



Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology

Evaluation of genetic diversity in *Magnaporthe grisea* populations adapted to finger millet using simple sequence repeats (SSRs) markers

T. Kiran Babu^{a,b}, Rajan Sharma^b, H.D. Upadhyaya^b, P.N. Reddy^a, S. Deshpande^b, S. Senthilvel^b, N.D.R.K. Sarma^a, R.P. Thakur^b

^aAcharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University (ANGRAU), Rajendranagar, Hyderabad 500030, Andhra Pradesh, India^bInternational Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, Hyderabad 502324, Andhra Pradesh, India

DOI:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pmpp.2013.06.001>

This is author version post print archived in the official Institutional Repository of ICRISAT www.icrisat.org

Evaluation of genetic diversity in *Magnaporthe grisea* populations adapted to finger millet using Simple Sequence Repeats (SSRs) markers

T Kiran Babu^{ab}, Rajan Sharma^b, HD Upadhyaya^b, PN Reddy^a, SPDeshpande^b, S Senthilvel^b, NDRK Sarma^a and RP Thakur^b

^aAcharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University (ANGRAU), Rajendranagar, Hyderabad 500030, Andhra Pradesh, India

^bInternational Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru 502324, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, India.

Corresponding author: Rajan Sharma

E-mail: r.sharma@cgiar.org

Phone: +91 40 3071 3395

Fax: +91 40 3071 3074

ABSTRACT

Finger millet blast caused by *Magnaporthe grisea* (anamorph: *Pyricularia grisea*) is a great threat to finger millet production worldwide. Genetic diversity and population structure of 72 *M. grisea* isolates collected from finger millet (56), foxtail millet (6), pearl millet (7) and rice (3) from major crop growing areas in India was studied using 24 SSR markers. None of the SSRs detected polymorphism in the *M. grisea* isolates from pearl millet. Seventeen SSR markers were polymorphic in the 65 non pearl millet isolates and detected 105 alleles, of which one was rare, 83 common, 9 frequent and 12 most frequent. A model-based population structure analysis of the genomic data identified two distinct populations with varying levels of ancestral admixtures among the 65 *M. grisea* isolates. Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) indicated that 52% of the total variation among the isolates used in this study was due to differences between the pathogen populations adapted to different hosts, 42% was due to differences in the isolates from the same host, and the remaining 6% due to heterozygosity within isolates. High genetic variability present in *M. grisea* isolates calls for the continuous monitoring of *M. grisea* populations anticipating blast resistance breakdown in finger millet cultivars grown in India.

Key words: Genetic diversity, Simple sequence repeats, *Magnaporthe grisea*, *Eleusine coracana*

Highlights:

- Seventeen of the 24 SSR markers were polymorphic and detected 105 alleles in the 65 *Magnaporthe grisea* isolates.
- Cluster analysis of SSR data classified the isolates into three major groups that corresponded with the host specificity.
- A model-based population structure analysis identified two distinct populations with varying levels of ancestral admixtures.

1.0. Introduction

Finger millet (*Eleusine coracana* L. Gaertn) is a widely grown grain cereal in the semi-arid areas of East and southern Africa and South Asia under varied agro-climatic conditions [1]. Finger millet is being increasingly recognized as a promising source of micronutrients and protein [2] for weak and immune-compromised people [3]. Besides energy, it contributes to alleviating micronutrient and protein malnutrition also called 'hidden hunger' affecting half of the world's population, especially women and pre-school children in most countries of Africa and South-east Asia [4]. Malnutrition due to protein deficiency is also found at alarming rates in the Indian subcontinent [5]. Although finger millet is tolerant to many biotic and abiotic stresses, the crop is severely affected by blast disease caused by an ascomycete fungus *Magnaporthe grisea* (Hebert) Barr. (anamorph: *Pyricularia grisea* (Cooke) Sacc.), which is very prominent among the constraints that affect yield, utilization and trade of finger millet within East Africa and South Asia [6,7]. Many of the widely grown landraces and high yielding varieties are susceptible to blast with yield losses of 10-50% being common [3] and losses can be as high as 80-90% in the endemic areas [8]. The disease affects the crop at all growth stages from seedling to grain formation, with panicle blast being the most destructive form of the disease [9,10]. *M. grisea* is pathogenic to more than 50 graminaceous hosts including food security crops such as rice, wheat, finger millet, pearl millet and foxtail millet [11,12]. Despite the wide host range of the pathogen, *M. grisea* populations mainly exist as host-specific (adapted) forms, capable of infecting a single host [13,14]. While some researchers have demonstrated successful infection of a host by an isolate from a different host under experimental conditions [15,16], others failed to confirm the results [13].

In the case of finger millet, blast management through host resistance is very economical and relevant for the resource-poor and marginal farmers who cannot afford other methods of disease control such as use of expensive chemical fungicides. However,

resistance breakdown is a great challenge while breeding for blast resistance in finger millet because of pathogenic variation in *M. grisea*. It is important not only to develop cultivars with durable resistance, but also to monitor virulence change in the pathogen populations to anticipate resistance breakdown in existing finger millet cultivars, and to design strategies to sustain cultivation of high yielding, farmer and consumer preferred cultivars [17]. Lack of knowledge on the pathogen adapted to finger millet in India has hindered efforts towards identification and development of resistant cultivars adapted to local agro-ecological conditions. Consequently, research efforts have focused on understanding the *M. grisea* population structure by combining modern molecular-biotechnological approaches with traditional pathological assays. Substantial work has been done in the rice-blast pathosystem, whereas such studies are very limited for the finger millet-blast pathosystem [3,7,14]. In order to measure genetic variability more precisely, molecular markers that provide an unbiased estimate of total genomic variation and have the potential to minimize errors due to sampling variance have been developed [18]. Furthermore, determination of fungal genetic diversity based on molecular markers is reliable as it is independent of culture conditions. DNA fingerprinting techniques have created new tools for the molecular analysis of *M. oryzae* populations [19] and this is equally applicable to *M. grisea* populations adapted to finger millet.

Assessment of genetic diversity in *M. grisea* from different crops has mostly relied on use of clones of the transposon MGR as a probe to detect restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), which is an expensive and time-consuming approach. Simple sequence repeats (SSRs) or microsatellites are PCR-based molecular markers, which may be more desirable for population genetic analysis because this approach makes it simpler to obtain accurate polymorphic data due to co dominance. Besides, these markers are highly reproducible, locus-specific, multi-allelic and abundant in animal, plant and

microbial genomes [20]. Although generation of SSR markers is a time-consuming, labor-intensive and expensive task, several SSR markers have already been developed for *M. grisea* infecting rice [21–24]. However, SSRs have not been used to investigate pathogen populations adapted to finger millet. Prior few studies have examined genetic diversity in finger millet-infecting populations of *M. grisea* using MGR-RFLP [14], AFLP [3] and RAPD markers [7]. Here, we analyzed finger millet infecting populations of *M. grisea*, collected from Andhra Pradesh, Bihar and Karnataka, India along with *M. grisea* isolates from pearl millet, foxtail millet and rice using SSR markers to (i) assess extent of genetic diversity in finger millet-infecting populations of *M. grisea* (ii) investigate genetic relatedness among *M. grisea* populations adapted to finger millet, foxtail millet, pearl millet and rice.

2.0. Material and Methods

2.1. Pathogen isolates

Blast infected (leaf, neck and finger) samples of finger millet, foxtail millet and rice were collected from Vizianagaram, Patancheru, and Nandyal in Andhra Pradesh, Mandya and Naganahalli in Karnataka, and Dholi in Bihar, India during 2008-10 rainy seasons (Table 1). In addition, seven *M. grisea* isolates from four major pearl millet growing states in India – Rajasthan, Haryana, Maharashtra and Uttar Pradesh [25] were also included in this study (Table 1). Isolations of *M. grisea* were made from the blast-infected tissue on oatmeal agar medium (rolled oats 50 g, agar 15 g, distilled water 1 L) and incubated at 25±1°C for 15 days. After incubation, a dilute spore suspension (3×10^3 spores/ml) was prepared in sterile double-distilled water and plated onto 4% water agar in Petri plates. Single germinating conidia were marked after 10-12 h of incubation under a microscope and transferred to test tubes containing oatmeal agar for further studies.

2.2. Isolation of genomic DNA

Isolates of *M. grisea* were grown in 2X yeast extract glucose (YEG) medium [14] in shake culture for 7-10 days at 25°C. Mycelia were harvested by filtration through Whatman filter paper No. 1, dried on blotting papers and ground to a fine powder in liquid nitrogen with a pre-cooled pestle and mortar. Genomic DNA was extracted from 200 mg of powdered mycelium of each isolate using CTAB (cetyltrimethylammonium bromide) method as suggested by Viji et al. [14]. The quantity and quality of the extracted DNA was assessed by running the DNA on 1% agarose gel, stained with ethidium bromide and photographed under UV illumination.

2.3. SSR genotyping

Twenty-four SSR markers (*Pyrms7-8*, *Pyrms 15-16*, *Pyrms33-34*, *Pyrms37-38*, *Pyrms 39-40*, *Pyrms41-42*, *Pyrms 43-44*, *Pyrms 45-46*, *Pyrms47-48*, *Pyrms 59-60*, *Pyrms 61-62*, *Pyrms 63-64*, *Pyrms 67-68*, *Pyrms 77-78*, *Pyrms 81-82*, *Pyrms 83-84*, *Pyrms 87-88*, *Pyrms 93-94*, *Pyrms 99-100*, *Pyrms 101-102*, *Pyrms 107-108*, *Pyrms 109-110*, *Pyrms 115-116* and *Pyrms125-126*) [22] were used for analyzing the SSR diversity in *M. grisea* isolates (Table 2). The forward primers were synthesized by adding M13-forward primer sequence (5' CACGACGTTGTAAAACGAC3') at the 5' end of each primer. PCR was performed in 5 µl reaction volume with final concentrations of 5 ng of DNA, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM of dNTPs, 1X PCR buffer, 0.006 pM of M13-tailed forward primer, 0.09 pM of M13-Forward primer labeled with either 6-Fam or Vic or Ned or Pet (Applied Biosystems), 0.09 pM of reverse primers and 0.1 U of *Taq* DNA polymerase (SibEnzyme Ltd., Russia) in a GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 thermal cycler (Applied Biosystems, USA) with the following cyclic conditions: initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 min then 10 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 61°C for 1 min (temperature reduced by 1°C for each cycle) and extension at 72°C for 1 min. This was followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1

min, annealing at 54°C for 1 min and extension at 72°C for 1 min with the final extension of 10 min at 72°C. The PCR products were tested for amplification on 1.2% agarose.

Based on their expected amplicon size and/or dye, PCR products were pooled together along with internal size standard (GeneScan™ 500 LIZ® from Applied Biosystems) and capillary electrophoresis was carried out using ABI 3730xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, USA). Raw data produced from the ABI 3730xl Genetic Analyser was analysed using Genemapper software (Applied Biosystems, USA) and fragment size was scored in base pairs (bp) based on the relative migration of the internal size standard.

2.4. Determination of allele frequency and diversity analysis

The alleles for each SSR locus across the samples were scored in terms of fragment length of the PCR amplified product in base pairs and used to calculate the basic statistics such as polymorphic information content (PIC), allelic richness as determined by a total number of the detected alleles, major allele frequency (M_{AF}), number of alleles per locus, gene diversity (GD), heterozygosity (H) and occurrence of unique, rare, common, frequent and most frequent alleles using PowerMarker version 3.25 [26]. These estimates were performed across all the *M. grisea* isolates, and separately among isolates from different hosts. Unique alleles are those that are present in one isolate or one group of isolates but absent in other isolates or group of isolates. Rare alleles are those whose frequency is $\leq 1\%$ in the investigated isolates. Common alleles have $>1\%$ - 20% frequency while those occurring with $>20\%$ - 50% and $>50\%$ frequencies were classified as frequent alleles and most frequent alleles, respectively.

2.5. Unweighted Neighbor-joining tree

The allelic data were converted into a binary matrix using the scores 1/0 for presence/absence of the allele. A similarity matrix was generated from the binary data using Jaccard

similarity coefficient in the SIMQUAL program to cluster the isolates using NTSYS-pc package [27].

2.5. Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA)

Analysis of molecular variance for the *M. grisea* isolates from different hosts collected from different locations was performed using the software ARLEQUIN [28].

2.6. Population structure analysis

A set of 17 SSR markers were used to dissect the population structure of *M. grisea* isolates from finger millet, foxtail millet and rice. In order to infer the population structure of the *M. grisea* isolates without considering the host origin, the analysis was performed using the software package STRUCTURE version 2.3.4 (<http://pritch.bsd.uchicago.edu/structure.html>) [29]. This method uses multilocus genotypes to infer the fraction of an isolate's genetic ancestry that belongs to a population for a given number of populations (K). The program STRUCTURE implements a model based clustering method for inferring population structure using isolate data consisting of unlinked markers to identify k clusters to which the program then assigns each individual isolate. To determine most appropriate K value, burn-in Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) replication was set to 300,000 and data were collected over 200,000 MCMC replications in each run. Three independent runs were performed setting the number of population (K) from 2 to 15 using a model allowing for admixture and correlated allele frequencies. The basis of this kind of clustering method is the allocation of individual genotypes to K clusters in such a way that linkage equilibrium is valid within clusters, whereas this kind of equilibrium is absent between clusters. The K value was determined by LnP(D) in STRUCTURE output based on the rate of change in LnP(D) between successive K . The model choice criterion to detect the most probable value of K was ΔK , which is an ad hoc quantity related to the second-order change in the log probability of data (Ln P(D)) with

respect to the number of clusters inferred by Structure [30]. The MCMC chain was run multiple times, using a correlated allele frequency model (prior mean = 0.01, prior SD = 0.05 and Lambda = 1.0) in the advance option of the STRUCTURE version 2.3.4.

3.0. Results

3.1. Polymorphic SSRs among *M. grisea* isolates

For assaying allelic diversity in 72 *M. grisea* isolates, a total of 24 SSR markers were used. However, only 17 (74%) produced clear, scorable and polymorphic markers among *M. grisea* isolates from different hosts and locations (3 pairs amplified a product in all 72 isolates). The remaining seven (26%) primer pairs (*Pyrms 33-34*, *Pyrms 39-40*, *Pyrms 43-44*, *Pyrms 81-82*, *Pyrms 83-84*, *Pyrms 101-102* and *Pyrms 115-116*) were found monomorphic in all *M. grisea* isolates. None of the primer pairs detected polymorphism in pearl millet infecting *M. grisea* populations, but only three SSR markers (*Pyrms 47-48*, *Pyrms 63-64* and *Pyrms 67-68*) amplified DNA from pearl millet isolates. Thus, isolates from pearl millet were excluded from further study. One SSR marker (*Pyrms 43-44*) amplified only foxtail millet isolates. A high level of polymorphism was observed for 17 SSRs in the 65 isolates of *M. grisea* from finger millet, foxtail millet and rice (Table 1); thus, these SSRs and isolates were selected for further studies (Table 2).

3.2. Allelic richness and diversity in *M. grisea*

The 17 polymorphic SSR markers detected total 105 alleles in the 65 *M. grisea* isolates assayed. The number of alleles per locus ranged from 2 (*Pyrms 37-38*) to 13 (*Pyrms 15-16*) with an average of 6.18 alleles/locus (Table 2). The allele size ranged from 119 to 384 bp. The polymorphic information content (PIC) values varied from 0.205 (*Pyrms 37-38*) to 0.805 (*Pyrms 67-68*) with an average of 0.486/marker. Three markers *Pyrms 15-16*, *Pyrms 61-62* and *Pyrms 67-68* were highly polymorphic. Gene diversity, defined as the probability

that two randomly chosen alleles from the population are different, varied from 0.232 (*Pyrms* 37-38) to 0.827 (*Pyrms* 67-68), with an average of 0.517. A very low level of heterozygosity (0.000 to 0.046) was detected in *M. grisea* isolates but for *Pyrms* 45-46 which detected 0.586 heterozygosity. Seven SSR loci detected no heterozygosity while nine detected <0.05 heterozygosity.

Of the 105 alleles detected in *M. grisea* isolates, only one was rare, 83 common, 9 frequent and 12 were most frequent. Common alleles were detected at all 17 SSR loci ranging from 1 (*Pyrms* 37-38) to 12 (*Pyrms* 15-16) with an average of 4.88 alleles per locus while frequent alleles ranged from 1 to 2 with an average of 0.52 frequent alleles per locus. Most frequent alleles were detected at all the SSR loci except *Pyrms* 15-16, *Pyrms* 47-48, *Pyrms* 59-60, *Pyrms* 61-62 and *Pyrms* 67-68 with an average of 0.70 alleles per locus (Table 2).

3.3. Diversity in *M. grisea* populations adapted to different hosts

Of the 105 alleles detected in the 65 *M. grisea* isolates, 75 (one rare, 51 common, 10 frequent and 13 most frequent) were from fifty-six finger millet isolates, 44 (22 common, 12 frequent and 10 most frequent alleles) from six foxtail millet isolates and 15 most frequent alleles from three rice isolates (Table 3). The number of alleles per locus in finger millet isolates ranged from 2 to 13 with an average of 4.41 alleles; whereas in foxtail millet isolates, it ranged from 1 to 4 with an average of 2.75. The PIC value ranged from 0.067 to 0.759 (average 0.369) in finger millet isolates, 0.0 to 0.620 (average 0.420) in foxtail millet isolates and 0.0 to 1.0 (average 0.062) in rice isolates.

3.4. Genetic variability among *M. grisea* isolates from different hosts

Cluster analysis classified the isolates into three major groups that corresponded with the host specificity of the isolates (Fig. 1). However, there was an exception to this correspondence; two finger millet isolates (FMP1 and FMV20) were placed in

group, otherwise constituted by foxtail millet isolates. Overall topology of the dendrogram indicated the presence of three lineages in *M. grisea* species complex infecting different hosts. Several subgroups were observed for populations from finger and foxtail millet indicating high genetic variability within and between different host-limited forms of *M. grisea*. Of the 56 isolates from finger millet, 53 were clustered together in one group, whereas the other 2 were grouped together with foxtail millet isolates, and one isolate (FMP7), although sharing slight below 50% similarity was still most closely associated with the finger millet group.

As all but two of the isolates were clustered in host-specific groups, all the SSR allelic data were inspected to determine host-specific alleles. Three SSR loci (*Pyrms 15-16*, *Pyrms 37-38*, *Pyrms 63-64*) showed alleles unique to finger millet-infecting isolates. In terms of locations-specific alleles among the isolates, five SSR loci (*Pyrms 45-46*, *Pyrms 59-60*, *Pyrms 61-62*, *Pyrms 87-88*, *Pyrms 125-126*) showed unique alleles for the isolates from Mandya, and one SSR marker (*Pyrms 47-48*) detected a unique allele for the isolates from Vizianagaram.

3.5. Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA)

Analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) indicated that 52% of the total variation among the isolates used in this study was due to differences between the pathogen populations adapted to different hosts, 42% was due to differences in the isolates from the same host, and the remaining 6% due to heterozygosity within isolates.

3.6. Genetic structure of *M. grisea* isolates

Analysis of 65 *M. grisea* isolates for population structure using a model-based approach provided evidence for the presence of significant population structure in *M. grisea* and identified two genetically distinct groups or admixtures within the *M. grisea* isolates

from different hosts. The model-based simulation of population structure using SSRs showed the estimated likelihood values being variable among different runs ($K=2-15$). However, inference of the exact value of K (gene pool) was not straightforward because the estimated $\ln P(D)$ values increased continuously till $K=15$ (Fig. 2A), although a plateau started developing at $K=8$. There were abrupt changes in $\ln P(D)$ value between $K=5$ and $K=6$; $K=6$ and $K=7$; $K=7$ and $K=8$. The model choice criterion to detect the most probable value of K was ΔK (Fig. 2B). The highest value of ΔK for this data set was found at $K=2$ (Fig. 2B). This suggested that the set of isolates was partitioned into two groups (subpopulations), which corresponded to the host origin with a few exceptions (Fig. 3). According to the membership pattern when $K=2$, group 2 was the largest with 54 (83%) isolates representing only finger millet from different locations. Group 1 was represented by 11 isolates which included all the foxtail millet and rice isolates, and two finger millet isolates (FMP1 and FMV20).

4.0. Discussion

We evaluated 24 SSR markers reported by Kaye et al. [22] for assaying the molecular diversity in *M. grisea* populations adapted to different hosts. The polymorphism detected by selected SSRs in *M. grisea* was quite high and thus can be used as an efficient tool for genetic diversity studies. The percentage of polymorphic SSRs observed here is very close to that reported by Kaye et al. [22] and by Zheng et al. [23] among *M. grisea* isolates from rice. In contrast, Suzuki et al. [24] observed very low levels of polymorphisms in the *M. grisea* isolates collected in Japan and concluded that the field isolates collected in recent years probably were genetically similar and belonged to a limited number of lineages [31].

The number of alleles per locus in the present study was positively correlated with gene diversity ($r = 0.83$, $P < 0.01$) and common alleles ($r = 0.98$, $P < 0.01$). Positive relationships observed between allele size range and the amount of variation at SSR loci (as

measured by allele/locus and gene diversity) indicated that SSR loci with large allele range show greater variation. It has been suggested that SSR polymorphism results from two different mechanisms: slippage during replication and unequal crossing over [32]. Occurrence of both mating types in *M. grisea* populations infecting finger millet has been reported in India [14]. Therefore, the polymorphisms detected in our study could have been generated both because of unequal crossing over and by replication slippage. The number of repeats of a SSR marker is a useful predictor of its possible polymorphism [33]. We found that SSRs with longer repeat motifs were less polymorphic (Table 2). Similar observations were made by Zheng et al. [23] in *M. grisea* populations adapted to rice.

The polymorphic SSR markers in the present study detected 2 to 13 alleles with an average of 6.18 alleles per locus. Variable number of alleles per locus has been reported in previous studies on *M. grisea* populations [22,23,24]. Variation in allele number observed in the present study and that reported in the earlier studies could be due to the large population size and the sampling strategy used to recover isolates in these areas as well as the extent of genetic variation in the isolates [34]. Similarly, variation in the PIC values was observed in our study and those reported earlier. The higher gene diversity value in the present study can be attributed to the diverse *M. grisea* isolates collected from different hosts and locations [22]. Nevertheless, the reported PIC values for these SSR primer pairs may be useful in selecting comparatively more informative markers for assessment of molecular diversity in *M. grisea* isolates from India or elsewhere.

We found that the isolates originating from different plant parts (leaf and neck blast) of the same finger millet genotype were randomly distributed in the dendrogram, while some of the isolates from the infected neck and fingers of the same genotypes were grouped in one cluster. These results indicate that multiple independent infections occur on the same plant and an infection may progress to the finger from the neck and *vice versa*. These observations

also indicate that there are no strains specific to leaf, neck or finger blast [35]. In addition, finger millet varieties have shown a consistent reaction to different forms of blast, with limited exceptions [9,36]. Diversity in pathogen populations has also been reported to be higher within field and between cultivars rather than between sub-populations from leaf and panicle in rice [37].

A high degree of variation was observed within the isolates from the same host, especially among isolates from finger millet where a large number of isolates were collected. Several clusters of the isolates from finger millet were observed in the dendrogram depicting genetic variation among the isolates from the same host. Similar results have been documented by Singh and Kumar [7]. In general, isolates from same host were grouped together; however, two finger millet isolates (FMP1 and FMV20) shared SSR profile and clustered along with foxtail millet isolates indicating potential for gene flow occurring between pathogen populations adapted to two different hosts. These findings are in agreement with Rathouret al. [38] who suggested the possibility of gene flow between the *M. grisea* populations infecting finger millet and jungle rice. Evidence also exists for genetic recombination between the *M. grisea* infecting rice and finger millet in the Indian Himalayas [39,40] where both the hosts have been growing sympatrically for centuries. In contrast, Vijiet al. [14] reported that the blast fungus collected from rice and finger millet did not cross-infect and also gave different fingerprint patterns based on MGR-DNA fingerprinting. In the present study, the DNA polymorphism did not reflect the geographical distribution of isolates. Similar observations were reported by Xia et al. [41] for rice blast and Takanet al. [3] for finger millet blast, though in some cases importance of geographical regions has been correlated [42].

An insight into the structure of *M. grisea* populations from different hosts and locations is valuable in enhancing our understanding of the biology of the pathogen and

potentially adaptive genotypic diversity in the species. Model-based population structure analysis of *M. grisea* did not reveal any location/region specific grouping of isolates. However, most of the isolates were grouped based on their host with a few exceptions. All the isolates from rice and foxtail millet were grouped together in Group 1 along with two finger millet isolates (FMP1 and FMV20). Group 2 consisted of mostly genetically similar isolates from finger millet with a few exceptions (Fig. 3) showing some admixture. These included two isolates each from Nandyal (FMNd34 and FMNd48) and Patancheru (FMP7 and FMP12). These differences in population structure among isolates within the same species and geographic regions are likely related to differences in evolutionary history and ecology [34]. Similar observations were made by Tosa et al. [43] who found that *Oryza* and *Setaria* isolates shared two avirulence genes *PWT1* and *PWT2* and were genetically closer to each other.

In finger millet-blast system, resistance breeding has proven to be difficult; however, efforts are being made for the genetic improvement of finger millet especially for blast resistance [3,17]. Present study provides some insight into the biology of *M. grisea* adapted to finger millet and its relationship with the pathogen populations adapted to rice and foxtail millet. The genetic diversity observed in the finger millet adapted populations of *M. grisea* might be indicative of variation for pathogenicity as well. Thus, understanding the pathogenic nature of the populations belonging to different lineages will help forming the framework for finger millet blast management programs especially through host plant resistance.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the BMZ/GTZ project on “Sustainable conservation and utilization of genetic resources of two underutilized crops- finger millet and foxtail millet-to enhance productivity, nutrition and income in Africa and

Asia” funded by the Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ), Germany to carry out this work.

References

- [1] Dida MM, Wanyera N, Dunn MLH, Bennetzen JL, Devos KM. Population structure and diversity in finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) germplasm. Trop Plant Biol 2008;1:131–141.
- [2] Malleshi NG, Klopfenstein CF. Nutrient composition, amino acid and vitamin contents of malted sorghum, pearl millet, finger millet and their rootlets. Int J Food Sci Nutr 1998;49:415–422.
- [3] Takan JP, Chipili J, Muthumeenakshi S, Talbot NJ, Manyasa EO, Bandyopadhyay R, Sere Y, Nutsugah SK, Talhinhas P, Hossain M, Brown AE, Sreenivasaprasad S. *Magnaporthe oryzae* populations adapted to finger millet and rice exhibit distinctive patterns of genetic diversity, sexuality and host interaction. Mol Biotechnol 2012;50(2):145-158.
- [4] Underwood RA. Overcoming micronutrient deficiencies in developing countries: is there a role for agriculture? Food Nutr Bull 2000;21:356–360.
- [5] Prasad R. Zinc biofortification of food grains in relation to food security and alleviation of zinc malnutrition. Curr Sci 2010;98:1300–1304.
- [6] Lenne JM, Takan JP, Mgonja MA, Manyasa EO, Kaloki P, Wanyera N. Finger millet blast management: A key entry point for fighting malnutrition and poverty in East Africa. Outlook Agr 2007;36:101–108.
- [7] Singh Y, Kumar J. Study of genomic fingerprints profile of *Magnaporthe grisea* from finger millet (*Eleusine coracana*) by random amplified polymorphic DNA-polymerase chain reaction (RAPD-PCR). Afr J Biotechnol 2010;9(46):7798–7804.

- [8] Vishwanath S, Sanne Gowda S, Seetharam A, Shankare Gowda BT. Reaction to blast disease of released and pre-released varieties of finger millet from different states. *Millet Newsletter* 1986;5:31.
- [9] Takan JP, Akello B, Esele P, Manyasa EO, Obilana AB, Audi PO. Finger millet blast pathogen diversity and management in East Africa: A summary of project activities and outputs. *International Sorghum and Millets Newsletter* 2004;45:66-69.
- [10] Babu TK, Thakur RP, Upadhyaya HD, Narayan Reddy P, Sharma R, Girish AG, Sarma NDRK. Resistance to blast (*Magnaporthe grisea*) in a mini-core collection of finger millet germplasm. *Eur J Plant Pathol* 2013;135:299-311.
- [11] Ou SH. *Rice Diseases*, 2nd ed. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew, Surrey, UK; 1985.
- [12] Rossman AY, Howard RJ, Valent B. *Pyricularia grisea* the correct name for the rice blast disease fungus. *Mycologia* 1990;2:509–512.
- [13] Todman AK, Pawar DR, Joshi MH. Host reactions to finger millet blast (*Pyricularia grisea* Sacc.) *Mysore J Agr Sci* 1994;28:45-46.
- [14] Viji G, Gnanamanickam SS, Levy M. DNA polymorphisms of isolates of *Magnaporthe grisea* from India that are pathogenic to finger millet and rice. *Mycol Res* 2000;104(2):161-167.
- [15] Mackill AO, Bonman JM. New hosts of *Pyricularia oryzae*. *Plant Dis* 1986;70:125–127.
- [16] Kumar A, Singh RA. Differential response of *Pyricularia grisea* isolates from rice, finger millet and pearl millet to media, temperature, pH and light. *J Mycol Plant Pathol* 1995;25(3):238-242
- [17] Mgonja MA, Lenne JM, Manyasa E, Sreenivasaprasad S. Finger Millet Blast Management in East Africa: Creating opportunities for improving production and

- utilization of finger millet. International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics. Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India. 2007;46, ISBN: 978-92-9066-505-2.
- [18] Spooner DM, Tivang J, Nienhuis J, Miller JT, Douches DS, Contreras MA. Comparison of four molecular markers in measuring relationships among the wild potato relatives *Solanum* section *Etuberosum* (subgenus *Potatoe*). Theor Appl Genet 1996;92:532–540.
- [19] Levy M, Correa FJ, Zeigler RS, Xu S, Hamer JE. Genetic diversity of the rice blast fungus in a disease nursery in Columbia. Phytopathol 1993;83:1427–1433.
- [20] Maroof MAS, Biyashev RM, Yang GP, Zhang Q, Allard RW. Extraordinarily polymorphic microsatellite DNA in barley: species diversity, chromosomal locations, and population dynamics. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1994;91:5466–5470.
- [21] Brondani C, Brondani RPV, Garridon LR, Ferreira ME. Development of microsatellite markers for genetic analysis of *Magnaporthe grisea*. Genet Mol Biol 2000;23:753–762.
- [22] Kaye C, Milazzo J, Rozenfeld S, Lebrun MH, Tharreau D. 2003. The development of simple sequence repeat (SSR) markers for *Magnaporthe grisea* and their integration into an established genetic linkage map. *Fungal Genet Biol*2003;40:207-214.
- [23] Zheng Y, Zhang G, Lin F, Wang Z, Jin G, Yang L, Wang Y, Chen X, Xu Z, Zhao X, Wang H, Lu J, Lu G, Wu W. Development of microsatellite markers and construction of genetic map in rice blast pathogen *Magnaporthe grisea*. *Fungal Genet Biol*2008;5(10):1340-1347.

- [24] Suzuki F, Suga H, Tomimura K, Fuji S, Arai M, Koba A, Nakajima T. Development of simple sequence repeats markers for Japanese isolates of *Magnaporthe grisea*. Mol Ecol Resour 2009;9:588-590.
- [25] Sharma R, Upadhyaya HD, Manjunatha SV, Rai KN, Gupta SK, Thakur RP. Pathogenic variation in the pearl millet blast pathogen, *Magnaporthe grisea* and identification of resistance to diverse pathotypes. Plant Dis 2013;97(2):189–195.
- [26] Liu K, Muse SV. PowerMarker: Integrated analysis environment for genetic marker data. Bioinformatics 21 (9): 2128-2129. Software version 3.25. 2005. <http://www.powermarker.net>.
- [27] Rohlf FJ. NTSYS: numerical taxonomy and multivariate analysis system version 5.1. Stony Brook, NY: State University of New York; 2005.
- [28] Excoffier L, Laval G, Schneider S. Arlequin ver. 3.0: An integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. Evolutionary Bioinformatics Online 2005;1:47-50.
- [29] Hubisz MJ, Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK. Inferring weak population structure with the assistance of sample group information. Mol Ecol Resour 2009; 9:1322–1332.
- [30] Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. Mol Ecol 2005; 14:2611–2620.
- [31] Suzuki F, Arai M, Yamaguchi J. DNA fingerprinting of *Pyricularia grisea* by rep-PCR using single primers designed from the terminal inverted repeat of each of the transposable elements *Pot2* and MGR586. Gen Plant Pathol 2006;72:314–317.

- [32] Li YC, Korol AB, Fahima T, Beiles A, Nevo E. Microsatellites: genomic distribution, putative functions and mutational mechanisms: a review. *Mol Ecol* 2002;11:2453–2465.
- [33] Yang GP, Maroof MAS, Xu CG, Zhang Q, Biyashev RM. Comparative analysis of microsatellite DNA polymorphism in landraces and cultivars of rice. *Mol. General Genet* 1994;245:187–194.
- [34] Varshney R, Pande S, Kannan S, Mahendar T, Sharma M, Gaur P, Hoisington D. Assessment and comparison of AFLP and SSR based molecular genetic diversity in Indian isolates of *Ascochyta rabiei*, a causal agent of Ascochyta blight in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Mycol Prog* 2009;8(2):87-97.
- [35] Pande S, Mukuru SZ, King SB, Karunakar RI. Biology of and resistance to finger millet blast in Kenya and Uganda. In: Mukuru SZ, King SB, editors. Proceedings of the eighth EARSAM regional workshop on sorghum and millets, Sudan: ICISAT, Andhra Pradesh, India; 1995, p. 83–92.
- [36] Somashekhara YM, Viswanath S, Anilkumar TB. Evaluation of finger millet [*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn] cultivars for their reactions to blast (*Pyricularia grisea* Sacc). *Trop Agr* 1991;68:231-234.
- [37] Silva GB, Prabhu AS, Filippi MCC, Trindade MG, Araujo LG, Zambolim L. Genetic and phenotypic diversity of *Magnaporthe oryzae* from leaves and panicles of rice in commercial fields in the State of Goias, Brazil. *Trop Plant Pathol* 2009;34(2):71–76.
- [38] Rathour R, Sharma R, Sharma V. Genetic differentiation of rice and non-rice populations of *Magnaporthe grisea* from North-Western Himalayas using native protein and isozyme polymorphisms. *J Phytopathol* 2006;154:641–647.

- [39] Zeigler RS. Recombination in *Magnaporthe grisea*. Annu Rev Phytopathol 1998;36:249-276.
- [40] Kumar J, Nelson RJ, Zeigler RS. Population structure and dynamics of *Magnaporthe grisea* in the Indian Himalayas. Genetics 1999;152:971-984.
- [41] Xia JQ, Correll JC, Lee FN, Marchetti MA, Rhodes DD. DNA fingerprinting to examine microgeographic variation in the *Magnaporthe grisea* (*Pyricularia grisea*) populations in two rice fields in Arkansas. Phytopathol 1993;83:1029-1035.
- [42] Sharma TR, Chauhan RS, Singh BM, Sagar V, Paul R, Rathour R. RAPD and virulence analyses of *Magnaporthe grisea* rice populations from north- western Himalayan region of India. J Phytopathol 2002;50:649–656.
- [43] Tosa Y, Uddin W, Viji G, Kang S, Mayama S. Comparative genetic analysis of *Magnaporthe oryzae* isolates causing gray leaf spot of perennial ryegrass turf in the United States and Japan. Plant Dis 2007;91:517-524.

Figure captions

Fig. 1. Dendrogram depicting the genetic relationship among 65 isolates of *M. grisea* from different hosts based on SSR data.

Fig. 2. (A) Log-likelihood of the data ($n = 65$), $L(K)$, as a function of K (number of groups used to stratify the sample). (B) Values of ΔK , with its modal value used to detect true K of the group ($K = 2$). For each K value, at least three independent runs were considered and averaged over the replicates.

Fig. 3. Ancestries of 65 isolates estimated from 17 SSR loci using STRUCTURE version 2.3.4. Different colors represent subpopulations (or groups) in *Magnaporthe grisea* isolates from finger millet, foxtail millet and rice. The height of each bar represents the probability of isolates belonging to different groups. Group 1 included all foxtail millet and rice blast isolates, and two finger millet isolates (FMP1 and FMV20); Group 2 included remaining finger millet isolates.

Table 1

Origin of *Magnaporthe grisea* isolates used in the study.

Identity	Host	Cultivar	Year	Isolated from	Place of collection
FMP1	Finger millet	VL 149	2008	Neck	ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh
FMP2	Finger millet	VR 708	2009	Neck	ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh
FMP3	Finger millet	IE 518	2009	Finger	ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh
FMP4	Finger millet	IE 588	2009	Neck	ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh
FMP5	Finger millet	IE 2322	2009	Finger	ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh
FMP6	Finger millet	IE 2323	2009	Finger	ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh
FMP7	Finger millet	IE 2354	2008	Finger	ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh
FMP8	Finger millet	IE 2517	2008	Neck	ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh
FMP9	Finger millet	IE 3038	2009	Neck	ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh
FMP10	Finger millet	IE 3470	2009	Finger	ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh
FMP11	Finger millet	IE 4545	2009	Neck	ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh
FMP12	Finger millet	IE 6154	2009	Finger	ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh
FMP13	Finger millet	IE 6473	2009	Finger	ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh
FMV14	Finger millet	VL 149	2009	Neck	ARS, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh
FMV15	Finger millet	PSE 110	2009	Finger	ARS, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh
FMV16	Finger millet	VR 708	2009	Finger	ARS, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh
FMV17	Finger millet	VR 943	2009	Neck	ARS, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh
FMV18	Finger millet	IE 196	2009	Finger	ARS, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh
FMV19	Finger millet	IE 501	2009	Neck	ARS, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh
FMV20	Finger millet	IE 1299	2008	Neck	ARS, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh
FMV21	Finger millet	IE 2322	2009	Neck	ARS, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh
FMV22	Finger millet	IE 3270	2009	Neck	ARS, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh
FMV23	Finger millet	IE 3470	2009	Finger	ARS, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh
FMV24	Finger millet	IE 4750	2009	Leaf	ARS, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh
FMV25	Finger millet	IE 4759	2008	Neck	ARS, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh
FMV26	Finger millet	IE 5736	2009	Neck	ARS, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh

FMNd27	Finger millet	VR 708	2009	Finger	RARS, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh
FMNd28	Finger millet	IE 501	2009	Neck	RARS, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh
FMNd29	Finger millet	IE 518	2009	Neck	RARS, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh
FMNd30	Finger millet	IE 588	2009	Finger	RARS, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh
FMNd31	Finger millet	IE 3270	2008	Neck	RARS, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh
FMNd32	Finger millet	IE 3470	2009	Finger	RARS, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh
FMNd33	Finger millet	IE 4545	2009	Neck	RARS, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh
FMNd34	Finger millet	IE 5525	2008	Leaf	RARS, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh
FMNd35	Finger millet	IE 5788	2008	Leaf	RARS, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh
FMNd36	Finger millet	IE 5843	2008	Leaf	RARS, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh
FMNd37	Finger millet	IE 6055	2008	Leaf	RARS, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh
FMNd38	Finger millet	IE 6165	2008	Leaf	RARS, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh
FMM39	Finger millet	MR 6	2009	Neck	ZARS, Mandya, Karnataka
FMM40	Finger millet	IE 518	2009	Finger	ZARS, Mandya, Karnataka
FMM41	Finger millet	IE 588	2009	Neck	ZARS, Mandya, Karnataka
FMM42	Finger millet	IE 2790	2009	Neck	ZARS, Mandya, Karnataka
FMM43	Finger millet	IE 3470	2009	Finger	ZARS, Mandya, Karnataka
FMM44	Finger millet	IE 5177	2008	Finger	ZARS, Mandya, Karnataka
FMM45	Finger millet	IE 6165	2009	Leaf	ZARS, Mandya, Karnataka
FMM46	Finger millet	IE 6165	2009	Finger	ZARS, Mandya, Karnataka
FMM47	Finger millet	IE 6337	2009	Node	ZARS, Mandya, Karnataka
FMNg48	Finger millet	MR 6	2009	Leaf	OFRS, Naganahalli, Mysore, Karnataka
FMNg49	Finger millet	IE 518	2009	Neck	OFRS, Naganahalli, Mysore, Karnataka
FMNg50	Finger millet	IE 2572	2009	Leaf	OFRS, Naganahalli, Mysore, Karnataka
FMNg51	Finger millet	IE 2572	2009	Neck	OFRS, Naganahalli, Mysore, Karnataka
FMNg52	Finger millet	IE 2572	2009	Finger	OFRS, Naganahalli, Mysore, Karnataka
FMNg53	Finger millet	IE 4545	2009	Neck	OFRS, Naganahalli, Mysore, Karnataka
FMNg54	Finger millet	IE 6154	2009	Leaf	OFRS, Naganahalli, Mysore, Karnataka
FMNg55	Finger millet	IE 6154	2009	Neck	OFRS, Naganahalli, Mysore, Karnataka

FMD56	Finger millet	IE 2857	2008	Neck	RAU, Dholi, Bihar
FxMP57	Foxtail millet	ISe 376	2009	Leaf	ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh
FxMNd58	Foxtail millet	ISe 1541	2008	Leaf	RARS, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh.
FxMV59	Foxtail millet	ISe 376	2008	Leaf	ARS, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh
FxMV60	Foxtail millet	ISe 376	2009	Leaf	ARS, Vizianagaram, Andhra Pradesh
FxMM61	Foxtail millet	ISe 376	2009	Leaf	ZARS, Mandya, Karnataka
FxMM62	Foxtail millet	ISe 1541	2009	Leaf	ZARS, Mandya, Karnataka
RM 63	Rice	Vijaya	2009	Leaf	ZARS, Mandya, Karnataka
RM 64	Rice	Vijaya	2010	Leaf	ZARS, Mandya, Karnataka
RM 65	Rice	Vijaya	2010	Leaf	ZARS, Mandya, Karnataka
Pg 21	Pearl millet	Unknown hybrid	2009	Leaf	Farmers field, Jalna, Maharashtra
Pg 37	Pearl millet	Nandi 3	2009	Leaf	Farmers field, Aurangabad, Maharashtra
Pg 39	Pearl millet	ICMB 95222	2009	Leaf	Hissar, Haryana
Pg 41	Pearl millet	ICMB 95444	2009	Leaf	ARS, Durgapura, Jaipur, Rajasthan
Pg 43	Pearl millet	Unknown hybrid	2009	Leaf	Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh
Pg 45	Pearl millet	ICMB 95444	2009	Leaf	ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh
Pg 118	Pearl millet	Unknown hybrid	2010	Leaf	Rewari, Haryana

ICRISAT: International Crops research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics; A.P: Andhra Pradesh; ARS: Agricultural Research Station; RARS: Regional Agricultural Research Station; ZARS: Zonal Agricultural Research Station; OFRS: Organic Farming Research Station

Table 2

Allele composition, polymorphic information content (PIC), gene diversity and heterozygosity (%) of 17 SSR primers in 65 isolates of *M. grisea* from finger millet, foxtail millet and rice.

Marker	Primer sequence (5'→3')	Source	SSR type	Allele composition					Most frequent (>50%)	M _{AF}	PIC	Gene diversity	Heterozygosity
				Allelic richness	Size range (bp)	Rare (1%)	Common (≤20%)	Frequent (21-50%)					
<i>Pyrms</i> 7 and 8	gcaataacataggaaaacg agaaagagacaaaacactgg	Full BAC (70-15)	(CT/GA) ₂₉	7	123-179	0	6	-	1	0.600	0.558	0.593	0.000
<i>Pyrms</i> 15 and 16	ttctccattctctcgtcttc cgattgtgggtatgtgatag	EST (P12)	(CT/GA) ₂₀	13	151-200	0	12	1	-	0.379	0.785	0.803	0.031
<i>Pyrms</i> 37 and 38	accctacccccactcatttc aggatcagccaatgccaagt	BAC end (70-15)	(CA/GT) ₆ + (CT/GA) ₁₂	2	213-217	0	1	-	1	0.866	0.205	0.232	0.018
<i>Pyrms</i> 41 and 42	aacgtgacaatgtgagcagc gccatgttctaaggtgctgag	BAC end (70-15)	(CT/GA) ₁₆	6	119-193	1	4	-	1	0.830	0.286	0.300	0.015
<i>Pyrms</i> 45 and 46	ccactttatagccccaccagt ctctttctcgcaggagggtg	BAC end (70-15)	(TA/AT) ₁₁	4	214-223	0	2	1	1	0.569	0.473	0.554	0.586
<i>Pyrms</i> 47 and 48	tcacatttgcttgctggagt agacagggttgacggctaaa	BAC end (70-15)	(TA/AT) ₁₅	6	182-206	0	4	2	-	0.369	0.647	0.700	0.031
<i>Pyrms</i> 59 and 60	ttctcagtaggcttggaattga cttgattggtgggtggttg	BAC end (70-15)	(TA/AT) ₁₂	3	183-212	0	2	1	-	0.864	0.217	0.238	0.000
<i>Pyrms</i> 61 and 62	gaggcaactggcatctacc	BAC end (70-15)	(GA/CT) ₉	10	230-281	0	9	1	-	0.406	0.760	0.780	0.000

	tggattacagaggcgttcg												
<i>Pyrms</i> 63 and 64	ttgggatcttcggaagacg gccgacaagacactgaatga	BAC end (70-15)	(CT/GA) ₁₅	4	169-183	0	3	-	1	0.800	0.316	0.341	0.031
<i>Pyrms</i> 67 and 68	agcaagcaggagatgcagac gtttggctggcaagacagt	SSR library (Guy11)	(CA/GT) ₁₇	9	191-233	0	7	2	-	0.246	0.805	0.827	0.046
<i>Pyrms</i> 77 and 78	gaagtattgcacacaacac gcttcggcaagcctaac	SSR library (Guy11)	(CA/GT) ₂₄	8	162-240	0	7	-	1	0.564	0.606	0.636	0.000
<i>Pyrms</i> 87 and 88	Agactgttactcgggtctga ccagatgtcactcccctgta	BAC end (70-15)	(TGC/ACG) ₁₂	4	180-195	0	3	-	1	0.646	0.483	0.529	0.000
<i>Pyrms</i> 93 and 94	Cctcgactccttcacaaaa cggagagctcaggaagagg	Est (70-15)	(ATC/TAC) _{12.5}	5	214-235	0	4	-	1	0.769	0.373	0.392	0.000
<i>Pyrms</i> 99 and 100	Caccactttatggcgagcgt acctaggtaggtatacatgtt	BAC end (70-15)	(ACC/TGG) ₂₀	4	195-238	0	3	-	1	0.769	0.357	0.385	0.031
<i>Pyrms</i> 107 and 108	Gcagcaagcagcaatatcag gtggatcgaaggccaagg	SSR library (Guy11)	(GA/CT) ₁₀	8	344-384	0	6	1	1	0.592	0.558	0.596	0.015
<i>Pyrms</i> 109 and 110	Tacagtgggaggcacaagag ccagatcgagaaggggtat	SSR library (Guy11)	(TG/AC) ₁₂	8	192-225	0	7	-	1	0.562	0.611	0.640	0.016
<i>Pyrms</i> 125 and 126	Cctccggccaagattga gggtgtgggagaagaacg	Full BAC (70-15)	(CAA/GTT) ₃₂	4	133-190	0	3	-	1	0.868	0.225	0.237	0.000
Total				105	-	1	83	9	12	-	-	-	-
Mean				6.18	-	0.05	4.88	0.52	0.70	0.629	0.486	0.517	0.048
Range				2–13	119–384	0–1	1–12	1–2	-	0.246–0.868	0.205–0.805	0.232–0.827	0.000–0.586

Table 3

Summary statistics of 17 SSR markers in 65 isolates of *M. grisea* from finger millet, foxtail millet and rice.

Statistics	Overall	<i>M. grisea</i> isolates from		
		Finger millet	Foxtail millet	Rice
Sample size	65	56	6	3
Total number of alleles	105	75	44	15
No. of alleles per locus	6.18 (2-13)	4.41 (2-13)	2.75 (1-4)	0.9
Gene diversity	0.517 (0.232-0.827)	0.402 (0.069-0.790)	0.477 (0-0.667)	0.06 (0-1.0)
Heterozygosity	0.048 (0-0.586)	0.053 (0-0.642)	0.010 (0-0.167)	0
PIC	0.486 (0.205-0.805)	0.369 (0.067-0.759)	0.420 (0-0.620)	0.062 (0-1.00)
Rare alleles	1	1	0	0
Common alleles	83	51	22	0
Frequent alleles	9	10	12	-
Most frequent alleles	12	13	10	15

Figures in parentheses represent range





