Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Environmental and Experimental Botany



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/envexpbot

Effect of high temperature and water stress on pollen germination and spikelet fertility in rice

Z.W. Rang^{a,b}, S.V.K. Jagadish^{b,*}, Q.M. Zhou^a, P.Q. Craufurd^c, S. Heuer^b

^a College of Agronomy, Hunan Agricultural University, Changsha 410128, China

^b Plant Breeding, Genetics and Biotechnology, International Rice Research Institute, DAPO Box 7777, Metro Manila, Philippines

^c International Crop Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, AP 502324, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 April 2010 Received in revised form 21 June 2010 Accepted 13 August 2010

Keywords: Anthesis High temperature Pollen germination Rice Spikelet fertility Water stress

ABSTRACT

In future climates, rice could more frequently be subjected to simultaneous high temperature and water stress during sensitive developmental stages such as flowering. In this study, five rice genotypes were exposed to high temperature, water stress and combined high temperature and water stress during flowering to quantify their response through spikelet fertility. Microscopic analyses revealed significant differences in anther dehiscence between treatments and genotypes, with a moderately high association with the number of germinated pollen grains on the stigma. There was a strong relationship between spikelet fertility and the number of germinated pollen on stigmas. Although, all three stress treatments resulted in spikelet sterility, high-temperature stress caused the highest sterility in all five genotypes. A cumulative linear decline in spikelet fertility with increasing duration of independent high-temperature stress and in combination with water stress was quantified. Better anther dehiscence, higher *in vivo* pollen germination, and higher spikelet fertility were observed in both the N22 accessions compared with IR64, Apo and Moroberekan under high temperature, water stress and combined stress, indicating its ability to tolerate multiple abiotic stresses.

© 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Rice is a major staple cereal grown in irrigated cropping systems of South and Southeast Asia, with maximum day temperatures either close to or higher than the critical threshold ranging between 33 °C (Nakagawa et al., 2002) and 35 °C (Yoshida, 1981). Recent global climate models predict an increase in mean temperature by 2–4.5 °C and the rice area affected by water stress to double by the end of this century (IPCC, 2007). Recently, hot spots for combined high temperature and water stress occurring at the sensitive flowering and grain-filling stage of rice were identified using data from the rice almanac and spatial analysis using geographical information system (Wassmann et al., 2009). Hence, overcoming the effects of high temperature and water stress on rice production is essential for food security in the future.

High-temperature stress is defined as the rise in temperature beyond a critical threshold for a period of time sufficient to cause irreversible damage to plant growth and development (Wahid et al., 2007). Rice responses to high temperature differ according to the developmental stage, with the highest sensitivity recorded at the reproductive stage. Temperatures >35 °C at anthesis and last-

ing for more than 1 h can lead to high sterility in rice (Jagadish et al., 2007). A high-temperature stress-induced increase in spikelet sterility was attributed to abnormal anther dehiscence (Matsui and Omasa, 2002), impaired pollination (Matsui et al., 2005), and pollen germination (Jagadish et al., 2010). Moreover, high temperature of 39 °C given a day before flowering resulted in poor anther dehiscence during subsequent anthesis (Matsui and Omasa, 2002).

Water limited condition (also referred to as drought), affecting 23 m ha of rice regularly (Pandey et al., 2007) is a condition related to insufficient soil moisture available to support average crop production. The response of plants to water stress depends on the duration and severity of the stress (Araus et al., 2002; Bartels and Souer, 2004) and the developmental stage (Zhu et al., 2005). Rice is sensitive to drought stress particularly during flowering stage, resulting in severe yield losses (Liu et al., 2006). The physiological processes during the sensitive flowering stage, negatively affecting spikelet fertility under water stress [anther dehiscence (Ekanayake et al., 1989, 1990; Liu et al., 2006); pollen germination (Saini and Westgate, 2000)] were similar to high-temperature stress (Yoshida, 1981; Jagadish et al., 2010). Additionally, panicle exsertion (O'Toole and Namuco, 1983), and peduncle length (He et al., 2009) were partly responsible for increased sterility under water stress.

The simultaneous occurrence of multiple abiotic stresses rather than one particular stress is commonly noticed under field conditions (Mittler, 2006). The combination of high temperature and

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +63 2 580 5600 2512; fax: +63 2 580 5699/845 0606. *E-mail address*: k.jagadish@cgiar.org (S.V.K. Jagadish).

^{0098-8472/\$ -} see front matter © 2010 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.envexpbot.2010.08.009

water stress represents an excellent example of multiple abiotic stresses occurring concomitantly in the field. It was found that combined stress had a significantly greater detrimental effect on growth and productivity than exposure to a single stress in Hordeum vulgare (Savin and Nicolas, 1996) and Poa pratensis (Wang and Huang, 2004). Effects of this combination in Arabidopsis thaliana (Rizhsky et al., 2004), Triticum aestivum (Shah and Paulsen, 2003) and Nicotiana tabacum (Rizhsky et al., 2002) have been documented. However, relatively little information is available for rice in response to combined high temperature and water stress in general and at the most sensitive flowering stage in particular. Experiments were therefore carried out with the following objectives to (1) study the effect of high temperature (HT), water stress (WS) and combined high temperature and water stress (HT+WS) on the flowering period, peduncle elongation and panicle exsertion in rice; (2) record the impact on anther dehiscence, pollen count and germination on the stigma and spikelet fertility when exposed to the above mentioned stresses at flowering; and (3) test the hypothesis that "high temperature a day before flowering affects fertility of spikelets opening on the subsequent day".

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Crop husbandry

Five rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) genotypes differing in their response to either HT or WS at flowering were used for this study (Table 1). Seeds were sown in seeding trays with clay loam soil after breaking dormancy (2 d, 50 °C). Fourteen-day-old seedlings were transplanted into plastic pots with two holes at the bottom sealed with stoppers to facilitate water control. Each pot was filled with 6.0 kg of the same clay loam soil with 2.0 g (NH₄)₂SO₄ (urea), 1.0 g muriate of potash (KCl) and 1.0 g single super phosphate (SSP). An additional 2.5 g of (NH₄)₂SO₄ was top dressed, 25–30 d after transplanting. Cypermethrin (Cymbush) 0.42 g L⁻¹ was sprayed 30 d after transplanting to control white flies (*Bemisia* spp.). There were no other pest or disease problems.

2.2. Greenhouse

Plants were grown in a temperature-controlled greenhouse maintained at $29/21 \degree C$ day/night temperature [actual: $28.8 \degree C$ (SD {standard deviation} = 0.84)/ $20.9 \degree C$ (SD = 0.27)] and day/night relative humidity (RH) of 75–85% [actual 75.2% (SD = 0.11)/86.7% (SD = 0.07)] under natural sunlight conditions at the International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Philippines. Plants were placed on a bench spaced at 30-cm to avoid shading effects. Ambient air temperature and RH were measured using thermocouples (Chessell 392, USA) every 10 s and averaged over 10 min.

2.3. *Growth chamber*

Indoor growth chambers (Thermoline, Australia) were used with temperatures automated to gradually increase from 29 °C to 38 °C starting from 0730 to 0830 (2.5 h after dawn) and maintained at 38 °C (SD = 1.23) until 1430, with an RH of 75% (SD = 3.88) during both Experiments 1 and 2. Both temperature (P>0.97) and RH (P>0.73) were maintained consistently between the experiments to avoid any chamber effects on plant observations recorded. Plants were spaced at approximately 15–20 cm to avoid crowding. A thermocouple placed above the canopy in the growth chamber measured the ambient air temperature and RH every 10 s and averaged them over 10 min (Chessell 392, USA). Photosynthetic photon flux density was maintained at 640 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹. CO₂ concentration was not measured.

2.4. Stress treatments

Two experiments were carried out with slightly different conditions. Five and seven replicate plants were used for each of the four treatments (control, HT, WS and HT+WS) in Experiment 1 and 2, respectively. Plants of all five genotypes were grown in temperature-controlled greenhouse conditions at 29/21 °C and used as absolute controls for the experiments.

For Experiment 1, plants were exposed to HT for 6 h (0830-1430), on the first day of anthesis (i.e. the appearance of anthers) and then moved back to the control conditions (29/21 °C). Similarly, transfer between the control and HT conditions were continued for five consecutive flowering days. For WS, main tillers at 5 d before heading (DBH) were selected and tagged. Stoppers at the bottom of these pots were unplugged for overnight draining to reach maximum water holding capacity by the following morning. The main-tiller flag leaf in all five genotypes began to roll after 5 successive non-watering days before heading. Following main-tiller flag-leaf rolling and based on the average water lost (pot weight at leaf rolling-weight at subsequent weighing), across genotypes, a constant volume (500 mL) of water was added back daily until the main-tiller completed anthesis followed by complete flooding. A different set of plants as identified at 5 DBH for HT+WS treatment and exposed to WS as described earlier. These selected plants were exposed to both WS and two days of high-temperature $(38 \degree C)$ starting on the first day of anthesis.

For Experiment 2, high-temperature stress was imposed as described in Experiment 1 for four consecutive flowering days. Similarly, for WS, main tillers at 5 DBH were identified as described in Experiment 1 and WS was initiated. WS was continuously monitored by recording flag-leaf relative water content (RWC) using the following formula of RWC (%) = $[(W - DW)/(TW - DW)] \times 100$ (Liu et al., 2006), where *W*: fresh weight, TW: turgid weight, and DW: dry weight. Unlike the first experiment, the volume of water added back was determined based on individual pot weight. The

Table 1

Five rice genotypes having differential response to either HT or WS at flowering. Numbers in parenthesis are IRGCIS (International Rice Genebank Collection Information System) accessions.

Cultivar	Origin	Species	Expected stress tolerance	References
N22 (03911)	India	O. sativa aus	Heat and drought	Prasad et al., 2006; Jagadish et al., 2008, 2010; Selote and Chopra, 2004
N22 (19379)	India	O. sativa aus	Heat and drought	Prasad et al., 2006; Jagadish et al., 2008, 2010; Selote and Chopra, 2004
Apo (115128)	Philippines	O. sativa indica	Drought	Kumar et al., 2008
IR64 (116793)	Philippines	O. sativa indica	Moderately heat and drought	Jagadish et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2006
Moroberekan (117272)	Guinea	<i>O. sativa</i> japonica	Heat sensitive and drought tolerant	Jagadish et al., 2008, 2010; Liu et al., 2006



Fig. 1. Pictorial illustration of high temperature (HT), water stress (WS) and combined stress (HT+WS) affecting pollen count and pollen germination in the heat and drought tolerant N22 and the drought tolerant and heat sensitive Moroberekan.

HT + WS was imposed as in Experiment 1 except that the HT was given for four consecutive flowering days starting from the first day of anthesis.

2.5. Observations

2.5.1. Peduncle length and panicle exsertion

Peduncle length (PeL) starting from the panicle node to the immediately preceding node was measured. Since panicles were not completely exserted because of WS or HT+WS, portions of the panicle outside (exserted) and inside (trapped) were measured with the flag-leaf collar as the reference. Peduncle and panicle length (PaL) were measured in both the experiments.

2.5.2. Microscopic analysis

Fifteen to 20 spikelets were randomly sampled between 1030 and 1200, from the four treatments and five genotypes. For this, spikelets about to flower on the main tiller were marked using acrylic paint, and, after pollination (around 30 min after the spikelet closed) marked spikelets were collected into vials filled with FAA (50% absolute ethanol, 5% acetic acid, 27% formaldehyde and 18% sterilized water) fixative following the protocol by Jagadish et al. (2010). Anthers separated from the fixed spikelets were used to record percent anther dehiscence. Spikelets were washed in de-ionized water before dissecting under a stereo-microscope (Olympus SZX7, Olympus Corp., Japan). Isolated stigmas were cleared in 8N NaOH for 3-5h at room temperature and stained with aniline blue dissolved in 0.1 M K₂HPO₄ for 5-10 min and number and germinated pollen on the stigma were recorded. Images were taken with a DP70 digital camera attached to an Axioplane 2 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Germany) at 100× (Fig. 1).

2.5.3. Flowering period and anther dehiscence

The number of days taken by the main tiller to complete flowering was recorded as flowering period (FP) from four treatments and all five genotypes. Anthers from the spikelets collected to record the pollen count and germination with either basal and/or apical pore open were recorded as dehisced and the remaining as un-dehisced using a stereo-microscope (Olympus SZX7, Olympus Corp., Japan). Anther dehiscence was calculated as the ratio of the number of dehisced anthers to the total number of anthers (dehisced+undehisced).

2.5.4. Spikelet fertility

Spikelet fertility (SF) from both experiments was estimated from the main-tiller panicle using the procedures of Prasad et al. (2006) and Mohammed and Tarpley (2009). Fifteen to twenty days after the completion of anthesis, spikelet fertility was estimated by pressing the spikelet between the thumb and forefinger to determine whether it was filled or not. Both partially and fully filled spikelets were categorized as filled spikelets. Spikelet fertility was calculated as the ratio of filled spikelets to total number of spikelets. Additionally, in the second experiment, spikelets opening on four consecutive flowering days were identified using different-colored acrylic paint following the protocol of Jagadish et al. (2007, 2008). Tillers used for collecting spikelets for microscopic analysis were not including for fertility analysis.

2.6. Statistical analysis

Data on flowering period, peduncle length, panicle length, panicle exsertion (PE), anther dehiscence, and spikelet fertility were analyzed as a two way completely randomized design using SPSS 13.0 (Version 13, LEAD Technologies Inc.) with 5 and 7 replications in Experiment 1 and 2, respectively. Tukey's least significant difference (LSD) at a probability level of 5% and 1% was used to compare the differences between treatments and genotypes.

3. Results

3.1. Phenology at flowering

Managed WS resulted in flag-leaf RWC being maintained around 50-60% throughout the stress period, which coincided with flagleaf rolling in all five genotypes, and this provided evidence that plants in Experiment 1 were at similar water content as in Experiment 2 (Fig. 2). In all five genotypes, flowering period was significantly extended (P < 0.05) when exposed to HT, WS and HT + WS in both the experiments compared with control plants (Table 2). The effect between WS and HT + WS treatment was non-significant (P>0.05). Across treatments, the FP between genotypes varied significantly, ranging between 5.5 and 7.6 d, with Moroberekan recording the longest FP in both Experiment 1 and 2. Similarly, Peduncle length was significantly (P < 0.05) reduced by HT (8%), WS (24%) and HT+WS (27%) in all genotypes and in both experiments compared with the control (Table 2). The genotypes responded consistently to PeL under stress, with Moroberekan having the longest peduncle while both the N22 accessions having the shortest peduncles. Although, there was no difference in panicle length under HT, WS and a HT + WS in the five genotypes (data not show), panicle exsertion showed significant differences with WS related treatments. Under WS and HT + WS, PE was significantly hindered (P < 0.05) compared with the control, whereas HT stress had no effect (P>0.05) (Table 2). IR64 and Moroberekan has significantly



Fig. 2. Flag-leaf relative water content (RWC) under WS (a) and HT + WS (b) (solid lines) compared to controls (dotted lines). 50–60% RWC was used as a criterion for imposing WS and HT + WS starting from the initiation of flowering till the main tiller completed anthesis. ST indicates start of treatment; D1, D2, D3 and D4 the first, second, third and fourth day of stress; WSR (water stress recovery) three days after re-watering. The duration to reach D1 was different among genotypes and the 3 d water stress recovery phases are indicated by two parallel line breaks. Bars indicate ±SD.

able 2
ffect of control, HT, WS and HT + WS on flowering period (days), peduncle length (cm) and panicle exsertion (%) in five rice genotypes.

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Experiment 1							
Flowering period N22-0311 N64 5.4 3.4 5.8 5.6 7.8 6.4 6.6 6.4 5.3 7.8 8.0 6.4 6.8 6.4 5.3 7.8 8.0 6.4 6.8 6.4 5.3 7.8 8.0 7.8 6.8 7.8 7.8 7.8 </td <td>Trait</td> <td>Genotypes</td> <td>Control</td> <td>HT</td> <td>WS</td> <td>HT+WS</td> <td>Mean</td> <td>5% LSD</td>	Trait	Genotypes	Control	HT	WS	HT+WS	Mean	5% LSD
Powering period N22-19379 5.2 5.2 5.2 6.4 6.6 5.9 Howering period N64 3.6 5.6 6.4 7.0 5.7 0.5 Moroberekan 4.0 5.6 7.6 7.8 6.3 0.9 N22-03911 38.0 3.4.7 2.6.9 2.3.8 30.9 N22-03911 38.0 3.4.7 2.6.9 2.3.8 30.9 N22-03911 38.0 3.7 2.5.1 2.6.4 31.1 Apo 44.1 42.2 30.8 2.9.7 36.7 N22-03911 97.1 43.8 36.1 2.4.4 40.5 Noroberekan 47.1 42.2 38.2 34.6 40.5 Noroberekan 1.00 100 89.1 84.2 93.3 40.5 Noroberekan 100 100 89.1 84.2 93.3 40.5 Noroberekan 100 98.9 76.8 66.2 93.3 6.62		N22-03911	5.4	5.8	7.8	8.0	6.8	
Flowering period Apo (Noroberekan) 3.4 (A0) 5.8 (5.6) 6.4 (A0) 6.4 (A0) 6.6 (A1) 6.7 (A0) 7.8 (A0) 6.3 (A1) Peduncle length N22-03911 (N22-19379) 38.0 (A5) 34.7 (A1) 26.9 (A1) 23.8 (A2) 30.9 (A2) 31.1 (A2) 30.9 (A1) 31.1 (A2) 30.8 (A2) 31.1 (A2) 30.8 (A2) 31.1 (A2) 30.8 (A2) 31.1 (A2) 30.8 (A2) 31.1 (A2) 32.1 (A2) 32.1 (A2) 32.1 (A2) 32.1 (A2) 32.1 (A2) 32.1 (A		N22-19379	5.2	5.2	6.4	6.6	5.9	
Provering period R64 Monoberekan 3.6 4.3 5.6 5.6 6.4 7.6 7.0 7.2 5.7 6.3 0.5 6.3 Mean Si LSD 0.45 7.6 7.8 6.3 30.9 3.7 2.5.1 2.6.4 31.1 Monoberekan Monoberekan 4.1 4.2.2 30.8 2.9.7 36.7 36.7 Peduncle length R64 36.7 34.1 33.3 32.3 34.1 3.6.7 N22-03911 97.1 87.4 30.9 3.4.1 3.2.9 34.6 40.5 2.1 N22-03911 97.1 87.5 36.1 2.4.4 61.5 2.1 N22-03911 97.1 87.5 36.1 2.4.4 61.5 2.1 N22-0391 97.1 87.5 36.0 36.0 64.2 2.3 2.4 N22-0391 97.1 87.5 9.6 48.4 73.2 2.4 Nean 95.7 9.8 36.1 2.4.4 65.2 3.5 5.1 5.1 <t< td=""><td>Floring</td><td>Аро</td><td>3.4</td><td>5.8</td><td>6.4</td><td>6.4</td><td>5.5</td><td></td></t<>	Floring	Аро	3.4	5.8	6.4	6.4	5.5	
pendu Moroberekan 4.0 5.6 7.6 7.8 6.3 Mann 0.45 5.6 7.6 7.8 6.3 Peduncle N22-03911 38.0 34.7 26.9 23.8 30.9 N22-19379 39.0 33.7 25.1 26.4 31.1 Apo 44.1 42.2 30.8 29.7 36.7 Maroberekan 47.1 43.2 38.2 34.6 40.5 Ke4 36.7 34.1 33.3 23.3 34.1 Noroberekan 41.0 37.4 30.9 29.4 Nacan 1.9 7.1 88.5 36.1 24.4 61.5 exser- 1.8 1.9 1.9 37.4 30.9 29.4 7.2 Panicle Ke64 100 000 89.1 84.2 93.3 10.6 exser- 100 98.9 76.8 66.2 85.5 7.1 Panicle Ke64	riowering	IR64	3.6	5.6	6.4	7.0	5.7	0.5
Mean 5 & LSD 4.3 0.45 5.6 6.9 7.2 Peduncle length N22-03911 N22-19379 39.0 33.7 25.1 26.4 31.1 Apo 44.1 42.2 30.8 29.7 36.7 Apo 44.1 42.2 30.8 29.7 36.7 Apo 44.1 42.2 38.2 34.6 40.5 Moroberekan 47.1 42.2 38.2 34.6 40.5 Moroberekan 1.9 7.1 88.5 36.1 24.4 61.5 Panicle N22-03911 97.1 88.5 36.1 24.4 61.5 Reserver N22-03911 97.1 88.5 36.1 24.4 61.5 Reserver N22-19379 87.0 93.3 40.5 36.0 64.2 Panicle N64 100 100 89.1 84.2 93.3 10.6 Experiment 2 St LSD St St SD 5.1 5.4 8.1 7.3	period	Moroberekan	4.0	5.6	7.6	7.8	6.3	
5% L5D 0.45 Peduncle length N22-03911 N20-03979 38.0 39.0 33.7 26.9 23.8 26.4 30.9 30.9 26.4 30.9 30.9 30.7 Peduncle length N22-03911 N64 36.7 36.7 34.1 24.2 30.8 29.7 36.7 36.7 34.1 20.2 32.3 34.1 20.2 21.1 Panicle exser- tion N22-03911 N22-19379 97.1 87.0 88.5 9.3 36.1 40.5 24.4 40.5 61.5 46.2 23.8 40.5 66.2 36.0 64.2 23.8 70.8 <td></td> <td>Mean</td> <td>4.3</td> <td>5.6</td> <td>6.9</td> <td>7.2</td> <td></td> <td></td>		Mean	4.3	5.6	6.9	7.2		
Peducle N22-03911 N22-19379 38.0 39.0 34.7 33.7 26.9 25.1 23.8 26.4 30.9 31.1 Noroberekan Koroberekan St LD 36.7 34.1 33.3 32.3 34.1 33.3 32.3 34.1 32.3 34.1 33.3 32.3 34.1 33.3 32.3 34.1 33.3 32.3 34.1 40.5 2.1 Moroberekan St LD 1.9 V		5% LSD	0.45					
Peduncle length N22-19379 Apo 44.1 44.1 42.2 42.2 30.8 30.8 29.7 29.3 36.7 36.7 36.7 36.7 36.7 M64 36.7 34.1 33.3 32.3 34.1 2.1 Moroberekan 47.1 42.2 38.2 34.6 40.5 2.1 Mean 41.0 37.4 30.9 29.3 36.6 40.5		N22-03911	38.0	34.7	26.9	23.8	30.9	
Peduncle length Apo IR64 (R64 Moroberekan Moroberekan 5% LSD 44.1 36.7 42.2 34.8 33.3 22.3 34.1 34.1 33.3 22.3 34.1 2.1 Name 41.0 37.4 30.9 29.4 40.5 40.		N22-19379	39.0	33.7	25.1	26.4	31.1	
Peduncle IR64 36.7 34.1 33.3 32.3 34.1 2.1 length Moroberekan 47.1 42.2 38.2 34.6 40.5 40.5 wean 41.0 37.4 32.3 34.6 40.5 40.5 S% LSD 1.9 7.1 88.5 36.1 24.4 61.5 Paricle Apo 94.2 93.3 40.5 36.0 64.2 Paricle R64 100 100 89.1 84.2 93.3 10.6 exser- IR64 100 100 89.1 84.2 93.3 10.6 Moroberekan 100 98.9 76.8 66.2 85.5 10.6 Kean 95.7 9.5 9.5 1.8 2.7 4.6 10.8 10.6 10.7 1.8 1.8 1.8 6.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.8 1	D 1 1	Аро	44.1	42.2	30.8	29.7	36.7	
length Moroberekan Moroberekan 47.1 42.2 38.2 34.6 40.5 Mean 41.0 37.4 30.9 29.4 30.5 30.	Peduncle	IR64	36.7	34.1	33.3	32.3	34.1	2.1
Mean 5% LSD 41.0 1.9 37.4 30.9 29.4 N22-03911 97.1 88.5 36.1 24.4 61.5 Apo 94.2 93.2 56.9 48.4 73.2 exser- tion R64 100 100 89.1 84.2 93.3 K64 100 100 89.1 84.2 93.3 10.6 Mean 95.7 94.8 59.9 51.8 55. 55.1 <td< td=""><td>length</td><td>Moroberekan</td><td>47.1</td><td>42.2</td><td>38.2</td><td>34.6</td><td>40.5</td><td></td></td<>	length	Moroberekan	47.1	42.2	38.2	34.6	40.5	
5% LSD 1.9 Panicle exser- tion N22-03911 N22-19379 97.1 87.0 88.5 93.2 36.1 93.3 24.4 40.5 61.5 64.2 Apo 94.2 93.2 56.9 48.4 73.2 IR64 100 100 89.1 84.2 93.3 Moroberekan 100 98.9 76.8 66.2 85.5 St LSD 9.5 9.5 51.8 7.1 Moroberekan 10.0 98.9 76.8 66.2 85.5 St LSD 9.5 9.5 51.8 7.1 7.9 8.3 6.8 Moroberekan 5.0 6.1 7.9 8.3 6.8 7.1 Period N22-19379 5.0 6.1 7.9 8.3 6.7 7.1 Moroberekan 5.4 6.6 9.3 9.1 7.6 0.32 7.1 St LSD 0.29 0.29 1.8 24.4 22.7 28.0 Nean 5.4 6.6		Mean	41.0	37.4	30.9	29.4		
N22-03911 97.1 88.5 36.1 24.4 61.5 Panicle Apo 94.2 93.3 40.5 36.0 64.2 exser- IR64 100 100 89.1 84.2 93.3 for oberekan 100 100 89.1 84.2 93.3 10.6 Experiment 2 Name 95.7 94.8 59.9 51.8 66.2 71.1 72.1 72.1 72.1 72.1 72.1 72.1 72.1 72.1 72.1 72.1 72.1 73.1		5% LSD	1.9					
Panicle exser- tion N22-19379 Apo 97.0 94.2 93.3 93.2 40.5 56.9 36.0 48.4 64.2 73.2 IR64 100 100 89.1 84.2 93.3 10.6 Moroberekan 100 100 89.1 84.2 93.3 10.6 Experiment 2 95.7 94.8 59.9 51.8 55.7 55.7 55.7 55.8 55.7		N22-03911	97.1	88.5	36.1	24.4	61.5	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		N22-19379	87.0	93.3	40.5	36.0	64.2	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Panicle	Аро	94.2	93.2	56.9	48.4	73.2	
Internation Moroberekan 100 98.9 76.8 66.2 85.5 Mean 95.7 94.8 59.9 51.8 57	exser-	IR64	100	100	89.1	84.2	93.3	10.6
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	tion	Moroberekan	100	98.9	76.8	66.2	85.5	
5% LSD 9.5 Experiment 2 N22-03911 5.1 5.4 8.1 8.0 6.7 N22-19379 5.0 6.1 7.9 8.3 6.8 Apo 5.3 6.3 8.3 8.5 7.1 Moroberekan 5.4 6.6 9.3 9.1 7.6 Mean 5.1 6.6 9.3 9.1 7.6 Moroberekan 5.4 6.6 9.3 9.1 7.6 Mean 5.1 6.0 9.3 9.1 7.6 Peduncle N22-03911 33.8 31.3 24.9 23.3 28.3 N22-19379 32.9 31.8 24.4 22.7 28.0 Apo 40.1 36.1 25.1 25.4 31.7 R64 30.5 28.5 31.1 29.6 29.9 2.0 Mean 35.2 30.0 27.3 26.1 34.2 34.2 Mean 35.2 30.0 <td></td> <td>Mean</td> <td>95.7</td> <td>94.8</td> <td>59.9</td> <td>51.8</td> <td></td> <td></td>		Mean	95.7	94.8	59.9	51.8		
		5% LSD	9.5					
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Experiment 2							
Flowering periodN22-193795.06.17.98.36.8Apo5.36.38.38.57.1IR644.95.68.18.36.70.32Mean5.46.69.39.17.6Mean5.10.2991.17.6PeduncleN22-0391133.831.324.923.328.3N22-1937932.931.824.422.728.0Apo40.136.125.125.431.7Ingh30.528.531.129.629.92.0IR6430.528.531.129.634.2Moroberekan38.837.431.129.634.2Mean35.233.027.326.126.1		N22-03911	5.1	5.4	8.1	8.0	6.7	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		N22-19379	5.0	6.1	7.9	8.3	6.8	
Piowering period IR64 4.9 5.6 8.1 8.3 6.7 0.32 Moroberekan 5.4 6.6 9.3 9.1 7.6 <td></td> <td>Аро</td> <td>5.3</td> <td>6.3</td> <td>8.3</td> <td>8.5</td> <td>7.1</td> <td></td>		Аро	5.3	6.3	8.3	8.5	7.1	
period Moroberekan 5.4 6.6 9.3 9.1 7.6 Mean 5.1 6.0 8.3 8.4 6.6 9.3 9.1 7.6 S% LSD 0.29 0.29 0.21 <td>Flowering</td> <td>IR64</td> <td>4.9</td> <td>5.6</td> <td>8.1</td> <td>8.3</td> <td>6.7</td> <td>0.32</td>	Flowering	IR64	4.9	5.6	8.1	8.3	6.7	0.32
Mean 5% LSD 5.1 0.29 6.0 8.3 8.4 N22-03911 33.8 31.3 24.9 23.3 28.3 N22-19379 32.9 31.8 24.4 22.7 28.0 Apo 40.1 36.1 25.1 25.4 31.7 Iength IR64 30.5 28.5 31.1 29.6 29.9 2.0 Moroberekan 38.8 37.4 31.1 29.6 34.2 2.0 Mean 35.2 33.0 27.3 26.1 2.0 3.4	period	Moroberekan	5.4	6.6	9.3	9.1	7.6	
5% LSD 0.29 Peduncle length N22-03911 33.8 31.3 24.9 23.3 28.3 N22-19379 32.9 31.8 24.4 22.7 28.0 Apo 40.1 36.1 25.1 25.4 31.7 IR64 30.5 28.5 31.1 29.6 29.9 2.0 Moroberekan 38.8 37.4 31.1 29.6 34.2 34.2 5% LSD 1.8 30.5 23.0 27.3 26.1 20.0		Mean	5.1	6.0	8.3	8.4		
N22-03911 33.8 31.3 24.9 23.3 28.3 N22-19379 32.9 31.8 24.4 22.7 28.0 Apo 40.1 36.1 25.1 25.4 31.7 IR64 30.5 28.5 31.1 29.6 29.9 2.0 Moroberekan 38.8 37.4 31.1 29.6 34.2 Kean 35.2 33.0 27.3 26.1		5% LSD	0.29					
N22-19379 32.9 31.8 24.4 22.7 28.0 Peduncle length Apo 40.1 36.1 25.1 25.4 31.7 IR64 30.5 28.5 31.1 29.6 29.9 2.0 Moroberekan 38.8 37.4 31.1 29.6 34.2 Mean 35.2 33.0 27.3 26.1	Peduncle length	N22-03911	33.8	31.3	24.9	23.3	28.3	
Peduncle length Apo IR64 40.1 36.1 25.1 25.4 31.7 Moroberekan 30.5 28.5 31.1 29.6 29.9 2.0 Mean 35.2 33.0 27.3 26.1 25.4 31.7		N22-19379	32.9	31.8	24.4	22.7	28.0	
Peduncle IR64 30.5 28.5 31.1 29.6 29.9 2.0 length Moroberekan 38.8 37.4 31.1 29.6 34.2 Mean 35.2 33.0 27.3 26.1 5% ISD 1.8		Аро	40.1	36.1	25.1	25.4	31.7	
Moroberekan 38.8 37.4 31.1 29.6 34.2 Mean 35.2 33.0 27.3 26.1 5% ISD 1.8 1.8 1.1 29.6 34.2		IR64	30.5	28.5	31.1	29.6	29.9	2.0
Mean 35.2 33.0 27.3 26.1		Moroberekan	38.8	37.4	31.1	29.6	34.2	
5% ISD 1.8		Mean	35.2	33.0	27.3	26.1		
		5% LSD	1.8					
N22-03911 96.9 87.5 40.5 29.4 63.6	Panicle	N22-03911	96.9	87.5	40.5	29.4	63.6	
N22-19379 91.4 91.2 28.7 24.7 59.0		N22-19379	91.4	91.2	28.7	24.7	59.0	
Panicle Apo 96.4 88.6 34.5 30.4 62.5		Аро	96.4	88.6	34.5	30.4	62.5	
exser- IR64 99.1 91.3 80.2 64.0 83.7 10.9	exser-	IR64	99.1	91.3	80.2	64.0	83.7	10.9
tion Moroberekan 100 94.1 67.1 55.9 79.3	tion	Moroberekan	100	94.1	67.1	55.9	79.3	1010
Mean 96.8 90.5 50.2 40.9		Mean	96.8	90.5	50.2	40.9		
5% LSD 9.7		5% LSD	9.7					



Fig. 3. Anther dehiscence affected by control (C), high temperature (HT), water stress (WS) and combined stress (HT+WS) in five rice genotypes (n > 60 anthers). Bars indicate ±SE.

superior panicle exsertion % (P < 0.05) compared to the other three genotypes.

3.2. Anther dehiscence

Anther dehiscence was highly affected by HT and clear genotypic differences were observed (Fig. 3). Both N22 accessions showed significantly higher anther dehiscence in all treatments (P<0.05), whereas HT-sensitive genotype Moroberekan recorded the least anther dehiscence. Under WS, a significant difference was observed only with Moroberekan among the five genotypes. With HT+WS, anther dehiscence was affected similar to HT, indicating that the reduction in anther dehiscence was largely influenced by HT. Comparatively, one accession of N22 (19379) had lower anther dehiscence with HT+WS compared to HT, whereas Apo and Moroberekan were the most significantly affected genotypes (P<0.01).

3.3. Germinated pollen on the stigma and spikelet fertility

The number of pollen on the stigma was significantly (P < 0.01) reduced by HT (72%), WS (31%), and HT+WS (71%), across both the experiments. HT (P<0.01) and HT+WS (P<0.05) significantly reduced the pollen count with N22 accessions having the highest pollen count (52 to 70) compared with the other three genotypes (<21%). The number of germinated pollen on stigma decreased significantly (P < 0.01) when plants were exposed to HT (81%), WS (59%), and HT+WS (84%) averaged over both experiments, compared with the control (Fig. 4). In both experiments, IR64 had the highest number of germinated pollen under control conditions. Among the stress treatments, WS had significantly higher (P < 0.01) pollen germination than HT and HT + WS, while no significant differences (P>0.05) were noticed between HT and HT+WS. The HT + WS in Experiment 1 with 2 d of HT resulted in higher pollen germination% among N22 accessions while the difference disappeared when increasing duration to 4d in Experiment 2 (Fig. 4). Comparatively, pollen germination was less sensitive to WS with 55% and 62% higher germination compared to HT and HT+WS, respectively. Under HT stress, the number of germinated pollen on the stigma was significantly higher in both N22 accessions (P < 0.05) compared to the other three genotypes.

Spikelet fertility was significantly (P < 0.01) reduced by HT, WS and HT + WS treatments (P < 0.01) (Fig. 4). Five days of HT reduced

spikelet fertility by 81% in Experiment 1 and by 72% with four days of exposure in Experiment 2. On the other hand, a shorter (2 d) HT with WS had a decline of 63% and 80% with a longer (4 d) exposure of HT + WS. Spikelet fertility had the least decline (21%) under WS in both experiments. Two accessions of N22 showed significantly higher spikelet fertility (P < 0.05) under HT and HT + WS than the other three genotypes across both experiments.

Spikelet fertility on consecutive flowering days declined significantly with the duration of exposure to HT and HT+WS in both N22 accessions and Moroberekan. N22, however, had a significantly higher fertility on all four days in both HT and HT+WS (Fig. 5). Spikelet fertility decrease under HT was lower in N22-03911 (-0.047) and N22-19379 (-0.098) compared to Moroberekan (-0.189). On the other hand, spikelet fertility declined by 0.053 and 0.124 with both the accessions of N22 and Moroberekan, respectively, under HT+WS. Spikelet fertility in Moroberekan was reduced to 0% from the 2nd flowering day under both HT and HT+WS stress.

4. Discussion

In rainfed rice ecosystems, plants are often subjected to a combination of abiotic stresses, among which the simultaneous occurrence of HT and WS is more frequent (Mittler et al., 2001). Increasing the tolerance of rice during the most sensitive flowering stage to these stresses is an ideal adaptation strategy for highly variable future climates (Horie et al., 1996). Tolerance classically comprises elements of escape, that is rice genotypes can either escape or avoid HT during anthesis, by heading during the cooler periods of the season (macro-escape), by anthesing during cooler hours of early morning (micro-escape) (Jagadish et al., 2008), or by efficient transpiration cooling of canopy (Weerakoon et al., 2008). Absolute tolerance of stress is the ability of rice to carry out key physiological processes, such as anther dehiscence, pollination, pollen germination, and fertilization, under stress but still maintain high seed-set (Jagadish et al., 2008, 2010).

Phenological conditions at flowering under different abiotic stresses to a certain extent determine subsequent spikelet fertility. The plant water status under WS is commonly monitored using relative water content (Lafitte et al., 2006; Lafitte, 2002; Liu et al., 2006). The time taken to reach the target flag-leaf RWC of 50–60% was significantly different among genotypes (data not show), similar to Srinivasan et al., 2008. Imposing WS by reducing the RWC to 50–60% resulted in a significant decrease in spikelet fertility among the five genotypes compared with control conditions, but overall fertility was higher in response to WS. For example, IR64 recorded 66% spikelet fertility with 50–60% RWC in our studies while the same genotype had 33% fertility when exposed to 40–50% flag-leaf RWC (Liu et al., 2006). This indicates that the plants experienced a moderate terminal WS and the major factor reducing the fertility was HT.

Panicle exsertion has been suggested to be an important trait that ultimately reflects on the grain yield of rice plants when they encounter WS or combined HT+WS. PE is primarily influenced by peduncle elongation. The panicles partially exserted with both WS and HT+WS, had 29% and 37% of spikelets trapped in the leaf sheath, respectively, mainly due to shortened peduncle. There were strong positive relationship between panicle exsertion and peduncle length (R^2 = 0.84 and R^2 = 0.74 in Experiment 1 and 2, respectively). The negative effect of WS on panicle exsertion during anthesis and subsequently on yield was reported earlier (Jearakongman, 2005). Similarly, we found that spikelets inside the flag-leaf sheath showed complete sterility with both WS and HT+WS, which was partly responsible for the overall reduction in the spikelet fertility. Spikelets trapped within the leaf sheath result in an absence of anthesis and fertilization (Cruz and O'Toole, 1984;



Fig. 4. Germinated pollen number on stigmas (*n* > 15 spikelets) and spikelet fertility (*n* = 5, 7) of five rice varieties under control (C), high temperature (HT), water stress (WS), and combined stress (HT+WS) in Experiment 1 (a and c) and Experiment 2 (b and d), respectively. The HT stress in Experiment 1 was for 5 d and 2 d for independent HT stress and HT+WS, respectively, while HT stress was held uniform for 4 d in Experiment 2. Capital letters indicate significance level at 1% and lowercase letters at 5%. Bars indicate ±SE.

O'Toole and Namuco, 1983) and, moreover, with HT + WS, the additional heat trapped within the leaf sheath, devoid of free air flow resulted in a much higher sterility.

The reproductive stage in rice is effected irreversibly by HT (Prasad et al., 2006) and WS (Liu et al., 2006) than the vegetative stage. Water deficit during reproductive stage significantly reduces pollen viability (Liu, 2003), spikelet fertility (Praba et al., 2009) and grain yield (Boonjung and Fukai, 1996). Similarly, we recorded a significant reduction in spikelet fertility under HT, WS and HT+WS. Independent HT and HT+WS induced abnormal anther dehiscence, resulting in a reduced number of germinated pollen on the stigma, consequently reducing fertilization, leading to spikelet sterility. Generally, higher anther dehiscence resulted in significantly more pollen on the stigma but, in highly sensitive genotypes like Moroberekan, even with higher anther dehiscence the pollen count (Fig. 6) decreased as noticed by Jagadish et al., 2010. This could be attributed to the asynchrony between the male (pollination) and female (stigma receptivity) reproductive organ mechanisms during anthesis, as seen in maize (Herrero and Johnson, 1981). Further, spikelets with at least 10 or >20 germinated pollen recorded high fertility, giving confidence to the previously identified critical number equated to seed-set (Jagadish



Fig. 5. Spikelet fertility on four consecutive flowering days in two N22 accessions and Moroberekan in Experiment 2. Individual spikelets flowering on different days were marked with 4 different-colored acrylic paints and fertility was recorded. Bars indicate ±SE.



Germinated pollen on the stigma

Fig. 6. Relationship between anther dehiscence (%) with pollen count on stigma (a: $R^2 = 0.43$, y = 0.3025x + 55.039, n = 40, P < 0.001) and number of germinated pollen on the stigma (b: $R^2 = 0.46$, y = 14.40 Ln(x) + 39.37, n = 40, P < 0.001); spikelet fertility and number of pollen germinated (c: $R^2 = 0.77$, y = 27.65 Ln(x) - 14.77, n = 40, P < 0.001).

et al., 2010). N22s in our present study showed higher anther dehiscence and thus more pollen and germinated pollen on the stigma compared with the other three genotypes, indicating true tolerance of HT and to HT+WS. Similar results were obtained from earlier reports of Mackill et al., 1982 and Satake and Yoshida, 1978 and more recently it was clearly shown that N22 had a significantly higher pollen count and pollen germination on the stigma compared to the most sensitive Moroberekan. Further in comparison, N22 had a normal rate of pollen tube growth compared to significantly slower pollen tube growth rate with the moderate tolerant IR64 (Jagadish et al., 2010) demonstrating the higher level of heat tolerance during anthesis.

Although an extended flowering period in response to the imposed stress treatments could be a potential alternative escape mechanism, plants encountering independent HT and in combination with WS did not have higher spikelet fertility even with the extended flowering period. Therefore, apart from the direct negative effect of HT coinciding with anthesis, resulting in spikelet sterility, the carry over effect on un-anthesised spikelets even after removal of stress also resulted in sterility. Following the spikelet marking approach the cumulative negative effect of prolonged HT stress on consecutive flowering days was tested. The linear decrease in spikelet fertility on consecutive flowering days either in HT- tolerant N22 or in sensitive genotype Moroberekan indicated a cumulative effect of HT stress. This further confirmed that HT stress given a day prior to anthesis affected the normal functioning of the pollen sac (anther) dehiscence and pollen viability (Matsui and Omasa, 2002). Therefore, the hypothesis that HT coinciding exactly at anthesis and not earlier would have an effect on fertility (Yoshida, 1981) is questionable. Moreover, Sato and Yokoya (2007) showed increased tolerance of rice seedlings of water deficit after prior exposure to HT at 42 °C for 24 h; over-expression of heat shock proteins increased tolerance to subsequent water deficit in Nicotiana tabacum (Cho and Hong, 2006) and water deficit and salt stress in Arabidopsis thaliana (Sun et al., 2001). Similarly, a higher spikelet fertility percentage in the HT+WS compared to independent HT was due to the acquired tolerance possibly due to increased heat shock proteins during the preceding WS resulting in higher thermo tolerance to subsequent HT stress. Physiological and molecular mechanisms resulting in this novel phenomenon in rice is presently being investigated.

In conclusion, the study has confirmed the true HT tolerance of N22 and its ability to withstand a combination of HT and WS. Hence, it is a potential candidate for breeding rice varieties capable of adapting to a range of abiotic stresses during flowering. We have demonstrated that the extended duration of HT or HT+WS had a negative cumulative effect on spikelet fertility and that this aspect has to be considered during germplasm screening for tolerance.

Acknowledgement

The CSC (China Scholarship Council) is thanked for funding the doctoral studies of the first author and USAID-BMGF through CSISA (Cereal System Initiative for South Asia) are thanked for their financial support. Anthony and Rani are thanked for the excellent crop management.

References

- Araus, J.L., Slafer, G.A., Reynolds, M.P., Royo, C., 2002. Plant breeding and drought in C3 cereals: what should we breed for? Ann. Bot. 89, 925–940.
- Bartels, D., Souer, E., 2004. Molecular responses of higher plants to dehydration. In Plant Responses to Abiotic Stress, vol. 4. Springer-Verlag/Heidelberg, Berlin/Germany, pp. 9–38.
- Boonjung, H., Fukai, S., 1996. Effects of soil water deficit at different growth stages on rice growth and yield under upland conditions: II. Phenology, biomass production and yield. Field Crops Res. 48, 47–55.
- Cho, E.K., Hong, C.B., 2006. Over-expression of tobacco NtHSP70-1 contributes to drought stress tolerance in plants. Plant Cell Rep. 25, 349–358.
- Cruz, R.T., O'Toole, J.C., 1984. Dryland rice response to an irrigation gradient at flowering stage. Agron. J. 76, 178–183.
- Ekanayake, I.J., Steponkus, P.L., De Datta, S.K., 1989. Spikelet sterility and flowering response of rice to water stress at anthesis. Ann. Bot. 63, 257–264.

- Ekanayake, I.J., Steponkus, P.L., De Datta, S.K., 1990. Sensitivity of pollination to water deficits at anthesis in upland rice. Crop Sci. 30, 310–315.
- He, H., Serraj, R., Yang, Q., 2009. Changes in OsXTH gene expression, ABA content, and peduncle elongation in rice subjected to drought at the reproductive stage. Acta Physiol. Plant. 31, 749–756.
- Herrero, M.P., Johnson, R.R., 1981. Drought stress and its effect on maize reproductive systems. Crop Sci. 21, 105–110.
- Horie, T., Matsui, T., Nakagawa, H., Omasa, K., 1996. Effect of elevated CO₂ and global climate change on rice yield in Japan. In: Omasa, K., Kai, K., Taoda, H., Uchijima, Z., Yoshino, M. (Eds.), Climate Change and Plants in East Asia. Springer-Verlag, Tokyo, pp. 39–56.
- IPCC, 2007. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Fourth Assessment Report of the intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: The Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.
- Jagadish, S.V.K., Craufurd, P.Q., Wheeler, T.R., 2007. High temperature stress and spikelet fertility in rice. J. Exp. Bot. 58, 1627–1635.
- Jagadish, S.V.K., Craufurd, P.Q., Wheeler, T.R., 2008. Phenotyping parents of mapping populations of rice (Oryza sativa L.) for heat tolerance during anthesis. Crop Sci. 48, 1140–1146.
- Jagadish, S.V.K., Muthurajan, R., Oane, R., Wheeler, T.R., Heuer, S., Bennett, J., Craufurd, P.Q., 2010. Physiological and Proteomic approaches to address heat tolerance during anthesis in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). J. Exp. Bot. 61, 143–156.
- Jearakongman, S., 2005. Validation and discovery of quantitative trait loci for drought tolerance in backcross introgression lines in Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Cultivar IR64. PhD Thesis. Kasetsart University, p. 95.
- Kumar, A., Bernier, J., Verulkar, S., Lafitte, H.R., Atlin, G.N., 2008. Breeding for drought tolerance: direct selection for yield, response to selection and use of droughttolerant donors in upland and lowland-adapted populations. Field Crops Res. 107, 221–231.
- Lafitte, H.R., Li, Z.K., Vijayakumar, C.H.M., Gao, Y.M., Shi, Y., Xu, J.L., Fu, B.Y., Yu, S.B., Ali, A.J., Domingo, J., Maghirang, R., Torres, R., Mackill, D., 2006. Improvement of rice drought tolerance through backcross breeding: evaluation of donors and selection in drought nurseries. Field Crops Res. 97, 77–86.
- Lafitte, R., 2002. Relationship between leaf relative water content during reproductive stage water deficit and grain formation in rice. Field Crops Res. 76, 165–174. Liu, J.X., 2003. Understanding rice sterility induced by drought. PhD thesis submitted
- to Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China, p. 103. Liu, J.X., Liao, D.O., Oane, R., Estenor, L., Yang, X.E., Li, Z.C., Bennett, J., 2006. Genetic
- variation in the sensitivity of anther dehiscence to drought stress in rice. Field Crops Res. 97, 87–100. Mackill, D.J., Coffman, W.R., Rutger, J.N., 1982. Pollen shedding and combining ability
- for high temperature tolerance in rice. Crop Sci. 22, 730–733.
- Matsui, T., Kobayasi, K., Kagata, H., Horie, T., 2005. Correlation between viability of pollination and length of basal dehiscence of the theca in rice under a hot-andhumid condition. Plant Prod. Sci. 8, 109–114.
- Matsui, T., Omasa, K., 2002. Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars tolerant to high temperature at flowering: anther characteristics. Ann. Bot. 89, 687–688.
- Mittler, R., 2006. Abiotic stress, the field environment and stress combination. Trends Plant Sci. 11, 15–19.
- Mittler, R., Merquiol, E., Hallak-Herr, E., Rachmilevitch, S., Kaplan, A., Cohen, M., 2001. Living under a "dormant" canopy: a molecular acclimation mechanism of the desert plant *Retama raetam*. Plant J. 25, 407–416.
- Mohammed, A.R., Tarpley, L., 2009. Impact of high nighttime temperature on respiration, membrane stability, antioxidant capacity, and yield of rice plants. Crop Sci. 49, 313–322.
- Nakagawa, H., Horie, T., Matsui, T., 2002. Effects of climate change on rice production and adaptive technologies. In: Mew, T.W., Brar, D.S., Peng, S., Dawe, D., Hardy,

B. (Eds.), Rice Science: Innovations and Impact for Livelihood. International Rice Research Institute, Philippines, pp. 635–657.

- O'Toole, J.C., Namuco, O.S., 1983. Role of panicle exertion in water-stress induced sterility. Crop Sci. 23, 1093–1097.
- Pandey, S., Bhandari, H., Hardy, B., 2007. Economic Costs of Drought and Rice Farmers' Coping Mechanisms: A Cross-country Comparative Analysis. International Rice Research Institute, Manila, pp. 203.
- Praba, M.L., Cairns, J.E., Babu, R.C., Lafitte, H.R., 2009. Identification of physiological traits underlying cultivar differences in drought tolerance in rice and wheat. J. Agron. Crop Sci. 195, 30–46.
- Prasad, P.V.V., Boote, K.J., Allen Jr, L.H., Sheehy, J.E., Thomsa, J.M.G., 2006. Species, ecotype and cultivar differences in spikelet fertility and harvest index of rice in response to high temperature stress. Field Crops Res. 95, 398– 411.
- Rizhsky, L., Liang, H., Shuman, J., Shulaev, V., Davletova, S., Mittler, R., 2002. The combined effect of drought stress and heat shock on gene expression in tobacco. Plant Physiol. 130, 1143–1151.
- Rizhsky, L., Liang, H., Shuman, J., Shulaev, V., Davletova, S., Mittler, R., 2004. When defense pathways collide: the response of Arabidopsis to a combination of drought and heat stress. Plant Physiol. 134, 1683–1696.
- Saini, H.S., Westgate, M.E., 2000. Reproductive development in grain crops during drought. Adv. Agron. 68, 59–96.
- Satake, T., Yoshida, S., 1978. High temperature-induced sterility in indica rice at flowering. Jpn. J. Crop Sci. 47, 6–17.
- Sato, Y., Yokoya, S., 2007. Enhanced tolerance to drought stress in transgenic rice plants overexpressing a small heat-shock protein, sHSP17.7. Plant Cell Rep. 27, 329–334.
- Savin, R., Nicolas, M.E., 1996. Effects of short periods of drought and high temperature on grain growth and starch accumulation of two malting barley cultivars. Aust. J. Plant Physiol. 23, 201–210.
- Selote, D.S., Chopra, R.K., 2004. Drought induced spikelet sterility is associated with an inefficient antioxidant defense in rice panicles. Physiol. Plant. 121, 462– 471.
- Shah, N.H., Paulsen, G.M., 2003. Interaction of drought and high temperature on photosynthesis and grain-filling of wheat. Plant Soil 257, 219–226.
- Srinivasan, S., Gomez, S.M., Kumar, S.S., Ganesh, S.K., Biji, K.R., Senthil, A., Babu, R.C., 2008. QTLs linked to leaf epicuticular wax, physio-morphological and plant production traits under drought stress in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Plant Growth Regul. 56, 245–256.
- Sun, W., Bernard, C., Cotte, B.V., Montagu, M.V., Verbruggen, N., 2001. At-HSP17.6A, encoding a small heat-shock protein in Arabidopsis, can enhance osmotolerance upon overexpression. Plant J. 27, 407–415.
- Wahid, A., Gelani, S., Ashraf, M., Foolad, M.R., 2007. Heat tolerance in plants: an overview. Environ. Exp. Bot. 61. 199–233.
- Wang, Z., Huang, B., 2004. Physiological recovery of Kentuchy bluegrass from simultaneous drought and heat stress. Crop Sci. 44, 1729–1736.
- Wassmann, R., Jagadish, S.V.K., Sumfleth, K., Pathak, H., Howell, G., Ismail, A., Serraj, R., Redona, E., Singh, R.K., Heuer, S., 2009. Regional vulnerability of climate change impacts on Asian rice production and scope for adaptation. Adv. Agron. 102, 93–105.
- Weerakoon, W.M.W., Maruyama, A., Ohba, K., 2008. Impact of humidity on temperature-induced grain sterility in rice (Oryza sativa L.). J. Agron. Crop. Sci. 194, 135–140.
- Yoshida, S., 1981. High temperature stress in rice. IRRI Research Paper Series 67.
- Zhu, X., Gong, H., Chen, G., Wang, S., Zhang, C., 2005. Different solute levels in two spring wheat cultivars induced by progressive field water stress at different developmental stages. J. Arid Environ. 62, 1–14.