# A novel source of CMS in pigeonpea derived from Cajanus reticulatus

## K. B. Saxena\*

International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru 502 324

(Received: May 2013; Revised: July 2013; Accepted: August 2013)

## Abstract

The present study reports the development of a new CMSsource ( $A_8$ ) with cytoplasm derived from *Cajanus reticulatus* (Dryander) F. V. Muell var. *grandifolius*, a wild relative of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.). The plants of this male-sterility system do not produce pollen grains and the androecium is underdeveloped. The paper also reports identification of six diverse male-sterility maintainers.

Key words: CMS, Cajanus reticulatus, pigeonpea, maintainer

# Introduction

Wild relatives of cultivated species generally contain vast genetic variability and there are numerous examples [1], where valuable genes have been transferred from wild relatives to cultivated types through breeding. Pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.) has 32 wild relatives with a large genetic variation and some of them have been used to enhance protein content [2], pod borer tolerance [3] and selection of unique traits such as cleistgamous flowers [4], and cytoplasmic-nuclear male-sterility [5].

One of the CMS sources (A<sub>4</sub>) derived from *C. cajanifolius* cytoplasm was used to develop the world's first commercial pigeonpea hybrid ICPH 2671 [6]. This hybrid has demonstrated 46% yield advantage over the popular local cultivars in farmers' fields; and it is receiving acceptance from both commercial seed producers and farmers. Considering the vast potential of hybrid technology in breaking the decades old yield plateau and enhancing overall productivity of pigeonpea, it is essential to develop strong and broadbased hybrid parent breeding programmes. In this context, the genetic diversity of both male and female

parents is important.

Pigeonpea breeders have so far identified seven different cytoplasm sources [5] which are capable of producing male-sterile genotype in specific combinations. However, at present, the commercial hybrid pigeonpea breeding programme is based on  $A_2$ and  $A_4$  cytoplasm sources. This paper describes the success in breeding a new CMS system using the cytoplasm of *Cajanus reticulatus*, another wild relative of pigeonpea.

#### Materials and methods

#### Description of Cajanus reticulatus

Cajanus reticulatus (Dryander) F.V. Muell var. grandifolius (= Atylosiagrandifolia), is a wild relative of pigeonpea and it occupies place in the secondary gene pool of genus Cajanus. It can be crossed manually with cultivated pigeonpea both as female or male parent. This wild species was first collected in Australia by Banks and Solander in 1770 around River Endeavor and subsequently, Mueller in 1883 included it in genus Cajanus (http://www.somemagnetic islandplants.com.au). This species is endemic to northern Australia at the altitudes ranging up to 1000 m. It is resistant to pod borers [7] and bush fire [8]. C. reticulatus, a shrub that usually grows to about 150 cm, is characterized by long pod hairs, shattering of pods, small brown seeds with dark speckles and prominent strophiole. The stem and branches have conspicuous hairs. The flowers with yellow petals are attached to pedicels, about 5 mm in length. Both standard and keel petals are about one centimeter long. The pods are flat, 2-3 cm in length (Table 1), and have a prominent constriction between the two consecutive seeds.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author's e-mail: k.saxena@cgiar.org

Published by Indian Society of Genetics & Plant Breeding, F2, First Floor, NASC Complex, PB#11312, IARI, New Delhi 110 012

Online management by indianjournals.com

## Selection of male-sterile genotypes

In 2008, open-pollinated seeds of C. reticulatus (accession ICPW 176) were acquired from ICRISAT's Gene Bank. Twenty seeds of this accession were scarified with a sharp blade and sown in a Vertisol field at the onset of rainy season in a two-row plot. Only nine seeds germinated. One of the plants in this lot appeared grossly different from the parental accession with large deviations observed in its morphology (Table 1). The author suspected it to have originated through natural out-crossing of C. reticulatus with an unknown pigeonpea plant. Like that of cultivated types [9], the wild relatives of pigeonpea are also prone to natural out-crossing [10]. In contrast to C. reticulatus, the natural hybrid had semi-spreading growth and its primary branches originated at an acute angle. The floral buds of this plant lacked normal pollen load. For studying pollen sterility, the floral buds were harvested randomly from different parts of the plant and their anthers were squashed on a glass slide and stained with 2% aceto-carmine solution. The observations on pollen viability were recorded under light microscope with 10X magnification. The pollen viability within the plant ranged between 30 and 40% as compared to > 95% in the C. reticulatus. The pods on the natural hybrid were longer and seeds bigger than that of ICPW 176. To look into the possibility of

Table 1.Observations on some morphological traits of<br/>the natural F1 hybrid plantand its parent ICPW<br/>176 (*C. reticulatus*)

Trait	<i>C. reticulatus</i> (ICPW 176)	Out-crossed plant		
Growth habit	Non-determinate	Non-determinate		
Plant spread*	Spreading	Semi-spreading		
Branch angle*	Wide	Narrow		
Leaflets*	Elliptical	Semi-elliptical		
Stipule	Persistent	Persistent		
Petals	Deciduous	Deciduous		
Pod length*	Small (< 2 cm)	Longer (3-4 cm)		
Seeds/pod*	2-3	3-4		
Pod beak*	Long & conspicuous	Small		
Seed colour	Brown-grey	Brown-grey		
Strophiole	Prominent	Prominent		
Seed size (g/100)*	< 3g	4 -5 g		
Pod hairs*	Long	Small		

\*Prominent distinguishing traits

developing a new CMS system from this material, two branches of this plant were selfed using muslin cloth bags, and at the same time, it was crossed to three cultivated inbred lines ICP 28, ICPL 87119, and ICPL 20176 as male parent. These crosses ( $F_1$ ), irrespective of their genotype, were considered as  $BC_1F_1$  material, considering '*Cajanus cajan*' as a recurrent parent.

The self-seeds harvested from the out-crossed plant were abnormally small and dark which failed to germinate. The pollinations on this plant with ICP 28 and ICPL 87119 as male parent did not produce any pod, while the cross with ICPL 20176 yielded only two seeds from a single pod and it was considered as  $BC_1F_1$ . In 2009, these seeds were sown in plastic pots in a glass house and the plants were examined for pollen sterility. The pollen grains of these plants exhibited 50-60% sterility. These partial male-sterile plants were again backcrossed ( $BC_2F_1$ ) as female parent to a pigeonpea cultivar ICPL 85030 and from 14 pollinations only one pod was harvested that yielded two seeds.

In 2010, the two seeds were sown in a glass house and both the plants exhibited 100% male-sterility. For further introgression of *C. cajan* genome, these plants were crossed (to develop  $BC_3F_1$  seeds) with six pigeonpea genotypes of diverse origin (Table 2). In 2011, all the plants in each cross expressed 100% male-sterility. On these plants, a total of 1078 pollinations were made to complete another set of backcrosses ( $BC_4F_1$ ) and the highest number of seeds (524) was obtained in the cross involving ICPL 85030. All the plants in  $BC_4F_1$  generation were examined for pollen fertility in 2012.

#### **Results and discussion**

Pigeonpea is an important source of protein for rural masses of India, Nepal, Myanmar and Southern and Eastern Africa. Since the crop can grow well under a range of soils with low input, it has become an inseparable component of subsistence agriculture. In the last few decades the area under this crop has recorded significant increase, but in spite of numerous breeding efforts, its productivity has remained low at around 750 kg/ha [11]. In recent years, however, the development of hybrid breeding technology has given a hope for a breakthrough in the productivity of pigeonpea [6]. For sustainability of the hybrid technology and to avoid the potential dangers associated with a single cytoplasm, search for alternative male-sterility inducing cytoplasm is essential.

The development of a new CMS system originating naturally in the population of *C. reticulates* adds to the cytoplasmic diversity. The cytological studies conducted in a similar cross (*C. cajan* x *C. reticulatus*) by Reddy *et al.* [12] revealed the presence of some ring and rod shaped bivalents that lead to meotic disorders during meiosis. They further reported that the pollen fertility in the F<sub>1</sub> hybrid plants was low, and among different floral buds it ranged between 26 to 58% with a mean of 42% as compared to >90% in both the parents. In the present study also, almost similar results were obtained as far as pollen fertility of the natural F<sub>1</sub> hybrid plant was concerned.

The pod set in the first backcross generation (natural  $F_1$  hybrid x ICPL 20176) was low (3.3%) and it increased marginally (7.14) in BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub>, when crossed

with ICPL85030 indicating continuation of cytological abnormalities in the plants. The third (45.3%) and fourth (42.7%) backcrosses recorded considerable improvement in pod set (Table 2). It was also observed that although the pod set in  $BC_3F_1$  and  $BC_4F_1$  was comparable, the ovule abortion was considerably high in the former with mean seed/pod of 0.28. In BC<sub>4</sub>F<sub>1</sub> generation a ten-fold (2.08 seeds/pod) increase in the seed set was recorded. These observations suggested that backcrossing to the cultivated types significantly reduced the cytological disorders that permitted normal growth and development of the ovules. This situation is likely to improve further with additional backcrosses to the cultivated types. The new CMS genotype will be ready for use in breeding hybrids after a few more backcrosses.

Year/Cross	Generation	Pollinations(no.)	Pods(no.)	Success (%)	Seeds (no.)	
2008						
Natural hybrid (NH)	F <sub>1</sub>	-	-	-	-	
NH x ICP 28(C1)	$BC_1F_1$	30	0	0.0	0	
NH x ICPL 87119(C2)	$BC_1F_1$	30	0	0.0	0	
NHx ICPL 20176 (C3)	$BC_1F_1$	30	1	3.3	2	
Total/mean		90	1	1.1	2 (2.0)	
2009						
C <sub>3</sub> x ICPL 85030	$BC_2F_1$	14	1	7.14	2	
Total/mean		14	1	7.14	2 (2.0)	
2010						
BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>1</sub> x ICPL 85030	$BC_3F_1$	43	21	48.8	40	
BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>1</sub> x ICPL 88039	$BC_3F_1$	80	45	56.3	9	
BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>1</sub> x Vaishali	$BC_3F_1$	130	63	48.5	15	
BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>1</sub> x ICPL 87119	$BC_3F_1$	50	28	56.0	3	
BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>1</sub> x ICP 14903	$BC_3F_1$	200	85	42.5	2	
BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>1</sub> x ICP 7035	$BC_3F_1$	60	13	21.7	2	
Total/mean		563	255	45.3	71 (0.28)	
2011						
BC <sub>3</sub> F <sub>1</sub> x ICPL 85030	$BC_4F_1$	251	214	85.3	524	
BC <sub>3</sub> F <sub>1</sub> x ICPL 88039	$BC_4F_1$	309	55	17.8	90	
BC <sub>3</sub> F <sub>1</sub> x Vaishali	$BC_4F_1$	284	119	42.3	189	
BC <sub>3</sub> F <sub>1</sub> x ICPL 87119	$BC_4F_1$	90	21	23.3	43	
BC <sub>3</sub> F <sub>1</sub> x ICP 14903	$BC_4F_1$	102	37	36.3	71	
BC <sub>3</sub> F <sub>1</sub> x ICP 7035	$BC_4F_1$	42	14	33.3	38	
Total/mean		1078	460	42.7	955 (2.08)	

Table 2. Pollination success and number of seeds harvested in various backcross generations at Patancheru, 2008-11

NH = Natural F<sub>1</sub>hybrid; () = Mean seeds/pod

A close examination of the floral buds of the male-sterile plants showed that unlike other CMS systems, the anthers and filaments of the new malesterile genotype were not fully developed, while the stigma and style achieved their normal growth. This orientation results in the placement of stigma at much higher level than anthers (Fig. 1). This situation may be advantageous in breeding hybrids because of greater opportunities of insect-aided out-crossing and absolutely no chance of selfing even in the event of a breakdown of the male-sterility under any specific environment. The segregation data in the backcross generations of six crosses (Table 3) showed that the male-sterility trait is fast stabilizing. This male-sterility system has been designated as A8. In the present study six diverse maintainers ICPL 85030, ICPL 88039, Vaishali, ICPL 87119, ICP 14903, and ICP 7035 were identified and these will provide necessary nuclear variability to the new CMS system. It is believed that the diversity both at the cytoplasmic as well as nuclear levels, generated from this research will strengthen the hybrid pigeonpea breeding programmes. To achieve



Fig. 1. Androecium of normal pigeonpea cultivar (left) and new male-sterile plant (right) derived from *C. reticulatus* 

Table 3.	Segregation f	or male-sterility in differer	nt backcross g	enerations of six	crosses at Pa	tancheru, 2012
----------	---------------	-------------------------------	----------------	-------------------	---------------	----------------

Tester Plants grown			Fertile plants			Sterile plants			Male-sterility (%)			
	$BC_2F_1$	$BC_3F_1$	$BC_4F_1$	$BC_2F_1$	$BC_3F_1$	$BC_4F_1$	$BC_2F_1$	$BC_3F_1$	$BC_4F_1$	$BC_2F_1$	$BC_3F_1$	$BC_4F_1$
ICPL 85030	2	35	173	0	0	0	2	35	173	100	100	100
ICPL 88039	-	9	36	-	0	0	-	9	36	-	100	100
Vaishali	-	13	70	-	0	0	-	13	70	-	100	100
ICPL 87119	-	3	35	-	0	0	-	3	35	-	100	100
ICP 14903	-	2	36	-	0	0	-	2	36	-	100	100
ICP 7035	-	2	36	-	0	0	-	2	36	-	100	100

this, a programme to select the restorers among germplasm has been launched. Besides this, a breeding programme to transfer fertility restorer gene from the cytoplasm donor (*C. reticulatus*) has begun. Soon the pigeonpea breeders would be able to use this new CMS source in hybrid breeding programmes.

# Acknowledgments

Author would like to acknowledge Mr. R.V. Kumar, Ms. Bharathi Mudaraddi, and Mr. R. Rama Rao for their technical support in conducting this research.

#### References

 Stalker H.T. 1980. Utilization of wild species for crop improvement. Advances in Agronomy, 33: 112-147.

- Saxena K. B., Kumar R. V. and Rao P. V. 2002. Pigeonpea nutrition and its improvement. In: Quality Improvement in Field Crops. AS Basra and LS Randhawa (eds.). The Haworth Press. Inc. New York. Pp. 227-260.
- Sharma H. C. 2007. Host plant resistance to insects: modern approaches and limitations. Indian J. Plant Protection, 5: 179-184.
- Saxena K. B., Ariyanayagam R. P. and Reddy L. J. 1992. Genetics of a high-selfing trait in pigeonpea. Euphytica, 59: 125-127.
- Saxena K. B., Sultana R., Mallikarjuna N., Saxena R. K., Kumar R. V., Sawargaonkar S. L. and Varshney R. K. 2010. Male sterility systems in pigeonpea and their role in enhancing yield. Plant Breeding, 129: 125-134.

- Saxena K. B. 2009. Evolution of hybrid pigeonpea technology in pigeonpea. In: Milestones in Food Legume Research. Masood Ali and Shiv Kumar (eds.). Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur, India. Pp. 82-114.
- Dodia D. A., Patel A. J., Patel I. S., Dhulia P. K. and Tikka S. B. S. 1996. Antibiotic effects of pigeonpea wild relatives on *Helicoverpa armigera*. International Chickpea and Pigeonpea Newsletter, 3: 100-101.
- Akinola J. O., Whiteman P. C. and Wallis E. S. 1975. The agronomy of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*). Commonwealth Bureau of Pastures. Field Crops Review Series, pp 77.
- Saxena K. B., Singh L. and Gupta M. D. 1990. Variation for natural out-crossing in pigeonpea. Euphytica, 46: 143-148.
- Saxena K. B. and Kumar R. V. 2010. Insect-aided natural out-crossing in four wild relatives of pigeonpea. Euphytica, 173: 329-335.
- 11. FAOSTAT Data. 2010. http://faostat.fao.org.
- 12. **Reddy L. J., Rao N. K. and Saxena K. B.** 2001. Production and characterization of hybrids between *Cajanus cajan* x *C. reticulatus* var. *grandifolius*. Euphytica, **121**: 93-98.