6997	165
12	870118	ICP 9145 X LRG 30	265
13	870119	ICP 2376 X ICP 6997	129
14	870120	ICP 2376 X LRG 30	167
15	870121	ICP 6997 X LRG 30	65
<b>B. Insect resistance:</b>			
16	870122	ICPL 335 X ICPL 87088	180
17	870123	ICPL 335 X ICPL 87089	195
18	870124	ICP 9145 X ICPL 87088	193
19	870125	ICP 9145 X ICPL 87089	155

**Table 2. List of medium duration hybrids made during 1987 rainy season at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru.**

S.No.	Source Plot #	Hybrid No.	Parentage	No.of. Seeds
1	4101	IPH 477	ICP 3783 MS line X ICPL 270 (MS JA275)	337
2	4102	IPH 478	" X ICPL 335	456
3	4103	IPH 479	" X ICPL 227	388
4	4104	IPH 480	" X ICPL 8357	375
5	4105	IPH 481	" X ICPL 85066	468
6	4106	IPH 482	" X ICPL 84008	370
7	4106	IPH 483	" X ICPL 8356	397
8	4107	IPH 484	" X ICPL 8863	560
9	4107	IPH 485	" X ICPL 8363	357
10	4107	IPH 486	" X ICPL 8362	340
11	4107	IPH 487	" X ICPL 87119	380
12	4108	IPH 488	" X ICPL 87121	335
13	4108	IPH 489	" X ICPL 86034	285
14	4108	IPH 490	" X ICPL 85067	477
15	4108	IPH 491	" X ICPL 85069	384

Table 3. List of back crosses made during 1988 rainy season at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru.

S.No.	Cross No.	Cross	No.of Seeds
1	880156	(ICP 8863 X ICP 9174) X ICP 8863	104
2	880157	" X ICP 9174	181
3	880158	(ICP 8863 X ICP 9145) X ICP 8863	124
4	880159	" ICP 9145	159
5	880160	(ICP 8863 X ICP 2376) X ICP 8863	79
6	880161	" ICP 2376	209
7	880162	(ICP 8863 X ICP 6997) X ICP 8863	66
8	880163	" X ICP 6997	77
9	880164	(ICP 8863 X LRG 30) X ICP 8863	56
10	880165	" LRG 30	105
11	880166	(ICP 9174 X ICP 9145) X ICP 9174	274
12	880167	" X ICP 9145	400
13	880168	(ICP 9174 X ICP 2376) X ICP 9174	127
14	880169	" X ICP 2376	134
15	880170	(ICP 9174 X ICP 6997) X ICP 9174	229
16	880171	" X ICP 6997	52
17	880172	(ICP 9174 X LRG 30) X ICP 9174	280
18	880173	" X LRG 30	108
19	880174	(ICP 9145 X ICP 2376) X ICP 9145	203
20	880175	" X ICP 2376	130
21	880176	(ICP 9145 X ICP 6997) X ICP 9145	220
22	880177	" X ICP 6997	99
23	880178	(ICP 9145 X LRG 30) X ICP 9145	161
24	880179	" X LRG 30	49
25	880180	(ICP 2376 X ICP 6997) X ICP 2376	95
26	880181	" X ICP 6997	43
27	880182	(ICP 2376 X LRG 30) X ICP 2376	44
28	880183	" X LRG 30	40
29	880184	(ICP 6997 X LRG 30) X ICP 6997	108
30	880185	" X LRG 30	110
31	880186	(ICPL 335 X ICPL 87088) X ICPL 87088	156
32	880187	(ICPL 335 X ICPL 87089) X ICPL 87089	68
33	880188	(ICP 9145 X ICPL 87088) X ICPL 87088	194
34	880189	(ICP 9145 X ICPL 87089) X ICPL 87089	40

**Table 4. List of selected TCP2's & P2 Populations advanced during 1987 rainy season.**

S.No.	Source	Cross.	Parentage	Gen	No.of Select- ions made
		Plot No.			
1	2577	850121	(ICPL 8363 X ICPL 270) X ICPL 343	TCP2 .	25
2	2578	850123	(ICPL 8350 X ICPL 270) X ICP 8102-5-E1	"	25
3	2579	850129	(ICPL 343 X ICPL 270) X T-15-15	"	25
4	2580	850132	(ICPL 343 X ICPL 270) X ICP 7774	"	25
5	2581	850133	(ICPL 332 X ICPL 270) X ICPL 227	"	25
6	2582	850134	(ICPL84060 X ICPL 270) X ICPL 227	"	25
7	2583	850071	ICP 8863 X T-15-15	P2	25

### (ii) F2 Populations

One F2 population of a single cross between wilt resistant genotype ICP 8863 and T-15-15, a white seeded cultivar of Gujarat State was grown. Our objective in this population was to select white seeded wilt resistant plants. We selected 25 white-seeded plants in this F2 population. These 25 selections were sown in 2-row plots in wilt sick nursery in 1988 rainy season. Of these 25 progenies, 16 had cream/white seed color and had wilt incidence upto 20% (Table 4). We plan to grow them in wilt-sick nursery for further monitoring and will evaluate for yield in 1989 rainy season.

### (iii) Yield Potential of Populations

Three open-pollinated population mixtures one each from Parasia Block, Sausar Block, and Amarpada Block of Chhindwara District of M.P., one population known as 'Konda Kandi' collected from a tribal area in A.P., two germplasm accessions (ICP 10012 and ICP 10420) identified as high yielding in 1986 and two F2 populations (ICPX 840152 and ICPX 840160) found superior in 1986 in both rainy and postrainy seasons were also evaluated for their yield potential. Each population was planted in 4 m long 100 rows. Populations from Parasia and Amarpada Blocks were of long-duration and appeared like pure varieties hence were not selected. The yield was recorded in three replications with plot size of 10 x 3.6 m in each population. The yield of populations was compared with control variety C11 (Table 5). Populations were low yielders, hence were not grown in 1988 rainy season for single plant selection.

**Table 5. Performance of mass selected populations grown during 1987 rainy season.**

S.No.	Source Plot No.	Pedigree	Parentage	Yield Kg/ha
1	2584	ICPX 840162 F2	ICPL 227 X ICPL 335	1425
2	2585	ICPX 840160 F2	ICPL 227 X ICPL 8341	1575
3	2586	ICP 10012	-	1575
4	2587	ICP 10420	-	1150
5	2589	Coll. from Sausar Block	-	775
6	2591	Konda Kandi	-	400
7	E#2304	C 11 (Control)	-	1880

**(iv) Composite Populations:**

We had advanced six male sterile composite populations upto 1986. But due to constraints on resources and manpower, all the populations were kept in cold store for future use. However, in 1988 ICPP-2 (Helicoverpa tolerant population) was reconstituted. For the second cycle of random mating eight Helicoverpa tolerant parents (ICPL 87088, -87089, -332, -84060, -187-1, -269, ICP 909-E3(x) and PPE 45-2) were selected. Equal quantity seed of these parents was bulked and was planted at every fourth plot as pollinator row. At flowering, male sterile plants from the ICPP2 composite population were identified and seed from about 200 Helicoverpa tolerant plants was collected to reconstitute the population for further advance in 1989 rainy season.

**E. BREEDING FOR DISEASE RESISTANCE****a) Wilt:**

The breeding material was screened in the wilt sick nursery. The wilt susceptible control, ICP 2376 was sown throughout the field after two test rows to monitor the disease spread in the nursery. The materials screened in the nursery were:

1987:

(i) LRG 30 BC2F2 Generation

The LRG 30, a wilt susceptible variety was crossed with ICP 8863 in 1984. The BC1 F2 was sown in wilt nursery and three plants from two resistant progenies were identified for making second backcross with LRG 30. Three BC2 F2 populations were grown in 1987 to select LRG 30 type wilt resistant plants. The wilt incidence in populations ranged from 84% to 90%. The resistant plants had very poor growth and did not appear promising for single plant selection. Hence no selection was made in these populations.

(ii) F3 Generation

White-seeded 25 single plant progenies from F2 between ICP 8863 and T 15-15 were sown in 1988 in wilt nursery. Wilt incidence in 16 progenies ranged from 0 to 16% (Table 6). Eleven progenies had less than 10% and 5 had upto 16% wilt incidence. These progenies will be tested for yield in 1989 rainy season and will be monitored for wilt reaction in the nursery.

(iii) F5 Generation

A total of 150 single plant selections made in 1986 from populations advanced through Single Pod Descent method and from other populations were screened in 2 row plots in wilt nursery. Eighteen progenies with less than 10% wilt incidence were selected (Table 7). In 1988 selected progenies were yield tested in Wilt Resistant Advanced Lines Yield Test (88P25). The results are reported in Table 44.

**Table 6. List of selected F3 Progenies screened in Wilt Nursery during 1988 rainy season.**

S.No.	Source Plot #	Pedigree (ICPX)	Parentage	% Wilt
1	180	850071-1-WB	ICP 8863 X T-15-15	14
2	181	-2-WB	"	13
3	183	-4-WB	"	5
4	184	-5-WB	"	19
5	185	-6-WB	"	8
6	186	-7-WB	"	7
7	187	-8-WB	"	7
8	188	-9-WB	"	2
9	189	-10-WB	"	16
10	190	-11-WB	"	16
11	191	-12-WB	"	4
12	192	-13-WB	"	3
13	196	-17-WB	"	5
14	197	-18-WB	"	5
15	198	-19-WB	"	17
16	199	-20-WB	"	13
17	200	-21-WB	"	16
18	202	-23-WB	"	9
19	204	-25-WB	"	3

**Table 7. List of selected F5 progenies screened in Wilt Nursery during 1987 K at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru.**

S.No.	Source Plot No.	Pedigree	Parentage	% Wilt
1	61	ICPX 810190F4B-W3	C 11 X ICPL 270	6
2	81	ICPX 810201F4B-W7	C 11 X AVR 74/15	9
3	95	ICPX 810202F4B-W1	C 11 X Bandapalera Coll.	4
4	101	ICPX 810202F4B-W7		10
5	106	ICPX 810202F4B-W12		9
6	109	ICPX 810202F4B-W15		0
7	120	ICPX 810203F4B-W6	C 11 X ICP 8863	8
8	121	ICPX 810203F4B-W7		5
9	128	ICPX 810203F4B-W14		3
10	132	ICPX 810203F4B-W18		8
11	133	ICPX 810203F4B-W19		9
12	139	ICPX 810204F4B-W5	BDN 1 X AWR74/15	9
13	149	ICPX 810204F4B-W15		9
14	150	ICPX 810205F4B-W1	BDN 1 X Bandapalera Coll.	5
15	166	ICPX 810195F4B-W5	LRG 30 X ICPL 295	4
16	169	ICPX 810195F4B-W8		2
17	172	ICPX 810195F4B-W11		3
18	204	ICPX 820193F4B-W7	ICPL 228 X ICPL 335	7

#### (iv) Irradiation of ICP 8863

In certain Indian states white seeded genotypes are used as dual purpose (vegetable and dhal) and wilt is a serious problem. White/cream color wilt resistant genotypes are in great demand in those states. ICP 8863, a wilt resistant high yielding but brown seed color genotype was selected for irradiation with the hope of getting white/cream color mutants. The dry seeds of this genotype were irradiated with 5, 10, 15, 20 and 25 kR doses and were sown with untreated control in 1988. All the M1 seeds were collected for growing M2 population in 1989 rainy season.

#### (v) Monitoring and Maintenance of lines

Four wilt resistant lines (ICPL 8357, ICPL 85066, ICPL 84008, and ICPL 227) and 12 LRG 30 M4 progenies were grown in 1987 in wilt sick nursery for monitoring. The seed from resistant plants was bulked for further use. In 1988 some 150 advanced lines were screened in the nursery. The wilt incidence is reported in Table 8.

**Table 8. List of station trial entries monitored in vilt nursery at ICRISAT Center during 1988 rainy season.**

S.No.	Pedigree	% Vilt		Mean	Remarks
		R-I	R-II		
1	ICPL 87088	91.0	86.0	89.0	MPAY
2	ICPL 88041	90.0	70.0	80.0	"
3	ICPL 88042	95.0	79.0	87.0	"
4	ICPL 88043	1.3	0.0	0.7	"
5	ICPL 88044	1.7	3.3	3.0	"
6	ICPL 88045	2.6	2.7	3.0	"
7	ICPL 88046	4.2	4.0	4.0	"
8	ICPL 88047	1.4	0.0	0.7	"
9	ICPL 88048	29.0	15.0	22.0	"
10	ICPL 88049	4.7	32.0	18.0	"
11	BDN 1	35.0	78.0	57.0	"
12	C 11	82.0	34.0	58.0	"
13	ICPX 800324-E31-E1-EB-EB-EB	6.5	69.0	38.0	PIRYT
14	ICPX 820042-E17-E5-EB-EB	100.0	96.0	98.0	"
15	ICPX 820052-E1-E1-EB-EB	91.0	88.0	90.0	"
16	ICPX 820045-E20-E1-EB-EB	97.0	97.0	97.0	"
17	ICPX 820051-E5-E3-EB-EB	93.0	87.0	90.0	"
18	ICPX 820041-E3-E4-EB-EB	98.0	93.0	96.0	"
19	ICPX 820045-E10-E3-E4-EB-EB	100.0	100.0	100.0	"
20	ICPX 820050-E12-E2-EB-EB	93.0	100.0	97.0	"
21	ICPX 820041-E2-E4-EB-EB	97.0	98.0	98.0	"
22	ICPX 820045-E6-E1-EB-EB	56.0	77.0	67.0	"
23	ICPX 820042-E5-E1-EB-EB	96.0	100.0	98.0	"
24	ICPX 820046-E1-E7-EB-EB	95.0	86.0	91.0	"
25	ICPX 820046-E2-E3-EB-EB	97.0	81.0	89.0	"
26	BDN 1	9.0	60.0	35.0	"
27	C 11	76.0	58.0	67.0	"
28	ICPL 332	76.0	95.0	86.0	"
29	823/11	95.0	91.0	93.0	Kenyan lines
30	ICP 8006	88.0	59.0	74.0	"
31	Early Selection	92.0	86.0	89.0	"
32	Medium Selection-1	80.0	100.0	90.0	"
33	Germplasm Selection	80.0	90.0	85.0	"
34	NPP 670	95.0	71.0	83.0	"
35	Kambi-Ya-Mave. Sel	100.0	78.0	89.0	"
36	Medium Selection-2	77.0	61.0	69.0	"
37	KATPP-E-31/4	65.0	79.0	72.0	"
38	60/8	93.0	89.0	91.0	"
39	KATPP-777	73.0	50.0	62.0	"
40	KATPP-69/6	68.0	34.0	51.0	"
41	KATPP-878	96.0	88.0	92.0	"
42	KATPP-11 RA	98.0	86.0	92.0	"
43	KATPP-81/3/3	49.0	50.0	50.0	"
44	C 11	31.0	41.0	36.0	"
45	ICPX 820169-B-S1-SVB*-SVB	0.0	5.0	3.0	WSMALT
46	ICPX 820169-B-S4-SVB*-SVB	0.0	0.0	0.0	"

47	ICPX 820169-B-S5-SVB*-SVB	1.4	6	4	
48	ICPX 820169-B-S19-SVB*-SVB	100.0	2.0	51.0	"
49	ICPX 820169-B-S21-SVB*-SVB	0.0	2.0	1.0	"
50	ICPX 820181-B-S2-SVB*-SVB	0.0	0.0	0.0	"
51	ICPX 820181-B-S3-SVB*-SVB	0.0	11.0	6.0	"
52	ICPX 820181-B-S6-SVB*-SVB	0.0	6.0	3.0	"
53	ICPX 820181-B-S7-SVB*-SVB	1.3	2.0	2.0	"
54	ICPX 820181-B-S8-SVB*-SVB	0.0	8.0	4.0	"
55	ICPX 820181-B-S14-SVB*-SVB	5.0	7.0	6.0	"
56	ICPX 820181-B-S15-SVB*-SVB	0.0	4.0	2.0	"
57	ICPX 820181-B-S19-SVB*-SVB	4.0	6.0	5.0	"
58	ICPX 820181-B-S25-SVB*-SVB	2.0	0.0	1.0	"
59	ICPX 820182-B-S2-SVB*-SVB	0.0	7.0	4.0	"
60	ICPX 820184-B-S1-SVB*-SVB	3.0	5.0	4.0	"
61	ICPX 820195-B-S9-SVB*-SVB	0.0	5.0	3.0	"
62	ICPX 820369-SB-S1-SVB*-SVB	0.0	5.0	3.0	"
63	ICPX 820369-SB-S2-SVB*-SVB	0.0	3.0	2.0	"
64	ICPX 820372-SB-S1-SVB*-SVB	0.0	4.0	2.0	"
65	ICPX 820372-SB-S2-SVB*-SVB	0.0	0.0	0.0	"
66	ICPX 820372-SB-S3-SVB*-SVB	0.0	13.0	7.0	"
67	ICPX 820372-SB-S6-SVB*-SVB	0.0	0.0	0.0	"
68	ICPX 820372-SB-S7-SVB*-SVB	0.0	0.0	0.0	"
69	ICPX 820372-SB-S11-SVB*-SVB	0.0	0.0	0.0	"
70	ICPX 820372-SB-S14-SVB*-SVB	0.0	7	4	"
71	ICPX 820372-SB-S16-SVB*-SVB	0.0	4	2	"
72	ICPX 820372-SB-S17-SVB*-SVB	0.0	0.0	0.0	"
73	ICPX 800004-B-B-B-SW2-SVB	10.0	85.0	48.0	"
74	ICPX 800006P5B-SW4-SVB	3.0	2.0	3.0	"
75	ICPX 800004P6B-SW3-SVB	9.0	17.0	13.0	"
76	ICPX 800004P6B-SW6-SVB	2.0	5.0	4.0	"
77	ICPX 800021P6B-SW6-SVB	3.0	5.0	4.0	"
78	ICPX 800021P6B-SW10-SVB	13.0	8.0	11.0	"
79	BDN 1	52.0	52.0	52.0	"
80	C 11	26.0	25.0	26.0	"
81	ICPL 88048	52.0	29.0	41.0	BDN1 BC3P6 PYT
82	ICPL 88049	18.0	28.0	23.0	"
83	BDN1 BC3F2-16*-S4*-S1*-SB*-B	26.0	22.0	24.0	"
84	BDN1 BC3F2-16*-S4*-S5*-SB*-B	35.0	32.0	34.0	"
85	BDN1 BC3F2-2*-S7*-S2*-SB*-B	39.0	22.0	31.0	"
86	BDN1 BC3F2-2*-S6*-S3*-SB*-B	26.0	19.0	23.0	"
87	BDN1 BC3F2-16*-S5*-S1*-SB*-B	53.0	70.0	62.0	"
88	BDN1 BC3F2-16*-S10*-S2*-SB*-B	37.0	71.0	54.0	"
89	BDN1 BC3F2-2*-S1*-S4*-SB*-B	44.0	60.0	52.0	"
90	BDN1 BC3F2-16*-S4*-S2*-SB*-B	84.0	77.0	81.0	"
91	BDN1 BC3F2-16*-S4*-S6*-SB*-B	40.0	32.0	36.0	"
92	BDN 1	91.0	55.0	73.0	"
93	ICPX 810190P4B-W3-WB	4.0	90.0	47.0	WRALT
94	ICPX 810201P4B-W7-WB	2.0	0.0	1.0	"
95	ICPX 810202P4B-W1-WB	2.0	2.0	2.0	"
96	ICPX 810202P4B-W7-WB	0.0	0.0	0.0	"
97	ICPX 810202P4B-W12-WB	5.0	0.0	3.0	"
98	ICPX 810202P4B-W15-WB	5.0	4.0	5.0	"
99	ICPX 810203P4B-W6-WB	2.0	2.0	2.0	"
100	ICPX 810203P4B-W7-WB	4.0	2.0	3.0	"
101	ICPX 810203P4B-W14-WB	2.0	0.0	1.0	"

102	ICPX 810203F4B-V18-VB	9.0	4.0	7.0
103	ICPX 810203F4B-V19-VB	0.0	4.0	2.0
104	ICPX 810204-F4B-V5-VB	4.0	5.0	5.0
105	ICPX 810204-F4B-V15-VB	8.0	3.0	6.0
106	ICPX 810205-F4B-V1-VB	12.0	3.0	8.0
107	ICPX 810195-F4B-V3-VB	5.0	7.0	6.0
108	ICPX 810195-F4B-V8-VB	4.0	5.0	5.0
109	ICPX 810195-F4B-V11-VB	2.0	0.0	1.0
110	ICPX 820193F4B-V7-VB	2.0	2.0	2.0
111	ICPX 810192F4B-S2-SB	22.0	42.0	32.0
112	ICPX 810192F4B-S3-SB	75.0	55.0	65.0
113	ICPX 810192F4B-S4-SB	92.0	83.0	88.0
114	ICPX 810192F4B-S5-SB	26.0	17.0	22.0
115	ICPX 810192F4B-S7-SB	95.0	88.0	92.0
116	ICPX 810192F4B-S12-SB	85.0	71.0	78.0
117	ICPX 810192F4B-S15-SB	96.0	58.0	77.0
118	ICPX 810192F4B-S16-SB	36.0	10.0	23.0
119	ICPX 810192F4B-S17-SB	23.0	17.0	20.0
120	ICPX 810192F4B-S18-SB	45.0	48.0	47.0
121	ICPX 820172F4B-S2-SB	32.0	21.0	27.0
122	ICPX 820172F4B-S3-SB	85.0	46.0	66.0
123	ICPX 820172F4B-S4-SB	77.0	76.0	77.0
124	ICPX 820172F4B-S5-SB	47.0	89.0	68.0
125	ICPX 820172F4B-S9-SB	88.0	87.0	88.0
126	ICPX 820172F4B-S11-SB	64.0	77.0	71.0
127	ICPX 820172F4B-S12-SB	98.0	63.0	81.0
128	ICPX 820179F4B-S3-SB	27.0	57.0	42.0
129	ICPX 820179F4B-S5-SB	93.0	71.0	82.0
130	ICPX 820179F4B-S6-SB	13.0	0.0	7.0
131	ICPX 820023F5B-S2-SB	82.0	73.0	78.0
132	ICPX 820023F5B-S6-SB	86.0	55.0	71.0
133	ICPX 800023F5B-S9-SB	88.0	77.0	83.0
134	ICPX 800023F5B-S14-SB	87.0	77.0	82.0
135	ICPX 800023F5B-S16-SB	92.0	86.0	89.0
136	ICPX 800023F5B-S17-SB	85.0	79.0	82.0
137	ICPX 820134-13-DT1-B-B	94.0	94.0	94.0
138	ICPX 820143-46-DT3-B-B	51.0	64.0	58.0
139	ICPX 820135-16-DT2-B-B	91.0	84.0	88.0
140	ICPX 820134-32-DT3-B-B	87.0	67.0	77.0
141	ICPX 820134-28-DT1-B-B	81.0	60.0	71.0
142	ICPX 780227-1-1-1-B-B	92.0	56.0	74.0
143	ICPX 780227-1-1-1-15-B	10.0	7.0	9.0
144	ICPX 780227-1-1-3-10-B	15.0	14.0	15.0
145	ICPX 780227-1-1-1-B-B	82.0	40.0	61.0
146	(T-15-15 X HY-3A)F2-4-1-B-B	28.0	0.0	14.0
147	(B12 X ICP 6997)F2-1-1-B-B	36.0	67.0	52.0
C 11		44.0	18.0	31.0
149	<b>BDN 1</b>	76.0	82.0	79.0
150	ICPL 211	50.0	60.0	60.0

DTADLT

**b) Sterility Mosaic**

The screening of breeding material was done in SM screening nursery. The susceptible control ICP 8863 was planted after every 10 test rows to serve as indicator row to monitor the disease spread.

## (i) F5-F6 Generation

A total of 41 single plant progenies derived from three SPD populations and 17 selections from one SPD population (ICP 6997 x ICP 2376) in F6 generation were screened in SM nursery. A total of 20 progenies (10 from ICPX 81192, 7 from ICPX 82172 and 3 from 82179) were found resistant. The % SM incidence in selected progenies ranged from 0 to 8% (Table 9). From 17 progenies planted in ICP 6997 x ICP 2376 population, six showed resistant reaction. The % SM incidence in selected progenies ranged from 0 to 9%.

During 1988 rainy season selected progenies were tested for yield under SM-free condition. The results are reported in Table 45. During 1988 no breeding material was screened in SM nursery.

**c) Wilt and Sterility Mosaic**

In wilt and SM screening nursery wilt susceptible but SM resistant control, ICP 2376 was planted after every two test rows. However SM susceptible control ICP 8863 was sown after every ten test rows. In 1988 season the susceptible control, ICP 8863 was sown after every 22 rows to monitor the disease spread in the nursery. The following material was screened.

**Table 9. List of selected F5 & F6 progenies screened in SM Nursery during 1987 rainy season at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru.**

S.No.	Source Plot #	Pedigree	Parentage	Gen	% SM
1	254	ICPX 810192F4B-S2	LRG 30 X ICP 6997	F5	0
2	255	ICPX 810192F4B-S3	"	"	5
3	256	ICPX 810192F4B-S4	"	"	2
4	257	ICPX 810192F4B-S5	"	"	6
5	259	ICPX 810192F4B-S7	"	"	8
6	264	ICPX 810192F4B-S12	"	"	4
7	267	ICPX 810192F4B-S15	"	"	5
8	268	ICPX 810192F4B-S16	"	"	5
9	269	ICPX 810192F4B-S17	"	"	3
10	270	ICPX 810192F4B-S18	"	"	0
11	276	ICPX 820172F4B-S2	ICPL 131 X ICP10997	"	5
12	277	ICPX 820172F4B-S3	"	"	8
13	278	ICPX 820172F4B-S4	"	"	4
14	279	ICPX 820172F4B-S5	"	"	8
15	283	ICPX 820172F4B-S9	"	"	3
16	285	ICPX 820172F4B-S11	"	"	6
17	286	ICPX 820172F4B-S12	"	"	6
18	289	ICPX 820179F4B-S3	LRG 36 X ICP 11157	"	7
19	291	ICPX 820179F4B-S5	"	"	3
20	292	ICPX 820179F4B-S6	"	"	2
21	295	ICPX 800023F5B-S2	ICP 6997 X ICP 2376	F6	9
22	299	ICPX 800023F5B-S6	"	"	3
23	302	ICPX 800023F5B-S9	"	"	5
24	307	ICPX 800023F5B-S14	"	"	0
25	309	ICPX 800023F5B-S16	"	"	9
26	310	ICPX 800023F5B-S17	"	"	7

(i) F2 Generation: Nine F2 populations involving parents with either having resistance to wilt, SM or both were screened in the nursery in 4 m long 36 rows accommodating about 750-800 plants per population. The wilt incidence in populations ranged from 84 to 98% (Table 10). The seed of all resistant plants within the population was bulked for further advance. In 1988 rainy season, these populations showed a good level of wilt resistance ranging from 6 to 30% (Table 11). These populations also segregated for white and brown seed color. For 1989 season brown and white color seeds in each population were separated for further screening.

In 1988 rainy season, three F2 populations that involved at least one wilt and SM resistant parent were also screened in the nursery. The wilt incidence in the populations ranged from 34 to 63%. Seeds from each resistant plant within a population were bulked for further screening in 1989 rainy season.

(ii) F3 Generation: Twelve populations constituted by bulking seed of wilt and SM resistant plants in F2 generation in 1987 were screened in the nursery. The wilt incidence was very high ranging from 80 to 99%. All the SM susceptible plants were rogued out and one pod each from surviving plants was bulked and reconstituted populations (F4 generation) were again screened. In 1988 rainy season the wilt incidence in populations ranged from 4% to 42% (Table 12). Five populations (ICPX 840154-SWB-SWB, -840166-SWB-SWB, -840173-SWB-SWB, 840177-SWB-SWB and ICPX 840181-SWB-SWB) showed more wilt incidence hence were rejected. Seven populations with less than 10% wilt incidence will be screened in 1989 rainy season for making single plant selections.

**Table 10. List of F2 bulks screened in Vilt + SM Nursery at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru during 1987 rainy season.**

S.No.	Source Plot #	Pedigree	Parentage		% Vilt
1	793	ICPX 850135	ICP 3783	X ICPL 127	88
2	794	ICPX 850136	ICP 3783	X ICNX 780287-DT1-B-B	91
3	795	ICPX 850137	ICP 3783	X ICNX 780293-DT7-B-2	89
4	796	ICPX 850138	ICP 3783	X ICPL 211	84
5	1308	ICPX 850139	ICPL 288	X ICPL 127	98
6	1309	ICPX 850144	ICPL85062	X ICPL 211	98
7	1310	ICPX 850145	ICPL84011	X ICPL 211	87
8	1311	ICPX 850151	ICPL 211	X ICPL 335	86
9	1312	ICPX 850069	ICP 8863	X ICP 7119	89

**Table 11. List of selected F3 bulk populations from Wilt + SM nursery at ICRISAT Center, during 1988 rainy season.**

S.No.	Source W+SMDN Plot #	Pedigree (ICPX)	Parentage	% Wilt
1	620	850135-SVB	ICP 3783 X ICPL 127	6
2	621	850136-SVB	" X 78287-DT1-B-B	24
3	622	850137-SVB	" . X 78293-DT7-B-2	30
4	623	850138-SVB	" X ICPL 211	8
5	624	850139-SVB	ICPL 288 X ICPL 127	19
6	625	850144-SVB	ICPL 85062 X ICPL 211	60
7	626	850145-SVB	ICPL 84011 X ICPL 211	46
8	627	850151-SVB	ICPL 211 X ICPL 335	12
9	628	850069-SVB	ICP 8863 X ICP 7119	8

**Table 12. List of selected P4 SPD bulks from Vilt + SM nursery during 1988 rainy season.**

S.No.	Source V+SMDN Plot #	Pedigree (ICPX)	Parentage	% Vilt
1	608	840154-SWB-SWB	ICPL333 X ICPL345	42
2	609	840158-SWB-SWB	DT230 X ICPL345	8
3	610	840159-SWB-SWB	ICPL227 X ICP7218(PDM-1)	10
4	611	840160-SWB-SWB	ICPL227 X ICPL83041	6
5	612	840161-SWB-SWB	ICPL227 X ICPL270	7
6	613	840162-SWB-SWB	ICPL227 X ICPL345	4
7	614	840166-SWB-SWB	ICPL83056 X ICPL345	18
8	615	840170-SWB-SWB	ICPL83063 X ICPL345	4
9	616	840173-SWB-SWB	ICPL346 X ICPL270	18
10	617	840177-SWB-SWB	ICPL83050 X ICPL270	38
11	618	840181-SWB-SWB	ICPL343 X ICPL270	39
12	619	840185-SWB-SWB	ICPL366 X ICPL270	8

In 1988 rainy season 25 single plant progenies each from four crosses (ICPX 850132, -850121, -850123 and ICPX 850129) were screened in two row 4 m long plots. From a total of 100 progenies only 13 were found promising. The wilt incidence in selected progenies ranged from 51 to 76% and SM from 0 to 36% (Table 13). The resistant plants in each selected progeny were bulked for further purification in 1989.

(iii) F5-F7 Generation:

In 1987, 53 single plant progenies in P5, 27 in P6 and 20 in P7 generation were screened in the nursery. Only 28 progenies in P5, 2 in P6 and 4 in F7 generation had less than 10% wilt and SM incidence. Five progenies in F6 generation were completely free from wilt and SM. All 34 progenies were tested for yield in 1988. The wilt and SM incidence is reported in Table 14.

(iv) BDN1 BC3F6 Generation:

In 1987, 57 BDN 1 x ICPX 73054 F6 line BC3P6 progenies were screened in this nursery. Since none of the parents had resistance to wilt, all progenies had very high wilt incidence, therefore, no progeny was selected. However, from two progenies (plot nos. 506 and 521 of 1987) one resistant plant from each progeny was selected.

In 1988 these selections were screened in progeny rows. Both the progenies were free from SM and had wilt incidence 3 and 9%, respectively. The progenies also were uniform for plant height and other morphological characters. They will be tested for yield in 1989 rainy season.

Table 13. List of selected P3 SPP's screened Vilt + SM nursery during 1988 rainy season.

S.No.	Source V+SMN Plot #	Pedigree (ICPX)	Parentage	% Vilt	% SM	Seed Colour
1	455	850121-7	(ICPL83063 X ICPL270) X ICPL 343	56	6	White, light brown
2	458	" -10	"	51	17	Brown
3	459	" -11	"	55	15	Brown, white
4	463	" -15	"	63	0	Brown, white
5	467	" -19	"	63	10	Brown
6	473	" -25	"	65	31	Brown, cream, bla-
7	485	850123-12	(ICPL83050 X ICPL270) X ICP 8102-5-E1	55	6	CR,BR
8	491	" -18	"	67	14	Cream
9	495	" -22	"	74	16	Light brown
10	497	" -24	"	66	29	Light brown
11	498	" -25	"	63	17	Cream, brown
12	504	850129-6	(ICPL 343 X ICPL 270) X T-15-15	71	15	Brown
13	509	-11	"	76	36	Brown

Table 14. List of selected F5, F6, & F7 progenies screened in Wilt + SM Nursery at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru during 1987 rainy season.

S.No.	Source Plot #	Pedigree (ICPX)	Parentage	Gen	% Wilt	% SM
1	585	820169-B-S1-SB*-SB	ICPL 131 X ICPL 335	F6	2	2
2	587	" -B-S4-SB*-SB	"	F6	0	3
3	588	" -B-S5-SB*-SB	"	F6	9	6
4	594	" -B-S19-SB*-SB	"	F6	5	3
5	595	" -B-S21-SB*-SB	"	F6	9	6
6	599	820181-B-S2-SB*-SB	ICPL 270 X ICPL 335	F6	0	0
7	600	" -B-S3-SB*-SB	"	F6	7	0
8	601	" -B-S6-SB*-SB	"	F6	9	0
9	602	" -B-S7-SB*-SB	"	F6	0	0
10	603	" -B-S8-SB*-SB	"	F6	3	0
11	606	" -B-S14-SB*-SB	"	F6	5	0
12	607	" -B-S15-SB*-SB	"	F6	9	0
13	610	" -B-S19-SB*-SB	"	F6	9	0
14	611	" -B-S25-SB*-SB	"	F6	7	0
15	612	820182-B-S2-SB*-SB	ICPL 270 X ICPL 227	F6	3	0
16	613	820184-B-S1-SB*-SB	ICPL 270 X ICP 10997	F6	7	0
17	616	820195-B-S9-SB*-SB	ICPL 228 X ICP 11157	F6	0	6
18	620	820369-SB-S1-SB*-SB	ICP11164 X ICP 10988	F6	3	3
19	621	820369-SB-S2-SB*-SB	"	F6	2	0
20	623	820372-SB-S1-SB*-SB	ICP11164 X ICPL 131	F6	0	0
21	624	" -SB-S2-SB*-SB	"	F6	2	0
22	625	" -SB-S3-SB*-SB	"	F6	2	0
23	626	" -SB-S6-SB*-SB	"	F6	7	2
24	627	" -SB-S7-SB*-SB	"	F6	6	4
25	629	" -SB-S11-SB*-SB	"	F6	4	2
26	630	" -SB-S14-SB*-SB	"	F6	3	3
27	631	" -SB-S16-SB*-SB	"	F6	0	0
28	632	" -SB-S17-SB*-SB	"	F6	0	0
29	559	800004-B-B-B-SW2-SB	ICP-1-6-1641-SWB*X ICP2376	F7	5	0
30	581	800006P5B-SW4-SW4-SB	ICP 7118-W13*----X ICP2376	F7	4	4
31	540	800004F6B-SW3-SB	ICP-1-6-1641-SWB*X ICP2376	F8	5	0
32	543	" -SW6-SB	"	F8	4	0
33	552	800021F6B-SW6-SB	ICP 7952 X ICP 7035	F8	1	7
34	556	" -SW10-SB	"	F8	3	9

(v) Progenies from wilt and SM male sterile composite population (ICPP4)

After three generations of random-mating, 500 agronomically superior plants were selected from disease-free isolation plot for screening in the nursery in 1987K to identify male fertile progenies combining wilt and SM resistance. From 500 single plant progenies screened only 68 plants were male fertile and had wilt and SM resistance (Table 15). These selections were further screened as progeny rows in 1988 rainy season. Of 68 progenies screened, 32 were found promising (Table 16). Two progenies (ICPP4-313-SW1) and ICPP-4-313-SW2) were totally free from wilt and SM incidence. These progenies will be tested for their yield potential in 1989.

(vi) Monitoring of Trial Entries:

During 1987 season, 143 lines included in different yield trials were screened for wilt and SM reaction. The % wilt and SM incidence is reported in Table 17. In 1988, 15 medium-duration hybrids (ms ICP 3783 and 15 wilt resistant parents) were screened in the nursery. The wilt incidence ranged from 0 to 64% (Table 18). The highest yielding hybrid (ICPH 487) between ms 3783 and ICPL 87119 had 42% wilt incidence. This hybrid will be remade in 1989 in wilt sick nursery to ensure wilt resistance.

d). Multiple Disease Resistance

In this nursery we simultaneously screen breeding material for wilt, SM and Phytophthora stem blight diseases. Four rows of NP(WR)15 were planted to serve as SM-infecting hedge. Wilt susceptible control, ICP 2376 was grown after every two test rows to monitor the disease spread in the

**Table 15. List of fertile selections made in ICPP4 Progenies  
in Wilt + SM Nursery at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru  
during 1987 rainy season.**

S.No.	Source Plot #	Pedigree	No.of selections made
1	1314	ICPP 4-2	1
2	1323	-11	1
3	1337	-25	1
4	1340	-28	1
5	1354	-42	2
6	1374	-62	2
7	1387	-75	1
8	1419	-107	1
9	1429	-117	3
10	1443	-131	1
11	1448	-136	1
12	1449	-137	1
13	1453	-141	2
14	1457	-145	2
15	1466	-154	1
16	1468	-156	3
17	1470	-158	3
18	1478	-166	1
19	1525	-213	1
20	1535	-223	1
21	1536	-224	1
22	1558	-246	3
23	1559	-247	5
24	1586	-274	1
25	1587	-275	3
26	1591	-279	2
27	1599	-287	1
28	1602	-290	1
29	1606	-294	1
30	1625	-313	2
31	1638	-326	6
32	1640	-328	3
33	1643	-331	3
34	1665	-353	1
35	1667	-355	1
36	1668	-356	1
37	1672	-360	1
38	1767	-455	2
<b>Total</b>			<b>68</b>

**Table 16. List of selected ICPP 4 Progenies from Vilt + SM nursery during 1988 rainy season.**

S.No.	Source W+SMDN Plot #	Pedigree	Vilt	X SM
1	528	ICPP4-42-SV1	46	3
2	531	-62-SV2	52	4
3	532	-75-SV1	33	5
4	534	-117-SV1	8	28
5	536	-117-SV3	56	0
6	538	-136-SV1	42	0
7	539	-137-SV1	59	0
8	542	-145-SV1	29	16
9	543	-145-SV2	12	0
10	544	-154-SV1	55	16
11	547	-156-SV3	50	7
12	548	-158-SV1	43	12
13	549	-158-SV2	26	7
14	550	-158-SV3	35	0
15	558	-247-SV1	38	19
16	559	-247-SV2	15	6
17	561	-247-SV4	17	3
18	567	-279-SV1	56	0
19	569	-287-SV1	43	0
20	570	-290-SV1	54	8
21	572	-313-SV1	0	0
22	573	-313-SV2	0	0
23	574	-326-SV1	28	8
24	575	-326-SV2	31	2
25	576	-326-SV3	45	0
26	578	-326-SV5	29	21
27	579	-326-SV6	54	0
28	580	-328-SV1	28	9
29	583	-331-SV1	38	8
30	585	-331-SV3	49	4
31	588	-356-SV1	44	4
32	589	-360-SV1	28	0
33	590	-455-SV1	29	0
34	591	-455-SV2	50	0

Table 17. List of station trial entries monitored in Wilt + SM  
Nursery at ICRISAT Center, during 1987 rainy season.

S.No.	Pedigree	% Wilt	% SM	Remarks
1	ICPL 84008	11	95	MPAY
2	ICPL 85061	41	100	"
3	ICPL 85063	100	0	"
4	ICPL 85070	22	100	"
5	HPL 40	96	100	"
6	ICPL 87088	97	100	"
7	ICPL 87089	91	94	"
8	ICPL 87090	93	100	"
9	ICPL 87119	4	11	"
10	ICPL 87120	0	94	"
11	ICPL 87121	79	97	"
12	ICPL 87122	50	74	"
13	ICPL 87123	0	100	"
14	ICPL 270	84 ?	100	"
15	C 11	100	100	"
16	BDN 1	83	100	"
17	ICPL 270	89	100	ART
18	ICP 8863	77	100	"
19	ICPL 85066	23	100	"
20	HY 4	100	100	"
21	ICPL 86033	98	36	"
22	ICPL 332	100	100	"
23	ICPL 84060	78	100	"
24	BDN 1	82	100	"
25	MRG-53	3	100	"
26	ICPL 95	90	100	"
27	C 11	76	100	"
28	HY 14	97	100	"
29	BDN 12	85	100	"
30	MTH 19	100	100	"
31	ICPL 270	10	100	PIRYT
32	ICPL 332	18	64	"
33	BDN 1	23	100	"
34	C 11	68	100	"
35	ICPX 810300-E8-E3-E1-EB	94	94	"
36	ICPX 810300-E8-E1-E8-EB	100	100	"
37	ICPX 810167-E22-E1-E2-EB	92	100	"
38	ICPX 810580-E7-E1-E1-EB	100	100	"
39	ICPL 87088	100	100	"
40	ICPL 87089	100	100	"
41	ICPX 800324-E31-E1-EB-EB-EB	68	100	"
42	ICPX 800324-E44-E1-EB-EB-EB	100	100	"
43	ICP 11292	36	100	"
44	ICPX 780143-WB-WB-WB-WB-W48-B-B*	0	5	WRALT
45	ICPX 780153-WB-WB-WB-WB-W43-B-B*	7	100	"
46	LRG 30 BC1F2-V57*-V3-WB*-WB*	0	100	"
47	LRG 30 BC1F2-V69*-V1-WB*-WB*	0	100	"

48	LRG 30 BC1P2-V69*-V2-VB*-VB*	7	100	"
49	5 KR-12-VB	17	41	"
50	5 KR-18-VB*	0	100	"
51	10 KR-3-VB	20	97	"
52	10 KR-11-VB*	3	100	"
53	10 KR-12-VB	74	82	"
54	10 KR-17-VB*	7	100	"
55	15 KR-19-VB	5	100	"
56	20 KR-20-VB*	0	100	"
57	25 KR-23-VB	8	5	"
58	25 KR-33-VB	4	15	"
59	35 KR-8-VB	4	35	"
60	35 KR-13-VB	13	100	"
61	40 KR-1-VB	0	6	"
62	5 KR-B-VB	5	36	"
63	15 KR-B-VB	45	100	"
64	25 KR-B-VB	0	26	"
65	ICPL 265	66	100	"
66	ICPX 780052-SB-SB-SB-SB-B-9-B	93	61	SMALT
67	ICPX 780052-SB-SB-SB-SB-B-10-B	74	68	"
68	ICPX 780052-SB-SB-SB-SB-B-14-B	50	41	"
69	ICPX 780052-SB-SB-SB-SB-B-16-B	94	3	"
70	ICPX 780053-S(T)B-S(T)B-SB-SB-B-3-B	97	12	"
71	" -23-B	66	9	"
72	" -27-B	100	3	"
73	ICPX 780053-SB-SB-SB-SB-B-1-B	85	30	"
74	" -2-B	90	97	"
75	" -3-B	92	14	"
76	" -4-B	90	5	"
77	ICPX 780046-SB-SB-SB-SB-B-7-B	100	14	"
78	BDN1 BC3P2-2*-S1*-S1*-SB*	94	0	BDN 1 BC3P6 Prog. Test
79	BDN1 BC3F2-2*-S1*-S3*-SB*	100	0	"
80	BDN1 BC3F2-2*-S1*-S4*-SB*	97	0	"
81	BDN1 BC3F2-2*-S1*-S5*-SB*	94	0	"
82	BDN1 BC3P2-2*-S1*-S6*-SB*	77	0	"
83	BDN1 BC3F2-2*-S6*-S1*-SB*	94	3	"
84	BDN1 BC3F2-2*-S6*-S2*-SB*	100	0	"
85	BDN1 BC3F2-2*-S6*-S3*-SB*	97	0	"
86	BDN1 BC3F2-2*-S6*-S5*-SB*	86	0	"
87	BDN1 BC3F2-2*-S7*-S2*-SB*	97	3	"
88	BDN1 BC3P2-16*-S1*-S1*-SB*	100	0	"
89	BDN1 BC3F2-16*-S2*-S1*-SB*	100	0	"
90	BDN1 BC3F2-16*-S2*-S2*-SB*	95	0	"
91	BDN1 BC3F2-16*-S4*-S1*-SB*	83	3	"
92	BDN1 BC3P2-16*-S4*-S2*-SB*	100	0	"
93	BDN1 BC3F2-16*-S4*-S3*-SB*	92	0	"
94	BDN1 BC3F2-16*-S4*-S4*-SB*	97	0	"
95	BDN1 BC3F2-16*-S4*-S5*-SB*	95	0	"
96	BDN1 BC3F2-16*-S4*-S6*-SB*	100	0	"
97	BDN1 BC3F2-16*-S5*-S1*-SB*	90	5	"
98	BDN1 BC3P2-16*-S5*-S2*-SB*	100	0	"
99	BDN1 BC3F2-16*-S5*-S3*-SB*	100	0	"
100	BDN1 BC3F2-16*-S7*-S1*-SB*	89	0	"

101	BDN1	BC3F2-16*-S8*-S1*-SB*	100	0
102	BDN1	BC3F2-16*-S10*-S1*-SB*	92	5
103	BDN1	BC3F2-16*-S10*-S2*-SB*	96	0
104	BDN1	BC3F2-26*-S27*-S1*-SB*	94	9
105	BDN1	BC3F2-26*-S29*-S1*-SB*	95	18
106	BDN1	BC3F2-26*-S33*-S1*-SB*	91	12
107	BDN1	BC3F2-26*-S34*-S1*-SB*	89	44
108	BDN1	BC3F2-26*-S34*-S2*-SB*	89	24
109	BDN1	BC3F2-26*-S34*-S3*-SB*	94	14
110	BDN1	BC3F2-26*-S35*-S1*-SB*	97	21
111	BDN1	BC3F2-26*-S35*-S2*-SB*	100	9
112	BDN1	BC3F2-56*-S36*-S1*-SB*	100	11
113	C 11	BC2F2-3*-S9*-S1*-SB*	100	8
114	C 11	BC2F2-3*-S9*-S2*-SB*	85	23
115	C 11	BC2F2-3*-S9*-S3*-SB*	100	24
116	C 11	BC2F2-3*-S9*-S4*-SB*	91	71
117	C 11	BC2F2-3*-S10*-S1*-SB*	89	0
118	C 11	BC2F2-3*-S10*-S3*-SB*	100	9
119	C 11	BC2F2-3*-S11*-S1*-SB*	100	6
120	C 11	BC2F2-3*-S11*-S1*-SB*	33	100
121	ICPX	820134-5-DT1-B	89	4
122	ICPX	820134-13-DT1-B	96	100
123	ICPX	820134-13-DT2-B	90	100
124	ICPX	820134-15-DT3-B	97	71
125	ICPX	820134-22-DT3-B	81	100
126	ICPX	820134-28-DT1-B	100	6
127	ICPX	820134-32-DT1-B	100	100
128	ICPX	820134-32-DT3-B	91	100
129	ICPX	820135-10-DT2-B	90	97
130	ICPX	820135-11-DT4-B	75	100
131	ICPX	820135-16-DT2-B	96	88
132	ICPX	820135-17-DT2-B	79	100
133	ICPX	820135-42-DT1-B	83	100
134	ICPX	820135-43-DT1-B	76	100
135	ICPX	820136-3-DT1-B	91	91
136	ICPX	820136-38-DT5-B	91	100
137	ICPX	820137-11-DT5-B	64	100
138	ICPX	820139-11-DT2-B	88	16
139	ICPX	820143-46-DT3-B	100	25
140	ICPX	820139-1-DT2-B	100	12
141	ICPX	820139-1-DT3-B	88	18
142	ICPX	820139-29-DT8-B	100	100
143	ICPL	21	96	11

C 11 BC2F6  
Prog. Test.

F5 DT Prog. Test

Table 18. List of Hybrids screened in Wilt + SM Nursery at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru during 1988 rainy season.

S.No.	Source W.SMN Plot #	IPH No.	Parentage	% Wilt	% SM
1	592	IPH 477	MS 3783 X ICPL 270	64	- 82
2	593	IPH 478	" X ICPL 335	25	88
3	594	IPH 479	" X ICPL 227	20	87
4	595	IPH 480	" X ICPL 8357	13	53
5	596	IPH 481	" X ICPL 85066	33	100
6	597	IPH 482	" X ICPL 84008	58	32
7	598	IPH 483	" X ICPL 8356	0	75
8	599	IPH 484	" X ICP 8863	27	73
9	600	IPH 485	" X ICP 8363	25	58
10	601	IPH 486	" X ICPL 8362	8	92
11	602	IPH 487	" X ICPL 87119	42	92
12	603	IPH 488	" X ICPL 87121	42	92
13	604	IPH 489	" X ICPL 86034	58	50
14	605	IPH 490	" X ICPL 85067	59	55
15	606	IPH 491	" X ICPL 85069	11	67
16	607	C-11		90	100

nursery. The SM susceptible control, ICP 8863 and Phytophthora blight susceptible control, Hy 3C (ICP 7119) were grown after every 11th row in 1987. However in 1988 only Phytophthora susceptible control ICP 7119 was sown.

(i) F2 Generation: In 1987 ten F2 populations were screened with a specific objective to identify genotypes combining resistance to all the three diseases. We could not identify genotype which combined resistance to wilt, SM and Phytophthora stem blight. Therefore, no further selection in the populations was done.

In 1988 rainy season two F2 populations (ICPX 860114 and ICPX 860115) were screened in 4 m long 42 rows accommodating nearly 1300 plants per population. We were able to select 50 plants in each population that showed resistant to all three diseases. These selections will be further screened in the nursery during 1989.

(ii) F6 Generation: Twelve single plant progenies from three crosses (ICPX 80003, -80001 and ICPX 80009) were screened in 4 m long two-row plots in 1987 rainy season. Only two progenies (ICPX 80002 FSB-SWP1 and ICPX 80003 FSB-SWP1) were found promising and were again screened in 1988 for further purification (Table 19). One progeny (ICPX 80002 FSB-SWP1-SWPB) was wilt resistant and also had less (23%) blight incidence. This progeny will be tested for yield in 1989.

(iii) F7 Generation: Six populations advanced through Single Pod Decent (SPD) method upto F6 generation were screened in the nursery in 1987. The disease incidence of populations is reported in Table 20. In F7 generation

Table 19. List of selected P6 progenies screened in multiple disease nursery at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru during 1987 rainy season.

S.No.	Source Plot #	Pedigree (ICPX)	Parentage	% Wilt	% SM	% Phytoph- thora
1	269	800002PSB-SWP1	ICP-1-6-1641-SWB* X ICP 6974-PB*	65	23	28
2	270	800003PSB-SWP1	ICP-1-6-1641-SWB* X ICP 7182-P1*-PB*	26	6	36

Table 20. List of F7 bulks screened in multiple disease nursery at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru during 1987 rainy season.

S.No.	Source Plot #	Pedigree (ICPX)	Parentage	% Wilt	% SM	% Phytoph- thora
1	273	800275-8-B-B*-B-SWPB	ICP 7414-1-S5* X ICP 7118-W1*-W1*-WB*	39	5	63
2	274	800264-7-B-B-B-SWPB	ICP 4866-1-S6* X 15-3-3	39	3	51
3	275	800284-22-B-B*-B-SWPB	ICP 8147-1-S2* X 15-3-3	62	9	53
4	276	800284-41-B-B*-B-SVPB	"	47	9	55
5	277	800284-17-B-B*-B-SVPB	"	32	26	51
6	278	800289-13-B-B*-B-SWPB	ICP 8151-B-S1* X 15-3-3	46	12	49

seed from resistant plants within a population was bulked for further advance in 1988. In this year a total of 61 single plant selections (ranging from 6 to 17 selections per population) were made (Table 21). These selections will be grown as progeny rows in 1989 season for further observations.

(iv) Monitoring of Multiple Disease Resistant Lines Yield Test Entries: Twentyfive advanced lines included in the test were monitored for their disease reaction in 1987 rainy season. From among 25, only two progenies were promising and were selected for further purification. In 1988 above mentioned progenies had 15% and 46% Phytophthora blight incidence. The progenies are of long-duration, therefore, they will be sent to Gwalior for their yield evaluation.

Table 21. List of selections made in PB SPD bulk populations from  
Multiple Disease Nursery during 1988 rainy season.

S.No.	Source Plot #	Pedigree (ICPX)	Parentage	% PB	No.of selections
1	602	800275-8-B-B*-SWPB-SWPB	ICP 7414-1-S5* X ICP 7118-V13*-V1*-V1*	82	6
2	603	800264-7-B-B-B-SWPB SWPB	ICP 4866-1-S6* X 15-3-3	75	6
3	604	800284-22-B-B*-B-SWPB-SWPB	ICP 8147-1-S2* X 15-3-3	55	12
4	605	800284-41-B-B*-B-SWPB-SWPB	"	67	7
5	606	800284-17-B-B*-B-SWPB-SWPB	"	63	17
6	607	800289-13-B-B*-B-SWPB-SWPB	ICP 8151-8-S1* X 15-3-3	60	13

## E. WILT AND HELICOVERPA RESISTANCE

In wilt and Helicoverpa screening nursery wilt susceptible control ICP 2376 was planted after every two test rows to monitor the disease spread and field was kept pesticide-free for natural build up of Helicoverpa population.

(i) P2 Generation: A total of 32 P2 populations involving wilt and Helicoverpa resistant/tolerant parents were screened. Each population was grown in 4 m long 50 rows accommodating about 1000 plants per population. From 11 promising populations single plant selections (ranging from 1 to 8) were made (Table 22). Other populations were discarded. These 40 selections (P3 generation) were screened as single plant progenies in 1988. Only 11 progenies had low wilt incidence ranging from 0 to 41% (Table 23). Selected progenies also showed tolerance to Helicoverpa. From 11 progenies, 41 single plant selections were also made. We plan to test these progenies for yield under normal and pesticide-free conditions in 1989. Single plant selections will be screened as progeny rows in the nursery. In addition one BC1F2 population of (ICPL 84060 x ICP 8863) x ICP 8863 cross was also screened. This population had 21% wilt. Wilt resistant and Helicoverpa tolerant plants, 85 in all, were selected for further screening.

(ii) F3 Generation: A total of 91 single plant progenies from 12 crosses were screened in 1987. Only 6 progenies were found promising and 12 single selections were made (Table 24). These 12 selections (F4 generation) were screened in 1988 in 4 m long two-row plots. Only one progeny (ICPX 840206-WE9-WE1) had 37% wilt incidence and showed tolerance to Helicoverpa.

**Table 22. List of selections made in F2's screened in Wilt + Helicoverpa nursery at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru during 1987 rainy season.**

S.No.	Source Plot #	Pedigree (ICPX)	Parentage	X Wilt	No. of selections made
1	245	850165	770303-20-1-EB X ICP 4070	96	1
2	249	850169	" X ICP 9168	57	1
3	252	850179	" X ICP 8354	57	4
4	253	850180	" X ICPL 227	60	8
5	254	850181	" X ICPL 84071	67	11
6	255	850182	" X ICPL 8363	55	1
7	256	850185	" X ICPL 84001	76	1
8	257	850186	ICPL 84065 X ICPL 227	61	8
9	260	850189	ICPL 84065 X JA-275	36	1
10	265	850196	ICP 4070 X ICPL 84003	59	1
11	268	850199	"	31	3
<b>Total</b>					<b>40</b>

**Table 23. List of progenies selected in F3, TCP3 and F4 generations in  
Vilt + Helicoverpa screening nursery during 1988 rainy season.**

S.No.	Source W + HN Plot #	Pedigree (ICPX)	Parentage	Gen	X Vilt
1	16	850169-WE1	770303-20-1-EB X ICP 9168	F3-	15
2	22	850179-WE2	770303-20-1-EB X ICPL 83054	"	26
3	23	850179-WE3	770303-20-1-EB X ICPL 83054	"	12
4	25	850180-WE5	770303-20-1-EB X ICPL 227	"	11
5	33	850181-WE5	770303-20-1-EB X ICPL 84071	"	41
6	34	850181-WE6	770303-20-1-EB X ICPL 84071	"	9
7	39	850181-WE11	770303-20-1-EB X ICPL 84071	"	0
8	42	850186-WE1	ICPL 84065 X ICPL 227	"	2
9	44	850186-WE3	ICPL 84065 X ICPL 227	"	0
10	45	850186-WE4	ICPL 84065 X ICPL 227	"	41
11	54	850199-WE3	ICP 4070 X ICPL 83063	"	8
12	94	850134-15	(ICPL 84060 X ICPL 270) X ICPL 227	TCP3	40
13	6	840206-WE9- WE1	ICPL 333 X PPE-45-2	F4	38



also. This progeny will be tested for yield in 1989 season. In addition, 15 single plant selections from seven progenies were made for further testing in 1989. In 1988, 50 single plant progenies, 25 each from two three-way crosses (ICPX 850133 and ICPX 850134) were screened in the nursery. Only one progeny (ICPX 850134-15) was promising for wilt and Helicoverpa tolerance. This progeny will be tested for yield potential in disease-free condition in 1989. In addition, 15 selections from eight progenies of ICPX 850133 cross and 17 selections from 10 progenies of ICPX 850134 were made for further monitoring in 1989 (Table 25).

(iii) F4 Generation: A total of 109 single plant selections from 13 crosses made in 1983 were grown as progeny rows in 1987. Only two selections (one from ICPX 840205-WEB-WE2 and the other from ICPX 830120-EB-WB6) were found promising and were selected for further screening. In 1988 these two progenies (F5 generation) were again screened in the nursery. None of the progenies combined resistance to wilt and Helicoverpa, hence rejected.

(iv) Selections from Composite Population: This composite population was developed by bulking equal quantity seed of nine pigeonpea genotypes by Pathology Unit. After two generations of random-mating, this population was screened in wilt and Helicoverpa screening nursery in 1986 and 19 selections that appeared resistant to both traits were made. The selections were grown in progeny rows in 1987. Only 3 progenies appeared promising and were selected for further screening in 1988. Subsequently none had combined resistance to wilt and Helicoverpa, and hence were rejected.

Table 25. List of single plant selections made in P4, P3 and TCP3 generation in  
Wilt + MN screening during rainy season.

S.No.	Source W + MN Plot #	Pedigree (ICPL)	Parentage	Gen	Wilt Node	No. of selections made
1	4	840206-WL4-WL1	ICPL 133 X PPE-45-2	P4	7	2
2	7	840206-WL4-WL2	ICPL 133 X PPE-45-2	P4	11	6
3	8	840207-WL2-WL1	ICPL 133 X ICPL 332	P4	13	1
4	9	840207-WL2-WL2	ICPL 133 X ICPL 332	P4	0	2
5	10	800224-WL2-WL1	740146-MDT11B-18-1 X PPE-45-2	P4	21	2
6	11	800224-WL3-WL1	.	P4	40	1
7	14	800224-WL3-WL4	.	P4	70	1
8	15	850165-WL1	770303-20-1-ES X ICPL 4070	P4	24	1
9	24	850180-WL4	770303-20-1-ES X ICPL 227	P4	17	1
10	28	850180-WL8	770303-20-1-ES X ICPL 227	P4	19	2
11	29	850181-WL1	770303-20-1-ES X ICPL 84071	P4	11	1
12	30	850181-WL2	770303-20-1-ES X ICPL 84071	P4	14	1
13	31	850181-WL3	770303-20-1-ES X ICPL 84071	P4	7	1
14	36	850181-WL8	770303-20-1-ES X ICPL 84071	P4	50	1
15	37	850181-WL9	770303-20-1-ES X ICPL 84071	P4	61	1
16	41	850185-WL1	ICPL 84065 X ICPL 84061	P4	46	4
17	42	850186-WL2	ICPL 84065 X ICPL 227	P4	21	2
18	48	850186-WL7	ICPL 84065 X ICPL 227	P4	25	2
19	50	850186-WL1	ICPL 84065 X ICPL 3783	P4	25	2
20	51	850199-WL2	ICPL 4070 X ICPL 83663	P4	19	3
21	62	850133-8	(ICPL 332 X ICPL 270) X ICPL 227	TCP3	44	1
22	63	.	.	P4	41	4
23	64	.	.	P4	19	2
24	66	.	.	P4	60	2
25	69	.	.	P4	60	2
26	71	.	.	P4	60	2
27	72	.	.	P4	29	2
28	74	.	.	P4	20	2
29	86	850134-7	(ICPL 84060 X ICPL 270) X ICPL 227	P4	35	1
30	87	.	.	P4	28	2
31	88	.	.	P4	20	2
32	89	.	.	P4	17	2
33	90	.	.	P4	17	2
34	92	.	.	P4	63	1
35	93	.	.	P4	34	1
36	95	.	.	P4	18	1
37	98	.	.	P4	25	1
38	102	.	.	P4	25	1

## F. BREEDING FOR HELICOVERPA RESISTANCE

(i) F2 Generation: Two F2 populations (ICPX 850086 and ICPX 850193) were screened in the nursery in 1987 season. Each population was grown in 4 m long 50 row plot accommodating about 1000 plants per population. Thirty-six selections in ICPX 850086 and 64 in ICPX 850193 were made and screened as single plant progenies (F3 generation) in 1988. Helicoverpa tolerant control, ICPL 332, was grown after every four test plots to monitor the Helicoverpa incidence in the nursery. On the basis of yield and pod borer damage score only five progenies from the first cross and 25 progenies from the other cross were found promising (Table 26). Selected 30 progenies and 10 single plant selections made from five progenies (ICPX 850086-E4-E21, ICPX 850193-E10, -E38, E-39) will be further screened in 1989.

(ii) F3 Generation: Three hundred fifty five single plant progenies were screened in two-row plots in 1987. Progenies were scored for % pod damage on 1 to 9 scale where score 1 means free from damage and 9 means 90 to 100% damage. On the basis of uniformity, yield, borer damage score and 100 seed-weight, 80 progenies were found promising (Table 27). In addition, progenies which segregated for plant height, flowering period and borer incidence, 71 single plant selections were also made (Table 28). These 80 progenies (F4 generation) and 71 selections were again screened in 1988. On the basis of uniformity, yield and borer damage score, 20 progenies (Table 29) were selected for 1989 Pigeonpea Insect Resistant Yield Observation Nursery (PIRYN). From 71 single plant progenies only four were promising and will be included in PIRYN. In addition 10 selections were also made for further screening in 1989.

Table 26. Performance of selected F1 SPP's in Hollicombe's screening nursery during 1988 rainy season.

S.No.	Source	Pedigree	Percentage	Yield (g/plot)			Pod Damage Score <sup>1</sup>
				Pregnancy	Nearest Check	Progeny Check	
1	4192	850086-E3	ICPL 132 X T-15-16	604	224	1	1
2	4193	-E4	-	513	223	3-4	3
3	4195	-E5	-	251	224	4	3
4	4212	-E19	-	441	601	6	7
5	4222	-E27	-	291	548	3	3
6	4217	850191-E3	ICP 4370 X ICPL 81054	547	707	3	3
7	4246	-E10	-	305	162	3	3
8	4250	-E13	-	354	505	2	2
9	4256	-E18	-	931	714	2	2
10	4257	-E19	-	545	-	-	-
11	4260	-E21	-	314	-	-	-
12	4262	-E23	-	605	450	2	2
13	4265	-E25	-	607	490	2-3	3
14	4266	-E26	-	569	490	3	2
15	4267	-E27	-	421	239	2	2
16	4268	-E28	-	296	239	2	2
17	4270	-E29	-	293	239	2	2
18	4271	E2A	-	377	134	4	4
19	4276	-E34	-	314	570	4	4
20	4277	-E35	-	637	295	2	2
21	4281	-E36	-	239	295	3	3
22	4282	-E39	-	287	239	3	3
23	4286	-E42	-	524	239	4	4
24	4291	-E46	-	542	289	3	3
25	4292	-E47	-	669	289	3	3
26	4306	-E58	-	503	620	3	3
27	4307	-E59	-	501	684	3	3
28	4311	-E62	-	501	684	3	3
29	4312	-E63	-	513	473	3	3
30	4313	-E64	-	569	473	3	3

1 Pod borer damage score based on 1 to 9 rating scale.



46	5330	"	-E37	113	12.5	341	344	4	6
47	5331	"	-E38	112	10.4	456	344	4	6
48	5335	"	-E44	110	9.5	196	168	5	7
49	5340	"	-E50	106	10.9	219	81	5	7
50	5347	"	-E65	112	9.9	515	149	4	6
51	5348	"	-E66	112	10.6	365	149	5	6
52	5351	"	-E68	110	9.1	612	149	3	6
53	5355	"	-E71	108	10.2	214	238	4	3-6
54	5357	"	-E75	94	8.6	642	853	3	3-5
55	5361	"	-E80	110	8.0	666	853	4	3-5
56	5362	"	-E81	107	9.9	547	364	4	5-7
57	5363	"	-E83	96	10.0	448	364	4	5-7
58	5371	"	-E92	112	8.6	394	245	4-6	7
59	5378	"	-E98	120	10.2	131	193	3-8	5
60	5386	"	-E113	92	9.1	361	60	3	7
61	5392	"	-E120	95	9.5	334	625	4	3-6
62	5395	"	-E122	96	8.6	345	625	3	3-6
63	5396	"	-E123	104	10.4	540	625	3	3-6
64	5400	"	-E126	100	11.2	291	233	4	7
65	5402	840222	-E6	110	10.0	476	698	4	4
66	5403	"	-E7	110	8.6	511	698	3	4
67	5405	"	-E9	110	8.8	681	698	3	4
68	5406	"	-E11	108	8.6	848	698	3	4
69	5410	"	-E18	119	9.6	344	483	4	5
70	5416	"	-E32	112	8.9	418	383	4	6
71	5421	"	-E48	123	9.0	192	222	4	3-7
72	5425	"	-E54	119	11.9	252	268	4	7
73	5427	"	-E59	114	9.2	281	236	5	4-7
74	5428	"	-E61	110	9.5	407	236	5	4-7
75	5431	"	-E69	118	9.7	410	201	4	6
76	5468	840223	E33	112	10.5	197	483	4	3-6
77	5482	"	-E44	123	12.4	217	469	5	4
78	5538	"	-E90	122	11.0	187	300	4	3-7
79	5541	"	-E93	122	9.7	113	300	3-7	3-7
80	5551	"	-E101	120	12.6	207	304	3	3-7

1 Pod borer damage score based on 1 to 9 rating scale.

Table 28. List of selections made in P3 generation screening in Melicoverpa nursery at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru during 1987 rainy season.

S.No	Source Plot #	Pedigree (ICPX)	Parentage	No.of. selections made
1	5125	840212-E16	ICPL 83024 X ICPL 84060	3
2	5131	" -E21	"	1
3	5136	" -E26	"	2
4	5152	" -E40	"	1
5	5167	" -E52	"	1
6	5170	" -E54	"	1
7	5206	840214-E7	ICPL 83024 X ICPL 332	2
8	5207	" -E8	"	5
9	5208	" -E9	"	4
10	5211	" -E11	"	6
11	5213	" -E13	"	1
12	5225	" -E23	"	4
13	5227	" -E25	"	1
14	5231	" -E28	"	1
15	5248	" -E45	"	1
16	5267	" -E61	"	4
17	5268	" -E63	"	2
18	5270	" -E65	"	3
19	5282	840215-E41	ICPL 316 X ICPL 84060	2
20	5285	" -E46	"	3
21	5350	840221-E67	ICPL 84060 X ICPX 740146 F7	4
22	5352	" -E69	"	1
23	5371	" -E92	"	1
24	5391	" -E119	"	4
25	5393	" -E121	"	6
26	5395	" -E122	"	1
27	5401	" -E128	"	1
28	5458	840223-E25	ICPX 740360P4B-S218-- X ICPX 740146 F7 line	1
29	5532	" -E84	"	3
30	5536	" -E88	"	1
<b>Total</b>				<b>71</b>

Table 29. List of selected PI progeny bulks from *Molinacarpia* screening nursery during 1988 rainy season.

S.No.	Source	Parentage	Yield (g/plot)		1 Borer Damage	
			H	N	Check	Check
1	4112	040214-3554-80	ICPL 01024 X ICPL 112	516	512	14
2	4129	040210-3111-80	ICPL 04019 X ICPL 04060	102	112	01
3	4141	040221-3266-80	ICPL 04060 X ICPL 140146-	326	358	02
		NDT18-18-1-MIVNDT-80	-	-	-	25
4	4156	-	-X10-80	319	192	1
5	4157	-	-X01-80	201	192	1
6	4160	-	-X52-80	114	192	1
7	4161	-	-X90-80	100	202	22
8	4162	-	-X113-80	250	202	22
9	4165	-	-X122-80	170	202	22
10	4169	040222-36-80	ICPL 192 X ICPL 740196-	350	293	15
		NDT18-18-1-MIVNDT-80	-	-	-	-
11	4170	-	-X7-80	107	293	15
12	4172	-	-X11-80	110	215	12
13	4173	-	-X27-80	120	215	12
14	4176	-	-X60-80	151	116	14
15	4177	-	-X54-80	162	116	14
16	4179	-	-X59-80	155	116	14
17	4180	-	-X61-80	210	116	14
18	4181	-	-X69-80	111	205	21
19	4184	040223-34-80	ICPL 740160748-52108-2	263	265	17
20	4187	-	-X101-80	119	220	18

(iii) F4 Generation: A total of 204 single plant progenies from 24 crosses were screened in 1987. Only 46 progenies were found promising (Table 30). In addition 15 selections from three progenies (ICPX 83127-E56-E1, -83102-E26-E1 and ICPX 83122-E18-E2) were made and screened as progeny rows in 1988. Six progenies were found promising based on yield and % borer damage and were selected for testing in 1989 PIRYN (Table 31).

(iv) Monitoring of MPAY Lines: Entries of Medium-Duration Pigeonpea Adaptation Yield Trials (MPAY) conducted in 1987 and 1988 were monitored for Helicoverpa tolerance. The borer damage in 1987 was very high. However in 1988 the borer damage ranged between 2 to 6 as compared to 5 for C 11 and 7 for BDN 1 on 1 to 9 rating scale. In addition three entries (LRG 30-5, LRG 30) and LRG 30 from Dr. A. Satyanarayana, Senior Scientist (Pulses), Regional Agricultural Research Station, Lam, Guntur were screened in 4 m long four-row plots. All the three entries were susceptible.

#### G. YIELD TEST OF LINES

##### 1987 Tests:

(i) BDN1 BC3F6 Progenies Test (87 P26): Thirtyfive of 42 SM resistant progenies selected in 1986 were tested for their yield potential. The entries were sown in 6x6 TL (Triple Lattice Design) with BDN 1 as control. Each plot consisted of 4 m long 4 rows. The row to row and within row spacing was kept at 60 and 20 cm, respectively. The observations on days to flower, plant height, 100-seed weight, plant stand, and grain yield and % SM in disease nursery were recorded. Due to very heavy Helicoverpa damage flowering continued in flushes and hence days to maturity could not



**Table 31.** Performance of selected PS single plant progenies from Helicoverpa screening nursery during 1988 rainy season.

S.No.	Source	Parentage ICPL K (ICPL) N N Plot I	Yield (q/plot)		Pod Damage Score	
			Progeny	Nearest Check	Progeny	Nearest Check
1	1988	030127-256-L1-E1	(PP1-45-2-8*-1 ICPL 304) 1	599	616	4
			ICPL 304	.	.	1
2	1981	-	-E1	761	600	1
3	1982	-	-E1	624	469	1
4	1983	-	-E1	577	469	1
5	1993	030122-210-L2-E1	(PP1-45-2-8*-1 80B-1) 800M 1	566	521	1
6	1993	-	-E1	614	521	1

1 Pod borer damage score based on 1 to 9 rating scale.

be recorded.

The yield of progenies ranged between 1101 kg to 2373 kg/ha as compared to 1188 kg/ha for the control variety, BDN 1 (Table 32). Six progenies were significantly higher yielding than control. Most progenies had higher 100 seed-weight than the control. Twentyone progenies remained free from SM incidence and seven had less than 10% SM incidence. Because of high CV % due to Helicoverpa incidence it was decided to retest 11 best entries in 1988. Of 11 selected progenies two highest yielding progenies (2613 and 2634) will be tested in multilocation MPAY trial and the other progenies will be tested at Patancheru only.

(ii) C11 BC2F6 Progenies Test: Seven BC2F6 progenies with C 11 control were tested for yield in two replicate RBD. Three progenies were higher yielding than C 11 control but only two progenies had less than 10% SMD. These progenies were highly susceptible to wilt (Table 33), hence no progeny was advanced for multilocation testing.

(iii) Wilt Resistant Advanced Lines Test (87P27): In this test 16 LRG 30 M4 progenies selected from 156 M3 progenies in 1986 with six other wilt resistant lines were tested with ICPL 265 (wilt susceptible LRG 30), ICPL 270 (wilt resistant line) and C 11, controls for yield in a wilt-free field. These 25 entries were grown in 5x5 TL design. Each plot consisted of 4 m long 4 rows. The yield of entries ranged between 862 kg to 2004 kg/ha with test mean yield of 1656 kg/ha as compared to 1700 kg for ICPL 265, 1691 kg for ICPL 270 and 1473 kg/ha for C 11 control (Table 34). Twelve lines were higher yielding than the highest yielding control ICPL 265. The % wilt incidence ranged between 0 to 45%. Four lines (Sr. No.

Table 32. Performance of BDN 1 BC3F6 Progenies (87P26) grown at Patancheru,  
rainy season 1997.

Entry No.	Name	Days to flower	Plant height cm)	100-seed weight (g)	Plant stand	Grain yield kg/ha)	%
23	BDN 1 BC3F2-16*-57*-51*-58*	113	167	13.6	10	2373	9
34	BDN 1 BC3F2-26*-535*-52*-58*	115	179	11.7	34	2343	100
14	BDN 1 BC3F2-16*-54*-51*-58*	111	166	13.4	34	2298	13
18	BDN 1 BC3F2-16*-54*-55*-58*	112	156	12.4	11	2297	6
33	BDN 1 BC3F2-26*-535*-51*-58*	110	181	11.4	33	2285	67
10	BDN 1 BC3F2-2*-57*-52*-58*	110	170	11.7	35	2205	3
8	BDN 1 BC3F2-2*-56*-53*-58*	110	164	11.1	34	2161	67
20	BDN 1 BC3F2-16*-55*-51*-58*	111	164	11.6	33	2151	5
26	BDN 1 BC3F2-16*-510*-52*-58*	112	165	12.3	34	2148	66
29	BDN 1 BC3F2-26*-533*-51*-58*	108	174	11.2	34	2140	91
3	BDN 1 BC3F2-2*-51*-54*-58*	109	172	11.6	37	2104	97
15	BDN 1 BC3F2-16*-54*-52*-58*	113	165	12.7	32	2099	100
19	BDN 1 BC3F2-16*-54*-56*-58*	110	161	13.1	32	2075	100
28	BDN 1 BC3F2-26*-529*-51*-58*	112	173	11.6	36	2043	18
25	BDN 1 BC3F2-16*-510*-51*-58*	111	161	12.1	29	2052	92
31	BDN 1 BC3F2-26*-534*-52*-58*	111	168	10.7	35	2025	24
30	BDN 1 BC3F2-26*-534*-51*-58*	108	167	11.0	13	2000	100
22	BDN 1 BC3F2-16*-555*-53*-58*	115	160	12.9	35	1976	100
21	BCX 1 BC3F2-15*-55*-52*-58*	115	169	12.1	31	1945	100
27	BDN 1 BC3F2-26*-527*-51*-58*	109	173	11.5	35	1911	94
4	BDN 1 BC3F2-2*-51*-55*-58*	111	168	11.6	33	1860	94
6	BDN 1 BC3F2-2*-56*-51*-58*	111	176	12.0	34	1823	94
12	BDN 1 BC3F2-16*-52*-51*-58*	112	166	11.8	33	1755	100
32	BDN 1 BC3F2-26*-534*-53*-58*	110	170	10.8	31	1749	14
17	BDN 1 BC3F2-16*-54*-56*-58*	112	163	12.7	31	1737	97
1	BDN 1 BC3F2-2*-51*-51*-58*	109	169	12.0	30	1687	94
7	BDN 1 BC3F2-2*-56*-52*-58*	111	162	12.1	34	1659	100
13	BDN 1 BC3F2-16*-52*-52*-58*	115	173	13.6	33	1632	95
5	BDN 1 BC3F2-2*-51*-56*-58*	108	172	11.5	37	1600	77
11	BDN 1 BC3F2-16*-51*-51*-58*	111	168	12.3	34	1569	100
2	BDN 1 BC3F2-2*-51*-53*-58*	108	175	11.1	33	1644	100
16	BDN 1 BC3F2-16*-54*-53*-58*	113	161	12.5	34	1437	97
9	BDN 1 BC3F2-2*-56*-55*-58*	108	174	12.1	34	1249	86
36	BDN 1 (Check)	109	165	10.9	31	2100	-
35	BDN 1 BC3F2-26*-536*-51*-58*	110	174	11.7	31	1179	100
24	BDN 1 BC3F2-16*-58*-51*-58*	109	167	12.7	31	1161	100
	SE	0.6	4.2	0.29	2.2	333.1	
	MEAN	111.0	169.6	12.83	33.1	1876.6	
	CV(%)	0.9	4	3	12	31	

Note: Days to maturity is not recorded because of high Mortality damage.

**Table 33. Performance of C 11 BC2P6 Progenies (87P28) grown at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru, rainy season 1987.**

Entry No.	Name	Days to Flower	Plant height (cm)	100-seed weight (g)	Plant stand	Grain Yield (kg/ha)	% Wilt	% SN
3	C 11 BC2F2-3*-S9*-S3*-SB*	114	186	9.8	35	1841	100	24
6	C 11 BC2F2-3*-S10*-S3*-SB*	115	167	9.1	37	1788	100	9
1	C 11 BC2F2-3*-S9*-S1*-SB*	115	179	9.4	28	1773	100	8
8	C 11 (Check)	118	193	10.9	32	1683	33	100
2	C 11 BC2F2-3*-S9*-S2*-SB*	117	169	8.7	31	1649	85	23
4	C 11 BC2F2-3*-S9*-S4*-SB*	113	171	9.4	36	1620	91	71
7	C 11 BC2F2-3*-S11*-S1*-SB*	114	167	7.3	34	1328	100	6
5	C 11 BC2F2-3*-S10*-S1*-SB*	116	172	8.9	34	1068	89	0
		SE	0.5	4.5	0.40	2.7	337.6	
		MEAN	115.2	175.1	9.20	33.1	1593.9	
		CV(%)	0.6	4	6	11	30	

Note : Days to maturity is not noted due to heavy *Meliothis*

damage in first flush.

Table 34. Performance of entries in Multi Resistant Advanced lines test (BTP27), grown at Patancheru, rainy season 1987.

Entry No.	Name	Days to Flower	Plant height (cm)	100-seed weight (g)	Plant stand (no./m <sup>2</sup> )	Grain yield (kg/ha)	A. Yield in S.W. nursery (%)	B. Yield in S.W. (%)
22	25 KR-B-WB	128	197	9.9	37	2004	0	26
16	25 KR-33-WB	128	197	9.8	35	1991	1	15
15	25 KR-23-WB	130	201	9.9	35	1910	9	5
10	10 KR-1-WB*	114	172	9.9	36	1997	1	100
2	ICPLX 78143-WB-WB-WB-WB-WB*	126	204	10.2	36	1847	9	5
12	10 KR-17-WB*	112	174	10.2	35	1846	7	100
14	20 KR-20-WB*	131	221	8.9	35	1769	9	100
1	ICP 11292	125	167	10.1	35	1760	16	100
20	5 KR-B-WB	127	192	9.9	39	1754	5	16
3	ICPLX 78151-WB-WB-WB-WB-WB*	127	191	10.1	36	1723	7	100
7	5 KR-12-WB	112	188	9.7	36	1719	17	41
17	35 KR-B-WB	127	203	9.5	35	1711	4	15
25	ICPL 265 (Check)	129	205	9.1	38	1700	44	100
23	ICPL 270 (Check)	111	171	12.1	35	1691	7	-
19	40 KR-1-WB	128	202	9.6	37	1669	0	6
11	10 KR-12-WB	128	207	9.8	32	1651	74	82
21	15 KR-B-WB	125	199	10.0	35	1641	45	100
13	15 KR-19-WB	114	168	10.1	38	1573	5	100
5	LRC 30 GCP12-WC9-W1-WB-WB*	106	159	9.6	30	1550	1	100
24	C 11 (Check)	118	197	10.9	36	1473	1	-
4	LRC 30 BC1P2-W3-WB-WB*	114	164	9.8	35	1461	0	100
18	35 KR-13-WB	115	186	9.6	30	1448	13	100
6	LRC 30 BC1P2-W69-W2-WB-WB*	109	167	9.4	34	1438	7	100
9	10 KR-3-WB	127	201	10.0	32	1355	20	97
8	5 KR-18-WB*	110	182	10.6	36	862	0	100

SE+  
MEAN  
CV (%)

0.5  
120.9  
0.0

4.0  
190.7  
4

1.7  
35.7  
0

176.8  
1655.9  
19

Note: Days to maturity is not recorded because of heavy Heliothis damage in the first flush.

2, 15, 16 and 22) also had low SM incidence (5 to 26%). On the basis of yield, wilt and SM resistance these four lines will be tested in MPAY during 1988.

(iv) SM Resistant Advanced Lines Test (87P29): Twelve lines found resistant to SM in 1986 were tested for yield in three-replicate RBD with C 11 and BDN 1 as control cultivars. None of the lines was significantly higher yielding than controls (Table 35). Few lines that showed less than 10% SM were susceptible to wilt, hence none was selected for multilocation testing.

(v) Determinate Lines Test (87P25): Twenty-two determinate lines (P6 generation) were tested for yield in 5x5 TL design with C 11, BDN 1, and ICPL 211 (determinate line) as controls. The yield of lines ranged between 705 kg to 2352 kg/ha as compared to 1570 kg/ha for determinate control ICPL 211, 1604 kg/ha for C 11 and 1667 kg/ha for BDN 1. Two highest yielding entries (entry No. 2502, 2519) were selected for testing in sorghum intercrop in collaboration with RMP (Table 36).

(vi) Multiple Diseases Resistant Lines Test (87P22): Twenty-four lines found promising for wilt, SM, and Phytophthora stem blight were tested for yield with C 11 as control in 5x5 Triple Lattice Design. The yield of lines ranged between 1608 kg to 2999 kg/ha with mean yield of 2162 kg/ha. Five lines (serial no. 2510, 2515, 2519, 2521 and 2522) were significantly higher yielding than C 11 control (Table 37). Most entries were later than C 11 in flowering and maturity, except one line (ICPX 80275-SWP8-SWP5-SWP1(x)-SWP6-SWPV(x)). This line was selected for testing in MPAY trial.

Table 35. Performance of entries in SH Advanced lines test 87P291 grown  
at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru, rainy season 1987

Entry No.	Name	Days to flower (days)	Plant height (cm)	100-seed weight (g)	Plant stand (plants/m <sup>2</sup> )	Grain yield (kg/ha)	Field yield (kg/ha)	Wilt	SN
9	ICPX 70053-88-38-38-38-0-2-8	122	102	10.2	35	1306	99	0	0
1	ICPX 70052-88-38-38-38-0-3-8	115	167	10.4	35	1580	91	0	0
1	ICPX 70052-88-38-38-38-0-1-8	110	200	11.5	37	1506	50	0	0
4	ICPX 70052-88-38-38-38-0-1-8	116	169	10.9	37	1467	91	0	0
7	ICPX 70053-8(T)8-8(T)8-38-38-0-2-8	108	175	11.2	37	1428	100	0	0
6	ICPX 70053-8(T)8-8(T)8-38-38-0-2-8	116	177	11.1	36	1416	64	0	0
12	ICPX 70046-88-38-38-38-0-7-8	116	166	11.3	36	1393	100	0	0
14	C 11 (Check)	116	101	10.6	34	1295	-	-	-
15	BDM 1 (Check)	107	146	10.2	34	1275	-	-	-
2	ICPX 70052-88-38-38-38-0-10-8	115	169	10.3	35	1271	74	0	0
11	ICPX 70053-88-38-38-38-0-4-8	116	184	12.1	36	1076	90	5	0
5	ICPX 70053-8(T)8-8(T)8-38-38-0-3-8	112	174	11.8	37	1051	91	12	0
10	ICPX 70053-88-38-38-38-0-1-8	115	165	10.8	37	969	92	11	0
8	ICPX 70053-88-38-38-38-0-1-8	112	101	10.5	34	866	85	10	0

SE =  
MEAN =  
CV(%) =

Note: Days to maturity is not recorded because of Heliothis damage

In the first flush.





(vii) Heterosis and Inbreeding Depression Test (87P24): This test included both parents, F1, F2 and F3 generations of four crosses. Parents and control cultivar C 11 were sown in 4 rows, F1's in two row 4 m long plots and F2 and F3 generations in 4 m long eight row plots. All the 19 entries with C 11 control were tested in RBD with three replications. The observations recorded on yield in different generations are reported in Table 38.

Percent heterosis on mid-parental value (MP), better parent (BP) and standard heterosis over cv C 11 for yield was calculated. Percent heterosis and inbreeding depression are reported in Tables 39 and 40.

A cross combination between ICPL 8530 and ICPL 270 recorded negative heterosis (-2.5%) over mid-parent value while another F1 (ICPL 8363 x PDM) recorded 82.3% heterosis for yield. F1 of ICPL 8530 x ICPL 270 also recorded negative better parent heterosis (BP) while better parent heterosis in other three crosses was 10.1, 72.6 and 63%, respectively. Standard heterosis over C 11 cultivar was also calculated. It ranged between 4.2% to 90.5% (Table 39).

One of four crosses between ICPL 8363 and PDM 1 was particularly outstanding for mid-parental, better parent and standard heterosis.

Inbreeding depression (%) was calculated for F2 and F3 generations. It was observed that in F2 generation two crosses (ICPX 84140 and -84177) did not show any inbreeding depression while other two crosses (ICPX 84161 and ICPX 84167) showed inbreeding depression. In F3 generation one cross (ICPX 84161) did not show inbreeding depression while in other crosses in breeding depression was 11.3, 18.1 and 30.6%, respectively (Table 40).

**Table 38.** Yield (Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in different generations of four pigeonpea crosses grown at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru during 1987 rainy season.

Generation	ICPL 304 X ICPL 8341	ICPL 227 X ICPL 270	ICPL 8350 X ICPL 270	ICPL 8363 X PDM 1
P1	1556	1538	2196	2006
P2	1759	1472	1472	1576
F1	1937	2654 *	1788	3269 *
F2	2082	1366	1845	1946
F3	1870	1347	1562	1490
SE ±	± 194.8	± 194.8	± 194.8	± 194.8

\* Significant at 5% level.

**Table 39.** Percent mid-parent, better parent and standard heterosis in four Pigeonpea crosses at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru during 1987 rainy season.

Cross No.	Parentage	Percent		heterosis over
		MP	BP	C 11
ICPX 840140	ICPL 304 X ICPL 8341	16.83	10.12	12.88
ICPX 840161	ICPL 227 X ICPL 270	76.34	72.56	54.66
ICPX 840177	ICPL 8350 X ICPL 270	-2.50	-18.57	4.19
ICPX 840167	ICPL 8363 X PDM 1	82.32	62.96	90.50

**Table 40. Percent inbreeding depression for yield in four pigeonpea crosses at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru rainy season 1987.**

<b>Cross</b>	<b>% inbreeding depression in</b>	
	<b>F2 generation</b>	<b>F3 generation</b>
ICPX 840140	6.96	11.33
ICPX 840161	94.28	1.41
ICPX 840177	3.08	18.11
ICPX 840167	67.98	30.60

(viii) Pigeonpea Insect Resistant Lines Yield Test (PIRYT): The PIRYT was conducted both in pesticide-free field and with pesticide protection. In this test eight Helicoverpa tolerant lines were tested with four controls (ICPL 332, BDN 1, C 11 and ICPL 270). This test was sown in four-replicate RBD and each plot consisted of 4 m long 4 rows.

In the pesticide protected test (87P23) the yield of lines' ranged between 1357 kg to 2236 kg/ha as compared to 2732 kg for ICPL 332, 1964 kg for ICPL 270, 1880 kg for C 11 and 1538 kg/ha for BDN 1 control. Most lines flowered in the range of BDN 1 and ICPL 332 except one (entry No. 2311) which flowered in 98 days. Maturity of lines ranged between 153 to 191 days whereas C 11 matured in 210 days. The 100 seed-weight of test entries was smaller than the control varieties ranging between 6.6 g/100 to 9.8 g/100 seeds as compared to 11.1 g/seed for BDN 1, 10.8 g/100 seeds for C 11 and 12.4 g/100 seeds for ICPL 270 (Table 41).

In the unsprayed test (87P32) the yield of test entries ranged between 81 kg/ha to 692 kg/ha as compared to 265 kg/ha for Helicoverpa resistant control, 41 kg/ha for BDN 1, 40 kg/ha C 11 and 28 kg/ha for ICPL 270. The yield differences between control varieties BDN 1, C 11 and ICPL 270 and test lines were much greater indicating resistance to Helicoverpa in these lines. Four lines (entry Nos. 3209, 3210, 3211 and 3212) were higher yielding than the resistant control, ICPL 332. Although the coefficient of variation (% CV) for yield was high (64%) entry nos. 3211 and 3212 were significantly higher yielding than the Helicoverpa tolerant control, ICPL 332. On the basis of % borer damaged pods, these two lines showed tolerance (38 and 36% respectively) as compared to 71% in tolerant control, ICPL 332 (Table 42). Based on seed size, yield and borer damage three lines were selected for 1988 MPAY trial.



Table 42. Performance of entries in PINTT (Unsprayed) (87P2), grown at ICARISAT centers, Patancheru, during season 1987.

(ix) Pigeonpea Insect Resistant Lines Yield Observation Nursery (PIRYN-87P33): Sixty-two progenies found promising in F5 generation for yield and less borer damage in 1986 were tested with ICPL 332 (resistant) and C 11 controls in 8x8 single lattice design in pesticide-free field. Observations on days to flower, plant height, plant stand, yield, and % borer damaged pods were recorded. Based on % borer damage, 23 progenies were selected and statistically analyzed in RBD. The yield of test lines ranged between 304 kg/ha to 730 kg/ha as compared to 539 kg/ha for resistant control ICPL 332 and 11 kg/ha only for C 11 control. Twelve progenies were higher yielding than the resistant control (Table 43). The % borer damage ranged between 26 to 67% as compared to 67% in resistant control and 96% in C 11 control. Based on seed size, yield and % borer damaged pods, 12 progenies (entry nos. 3321, 15, 22, 23, 3, 17, 19, 2, 10, 20, 14 and 18) were selected for 1988 PIRYT.

#### 1988 Yield Tests:

(i) Wilt Resistant Advanced Lines Test (88P25): Eighteen wilt resistant lines (F6 generation) derived from eight crosses were tested for their yield potential in wilt-free condition with C 11 and BDN 1 controls. The trial was sown in RBD with three replications. Each plot consisted of 4 m long 4 rows. The observations on days to flower, maturity, plant height, 100-seed weight, plant stand and grain yield were recorded in the test and wilt incidence was recorded in the wilt screening nursery. The yield of test entries ranged between 1780 kg to 2988 kg/ha with mean yield of 2442 kg/ha. The yield of C 11 and BDN 1 controls was 2411 and 2210 kg/ha respectively (Table 44). Fourteen entries yielded more than BDN 1 and 11 more than C 11 control. Except one (entry No. 2501) all entries were

Table 43. Performance of entries in PIGYH (ungrafted)(87P13) grown at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru, rainy season 1987.

Entry No.	Name	Days to flower	Plant height (cm)	100-seed weight (g)	Plant stand	Yield (kg/ha)	Grain & Borer damaged pods	
							1	2
9	ICPX 82045-E1-E2-E8	99	197	9.3	11	730	59.9	
21	ICPX 82042-E17-E5-E8	116	193	9.7	16	721	43.3	
15	ICPX 82052-E1-E1-E8	103	195	10.4	29	706	37.1	
22	ICPX 82045-E20-E1-E8	114	197	9.6	27	649	50.6	
23	ICPX 82051-E5-E3-E8	122	207	9.2	14	600	48.6	
3	ICPX 82041-E3-E4-E8	114	205	9.4	17	596	36.1	
17	ICPX 82045-E10-E3-E8	114	217	9.4	29	595	46.0	
19	ICPX 82050-E12-E2-E8	106	218	9.2	33	582	26.1	
2	ICPX 82041-E22-E4-E8	116	203	10.1	35	582	51.6	
10	ICPX 82045-E6-E1-E8	108	214	9.9	35	571	47.4	
20	ICPX 82042-E5-E1-E8	115	198	9.8	28	559	51.1	
14	ICPX 82046-E1-E7-E8	103	206	9.4	16	543	51.7	
25	ICPL 332 (check)	120	220	7.7	36	516	67.1	
13	ICPX 82049-E7-E2-E8	103	208	8.0	32	533	56.8	
8	ICPX 82041-E3-E4-E8	116	206	9.6	32	484	42.9	
6	ICPX 82042-E1-E6-E8	119	219	9.2	35	484	31.3	
5	ICPX 82042-E1-E4-E8	120	217	9.2	36	484	36.4	
18	ICPX 82046-E2-E3-E8	108	222	8.0	29	477	27.1	
1	ICPX 82041-E1-E1-E8	107	198	10.1	35	477	50.9	
4	ICPX 82042-E1-E2-E8	118	207	9.7	28	452	69.0	
16	ICPX 82053-E3-E3-E8	114	201	9.8	29	447	32.1	
12	ICPX 82045-E6-E3-E8	117	225	10.1	34	426	64.5	
11	ICPX 82045-E6-E2-E8	107	207	9.4	31	388	40.7	
7	ICPX 82043-E1-E4-E8	103	205	8.7	34	364	57.8	
24	C 11 (check)	124	191	9.5	30	11	95.7	
		SE+	0.4	5.6	0.30	1.7	204.2	
		MEAN	112.1	207.1	9.26	32.1	517.3	
		CV(%)	0.5	4	5	7	64	

Note: Originally this trial was in 16 Simple Lattice. Most of the entries were rejected due to severe Melothrix damage.

Table 14. Performance of entries in MRALE-88P751 grown at ICRISAT Center  
Patancheru, rainy season 1988

Entry No.	Name	Days to Flower	Plant height cm	100 seed weight g	Plant stand per plot	Green yield in kg/ha	White yield in kg/ha	Property	%		
									1	2	3
7	ICPX 81203F4B-W6-M8	112	197	2.2	8	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
1	ICPX 81190F4B-W3-M8	111	198	2.5	11	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
11	ICPX 81203F4B-W1-M8	111	197	2.3	10	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
3	ICPX 81202F4B-W1-M8	110	204	2.5	9	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
10	ICPX 81203F4B-W1-M8	112	197	2.7	10	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
15	ICPX 81195F4B-W5-M8	114	198	2.5	9	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
4	ICPX 81202F4B-W7-M8	117	192	2.5	10	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
16	ICPX 81195F4B-E4-M8	113	198	2.5	10	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
17	ICPX 81195F4B-W1-M8	120	191	2.2	12	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
8	ICPX 81203F4B-W7-M8	111	195	2.5	10	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
6	ICPX 81202F4B-W15-M8	119	204	2.8	10.2	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
20	C 11 (Check)	114	197	2.2	9	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
14	ICPX 81203F4B-W1-M8	113	196	2.5	9	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
10	ICPX 81193F4B-W7-M8	116	197	2.0	10	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
13	ICPX 81203F4B-W15-M8	112	206	2.6	9.2	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
19	BON (Check)	120	202	2.0	10.5	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
12	ICPX 81203F4B-W5-M8	113	203	2.2	8	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
2	ICPX 81203F4B-W7-M8	113	201	2.3	10.2	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
5	ICPX 81203F4B-W14-M8	115	194	2.4	10.2	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
9	ICPX 81203F4B-W14-M8	119	205	2.2	9.4	790	790	+	4.7	4.7	4.7
									SE*	1.1	0.9
									MEAN	115.5	200.9
									CV(%)	1.1	0.6
										3.31	3.11
										0.0	14.1

resistant (less than 10% wilt incidence) in the nursery.

On the basis of yield and wilt resistance four entries (nos. 2507, 2511, 2503, 2510) were selected for 1989 MPAY trial and another two wilt resistant entries (2504 and 2517) which were almost free (0 and 1% wilt) were selected for yield testing in 1989.

(ii) Sterility Mosaic Resistant Advanced Lines Test (88P26): Twentysix lines (20 in F6 generation and six in F7) that showed resistance to SM in 1987 were tested for yield with two control cultivars (C 11 and BDN 1). The entries were sown in RBD with three replications in SM-free condition. Each entry consisted of 4 m long 4 rows. The observations were recorded on days to flower, maturity, plant height, 100-seed weight, plant stand, grain yield and wilt incidence. The yield of test entries ranged between 1096 kg/ha to 3242 kg/ha with mean yield of 2082 kg/ha (Table 45). Three entries were higher yielding than C 11 and 25 higher than BDN 1 control. None were significantly better than C 11 but six entries had significantly higher yield than BDN 1 control. The entries were also monitored for wilt in the wilt screening nursery. As expected wilt incidence was high since they were not bred for this trait.

On the basis of yield, SM and wilt resistance and 100-seed weight, two entries (2620 and 2604) were found promising and will be tested in 1989 MPAY trial. In addition five entries (2611, 2608, 2609, 2618 and 2610) yielding more than 2000 kg/ha and tolerant to SM were selected for further testing.

(iii) Wilt and SM Resistant Advanced Lines Yield Test (88P24): In this test 34 wilt and SM resistant lines were tested for yield with C 11 and



BDN 1 controls in the disease-free condition. The test was sown in 6x6 TL and each plot consisted of 4 m long 4 rows. The observations were recorded for days to flower, maturity, plant height, seed weight, plant stand, and grain yield. Wilt incidence was recorded in wilt-sick nursery. All 34 lines showed less than 10% wilt and SM incidence in combined wilt and SM screening nursery in 1987.

The yield of test entries ranged between 1978 kg to 3199 kg/ha with mean yield of 2681 kg/ha (Table 46). The yield of C 11 was 3051 kg and 2574 kg/ha for BDN 1. Most entries had similar or larger seed than control varieties. Except one entry (2429) all had upto 11% wilt incidence. Considering overall performance, six entries (2417, 2429, 2401, 2422, 2424 and 2406) were selected for MPAY trial and 12 for further testing in 1989.

(iv) BDN 1 BC3F7 Advanced Lines Test (88P28): Due to severe Helicoverpa damage in 1986 proper yield evaluation of BDN1 backcross progenies could not be done, therefore, nine lines were selected for retesting. In this test nine BDN 1 BC3F7 lines and two SM resistant lines were tested for yield with BDN 1 control in disease-free condition. All the lines had higher seed weight and yield than BDN 1 control (Table 47). The yield of test entries ranged between 2151 kg/ha to 2688 kg/ha as compared to 2039 kg/ha for BDN 1 control. Five lines (entry nos. 2806, 2804, 2805 and 2803 and 2801) were significantly higher yielding than BDN 1. On the basis of yield, seed size and wilt reaction in the nursery two entries (2806 and 2804) were identified for multilocation testing in 1989.

(v) Kenyan Lines Test (88P27): Fifteen lines obtained by Dr. Laxman Singh from Kenya were tested for yield with C 11 as control. The test was sown



Table 17. Performance of entries in DPN 1 BC1P7 Progenies test (1981) grown at ICNISAP  
Center, Patnaikpur, during season 1980.

Entry No.	Name	Days to flower	Plant height (cm)	100-seed weight (g)	Plant yield (kg/ha)	Grain yield (kg/ha)	100-grain weight (g)	Wilt %	CV(%)	Mean
6	DPN 1 BC1P7-16-36-33-36-38-3	122	105	210	11.1	30	200	21		
4	DPN 1 BC1P7-16-34-35-36-3	121	203	120	13.3	40	203	14		
5	DPN 1 BC1P7-2-37-32-35-30-3	121	201	210	11.1	44	209	21		
3	DPN 1 BC1P7-16-34-31-30-35-3	122	205	211	12.3	59	200	21		
1	ICPL 8001	121	200	210	12.9	60	199	10		
11	DPN 1 BC1P7-16-34-36-35-3	119	204	210	12.5	63	209	16		
9	DPN 1 BC1P7-2-31-34-36-38-3	121	209	11.2	62	225	92			
2	ICPL 8009	122	206	206	10.6	47	219	21		
7	DPN 1 BC1P7-16-33-31-35-3	121	205	210	11.5	44	215	6		
10	DPN 1 BC1P7-34-32-30-31-38-3	122	205	210	11.9	46	216	11		
8	DPN 1 BC1P7-16-310-32-38-3	119	201	216	11.0	41	215	9		
12	DPN 1 (Check)	120	201	210	10.3	65	209	11		

in three replicate RBD. Each plot consisted of 4 m long four rows. The observations were recorded on days to flower, days to maturity, plant height, 100 seed weight, plant stand and grain yield. Lines were also monitored in wilt-sick nursery for their reaction to wilt. All the test entries flowered and matured later than C 11 control. Except one line (KATPP-878) all lines had higher seed weight. Because of long-duration these lines did not perform well. The yield of best entry was 1351 kg/ha as compared to 3038 kg/ha for C 11 control. The lines also were susceptible to wilt (Table 48). Hence, no line was selected for further evaluation.

(vi) Hybrids Yield Test (88P43): Fifteen hybrids made between ms ICP 3783 and 15 wilt resistant lines were tested for yield with C 11 control in two replicate RBD. The grain yield of a few hybrids was remarkably high.

The yield of hybrids ranged between 3047 kg/ha to 4352 kg/ha with mean yield of 3597 kg/ha (Table 49). Eleven hybrids were significantly higher yielding than C 11 control. All hybrids had more seeds/pod than control cultivar C 11. The wilt incidence in these hybrids ranged between 0 to 64% as compared to 90% in control.

This test was also conducted under rainfed condition at ICRISAT Center. In this test also all hybrids yielded more than C 11 and had more no. of seeds/pod. The yield of hybrids ranged between 1332 kg to 1936 kg/ha as compared to 1332 kg/ha for C 11 control. Two hybrids (IPH 481 and IPH 488) were significantly super than C 11 for yield (Table 50).

Table 40. Performance of Kenyan lines (00727) grown at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru, rainy season 1980.

Entry No.	Name	Days to Flower		Plant height (cm)	100-seed weight (g)	Plant stand	Grain Yield (kg/ha)	% Wilt in nursery
		Mature	earliest					
16	C 11 (Check)	132	197	213	9.0	49	1010	36
2	ICP 006	140	205	223	10.0	40	1051	71
4	Med.Sel-2	140	210	233	11.0	44	1073	69
10	60/8	143	201	218	11.2	39	931	91
3	Early Sel.	152	200	210	11.6	63	745	69
1	023/11	151	200	215	11.1	38	734	91
5	Georgphane Sel.	152	215	212	10.5	40	719	85
13	KATPP-070	150	207	225	9.0	46	664	92
6	KPP-670	156	213	240	14.0	35	585	83
4	Med.Sel-1	154	213	210	12.6	39	450	90
15	KATPP-01/3/3	164	220	240	13.5	42	404	59
14	KATPP-112A	147	202	223	10.5	37	383	92
7	Kambi-Ya-Mwee Sel	150	215	237	12.6	39	369	69
12	KATPP-69/6	163	220	242	14.4	38	153	51
9	KATPP-8-31/4	169	223	233	13.0	38	137	72
11	KATPP-777	197	255	210	14.5	37	119	62
<b>SE</b>		2.2	2.5	9.1	0.42	1.0	117.4	
<b>MEAN</b>		155.4	213.3	231.3	12.03	49.3	734.7	
<b>CV(%)</b>		1.4	1.0	6.0	6.11	7.9	27.7	

Table 49. Performance of entries in MPPNT, Irrigated (68P4) - grown at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru, rainy season 1988.

Entry No.	Name	Parentage	Days to Flower		Plant height (cm)	Seeds per pod	100-seed weight (g)	Plant stand	Grain Yield (kg/ha)		
			Mature	Initial					Irrigated	Unirrigated	
11	IPN 487	ns 3703 x ICPL 87119	140	199	245	3.5	1.9	36	4152	1465	47
3	IPN 479	ns 3703 x ICPL 227	132	194	247	3.5	1.9	32	4161	1611	26
6	IPN 482	ns 3703 x ICPL 84008	135	193	250	3.6	1.9	35	4013	1617	30
7	IPN 483	ns 3703 x ICPL 8356	136	196	257	3.8	1.9	36	3903	1614	0
4	IPN 480	ns 3703 x ICPL 8357	136	196	240	3.7	1.9	35	3775	1740	13
14	IPN 490	ns 3703 x ICPL 85067	140	199	250	3.6	1.9	34	3754	1611	39
10	IPN 486	ns 3703 x ICPL 8362	143	200	254	3.5	1.9	34	3677	1450	0
9	IPN 485	ns 3703 x ICPL 8163	142	198	252	3.4	1.9	37	3663	1713	21
8	IPN 484	ns 3703 x ICP 8863	132	193	249	3.6	1.9	40	3656	1767	27
15	IPN 491	ns 3703 x ICPL 85049	139	192	241	3.4	1.9	37	3649	1565	11
5	IPN 481	ns 3703 x ICPL 85066	134	196	242	3.7	1.9	32	3525	1936	33
2	IPN 478	ns 3703 x ICPL 335	142	198	261	3.5	1.9	34	3283	1499	25
13	IPN 489	ns 3703 x ICPL 86034	140	198	247	3.1	1.9	34	3270	1532	50
1	IPN 477	ns 3703 x ICPL 270	131	192	256	3.7	1.9	36	3186	1667	64
12	IPN 488	ns 3703 x ICPL 87121	143	203	247	3.1	1.9	37	3047	1829	42
16	CII (Check)		135	195	207	3.1	1.9	32	2680	1352	90
			SE	1.4	1.2	9.1	0.9	0.19	2.9	291.1	166.1
			MEAN	127.3	196.4	247.2	3.56	0.92	34.9	3596.0	1631.5
			CV (%)	1.5	0.8	5.1	2.74	3.06	0.3	31.5	16.5

Date of sowing: 10-6-1988

Date of harvesting: 9-1-1989

No. of Reps: 2 No. of sets: 16

No. of Rows/Pilot: 4 ( Hybrid was in 2 middle rows flanked by 2 check rows)

No. Pilot plants: 3.6 x 0.6 x 3 = 4.32 sqm.

No. of irrigations: 2 ( 12-11-88 - 9-12-88)

Pesticides sprayed : Date

Bind

Bhalua

Leasette

Bhalua

Leasette

Bhalua

Leasette

Table 50. Performance of entries in MPPMT, unirrigated (B9744) grown at ICRISAT Center,  
Patancheru, rainy season 1980.

Entry No.	Name	Parentage	Days to flower		Plant height (cm)	Seeds per pod	100-seed weight (g)	Plant stand	Grain yield (kg/ha)
			Mature	pod					
5	IPN 481	MS 3783 X ICPL 85066	126	181	189	3.4	7.4	34	1936
12	IPN 488	MS 3783 X ICPL 87121	130	185	197	3.5	6.5	33	1829
6	IPN 482	MS 3783 X ICPL 84008	125	180	184	3.5	6.3	35	1817
1	IPN 477	MS 3783 X ICPL 270	124	178	182	3.6	6.3	33	1807
8	IPN 484	MS 3783 X ICPL 8863	124	180	193	3.5	6.5	34	1767
4	IPN 480	MS 3783 X ICPL 83057	127	180	178	3.5	6.1	33	1740
9	IPN 485	MS 3783 X ICPL 8363	130	186	197	3.4	6.1	34	1713
3	IPN 479	MS 3783 X ICPL 227	127	182	195	3.5	7.4	34	1631
14	IPN 490	MS 3783 X ICPL 85067	131	186	198	3.4	6.4	34	1631
7	IPN 483	MS 3783 X ICPL 8356	126	180	186	3.5	7.5	36	1614
15	IPN 491	MS 3783 X ICPL 85069	133	187	193	3.5	6.3	37	1565
2	IPN 478	MS 3783 X ICPL 335	132	183	196	3.4	7.2	35	1490
10	IPN 486	MS 3783 X ICPL 83062	135	188	195	3.4	7.9	35	1458
11	IPN 487	MS 3783 X ICPL 87119	131	184	185	3.5	6.4	34	1445
13	IPN 489	MS 3783 X ICPL 86034	131	185	190	3.3	9.1	35	1332
16	C 11 (check)		126	184	157	3.3	6.9	32	1332

SE+	1.2	1.2	0.08	0.10	1.5	166.0
MEAN	128.5	102.9	189.0	3.44	6.15	1631.5
CV(%)	1.3	0.9	3.1	3.27	3.09	14.5

Date of sowing: 4-7-1980  
 Date of harvesting: 17-1-1989  
 No. of Reps : 2, No. of entries: 16  
 No. of Rows/Plot: 4 (hybrid var in 2 middle rows flanked by 2 check rows)  
 Net Plot size : 3.6 x 0.6 x 2 = 4.32 sqm  
 Pesticides sprayed : Date Kind  
 18-10-88 Zhalur  
 10-11-88 Lamata  
 19-11-88 Skalur  
 1-12-88 Navagres  
 17-12-88 Lamata

The results of test conducted at Tamilnadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore have been summarized. IPH 489 was significantly higher yielding hybrid at Coimbatore. It yielded 957 kg as compared to 326 kg/ha for C 11 control.

On the basis of mean yield over three locations, IPH 479 produced 2120 kg, IPH 487 produced 2053 kg and IPH 482 yielded 2041 kg/ha as compared to 1422 kg/ha for C 11 control. Based on overall performance four hybrids (ICPH 487, -479, -482 and IPH 483) were considered promising. We plan to remake them in 1989 for multilocation testing.

(vii) Determinate Advanced Lines Test (88P32): In this test 11 determinate lines were tested in RBD with C 11, BDN 1 and ICPL 211 as controls. The yield of determinate lines ranged between 784 kg to 2179 kg/ha. The yield of C 11 control (indeterminate) was the highest (2210 kg/ha). BDN 1 produced 1937 kg/ha and determinate control yielded 1202 kg/ha (Table 51). None of the entries was better than C 11. One entry (No. 3205) was marginally better than BDN 1 and one line (ICPX 82134-28-DT1-B-B) was significantly higher yielding than determinate control ICPL 211.

(viii) Pigeonpea Insect Resistant Lines Yield Test (PIRYT): This test was conducted with pesticide protection and without pesticide protection. Thirteen Helicoverpa tolerant lines with C 11, BDN 1 and Helicoverpa tolerant control ICPL 332 were tested in 4x4 Triple Lattice Design. The yield of entries in protected test (88P23) ranged between 686 kg/ha to 1630 kg/ha as compared to 2903 kg for C 11, 1755 kg for ICPL 332 and 1659 kg/ha for BDN 1 controls (Table 52). The yield of many lines was affected due to severe wilt incidence and resulted in high cv (45%).

**Table 51.** Performance of entries in DTADLT(008P32) grown at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru,  
rainy season 1988.

Entry No.	Name	Days to Flower Maturity (cm)			Plant height (cm)	100-seed weight (g)	Plant stand	Grain yield (kg/ha)	A. Yield in nursery
		1	2	3					
13	C 11 (Check)	119	175	165	9.6	90	2210	79	
5	ICPX 02134-28-DT1-B-B	107	160	130	9.3	92	2179	71	
12	BDM 1 (Check)	108	163	144	10.2	34	1937	31	
4	ICPX 02134-32-DT1-B-B	102	164	122	9.3	88	1897	77	
2	ICPX 02143-46-DT3-B-B	101	165	128	9.6	91	1861	56	
7	ICPX 70227-1-1-1-15-B	105	167	135	12.4	63	1761	9	
6	ICPX 70227-1-1-3-10-B	109	170	135	11.9	81	1497	15	
3	ICPX 02135-16-DT2-B-B	115	171	137	12.2	61	1367	66	
11	(B-12 X ICP 699)P2-1-1-B-B	105	168	133	11.7	66	1361	52	
10	(T-15-15 X NY 3A)P2-4-1-B-B	110	169	120	12.5	65	1277	14	
14	ICPL 211 (Check)	115	166	117	11.7	69	1202	60	
6	ICPX 70227-1-1-1-1-B	94	161	112	9.4	63	1118	74	
1	ICPX 02134-13-DT1-B-B	106	171	123	6.9	60	1080	94	
9	ICPX 70227-1-1-1-B-B	95	162	105	10.5	55	784	61	
		SE+	1.7	1.5	4.6	0.21	9.0	241.0	
		MEAN	106.6	167.1	128.6	10.23	77.1	1531.4	
		CV (%)	2.8	1.5	6.3	3.50	20.1	27.3	

Table 52. Performance of entries in PIRYT (68P2) grown at Patancheru, rainy season 1980.

Entry No.	Name	Flower Maturity (cm)	height in nursery	Plant stand yield (q) (kg/ha)	Wilt incidence	
					Days to maturity	Plant Grain yield in nursery
15	C 11 (Check)	133	195	247	9.5	41
16	ICPR 312 (Check)	119	178	270	7.0	46
14	DDM 1 (Check)	120	184	250	9.6	39
12	ICPX 82046-E1-E7-E8	105	177	272	8.4	43
10	ICPX 82045-E6-E1-E8	119	180	279	9.0	24
5	ICPX 82051-E5-E3-E8	121	180	244	7.9	42
7	ICPX 82045-E10-E3-E8	115	178	270	8.5	42
4	ICPX 82045-E20-E1-E8	109	178	250	9.1	44
8	ICPX 82050-E12-E2-E8	114	179	275	9.1	39
3	ICPX 82052-E11-E1-E8	114	176	249	9.6	41
1	ICPX 80324-E31-E1-E8-E8	109	179	268	8.3	41
11	ICPX 82042-E5-E1-E8	119	181	255	8.5	35
9	ICPX 82041-E2-E4-E8	117	177	271	9.0	45
13	ICPX 82046-E2-E3-E8	110	177	257	8.7	39
2	ICPX 82042-E17-E5-E8	115	177	252	9.1	41
6	ICPX 82041-E3-E4-E8	110	174	273	9.1	41
SE <sup>a</sup>		2.2	1.6	7.2	0.51	1.9
MEAN		115.6	179.5	261.9	8.78	39.9
CV (%)		3.3	1.5	4.8	10.13	6.2
						44.7

Note: In this trial the Wilt incidence was very severe.

In unsprayed test (88P30) the yield of test entries ranged between 573 kg to 1308 kg/ha as compared to 1220 kg/ha for C 11, 1088 kg for Helicoverpa tolerant control, ICPL 332 and 915 kg/ha for BDN 1 control. Borer damaged pods (%) ranged between 19 to 44% as compared to 32% in C 11, 23% in ICPL 332 and 48% in BDN 1 (Table 53).

On the basis of yield and Helicoverpa reaction, ICPX 820042-E17-E5-EB was selected for multilocation testing (MPAY) and another line (ICPX 82051-E5-E3-EBO) for PIRYT in 1989.

(ix) Pigeonpea Insect Resistant Lines Yield Observation Nursery (PIRYN-88P31): In this nursery 46 progenies (PS generation) selected from 14 crosses were evaluated in 7x7 Simple Lattice Design with two replications. C 11, BDN 1 and Helicoverpa tolerant line were included as controls in the nursery. All the progenies were evaluated for borer damage. Only twentytwo progenies were found promising and harvested. These progenies with three controls were statistically analyzed in RBD for days to flower, 100 seed weight, plant stand, yield and % borer damage. C 11 control was later maturing (about 10 days) than most entries and therefore escaped Helicoverpa damage (21%). The yield of test entries ranged between 789 kg to 1709 kg/ha as compared to 2129 kg for C 11, 1481 for ICPL 332 and 891 kg/ha for BDN 1 controls (Table 54). The yield of C 11 was highest as it escaped Helicoverpa damage. The incidence of borer damage (% borer damaged pods) ranged between 17 to 42% as compared to 18% for ICPL 332 and 51% for BDN 1.

**Table 51.** Performance of entries in PIRYT (Unsprayed) (00P30) grown at  
ICRISAT Center, Patancheru, rainy season 1988.

Entry No.	Name	Days to flower	100-seed weight (g)	Plant stand	Yield (kg/ha)	Grain & Heliothis nursery
2	ICPX 020042-E17-E5-E8	123	9.9	29	1304	10.5
15	C-11 (Check)	112	11.2	31	1220	31.7
16	ICPL 332 (Check)	123	7.4	32	1080	23.4
7	ICPX 020045-E10-E3-E8	116	9.5	23	1081	30.8
9	ICPX 020041-E2-E4-E8	120	9.6	25	996	29.0
5	ICPX 020051-E5-E3-E8	123	9.2	25	964	10.8
3	ICPX 020052-E1-E1-E8	120	10.3	23	963	20.9
12	ICPX 020046-E1-E7-E8	113	8.9	25	913	44.4
14	BDM-1 (Check)	119	11.3	29	915	47.0
1	ICPX 000324-E1-E1-E9-E9-E8	119	9.3	16	789	35.0
13	ICPX 020046-E2-E3-E8	119	10.1	25	779	33.7
4	ICPX 020045-E20-E1-E8	113	9.2	23	774	20.0
11	ICPX 020042-E5-E1-E8	123	9.0	20	773	32.2
6	ICPX 020041-E3-E4-E8	122	10.1	23	749	24.0
10	ICPX 020045-E6-E1-E8	120	10.2	9	714	20.2
8	ICPX 020050-E12-E2-E8	116	9.0	11	573	27.0

SE <sup>+</sup>	1.1	0.32	2.4	200.2
MEAN	120.4	9.65	23.0	913.6
CV(%)	1.6	5.66	10.1	37.9

Table 54. Performance of entries in PIRAY (unsprayed) (80231) grove  
at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru, rainy season 1988.

Entry No.	Name	Days to flower (q)	100-seed weight (g)	Plant stand			Yield (kg/ha)	Grain & Heliothis Nursery
				to emerg. in field	stand	yield		
24	C-11 (Check)	130	11	40	2129	21.4		
18	ICPX 830122-E18-E1-E8	114	10	29	1709	20.3		
9	ICPX 830102-E30-E4-E8	125	10	37	1704	30.4		
2	ICPX 830122-E45-E1-E8	123	9	34	1505	24.1		
20	ICPX 830122-E18-E3-E8	122	11	34	1555	17.4		
10	ICPX 830102-E33-E1-E8	118	11	35	1537	18.6		
25	ICAL 312 (Check)	120	7	16	1401	10.5		
22	ICPX 830122-E21-E1-E8	125	9	35	1436	22.1		
7	ICPX 830101-E24-E3-E8	113	9	33	1318	31.0		
16	ICPX 830122-E14-E24-E8	109	10	29	1314	24.7		
14	ICPX 830108-E17-E2-E8	118	11	26	1267	29.7		
11	ICPX 830105-E26-E5-E8	109	9	23	1266	24.9		
21	ICPX 830122-E18-E24-E8	122	11	28	1261	27.0		
12	ICPX 830107-E8-E4-E8	126	10	29	1222	31.5		
17	ICPX 830122-E17-E3-E8	117	11	30	1211	20.1		
19	ICPX 830122-E18-E2-E8	113	10	29	1166	28.7		
8	ICPX 830102-E30-E2-E8	112	10	14	1133	39.2		
4	ICPX 830094-E22-E1-E8	115	9	32	1039	28.4		
13	ICPV 830107-E11-E1-E8	114	11	32	1016	32.3		
3	ICPX 830094-E21-E5-E8	125	10	21	940	29.2		
5	ICPX 830099-E23-E1-E8	109	9	31	921	30.4		
23	BDM-1 (Check)	120	10	31	891	51.4		
15	ICPX 830108-E18-E6-E8	123	10	34	878	44.2		
1	ICPX 830107-E30-E1-E8	122	9	27	790	35.2		
6	ICPX 830099-E23-E5-E8	110	9	34	789	26.3		
				SE <sup>a</sup>	1.5	0.3	3.0	216.5
				MEAN	10.3	9.7	31.0	1262.3
				CV(%)	1.8	3.8	13.5	23.6

On the basis of grain yield and Helicoverpa tolerance, eight progenies (entry nos. 3134, 3116, 3103, 3136, 3117, 3138, 3132 and 3119) were found promising. Selected progenies will be tested in PIRYT during 1989.

#### H. COOPERATIVE YIELD TRIALS

1987

1. Medium-duration Pigeonpea Adaptation Yield Trial (MPAY): In this trial 13 best entries from 1986 station trials were yield tested with three controls (C 11, ICPL 270 and BDN 1). The trial was also sent to eight cooperators in India but the data were received from Gulbarga, Keonjhar and Anand locations only. Many locations indicated failure of the trial due to heavy Helicoverpa incidence.

At ICRISAT Center, the observations were recorded on days to flower, maturity, plant height, 100 seed-weight, plant stand, yield, wilt and SM incidence. The yield of the test entries ranged between 403 kg/ha to 2307 kg/ha as compared to 1428 kg/ha for C 11 and 329 kg/ha for BDN 1 control. The heavy incidence of Helicoverpa could not be controlled even after several pesticide sprays and caused greater variation in yield. However, ICPL 85063 was the highest yielding line in the test followed by ICPL 87088, ICPL 87089 and ICPL 87119 (Table 55). ICPL 87088 and ICPL 87089 showed susceptibility to wilt.

At Gulbarga ICPL 87120 was the highest yielding line whereas at Keonjhar (Orissa State), ICPL 87119 was the best followed by ICPL 87123. At Anand another line ICPL 87123 was the highest yielding line followed by ICPL 85063 (Table 55).

DF=Days to 50% flower, DM=Days to 75% maturity, PH=plant height (cm) and SW=100 seed weight (g)

Table 55. Mean performance of MPAY entries during 1987.

Entry	At ICNISAT			Locations (yield kg/ha)				Overall mean		Mean of 2nd loc	
	DF	DM	PH	ICNISAT	Gulbarga	Koonthar	Anand	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
ICPL 87120	114	210	217	13.3	76.4(13)	20.6(6)	10.7(6)	715(16)	1160	2066	1160
ICPL 87080	116	170	216	8.9	195.0(2)	77.2(12)	15.9(8)	1560	1980	1980	1980
ICPL 87089	120	174	229	9.6	17(2)	196.6(3)	8.9(1)	1515	1996	1996	1996
MP140	118	195	212	12.8	230.7(1)	134(4)	10.5(5)	1623(2)	1719	1911	1911
ICPL 87070	127	210	227	12.2	153(14)	1917(5)	11.5(4)	1107(12)	1515	1980	1980
ICPL 87090	124	210	223	13.8	139(9)	176(17)	12.4(6)	1292(9)	1360	1761	1761
ICPL 87112	126	210	227	12.2	153(14)	1917(5)	11.5(4)	1107(12)	1515	1980	1980
ICPL 87119	119	195	198	10.3	623(14)	155(11)	9.2(5)	1000	1951	1929	1929
ICPL 87122	132	210	236	10.6	145(7)	1589(10)	7.9(3)	1269(10)	1256	1911	1911
ICPL 87123	119	195	198	10.3	623(14)	155(11)	9.2(5)	1000	1951	1929	1929
ICPL 87061	119	195	201	10.6	832(12)	176(8)	7.9(3)	1292(9)	1360	1761	1761
ICPL 87121	121	206	204	10.5	144(16)	1414(15)	10.0(8)	1207(11)	1269	1911	1911
ICPL 87127	127	210	195	10.7	40(15)	1390(16)	5.8(6)	1046(15)	1090	1980	1980
<b>Controls</b>											
C 11	125	210	215	22.5	10.9	1428(8)	1910(6)	1054.7	1446	1910	1910
ICPL 2170	118	195	191	12.1	900(10)	1504(4)	9.6(9)	1442(7)	1216	1504	1504
SDM 1	115	195	196	11.7	329(16)	1640(9)	4.1(2)	1047(14)	857	1640	1640

#### SE +

Mean

CV(%)

On the basis of mean performance over four locations, ICPL 85063, ICPL 87088, ICPL 87123 were found promising. However, based on yield, wilt and SM resistance, ICPL 85063 (SM resistant) and ICPL 87119 (wilt and SM resistant) were identified for ACT-2 testing.

2. Arhar Regional Trial (ART): ART was conducted in cooperation with Regional Research Station, Lam (Guntur), Agricultural Research Station, Badnapur (Maharashtra State) and Agricultural Research Station, Gulbarga, Karnataka. The trial included seven entries from ICRISAT, two each from our cooperators in Maharashtra and Andhra Pradesh and three control varieties. This trial was conducted at six Vertisol (Patancheru, Jalna, Dharwad, Madhira, Nandyal and Gulbarga) and four Alfisol (ICRISAT, Anantapur, Palem and Warangal) locations.

At ICRISAT Center in Vertisol field the trial was severely attacked by Helicoverpa. The % cv for yield was 63%, therefore no reliable conclusion can be made. However, a Helicoverpa tolerant line ICPL 84060 was the highest yield entry producing 713 kg/ha as compared to 648 kg/ha for BDN 1, 560 kg/ha for Hy 4, and 67 kg/ha for C 11 controls.

On the basis of mean performance over six locations ICPL 95 was the highest yielding line followed by ICPL 84060 (Table 56). The coefficient of variation (%) was high at Patancheru and Madhira. Even after deleting these two locations ICPL 95 was the highest yielding entry. It yielded 1106 kg/ha as compared to 910 kg/ha for Hy 4, 822 kg/ha for BDN 1 and 760 kg/ha for C 11 controls.

**Table 56: Mean performance of ART entries in various locations during 1987.**

Entry	At ICRISAT				Location (yield kg/ha)						Overall mean	Mean of locs 2,3,5,6
	DF	DM	PH	SV	ICRISAT (1)	Jalna (2)	Dharmad (3)	Madhira (4)	Nandyal (5)	Gulbarga (6)		
ICPL 95	111	163	162	9.3	101	1040	739	476	1081	1561	913	1166
MTB 19	113	195	169	9.5	433	992	628	587	852	1910	900	1096
BT 14	106	155	164	10.8	108	1020	712	125	1151	1401	858	1076
ICPL 270	119	195	158	11.5	246	853	813	297	859	1685	796	1058
BW 12	111	188	172	10.4	568	754	774	455	722	1924	866	1044
ICPL 332	123	195	199	7.8	441	901	572	719	415	2108	859	979
ICPL 84060	118	195	176	8.9	713	940	757	948	204	2007	928	977
MBC 53	126	195	195	11.0	109	774	701	849	278	2017	786	940
ICP 8863	115	195	180	10.4	569	706	714	113	426	1702	715	901
ICPL 86033	131	195	199	10.8	35	552	712	410	56	1712	581	761
ICPL 85066	127	195	192	10.4	99	476	698	375	37	1519	517	688
<b>Controls</b>												
HY 4	99	152	178	11.1	560	1032	857	107	407	1342	718	910
BNW 1	111	188	165	11.2	648	833	617	191	252	1584	688	822
C 11	129	195	186	10.7	67	563	514	566	102	1841	612	760
SE +	1.0	2.6	4.0	0.25	152.9	115.0	38.4	115.0	25.8	86.8		
Mean	117.0	185.8	178.2	10.26	421.2	816.9	709.2	444.8	488.6	1738.9		
CV(%)	1.5	2.4	1.9	4.28	62.9	14.0	9.4	44.8	9.1	8.6		

DF=Days to 50% flower, DM=Days to 75% maturity, PH=Plant height (cm), SV=100 seed weight (g).

From Alfisol locations data from ICRISAT Center, Anantapur, Palam and Warangal were received and are reported in Table 57. At ICRISAT Center, ICPL 84060 recorded the highest yield (1875 kg/ha) as compared to 954 kg/ha for BDN 1, the highest yielding control in the trial. MTH 19 at Anantapur, MRG 53 at Palam, ICPL 332 at Warangal had highest grain yield. Based on overall mean performance ICPL 332 was the highest yielding entry producing 1282 kg/ha grain yield followed by ICPL 84060 (1205 kg/ha). The yield of BDN 1, C 11 and Hy 4 controls was 852, 662 and 572 kg/ha respectively.

### 3. Medium-duration Pigeonpea Unselected Bulk Populations Trial (MPUB):

The populations were advanced by SPD method to retain a sample of the complete range of variability in each cross with the purpose to help the breeder to select the desirable SM resistant genotypes best suited to his environment.

This year MPUB trial was sent to nine cooperators but data were received from three locations. Ten populations advanced through Single Pod Descent (SPD) method in F5 generation of crosses made for sterility mosaic resistance constituted this test. At Akola, ICPX 82194-B-B-B was the highest yielding population producing 920 kg/ha grain yield as compared to 900 kg/ha for C 11 and 738 kg/ha for BDN 1 control (Table 58). Another population, ICPX 82169-B-B-B was most suited at Kanke (Ranchi) whereas ICPX 82186-B-B-B was found suitable at Sehore (M.P.)

Breeders at these locations should grow promising populations to select locally adapted genotypes.

### 4. ACT 2:

**Table 57 : Mean performance of ART entries in Alfisol locations during 1987.**

Entry	At ICRISAT				Locations ( yield kg/ha)				Overall mean	Mean of 2nd loc
	DF	DM	PH	SV	ICRISAT (1)	Ahantapur (2)	Palem (3)	Vizianagaram (4)		
MTR 19	94	192	218	10.5	1189	1353	812	590	986	1151
ICPL 85066	128	192	222	10.6	578	1286	618	126	652	1286
ICPL 332	125	192	230	8.1	1682	1179	842	1425	1282	1179
HY 14	83	175	194	11.5	1128	1176	414	424	786	1176
ICPL 270	128	192	211	12.2	751	1145	618	249	691	1145
MNG 53	128	192	241	9.9	1407	1117	1060	864	1112	1117
ICPL 84060	121	192	211	9.1	1875	1116	799	1028	1205	1116
ICPL 86033	133	192	249	11.1	516	1097	945	307	716	1097
ICPL 95	85	175	182	9.4	1096	1088	397	743	831	1088
ICP 8863	127	192	230	10.9	804	913	558	628	731	913
BWN 12	124	192	215	11.6	1076	867	981	533	867	867
<b>Controls</b>										
BWN 1	93	192	200	11.9	954	1534	471	447	852	1534
C 11	130	192	219	10.9	318	1039	838	452	662	1039
HY 4	84	175	215	11.9	782	942	414	150	572	942
SE $\pm$	1.0	0.0	7.0	0.21	253.7	122.5	165.3	90.5		
Mean	113.1	188.4	216.9	10.68	1011.2	1133.7	697.8	569.0		
CV(%)	1.5	0.0	5.6	3.42	43.5	18.7	41.0	27.6		

DF=Days to 50% flower, DM=Days to 75% maturity, PH=Plant height (cm) and SV=10<sup>6</sup> seed weight (g).

**Table 36.** Mean performance of MPUB entries during 1987.

Entry	At Akola			Location (Yield Kg/ha)		
	DP	DM	PH	SW	Akola	
					(1)	(2)
ICPX 02116-B-B-B	125	100	145	10.4	833(5)	690(4)
ICPX 02116-B-B-B	120	177	151	10.7	857(4)	690(3)
ICPX 02117-B-B-B	130	164	153	10.4	894(3)	768(2)
ICPX 02119-B-B-B	132	190	147	10.2	793(6)	805(4)
ICPX 02119-B-B-B	123	179	159	10.4	920(1)	920(1)
ICPX 02119-B-B-B	127	169	151	10.3	741(6)	601(6)
ICPX 02119-B-B-B	132	166	149	10.6	400(12)	487(7)
ICPX 02119-B-B-B	121	180	161	10.4	729(16)	770(12)
ICPX 02119-B-B-B	124	165	149	11.5	614(11)	669(8)
ICPX 02119-B-B-B	122	179	156	10.8	781(7)	430(9)
<b>Controls</b>						
DDA 1	118	178	142	10.1	738(9)	404(10)
C 11	129	165	150	11.0	900(2)	602(5)
SD	2.7	2.7	4.5	0.37	52.9	14.2
MEAN	125.1	162.6	150.9	10.95	773.2	566.1
CV(%)	1.0	0.7	6.0	6.96	13.7	15.5
						16.2

A total of 24 entries with C 11 control were sown in four replicate RBD. In this trial two ICRISAT entries (ICPL 8357 and ICPL 85066) were also included. The trial was severely attacked by Helicoverpa. Even after several pesticide sprays, Helicoverpa damage could not be controlled. The coefficient of variation for grain yield was very high (56%), therefore no logical conclusion can be drawn. The observations recorded for days to flower, maturity, plant height, 100 seed weight and grain yield are reported in Table 59.

#### 1988 Trials:

1. Medium-duration Pigeonpea Adaptation Yield Trial (MPAY): Ten best entries from 1987-88 tests conducted at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru were yield tested with two controls (C 11 and BDN 1). The trial included three Helicoverpa tolerant lines, two wilt and SM resistant lines, three wilt resistant and two SM resistant lines. In addition, the trial was sent to nine cooperators in India. The results were obtained from seven locations (Keonjhar, Badnapur, Anand, Coimbatore, Ranchi, Vadodara and Banswara). Failure of trial was reported from Lam (Guntur) and Sehore locations.

At ICRISAT Center the observations on days to flower, maturity, plant height, 100 seed-weight, plant stand and grain yield were recorded. Wilt and Helicoverpa screening was done in respective nurseries. The data on above observations are reported in Table 60. The yield of test entries ranged between 1722 kg to 3180 kg/ha as compared to 2662 kg for C 11 and 1908 kg/ha for BDN 1 controls. ICPL 88046 was the highest yielding entry (3180 kg/ha) followed by ICPL 88047 (2828 kg/ha). Five lines had less than 5% wilt and two had about 20% wilt incidence. Three Helicoverpa tolerant

Table 59. Performance of entries in ACT 2 (87P31) grown at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru, rainy season 1987.

Entry No.	Name	Days to Flower		Plant height (cm)		100-seed weight (g)		Plant stand	Yield (kg/ha)	Grain
		Mature	Immature	Immature	Mature	Immature	Mature			
9	MTN 12	119	193	188	188	4.6	7.0	1160		
20	BMR 370	117	194	172	10.3	7.1	9.29			
21	AKT 6	117	193	167	10.9	7.4	9.19			
13	PBNA 53	125	195	201	11.1	7.0	8.99			
19	ICPL 8357	125	195	162	10.9	7.4	7.69			
12	PT 20	113	191	173	10.8	6.9	7.55			
5	SPMA 2	124	195	165	10.0	7.3	7.50			
11	BDN 20	119	195	162	10.7	7.0	7.31			
3	PUSA 5	131	195	191	8.7	7.5	6.85			
6	SPMA 3	125	195	167	9.9	7.0	6.76			
24	C 11 (Check)	125	195	186	10.3	7.0	6.74			
17	CORG 14	132	195	209	8.4	7.1	6.54			
8	MTN 9	126	194	182	10.3	7.2	5.63			
10	BDN 13	117	191	167	11.4	7.3	5.62			
4	SPMA 1	119	193	155	11.0	7.5	5.30			
18	ICPL 85066	126	195	192	10.3	7.2	5.13			
23	VR 3	130	195	194	8.5	7.4	5.01			
7	SPMA 4	125	195	166	9.9	7.3	4.43			
16	CORG 12	125	200	209	10.8	7.0	4.22			
14	SA 1	135	195	216	9.0	7.2	3.83			
15	CORG 11	138	217	208	8.7	7.1	2.52			
2	PUSA 4	141	224	197	10.8	7.0	2.17			
1	PUSA 3	164	224	204	9.4	6.9	2.13			
22	VR 2	139	224	204	9.0	7.1	1.90			
SE <sup>a</sup>		0.9	2.4	4.5	0.14	2.5	166.6			
MEAN <sup>b</sup>		126.2	199.0	184.7	9.98	71.6	599.5			
CV(%)		1	2	5	3	7	56			

Note : This trial was severely damaged by Heliothis.

Table 60. Mean performance of MPAY entries during 1988 rainy season.

S.No.	Entry	At ICRISAT Centre						Yield kg/ha					
		DF	DM	PHT	SW	ICRISAT	Koonthar	Bidnepur	Anand	Vadodara	Overall	Mean	Mean locations
						(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
1	ICPL 00047	120	109	231	8.5	2620	2939	951	1570	911	2139	1023	II
2	C-11 (Check)	134	196	230	9.1	2662	2967	1066	1422	910	2149	1796	III
3	ICPL 00046	134	190	236	9.5	3180	2916	878	2033	771	1649	1965	I
4	ICPL 00045	129	205	234	8.9	2425	2093	757	1599	896	1795	1724	II
5	ICPL 00044	120	206	220	8.1	2377	2967	833	1210	719	1750	1644	II
6	ICPL 00043	130	204	236	8.7	2063	2692	1038	1626	729	2271	1717	II
7	ICPL 07008	120	109	239	7.6	1980	3004	979	1649	423	1990	1671	II
8	ICPL 00041	127	105	230	8.5	2513	2600	1170	1629	920	1924	1742	II
9	ICPL 00049	127	197	235	10.0	2201	2190	747	1320	410	2146	1516	II
10	ICPL 00048	126	196	225	11.9	2231	2446	854	1511	427	1726	1931	II
11	BDN 1 (Check)	127	194	210	9.6	1900	2116	1004	1524	370	1969	1517	II
12	ICPL 00062	111	105	230	6.9	1722	2150	667	1091	309	1431	1229	II
	SI+	0.4	1.9	7.5	0.19	195.0	350.7	126.4	213.0	91.7	210.6		
	MEAF	129.0	195.1	231.6	9.01	2347.7	2674.0	912.0	1532.5	575.2	1070.5		
	(CV)	1.3	2.0	6.5	4.14	16.6	20.1	27.0	27.0	31.9	31.9	15.3	

DF : Days to 50% flowering, DM = Days to 75% maturity, PHT = Plant height in cm, SW = S/100 seeds.

lines showed upto 27% pod borer damage as compared to 46% both in C 11 and BDN 1 controls.

At Keonjhar ICPL 87088, a Helicoverpa tolerant line, was the highest yielding entry (3004 kg/ha). ICPL 88041 gave highest yield of 1170 kg/ha at Badnapur whereas ICPL 88046 was best entry at Anand. It yielded 2033 kg/ha as compared to 1422 kg/ha for C 11 control. Moisture stress caused low yield at Coimbatore location, however, ICPL 88046 was again the best entry at Coimbatore producing 771 kg/ha as compared to 510 kg/ha for C 11 control. ICPL 88043 at Vadodara, ICPL 88042 at Banswara and ICPL 88045 at Ranchi were highest yielding entries in the trial.

On the basis of mean yield and disease resistance, ICPL 88046 and ICPL 88047 were identified for ACT 2 testing.

2. ACT 2: In this trial 17 entries were tested with C 11 control in four replicate RBD. Four out of five top yielding entries were from ICRISAT. ICPL 87119 was the highest yielding line followed by ICPL 85066. Both lines were significantly higher yielding than C 11 control. ICPL 87119 produced 1750 kg/ha and ICPL 85066 yielded 1738 kg/ha as compared to 1419 kg/ha for C 11 control (Table 61).

During 1988-89 in southern zone of All India Coordinated Pulses Improvement Project trials first three ranks were occupied by the entries (ICPL 87119, ICPL 85066 and ICPL 85063) contributed by ICRISAT.

## I. MISCELLANEOUS

(i) Seed Multiplication and Maintenance: Five lines/varieties (ICPL 84060,

**Table 61.** Performance of entries in ACT 2 ('86P29) grown at ICRISAT  
Center, rainy season 1986.

Entry No.	Name	Days to Flower	Mature	Plant		Grain Yield (kg/ha)
				height (cm)	weight (g)	
17	ICPL 87119	121	170	194	9.8	61
1	ICPL 85066	121	176	204	8.9	74
9	AKT 6	111	174	183	10.9	72
7	ICPL 8157	110	175	191	9.5	72
18	ICPL 85063	117	172	180	10.7	72
6	C 11 (Check)	116	173	186	8.3	70
2	BW 20	113	170	176	9.7	74
13	BMR 369	109	162	183	10.3	72
14	BMR 251	115	172	181	10.2	69
16	2177	125	179	205	9.6	72
10	BMR 179	108	171	191	9.7	71
12	MRC 66	117	169	214	7.1	74
3	PUSA 9	127	179	169	6.7	71
15	PT 20	115	175	181	10.7	71
8	PDA 86-1	113	167	196	8.0	70
4	CORG 14	129	181	185	7.3	71
5	CORG 12	122	179	213	7.6	74
11	BMR 253	103	170	180	11.7	71
	SE <sup>a</sup>	1.1	1.6	6.2	0.19	1.7
	MEAN	110.9	176.2	189.0	9.19	71.6
	CV(%)	1.8	1.0	6.5	4.04	4.0
						13.6
						1301.7

-270, ICP-8863, C11 and BDN 1) in 1987-88 and nine lines (ICPL 227, -270, -8357, -85063, -85066, -87119, 87088, -87089 and ICP 8863) were grown in isolation blocks to produce pure seed to cater to the needs of different cooperators. Roguing at appropriate stages of crop growth was done to avoid impurity.

(ii) Temperature and Rainfall: Tables 62 and 63 give the minimum and maximum temperatures and rainfall patterns for both the years i.e. 1987-88 and 1988-89.

(iii) New ICRISAT Pigeonpea Lines (ICPL): Nine advanced lines found superior in 1987-88 tests at Patancheru were given ICPL numbers (Table 64). These lines were tested in 1988 MPAY trial and 14 newly numbered lines (ICPL 89042 to ICPL 89055) will be tested in multilocation MPAY trial in 1989 (Table 65).

#### J. SEED SUPPLY TO COOPERATORS

A large number of requests were received from the cooperators. The details will appear in the progress of project P-101(85)IC.

Table 62. Average climatic data for the year 1987-88

MONTH	RAIN mm	EVAP mm	MAX C	MIN C	RH% A	RH% A	WIND kphr	SUNSHINE hr	SOLRAD MJ/m <sup>2</sup> /D
<b>1987</b>									
June	142.7	299.4	35.5	24.5	78.7	41.6	17.3	7.9	20.5
July	143.9	175.0	30.5	20.1	97.1	61.9	15.7	4.3	15.3
Aug	98.0	164.1	29.6	22.6	90.3	67.5	16.9	6.3	14.8
Sep	62.1	161.1	31.9	22.5	90.5	51.3	6.7	7.1	10.1
Oct	149.1	142.5	30.3	20.2	91.8	51.4	6.5	7.8	16.8
Nov	240.0	109.4	27.5	18.0	92.6	56.6	7.1	6.1	13.6
Dec	0.0	110.1	26.9	14.2	91.4	45.0	6.6	8.5	15.1
<b>1988</b>									
Jan	0.0	142.6	28.4	14.1	91.3	36.9	6.5	4.7	17.5
Feb	4.0	177.5	32.0	17.4	87.4	31.0	6.4	9.6	17.5
Mar	0.0	261.5	35.0	20.0	70.2	25.1	8.5	9.5	16.9
Apr	99.5	263.6	36.2	22.2	71.6	26.4	9.3	9.6	20.9
May	15.6	309.5	40.1	26.4	54.6	21.1	11.0	9.6	23.2
Total	955.7								

Please note that rainfall and evaporation data are totals, not means.

Table 63. Average climatic data for the year 1968-69

MONTH	RAIN mm	EVAP mm	TMAX °C	TMIN °C	PHOT hrs	SOLRAD W/m <sup>2</sup> /D	WIND kphr	SUNSHINE hrs
<b>1968</b>								
June	122.1	283.3	14.7	24.4	11.7	46.8	17.4	6.9
Jul	255.7	139.1	29.4	23.0	91.0	17.6	14.0	11.9
Aug	273.1	113.7	28.8	22.8	93.8	17.4	14.4	14.1
Sep	247.0	106.4	29.4	21.1	91.5	17.1	13.0	15.0
Oct	21.3	158.4	10.4	15.1	68.1	12.3	5.4	9.2
Nov	0.0	162.2	29.0	14.2	65.1	12.9	6.2	10.3
Dec	6.7	131.5	27.2	11.4	60.2	11.1	6.9	15.3
<b>1969</b>								
Jan	0.0	156.2	20.7	14.0	92.1	17.7	6.7	9.0
Feb	0.0	194.2	32.2	13.8	67.8	15.1	6.5	10.7
Mar	75.7	226.7	33.0	19.9	75.2	26.9	8.5	9.2
Apr	5.9	305.7	30.0	22.1	55.2	19.9	0.1	10.3
May	30.7	191.1	40.1	25.4	51.0	19.6	12.6	10.0

Total 1016.7

Please note that rainfall and evaporation data are totals, not means.

Table 64. Characteristics of ICPISAT Pigeonpea lines - ICPL identified in 1987-88 season

ICPL No.	Pedigree	Percentage	Days to flower	Days to seed maturity	Seed weight (g/100)	Field kg/ha	Remarks
00041	ICPX 010300-EB-E1-EB-E8	ICP 3228-EB-2EE 7-27 100% E1-EB- EB-EB-EB	170	100	4.4	2216 1800 1510	Helicoverpa tolerant
00042	ICPX 000324-E14-E1-EB- EB-EB-EB	170301F:8-25-11 7-17 100% F18-10-66	150	150	6.6	1025 1000 1530	-
00043	LRC 30 25kr-B-WB-B	ICP 1-11 mutant line	120	-	9.9	2004 1471 1700	Mild resistant
00044	LRC 30 25kr-B-WB-B	-	120	-	9.8	1993 1471 1700	-
00045	LRC 30 25kr-B1-WB-B	-	120	-	9.9	1919 1411 1700	-
00046	ICPX 70014)-WB-WB-WB-WB-	C 11 X ICP 1-6-W1-W1-W1	126	-	10.9	1047 1471 1700	Mild and SMD resistant
00047	ICPX 000275-SWP1-SWP5-SWP1+- -SWP1+-SWP6-SWP8+-0	ICP 7414-1-55* X ICP 7113-W1+-	122	-	10.4	1616 1601 -	Mild, SMD resistant Phytophthora tolerant
00048	BDW1 BC1P2-16'-S1'-S1'-SB+-0	(BDW1 X 73054 line) X BDW1 X BDW1 X BDW1	111	-	13.6	2171 -	SMD resistant
00049	BDW1 BC1P2-26'-S15'-S1'-SB+-0	-	115	-	11.7	2141 -	1100 -

Table 65. Characteristics of promising lines I-PL numbers, identified during 1988-89 season at ITRIAT Center, Patancheru.

Source ICP1 1988-89 S.No.	Pedigree (ICP8) S.No.	Parentage	Days to Flower	Days to Seed	100 Seed Rate	Yield kg/ha	Yield kg/ha	Milk Rate	Milk Rate	Resistant to resistant
						Flower nature	vt	Lane	Check	
2417	89042	820193-B-59-SWB-SWB	ICPL 228 X ICP 1117	116	197	11.3	1199	1051	2574	-
2419	89043	800001-B-B-SWB-SWB	ICPL 6-1641-SWB-X ICP 2376	111	198	9.1	1152	1051	2574	40
2401	89044	820169-B-51-SWB-SWB	ICPL 131 X ICP 335	111	205	9.4	1124	1051	2574	-
2422	89045	820372-58-53-SWB-SWB	ICPL 1116-X ICP 131	111	199	8.7	1049	1051	2574	7
2424	89046	820372-59-57-SWB-SWB	ICPL 1116-X ICP 131	110	198	8.4	1046	1051	2574	0
2406	89047	820181-B-51-SWB-SWB	ICPL 270 X ICP 335	110	197	9.9	1052	1051	2574	0
2420	89051	820179F49-56-58	LRG 16 X ICP 1117	116	198	11.0	1242	2664	1377	7
2404	89052	810192F40-55-58	LNG 10 X ICP 6997	112	197	9.9	1150	2664	1377	21
2507	89048	810203F40-M6-N8	C 11 X ICP 863	112	198	8.7	2988	2411	2210	1
2511	89049	810201F49-W19-N8	C 11 X ICP 863	111	197	8.5	2772	2411	2210	2
2512	89050	810203F48-W18-N8	C 11 X ICP 863	112	197	9.0	2737	2411	2210	7
2406	89053	BDH 118C1P2-2-S6-	BDH 11 X 730051 PS Lane	123	205	11.1	2688	-	2039	21
2404	89054	SD-58-8	SDM 1 X 710254 PS Lane	121	203	10.3	2610	-	2019	31
3002	89055	ICPAK 820042-E17-85-R8	PPT 45-2-B-X ICP 3009-E1-R8	121	-	9.9	1308	1088	915	-
										Molicoverp tolerant