National and Regional Livestock Markets:

Opportunities for Growth in SADC

Sabine Homann-Kee Tui André F van Rooyen Isaac Minde



Livestock products such as fresh milk and meat are important to dietary diversity and nutrition in rural households. Photo: S Sridharan, ICRISAT.

Introduction

The last forty years has seen a continuous rise in the demand for meat, milk, and other livestock products worldwide. Fueled by trends such as increased urbanization, growing populations, and income growth in the urban areas, it is clear that this demand will only continue to grow (Delgado *et al.*, 1999).

Although decision makers in agriculture have traditionally focused on crop production, the growing demand for livestock products makes a good case for improving the livestock sector. Gains made here will ultimately benefit the many small-scale farmers who collectively own more than 80% of the livestock in southern Africa (SADC RISDP, 2006).

Snapshot of SADC livestock trade

The great diversity in economic development of the different Southern African Development Community (SADC) countries gives rise to great variations in the livestock sector. Countries with relatively strong trends of urbanization and high national incomes rub shoulders with countries that are economically stagnant or









unstable. Consequently, countries with well-developed livestock markets and fully-fledged supply chains share borders with others that have weak markets and poor infrastructure. The juxtaposition of these contrasting national livestock sectors creates interesting opportunities for trade within the region.

Today SADC is a net importer of livestock products. If livestock production were increased and regional trade promoted, SADC countries would be able to capitalize more from the livestock sector and not lose important revenue through imports.

South Africa: the engine for intra-regional trade

With relatively strong urbanization rates and high national incomes, South Africa has one of the fastest growing demands for livestock products in SADC. This demand shifted the country from an exporter in the early 1990s to a net importer of beef, mutton and goat meat in 2000 (Figure 1). Since then, beef imports have increased more than seven times. Imports of mutton and goat meat have also increased during the same time. A major proportion of South Africa's meat imports originates in South America and Oceania, primarily Australia. In 2010 the total red meat imports were worth USD 110 million. If countries in the region increase quality production and create cost-effective supply chains, they could capture a greater share of this revenue.



Figure 1. Recent trends in South Africa's imports of cattle, sheep and goat (meat and live animals), 1990 – 2010. (Source: http://www.fao.org, accessed 6 March 2013).

Namibia: export success

Despite Namibia's agriculture sector contributing only 11% to the national GDP (World Bank, 2008), livestock accounts for almost 80% of the agricultural GDP (FAO, 2005). Namibia trades 90% of its marketable livestock and livestock products; about half is destined to the EU and the other half to South Africa. While large-scale commercial farmers dominate the EU export markets, small-scale producers contribute substantially to local and regional markets. The Namibian Meat Board estimates that enhancing exports from Northern Communal Areas to South Africa can double Namibia's agricultural GDP.

There are many factors that contribute to Namibia's success. The government has capacitated small-scale livestock producers and successfully linked them to local and regional trade by providing appropriate infrastructure and support services that encourage high-quality production. Producer associations in the country pave the way for their members to develop their production and marketing skills, as well as lease and manage government constructed sale pens, facilitated by the Namibia National Farmers' Union. Information on livestock marketing including prices and how they are structured is disseminated effectively through mass media (weekly price lists for different grades of animals in newspapers, radio and SMS services). This enables farmers to make decisions in production and marketing based on market demands. A farmers' mentorship program providing practical training encourages farmers in the Northern Communal Areas to make contractual agreements with formal buyers in order to increase throughput of the export abattoirs. The private sector also plays an active role by investing in marketing infrastructure as well as providing animal feed and health inputs at competitive prices. Quality is ensured through a formal grading system and maintained by observing stringent animal health requirements and a traceability system.

Mozambique and Zimbabwe: rebuilding after crises

Mozambique and Zimbabwe lie closer to the other end of the spectrum where the livestock sector is not yet fully developed. Zimbabwe's agriculture sector contributes 19% to its GDP (World Bank 2009) and the share of

livestock to the agricultural GDP is 35% (FAO, 2005). Although the country used to export large quantities of livestock products to the EU and South Africa, Zimbabwe has lost this ability with the collapse of commercial agriculture beginning in 2000. Livestock production today rests solely in the hands of small-scale producers who struggle with poor access to technologies and markets and policy frameworks that are not yet adjusted to serve their needs.

Mozambique's reconstruction after the civil war has been slow and the livestock sector has been left behind with much of the country's resources invested in crop production. Livestock contributes very little (less than 15%), to the Mozambican agricultural GDP (FAO, 2005). However, the number of people depending on agriculture for a living is high. The country cannot satisfy its fast growing demand for livestock products and the 2006 trade balance reflects a deficit of almost USD 1 million from beef imports and USD 0.04 million from goat meat and mutton imports (www.SADCtrade.com).

In both countries animal production is low, markets perform poorly, infrastructure is very poorly developed and support services limited. While the reasons for the poor performance of the livestock sectors may vary in the details, the end result is the same – both countries fail to meet the demand for livestock products and consequently import large volumes of livestock and livestock products.

What is to be done?

Increasing the contribution of livestock to the GDP and successfully exploiting intra-regional trade opportunities requires, first and foremost, an increase in livestock production and offtakes by small-scale farmers in each of the SADC countries. This increase in production can be achieved through the following three recommendations.

Initiating alternative technology delivery options

Small-scale livestock producers are usually limited in their access to appropriate inputs and technologies, particularly those related to animal health and feed. It is clear that alternative delivery systems that bring inputs, technologies and information closer to farmers are required. The LiLi: Markets project in Mozambique, Namibia and Zimbabwe tested Innovation Platforms (IPs) as one approach to identifying entry points for interventions and developing technology delivery systems. Results revealed that in countries such as Namibia with functioning livestock markets, private input suppliers were easily encouraged to expand their business to rural communities by partnering with farmers' associations. In countries such as Mozambique and Zimbabwe, it is important for governments to play a stronger role in facilitating effective delivery systems. This can be done by identifying short-and medium-term interventions to incorporate appropriate technologies in programs (e.g., using farmer field schools to promote technology packages or promoting agro-dealers to stock and display inputs for livestock as well as crops at markets).



A cattle auction taking place in rural Namibia. Suitable infrastructure and functional markets for livestock mean the possibility of high prices for farmers who understand the market requirements. Photo: A van Rooyen, ICRISAT.

Promoting livestock market development and linkages

The IPs also revealed different entry points along the market development curve. Countries such as Namibia with functional markets required options that enhanced farmers' abilities to successfully participate in these markets, e.g., training on price-quality relationships or collective action. For countries such Mozambique and Zimbabwe the first step is to establish the markets themselves – a task that ranges from investing in basic infrastructure to creating grading systems that reward farmers for producing quality products. In all countries,



An innovation platform meeting in progress in Gwanda, Zimbabwe. Photo: S Sridharan, ICRISAT.

the flow of information along the value chain must be promoted through media and institutional innovations to help farmers and other market participants make informed decisions about market requirements and trends.

Facilitating effective partnerships

An important outcome of the IP approach is the creation of successful partnerships. Engaging all value chain participants (input suppliers, producers, market intermediaries, service suppliers, regulators etc.) in a process of participatory analysis and identification brings technology and market development together and provides an environment that creates sustainable change. Such partnerships however need substantial facilitation and this generates a new role for the national agricultural research organizations and extension services – to mobilize and facilitate initiatives on the ground and be in a position to provide services based on farmers' needs. Critical issues for creating enabling policy frameworks include effective production support services, improved markets and infrastructure as well as regulations such as those related to animal health or product quality. As solutions emerge, local level policy makers can begin to link to national and regional policy levels.

References

ICRISA

About

Delgado, C. Rosegrant, C. Steinfeld, H. Ehui, S. and Courbois, C. (1999) Livestock to 2020: The next food revolution. Food, Agriculture and the Environment Discussion Papers 28. IFPRI, Washington, DC. FAO, Rome and ILRI, Nairobi.

FAO. (2005) Livestock sector briefs (Mozambique, Namibia, Zimbabwe). Livestock Information, Sector Analysis and Policy Branch (AGAL). Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), Rome.

http://www.fao.org, accessed 6 March 2013

Nin Pratt A, and **Diao, X.** (2008) Exploring Growth Linkages and Market Opportunities for Agriculture in Southern Africa. Journal of Economic Integration. Journal of Economic Integration. 23 (1): 104-137.

SADC RISDP. (2006) www.sadc.int/attachment/download/file/74; accessed 26 July 2010.

World Bank. (2008) The World Bank Report. Agriculture for development. The World Bank, Washington DC. **World Bank.** (2009) The World Bank Report. Shaping economic geography. The World Bank, Washington DC. www.SADCtrade.com; accessed 26 July 2010.

Science with a human face for the Semi-Arid Tropics

The International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT) is a non-profit, non-political organization that conducts agricultural research for development in Asia and sub-Saharan Africa with a wide array of partners throughout the world. Covering 6.5 million square kilometers of land in 55 countries, the semi-arid tropics have over 2 billion people, of whom 644 million are the poorest of the poor. ICRISAT innovations help the dryland poor move from poverty to prosperity by harnessing markets while managing risks – a strategy called Inclusive Market-Oriented Development (IMOD).

ICRISAT is headquartered in Patancheru near Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, India, with two regional hubs and five country offices in sub-Saharan Africa. It is a member of the CGIAR Consortium. CGIAR is a global research partnership for a food secure future. ICRISAT-Patancheru (Headquarters) Patancheru 502 324 Andhra Pradesh, India Tel +91 40 30713071 Fax +91 40 30713074 icrisat@cgiar.org

ICRISAT-Liaison Office CG Centers Block

NASC Complex Dev Prakash Shastri Marg New Delhi 110 012, India Tel +91 11 32472306 to 08 Fax +91 11 25841294

ICRISAT- Kano PMB 3491 Sabo Bakin Zuwo Road, Tarauni, Kano, Nigeria Tel: +234 7034889836; +234 8054320384 +234 8033556795 icrisat-kano@cgiar.org ICRISAT-Bamako (Regional hub WCA) BP 320 Bamako, Mali Tel +223 20 709200 Fax +223 20 709201

ICRISAT-Bulawayo Matopos Research Station PO Box 776 Bulawayo, Zimbabwe Tel +263 383 301 to 15 Fax +263 383 307 icrisatzw@cgiar.org

icrisat-w-mali@cgiar.org

ICRISAT-Niamey BP 12404, Niamey Niger (Via Paris) Tel +227 20722529, 20722725 Fax +227 20734329 icrisatsc@cgiar.org



ICRISAT is a member of the CGIAR Consortium

ICRISAT-Nairobi (Regional hub ESA) PO Box 39063, Nairobi, Kenya Tel +254 20 7224550 Fax +254 20 7224001 icrisat-nairobi@cgiar.org

ICRISAT-Maputo

c/o IIAM, Av. das FPLM No 2698 Caixa Postal 1906 Maputo, Mozambique Tel +258 21 461657 Fax +258 21 461581 icrisatmoz@panintra.com

ICRISAT-Lilongwe Chitedze Agricultural Research Station PO Box 1096 Lilongwe, Malawi Tel +265 1 707297, 071, 067, 057 Fax +265 1 707298 icrisat-malawi@cgiar.org

www.icrisat.org

March 2013