

# THIRD INTERNATIONAL AGRONOMY CONGRESS

Agriculture Diversification, Climate  
Change Management and Livelihoods

November 26-30, 2012

## Extended Summaries Vol. 2

Voluntary Papers

Agronomy, Ecology and Climate Resilience  
Diversification and Globalization of Agriculture



Organizers

Indian Society of Agronomy  
Indian Council of Agricultural Research  
New Delhi, India



**Third International Agronomy Congress**  
**Agriculture Diversification, Climate**  
**Change Management and Livelihoods**  
November 26-30, 2012

**Extended Summaries**  
**Vol. 2**

**Voluntary Papers**

**Agronomy, Ecology and Climate Resilience**  
**Diversification and Globalization of Agriculture**



**Indian Society of Agronomy**  
**Indian Council of Agricultural Research**  
New Delhi, India



Extended Summaries Vol 2: 3rd International Agronomy Congress, Nov. 26-30, 2012, New Delhi, India

## **Enhancing water use efficiency of maize–chickpea sequence under semi arid conditions of southern India**

**SAWARGAONKAR G. L., S. P. WANI AND M. D. PATIL**

*International crops research institute for the Semi Arid Tropics, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh 502 324, India*

Maize is one of the three most important cereal crop species (after wheat and rice), and is grown throughout a wide range of climates. Maize is desired for its multiple

purposes as human food, animal feed, and pharmaceutical and industrial manufacturing, corn syrup and oil. Similarly, potential economic and

environmental benefits of growing chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) have been increasingly recognized in semiarid condition and inclusion of chickpea in cereal-based cropping systems has shown to improve the efficiency of nutrient (Walley et al., 2007). WUE of maize is a function of multiple factors, including physiological characteristics of maize and chickpea, genotype, soil characteristics such as soil water holding capacity, meteorological conditions and agronomic practices. Hence, to improve WUE, integrative measures should aim to optimize agronomic practices *viz*, efficient irrigation methods and suitable landform management and improved practice of fertilization.

### METHODOLOGY

Field experiments were conducted during 2009 to 2011 on experimental farms at ICRISAT, India (17.50° N 78.50° E and altitude 545 m). Soil of experimental area was medium black having a depth of 150 cm, clayey in texture, and slightly alkaline in pH (8.1). A split-split plot design was adapted to include two irrigation methods (flood and drip) as main plot, two landform managements (BBF and Flat system) as sub-plot whereas two farming practices (farmers practice and improved practice) were placed as sub-sub-plot. Thus, there were total 8 combinations of treatments and each of them had four replications. Water use efficiency (kg/m<sup>3</sup>) with respect to the total water input (rainfall + Irrigation) is the ratio of maize equivalent yield for both the cropping season (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and amount of rainfall received during maize and chickpea crop and amount of irrigation applied during chickpea crop (rainfall + irrigation, in mm).

### RESULTS

Perusal of data in Table 1 revealed that irrigation

methods did not influence significantly all these yield and economics parameters except chickpea grain yield which was significantly highest with drip irrigation. Profound effect was observed with respect to landform management wherein broad bed and furrow system was found significantly superior to improve all the yield and economic parameters. With the BBF, there was 13.85, 14.40, 13.60 per cent increase in yield of maize, chick pea and maize equivalent yield of system respectively over flat planting whereas an increase of 12.7 and 14.2 per cent was recorded for gross and net monetary returns ha<sup>-1</sup>.

As regards to management practice, improved practice of applying recommended dose for maize and chickpea along with 50 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> and 2.5 kg agribor/ha was found most suitable in increasing maize based system equivalent yield by 12 per cent over farmers practice of applying 1 bag of DAP as basal and 1 bag of urea as top dressing. The data on water use efficiency (Table 1) evidently concealed that highest water use efficiency of the maize – chickpea system recorded significantly higher WUE under drip system of irrigation coupled with BBF and improved management practices, similar response with chickpea was observed by Miller *et al.*, (2003) and Lenssen *et al.*, (2007). Consistently greater water use efficiency of maize - chickpea grown on BBF system coupled with improved management practices was probably attributable to (i) improved overall plant health and vigour because of border effect experienced by maize and chickpea with BBF, and (ii) greater water availability in the soil furrows which helped the storage of more water in the soil profile.

### CONCLUSION

It can be concluded that in order to make maize-

Table 1. Pooled data on yields, economics and WUE of maize-chickpea cropping system

Treatments	Pooled data on maize yield (t/ha)	Chickpea yield (t/ha)	System MEY (t/ha)	Gross returns (₹/ha)	Net monetary returns (₹/ha)	B : C ratio	WUE
<i>Irrigation method</i>							
Flood irrigation	3.866	1.985	9.145	81,053	53,803	2.97	1.02
Drip Irrigation	3.974	2.239	9.905	88,992	61,742	3.26	1.11
LSD (P=0.05)	N.S.	0.141	0.330	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	0.04
<i>Land form management</i>							
Flat system	4.174	2.254	10.134	90,109	61,609	3.17	0.75
BBF	3.666	1.970	8.916	79,935	53,935	3.06	0.71
LSD (P=0.05)	0.048	0.09	0.196	6,586	6,585	0.10	0.03
<i>Management practice</i>							
Farmers practices	3.732	1.976	8.994	81,786	54,536	2.99	1.02
Improved practices	4.107	2.248	10.056	88,259	61,009	3.24	1.12
LSD (P=0.05)	0.074	0.066	0.197	3,127	3,127	0.12	0.03

chickpea cropping system remunerative and profitable, we have to adopt good agronomic management practices including suitable method of irrigation viz. drip in combination with broad bed and furrow system along with supplying improved practices of fertilization along with micronutrients viz,  $ZnSO_4$  and agribor.

#### REFERENCES

- Walley, F.L., Clayton, G.W., Miller, P.R., Carr, P.M. and Lafond, G.P. 2007. Nitrogen economy of pulse crop production in the northern Great Plains. *Agronomy Journal* 99: 1710–1718.
- Miller, P.R., Gan, Y., McConkey, B.G. and McDonald, C.L. 2003. Pulse crops in the Northern Great Plains.I. Grain productivity and residual effects on soil water and nitrogen. *Agronomy Journal* 95: 972–979.
- Lenssen, A.W., Johnson, G.D. and Carlson, F.R., 2007. Cropping sequence and tillage system influences annual crop production and water use in semiarid Montana, USA. *Field Crops Research* 100: 32–43.