



ISSN 0974-0775

GREEN FARMING

International Journal of Applied Agricultural & Horticultural Sciences

(Abbr. *Green Farming Int. J.*)

Volume 4

Number 3

May-June 2013

Bimonthly

CONTENTS

Previous issue :
Vol. 4, No. 2, pp. 127-252

Research Papers

- Genetic analysis of quantitative traits over environments in upland rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)
— **VIPUL P. PATEL, VINAY S. PATEL and A.R. PATHAK** 253
- Combining ability and hybrid vigour in aerobic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)
— **B.A. VEERESHA, P.M. SALIMATH, N.G. HANAMARATTI and M.B. CHETTI** 258
- Effect of row ratio, spacing & irrigation on seed production of a hybrid parent line ICPA2043 (CMS) of pigeonpea
— **M.G. MULA, K.B. SAXENA, A. RATHORE and R.V. KUMAR** 262
- Genetic variability, character association and path analysis in *kabuli* chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)
— **M.P. MESHARAM and A.N. PATIL** 267
- Improvised agro-techniques & INM on the underutilized makhana crop (*Euryale ferox* S.) in wetland ecosystem in Indian sub-tropics
— **A.M. PUSTE, T.K. MANDAL, K. BANERJEE, K. JANA and B. RAY PRAMANIK** 271
- Characterization & classification of rice growing soils of Northern Telangana region of Andhra Pradesh
— **M. RAM PRASAD and V. GOVARDHAN** 276
- Effect of integrated nitrogen management on growth, yield and quality of tropical sugarbeet under northern dry zone of Karnataka
— **S. VISHWANATHA, S.M. HIREMATH and B.T. NADAGOUDA** 282
- Performance of rapeseed and soil health as influenced by land configuration and residue management on preceding crop of groundnut (*A. hypogaea*)
— **KEVIZHALHOU KUOTSU, ANUP DAS and G.C. MUNDA** 287
- Evaluation of F₁ hybrids in oriental pickling melon (*Cucumis melo*) for important pest and diseases
— **G.N. THYAGARAJ, T.B. PUTTARAJU and N. SANTHOSH** 291
- Effect of flyash on the performance of wheat on Ustochrepts of Rajasthan
— **R.K. JANGIR, G.L. NAGAR and K.L. TOTAWAT** 294
- Determination of critical limit of iron for wheat in soils of sub-humid southern zone (IV-B) of Rajasthan
— **R.S. MEENA, A.K. MATHUR and S.K. SHARMA** 298
- Effect of *in-situ* leguminous green manures and N P levels on growth and seed yield of safflower (*Carthamus tinctorius* L.) under rainfed condition
— **T.C. YOGESH, S.M. HIREMATH and G. SOMANAGOUDA** 303
- Agronomic and economic performance of mustard hybrid under different cropping systems
— **V.B. SINGH, S.S. RATHORE and RAMNIWAS CHOUDHARY** 308
- Intercropping and sources of nitrogen on yield attributes, yield and economics of cotton
— **M. JAYAKUMAR and M. MOHAMMED AMANULLAH** 313
- Role of phosphorus & zinc in enhancing growth, yield & yield attributes of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare*)
— **KAVITA GUPTA, L.R. DHAYAL and I.J. GULATI** 317
- Effect of mulches, organics & organic solutions on growth, yield, quality of chilli (*Capsicum annuum*)
— **VENKANNA R YADAHALLI, G.B. SHASHIDHARA and S.A. NADAF** 322
- Characteristics of food industry effluent & its impact on soil & crops in Nagpur district
— **JAYASHRI KALMEGH, MAYARAUT, R.M. GHODPAGE and S.S. BALPANDE** 326

Contd.

Effect of different levels of RSC in irrigation waters, zinc and iron on soil properties and yield of wheat grown on loamy sand soil — S.R. NAGA, B.L. YADAV and S.R. SHARMA 330
Vertical distribution of macro- & micro-nutrients in lateritic soils from mango orchards of Sindhudurg Dist. — S.S. PAWAR, N.H. KHOBRAGADE, M.R. NAGRALE and A.L. SHINDE 334
Efficacy of pectin coating concentrations and packaging on refrigerated storage of pea pods — S. GUPTA, M.S. ALAM, S.K. GUPTA and T.C. MITTAL 338
Assessment of new wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) genotypes under different land use system under extremely arid parts of India — O.P. MEENA, DEEPAK CHATURVEDI, S.K. KHINCHI, C.P. MEENA and M.L. REAGAR 343
Phenological development of medicinal rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i>) cv Njavara under different management systems — S. RANI and P. SUKUMARI 346
Effect of planting dates & INM practices on productivity of indigenous aromatic rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i>) in Rajasthan — CHANDRAPRAKASH, R.K. SHIVRAN, N.R. KOLI and J.C. SHARMA 349
Studies on genetic variability and diversity for SDG and fatty acid profile in linseed (<i>Linum usitatissimum</i>) — B.T. ARSUL, M.P. REDDY and P.B. GHORPADE 352
Influence of growth regulator application on <i>Bt</i> cotton under varying plant densities — K. SHEKAR, M. VENKATARAMANA and S. SRIDEVI 355
Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth & yield attributes of okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i>) — C. CIBA, V. RAJASHREE, M. MANIKANDAN and S. PRANEETHA 358
Fertilizer use efficiency and cost economics for cultivation of brinjal grafts — NDEREYIMANA ASSINAPOL, S. PRANEETHA and V. RAJASHREE 361
Effect of solid matrix priming of seed on emergence, growth and yield of cucumber — D.K. MEHTA, CHETAN THAKUR, K.S. THAKUR and SEEMATHAKUR 364
Germination studies in marking nut (<i>Semecarpus anacardium</i> F.) — N.R. VYAWHARE, U.A. RAUT, U.B. DESHMUKH and B.M. YADGIRWAR 367
Effect of spacing and irrigation on growth & yield of <i>rabi</i> pigeonpea varieties under South Gujarat condition — A.P. BARAVALIYA and H.M. VIRDIA 370
Studies on preparation & storage of unfermented beverages of <i>jamun</i> (<i>Syzygium cuminii</i>) fruits — VIDYA MHAPRALKAR, P.P. RELEKAR, P.M. HALDANKAR, K.H. PUJARI and D.J. DABKE 373
Development of technology for fortification of fig fruit powder and its utilization in fig milk-shake — S.R. MHALASKAR, P.N. SATWADHAR and K.P. BABAR 376
Participation role of rural women in dairy enterprise in Rajasthan — P. SINGH, J.P. LAKHERA and N.S. DAHIYA 379
Biochemical attributes and cost of broiler influenced by herbal drugs — SHAILESH BHUSHAN SRIVASTAVA, RAMNIWAS and D.P. SINGH 383
Short Communications	
<i>In vitro</i> efficacy of different bioagents against <i>C. gloeosporioides</i> P. causing leaf blight disease of <i>sarpagandha</i> — N.P. GURAV, P.G. BORKAR, S.C. RITE, V.S. MUNAGEKAR and R.C. AGALE 386
Management of alternaria blight disease of marigold by fungicides, botanicals & bioagents in <i>in vitro</i> conditions — A.A. NARVEKAR, P.A. FUGRO, A.V. SAWANT and H.D. PAWAR 388
Induced mutagenic frequency and spectrum of chlorophyll mutants in Glory lily (<i>Gloriosa superba</i>) — S. ANANDHI and K. RAJAMANI 391
Physicochemical properties of crossbred cows' milk at University dairy farm — MAYANK DUBEY, VIVEK PRATAP SINGH and R.K. PANDEY 393

Strategic Vision : 15

GM Crops are bolster for food and nutritional security
R. KRISHNAMURTHY



Effect of row ratio, spacing, and irrigation on seed production of a hybrid parent line ICPA 2043 (CMS) of pigeonpea

M.G. MULA^{1*}, K.B. SAXENA², A. RATHORE³ and R.V. KUMAR⁴

International Crops Research Instt. for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru – 502 324 (Andhra Pradesh)

Received : 07 January 2013 ; Revised accepted : 28 May 2013

ABSTRACT

A two year field experiment was conducted at Patancheru, AP., India during *kharif* season 2009 and 2010 in Alfisols to study the direct and interaction effects of row ratio (4:1 and 3:1), row spacing (75 cm and 150 cm), plant-to-plant spacing (30 cm and 50 cm) and irrigation (every 14 and 18 days interval during flower initiation till pod development) on the growth and yield of ICPA 2043. The total effects of irrigation and row ratios and its interactions including the interaction of row ratio, plant spacing and irrigation was found not significant on the total seed yield (kg/ha) of ICPA 2043. However, the direct effect of the different plant spacings did influenced the agronomic and yield traits of parental linedue to variations in plant population. The study further suggests that 75 cm x 30 cm is the optimum plant spacing coupled with the adoption of either of the two row ratios and irrigation frequencies will produced ample amount of seeds of parent line materials of hybrid pigeonpea.

Key words : *Cytoplasmic-nuclear male-sterility system, Hybrid parent, Irrigation, Pigeonpea, Plant spacing, Row ratio.*

INTRODUCTION

Pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millspaugh] is one of the important protein rich (20-22%) grain legume of the semi-arid tropics. Although pigeonpea is cultivated in 4.63 M ha globally, the yields have remained stagnant for the past 4 decades due to low productivity between 650-750 kg/ha. The challenge for breeders is how to break the low productivity in India, where pigeonpea is the second most grown pulse legume and its production (2.89 million tons) cannot meet its required annual domestic requirement (3.4 million tons) (Price *et al.*, 2003).

To improve the yield barrier, the cytoplasmic-nuclear male-sterility (CMS) system developed by ICRISAT made possible the mass production of hybrid seeds and their parent lines (Saxena *et al.*, 2005). The CMS system consists of three lines: male sterile A-line; male fertile B-line or known as maintainer line; and the restorer R-line. The A-line comprises the cytoplasm of a wild relative and the nuclear genome of a cultivated variety. The B-line contains both the cytoplasm and nuclear genome of a cultivated variety mainly used for maintaining the male sterile lines while the R-line carries the gene and genes for restoring male fertility in the presence of male sterile cytoplasm of a cultivated variety (Singh, 1990). However, the success of this system principally depends on the efficiency and effectiveness of natural mass pollen transfer process of parent B/R- to A- line through a range of bees (Saxena, 2006), including honeybee. Co-important feature is the seed production technology that will produce the optimum amount of pure and healthy seeds through appropriate agronomic management (Ali and Kumar, 2000). Agronomic management will continue to play a crucial role in enhancing

resource use efficiency and realization of the genetic potential of a crop. Therefore, this study was conducted to identify the optimum plant spacing and irrigation frequency in increasing high quality seed pigeonpea of a CMS-line 'ICPA2043'.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The materials consisted of two parental lines (male-sterile line ICPA 2043 and male-fertile maintainer line ICPB 2043), a prerequisite of the hybrid ICPH 2671, sown in isolated Alfisols during *kharif* 2009 (Y1) and 2010 (Y2) at Patancheru, A.P., India. The parental lines were sown in two row ratios that included 4 male-sterile to 1 male-fertile (4:1) and 3 male-sterile to 1 male-fertile (3:1). There were two row spacing (75 cm and 150 cm) and two plant to plant spacing (30 cm and 50 cm) of male-sterile plants while the maintainer line was sown at plant-to-plant spacing of 30 cm. During flower initiation to pod development, two irrigation frequencies (14 days and 18 days intervals) were applied at field capacity of 50 mm by flooding and ended when the pods are at physiological maturity. The row length of each treatment was ten meters. Normal agronomic practices were followed including the application of recommended fertilizer dose of 100 kg ha⁻¹ of di-ammonium phosphate. In 2009 and 2010, a total 997.59 mm and 1206.29 mm annual rainfall was observed respectively. For both years, there was a minimal rainfall during the month of November (2009: 44.2 mm and 2010: 17.9 mm) where pigeonpea flowers and pods started to develop. Five plants were selected randomly in each plot and data were recorded on height at 50% flowering (cm), diameter of main stem (cm), weight of dry biomass (kg), number of branches, pods per plant, seeds per

^{1,2,3,4} Scientists * (m.mula@cgiar.org)

pod, 100 seed weight (g) and seed yield per plant (g/plant). The total seed yield (kg/ha) was computed on plot basis. Analysis of variance applying the split-split plot design with two replications was used to find out the direct and interactive effect of row ratio, planting distance, and irrigation. This study was conducted to identify the best treatment combination for the optimum seed production of medium-duration (160-170 days) parent CMS-line (ICPA2043) pigeonpea.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Row ratio : The direct effect of row ratio has not influenced ($P<0.05$) the agronomic and yield traits of ICPA 2043 except for the number of seeds/pod during Y2 (**Table 1**) which is not in conformity to the findings of De Bruin and Pedersen (2008). Row ratio 4:1 produced the highest mean number at three seeds per pod (**Table 2**) but did not influence the total seed yield of ICPA 2043 due to some other yield trait factors that would influence the total seed yield such as number of pods and weight of 100 seeds. However, the correlation between total seed yield (kg/ha) with the two row ratios resulted in high seed production with a two year mean of 1357 kg/ha in 4:1 row ratio, and 1279 kg/ha in 3:1.

Irrigation : Majority of the findings showed that the agronomic and yield traits of ICPA 2043 was not influenced ($P<0.05$) by the direct effect of any of the irrigation frequencies (every 14 and 18 days interval) except for the number branches (Y1) and yield/plant (Y2) (Table 1). Irrigation of every 14 days (four irrigations) during flower initiation to pod development produced more number of branches (50) and yield/plant (70.31 g) (Table 2). However, the correlation between total seed yield (kg/ha) with the two irrigation frequencies showed high yield potentials with a two year mean of 1291 kg/ha irrigated every 14 days and 1344 kg/ha irrigated every 18 days.

Spacing : The study revealed that plant spacing has remarkable effect ($P<0.05$) on the growth and yield traits of ICPA 2043 on both cropping season but was not significantly different in the total seed yield (kg/ha) in Y1 although was significantly influenced in Y2 (Table 1). This analysis refuted the findings of Siag and Verma (1994) where grain yield and yield contributing characters of pigeonpea were not influenced significantly by plant spacing but rather was influenced by genotype. However, the correlation between total seed yield with the different plant spacings in Y1 revealed high yield potential with 75 cm x 30 cm producing the highest yield of 1737 kg/ha followed by 150 cm x 30 cm (1525 kg/ha), 150 cm x 50 cm (1446 kg/ha), and 75 cm x 50 cm (1305 kg/ha). In Y2, plant spacing 75 cm x 30 cm produced the highest total seed yield (1517.30 kg/ha) as compared to the other spacings (Table 2). At wider plant spacing in both cropping season, 150 cm x 50 cm produced the highest mean diameter of stem (3.04 cm and 2.75 cm), weight of biomass (1.61 kg and 0.33 kg), number of pods/plant (841 and 365), yield/plant (150.65 g and 87.36 g) for both cropping season (Y1 and Y2) and number of seeds/pod (3.1), weight of 100 seeds (13.23 g) in Y2 (Table 2).

Table 1. Effect and interactive effect of row ratio, spacing and irrigation on the agronomic and yield traits of ICPA 2043 at 5% level of significance

Treatment effect	Agronomic traits						Yield traits											
	Height at 50% flowering (cm)		Stem diameter (cm)		Biomass (kg)		Branches (no.)		Pods/plant (no.)		Seed/pod (no.)		Weight of 100 seeds (g)		Yield Hectare (kg)			
	Y1	Y2	Y1	Y2	Y1	Y2	Y1	Y2	Y1	Y2	Y1	Y2	Y1	Y2	Y1	Y2		
Row ratio	0.14	0.33	0.07	0.22	0.34	0.72	0.66	0.29	0.86	0.15	0.18	0.04	0.51	0.17	0.88	0.16	0.86	0.53
Irrigation	0.22	0.45	0.39	0.25	0.18	0.44	0.03	0.40	0.45	0.14	0.76	0.30	0.11	0.77	0.25	0.02	0.22	0.06
Spacing	0.78	0.11	<.0001	0.03	<.0001	.0008	0.25	0.21	<.0001	.0001	0.77	.0009	0.13	.0008	0.01	.0007	0.58	0.01
Interactive effect of row ratio + spacing	0.32	0.84	0.01	0.02	0.45	0.06	0.29	0.24	0.75	0.02	0.61	0.02	0.04	0.17	0.81	0.09	0.82	0.32
Interactive effect of row ratio + irrigation	0.97	0.58	0.28	0.70	0.70	0.86	0.03	0.33	0.32	0.97	0.61	0.71	0.27	0.24	0.14	0.03	0.07	0.11
Interactive effect of spacing + irrigation	0.19	0.03	0.11	0.88	0.38	0.17	0.67	0.14	0.16	0.84	0.87	0.74	0.92	0.06	0.30	0.54	0.49	0.90
Interactive effect of row ratio, spacing and irrigation	0.55	0.94	0.08	0.63	0.71	0.14	0.45	0.28	0.60	0.94	0.71	0.98	0.92	0.11	0.51	0.89	0.68	0.95

Table 2. Mean attributes of ICPA 2043 as influenced by the direct and interactive effects of row ratio, spacing and irrigation.

Traits	Year 1			Year 2				
	Factor	Treatment	Mean	Factor	Treatment	Mean		
Height at 50 % flowering (cm)	Interactive effect of spacing + irrigation	150 x 30 + every 18 days	194.2	Interactive effect of spacing + irrigation	150 x 30 + every 18 days	194.2		
		75 x 50 + every 14 days	191.2		75 x 50 + every 14 days	191.2		
		150 x 50 + every 18 days	190.8		150 x 50 + every 18 days	190.8		
		75 x 30 + every 18 days	190.4		75 x 30 + every 18 days	190.4		
		75 x 30 + every 14 days	189.9		75 x 30 + every 14 days	189.9		
		75 x 30 + every 18 days	189.8		75 x 30 + every 18 days	189.8		
		150 x 30 + every 14 days	180.8		150 x 30 + every 14 days	180.8		
		150 x 50 + every 14 days	176.0		150 x 50 + every 14 days	176.0		
		Spacing effect	150 x 50		3.04	Spacing effect	150 x 50	2.75
		Spacing effect	150 x 30		2.59	Spacing effect	150 x 30	2.57
Stem diameter (cm)	Interactive effect of row ratio + spacing	75 x 50	2.32	Interactive effect of row ratio + spacing	75 x 50	2.38		
		75 x 30	2.04		75 x 30	2.16		
		4:1 + 150 x 50	3.29		4:1 + 150 x 50	3.34		
		3:1 + 150 x 50	2.78		4:1 + 150 x 30	3.01		
		4:1 + 150 x 30	2.69		3:1 + 75 x 50	2.39		
		3:1 + 150 x 30	2.49		4:1 + 75 x 50	2.38		
		4:1 + 75 x 50	2.42		4:1 + 75 x 30	2.29		
		3:1 + 75 x 50	2.23		3:1 + 150 x 50	2.15		
		3:1 + 75 x 30	2.05		3:1 + 150 x 30	2.13		
		4:1 + 75 x 40	2.04		3:1 + 75 x 30	2.02		
Biomass (kg)	Spacing effect	150 x 50	1.61	Spacing effect	150 x 50	0.33		
		150 x 30	1.23		150 x 30	0.29		
		75 x 50	0.83		75 x 50	0.25		
		75 x 30	0.73		75 x 30	0.24		
		Every 14 days	50		Every 14 days	50		
Branches (no.)	Irrigation effect	Every 18 days	40	Irrigation effect	Every 18 days	40		
		3:1 + every 14 days	57		3:1 + every 14 days	57		
		4:1 + every 18 days	44		4:1 + every 18 days	44		
		4:1 + every 14 days	43		4:1 + every 14 days	43		
		3:1 + every 18 days	36		3:1 + every 18 days	36		
Pods/plant (no.)	Spacing effect	150 x 50	841	Spacing effect	150 x 50	365		
		150 x 30	586		150 x 30	342		
		75 x 50	444		75 x 50	209		
		75 x 30	377		75 x 30	178		
		4:1 + 150 x 50	448		4:1 + 150 x 50	448		
4:1 + 150 x 30	413	4:1 + 150 x 30	413					
3:1 + 150 x 50	281	3:1 + 150 x 50	281					
3:1 + 150 x 30	272	3:1 + 150 x 30	272					
3:1 + 75 x 50	220	3:1 + 75 x 50	220					

Contd...

Traits	Year 1			Year 2		
	Factor	Treatment	Mean	Factor	Treatment	Mean
Seeds/pod (no.)					4: 1 + 75 x 50	198
					3:1 + 75 x 30	183
					4:1 + 75 x 30	173
		Ratio effect			4:1	3.0
					3:1	2.5
		Spacing effect			150 x 50	3.10
					150 x 30	3.10
					75 x 50	2.41
					75 x 30	2.22
		Interactive effect of row ratio + spacing			4:1 + 150 x 30	3.62
					4:1 + 150 x 50	3.55
					3:1 + 150 x 50	2.66
					3:1 + 150 x 30	2.57
Weight of 100 seeds (g)					3:1 + 75 x 50	2.49
					4:1 + 75 x 50	2.32
					3:1 + 75 x 30	2.25
					4:1 + 75 x 30	2.20
		Spacing effect			4:1 + 75 x 30	13.23
					150 x 50	12.81
					150 x 30	12.24
					75 x 50	12.09
					75 x 30	
	Yield/plant (g)					150 x 50
					150 x 30	76.99
					75 x 50	50.48
					75 x 30	49.14
		Spacing effect			Every 14 days	70.31
					Every 18 days	61.73
					4:1 + every 14 days	77.2
					3:1 + every 14 days	63.4
					4:1 + every 18 days	62.0
					3:1 + every 18 days	61.4
					75 x 30	1517.30
					150 x 30	1226.80
					75 x 50	947.40
				150 x 50	839.80	
Yield/ha (kg)						

Note : Mean data provided are only those with significant difference ($P < 0.05$) revealed in Table 1.

Nevertheless, wider spacing did not influence the total seed yield (kg/ha) of ICPA 2043 due to increase in plant density at closer spacing which conforms to the findings of Mula *et al.* (2010), Kumar *et al.* (2001), and Mohd and Yogeswara Rao (1983).

Row ratio and plant spacing : The interactive effect of row ratio and plant spacing was significantly different ($P < 0.05$) on the diameter of stem of ICPA 2043 in both season (Y1 and Y2) while number of pods/plant and seeds/pod was significant in Y2 and weight of 100 seeds in Y1 (Table 1) but not in agreement to the findings of Mula *et al.* (2011). For both seasons, row ratio 4:1 with plant spacing of 150 cm x 50 cm gave the thickest stem at 3.29 cm (Y1) and 3.34 cm (Y2). Moreover in Y2, the same row ratio and plant spacing provided the most number of pods/plant at 448 but for mean number of seeds/pod, row ratio 4:1 with plant spacing of 150 cm x 30 cm have the highest at 3.62 (Table 2). In Y1, the weight of 100 seeds was highest in row ratio 3:1 with plant spacing of 150 cm x 50 cm and 75 cm x 50 cm at 10.27 g (Table 2). Nonetheless, these yield traits did not influenced the total seed yield (kg/ha) in wider spacing due to more number of population in closer spacing which supports the findings of Mula *et al.* (2010), and Abrams and Julia (1973).

Row ratio and irrigation : The two year study showed that there was no major interaction effects ($P < 0.05$) of row ratio and irrigation on the agronomic and yield characters of ICPA 2043 except for yield/plant in Y2 (Table 1). Row ratio 4:1 with irrigation of every 14 days during flower initiation till pod development (four irrigations) produced more number of seeds at 77.2 g/plant however this did not result in increase in total seed yield of ICPA 2043 which is in accordance to the findings of Mula *et al.* (2011).

Plant spacing and irrigation : The results indicated that no major interaction effects ($P < 0.05$) of plant spacing and irrigation was observed on the agronomic and yield and yield traits for both years of the study except for the height at 50% flowering of ICPA 2043 in Y2 (Table 1). These major findings collaborate with the findings of Mohd and Yogeswara Rao (1983). The tallest mean plant was witnessed in plant spacing 150 cm x 30 cm (194.2 cm) which was irrigated every 18 days (three irrigations) during flower initiation till pod development (Table 2).

Row ratio, spacing and irrigation : The study showed that the interaction among row ratio, plant spacing and irrigation was not significant ($P < 0.05$) for any of the agronomic and yield and yield traits of ICPA 2043 (Table 1) which corresponds to the findings of Mula *et al.* (2011) and Reddy *et al.* (1984).

CONCLUSIONS

In this two year study, the agronomic and yield characters of ICPA 2043 responded significantly on the direct effect of the different plant spacings. In contrast, the total effects of irrigation and row ratios and its interactions including the interaction of row ratio, plant spacing and irrigation was found not significant. It is further concluded that individual plant growth at wider

spacing had more vigor growth than at closer spacing due to improved light availability. However, the agronomic and yield contributing traits did not influence the total seed yield (kg/ha) due to variation in plant population where at closer plant spacing, the density of plants are more than at wider spacing. Furthermore, either of the two row ratio, plant spacing and irrigation frequency treatments have direct correlation on the increase of seed yield. It is concluded that plant spacing 75 cm x 30 cm adopting either 4:1 or 3:1 row ratio and irrigating every 14 days or 18 days interval during flower initiation till pod development will produced sample amount of seeds of parent line (CMS) materials of hybrid pigeonpea.

REFERENCES

- Abrams R and Julia F J. 1973. Effect of planting time, plant population, and row spacing on yield and other characteristics of pigeonpeas, *Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp. *Journal for Agriculture*, University of Puerto Rico. **57** : 275-285.
- Ali M and Kumar S. 2000. Problems and prospects of pulses research in India. *Indian Farming*. **50** (8) : 4-13.
- De Bruin J L and Perderson P. 2008. Soybean seed yield response to planting date and seeding rate in the Upper Midwest. *Agronomy Journal*. **100** : 696-703.
- Kumar Rao J V D K, Johansen C, Chauhan Y S, Jain K C and Talwar H S. 2001. An analysis of yield variation among long-duration pigeonpea genotypes in relation to season, irrigation and plant population. *Journal of Agricultural Science*. **136** : 291-299.
- Mohd I and Yogeswara Rao Y. 1983. Effect of plant spacing and irrigation on post rainy-season pigeonpea grown on an Alfisol in peninsular India. *International Pigeonpea Newsletter*. ICRISAT Patancheru A.P., India. pp. 33-34.
- Mula M G, Saxena K B, Kumar R V, Mula R P and Rathore A. 2010. Response of spacing on yield and returns of CMS-based medium-duration pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*) hybrid. *Green Farming*. **1** : 331-335.
- Mula M G, Saxena K B, Rathore A and Kumar R V. 2011. Influence of spacing and irrigation on seed production of medium-duration pigeonpea hybrid. *Green Farming* **2** (1) : 24-26.
- Price GK, Landes R and Govindan A. 2003. India's Pulse Sector: Results of Field Research. www.ers.usda.gov.
- Reddy G R S, Ramaseshaiah K, Jain T C and Rao Y Y. 1984. Irrigation and plant density requirements for optimum yields of red gram. *Madras Agricultural Journal*. **71** : 281-284.
- Saxena K B. 2006. *Hybrid Pigeonpea Seed Production Manual*. Information Bulletin No. 74. ICRISAT, Patancheru, A.P., India.
- Saxena K B, Kumar R V, Srivastava N and Bao S. 2005. A cytoplasmic-nuclear male-sterility system derived from a cross between *Cajanus cajanifolius* and *Cajanus cajan*. *Euphytica*. **145** : 289-294.
- Siag R K and Verma B L. 1994. Response of short-duration pigeonpea genotype to varying plant densities in Northwestern Rajasthan. *International Chickpea and Pigeonpea Newsletter*. ICRISAT, Patancheru, A.P., India. p 34.
- Singh B D. 1990. *Plant Breeding: Principles and Methods*. 3rd Revised and Enlarge Edition. Kalyani Publishers. ISBN 81-7096-308-7. p. 66.

