



Plant Genetic Resources

Genetic variability and interrelationships of phenological, physicochemical and cooking quality traits in chickpea

Shailesh Tripathi, Vindla Sridhar, Aravind K. Jukanti, Kamatam Suresh, B. V. Rao, C. L. L. Gowda and Pooran M. Gaur

URL: http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S1479262112000251

This is author version post print archived in the official Institutional Repository of ICRISAT www.icrisat.org

Genetic variability and inter-relationships of phenological, physicochemical and cooking quality traits in chickpea

Shailesh Tripathi, Vindla Sridhar, Aravind K Jukanti, Kamatam Suresh, BV Rao, CLL Gowda and Pooran M Gaur*

International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, Hyderabad 502324, Andhra Pradesh, India

*Corresponding Author

Pooran M. Gaur
International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT),
Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh
India
Email: p.gaur@cgiar.org
Tel: +91-40-30713356
Fax: +91-40-30713074

Abstract

Eighty-six chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) genotypes, including 44 kabuli type and 42 desi type, were evaluated for their phenological, physicochemical and cooking quality traits. There were significant differences among genotypes for days to 50% flowering (34-81 days), days to maturity (85-122 days), number of pods per plant (13-66), number of seeds per plant (15-85), 100-seed weight (10.5-58.6 g), seed yield (561-1852 kg/ha), hydration capacity (0.11-0.68 g water/seed), hydration index (0.80-1.21), swelling capacity (0.11-0.7 ml/seed), seed volume (0.1-0.52ml/seed) and cooking time (38-125 min). The desi and kabuli types of chickpea differed significantly from each other for all the traits except for hydration index, swelling index and cooking time. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was recorded for 100-seed weight, hydration capacity, swelling capacity and seed volume in both desi and kabuli genotypes. Seed size (100-seed weight and seed volume) showed significant positive correlations with hydration capacity and swelling capacity. Cooking time did not show any significant positive or negative correlation with any of the traits studied, including seed size, indicating that other additional factors may be involved in controlling cooking time. The results of this study indicates that it is possible to develop cultivars with faster cooking time in both kabuli and desi types and in all seed size categories.

Keywords: Chickpea · *Cicer arietinum* · Cooking characteristics · Correlation coefficients · Phenology · Physicochemical traits

Introduction

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), also known as Bengal gram or Garbanzo, is one of the earliest food legumes cultivated by man and plays an important role in human diet and agricultural systems. Currently, chickpea is grown in over 50 countries across all continents with about 89% of area in Asia. During 2010, the global chickpea area was about 12.0 million ha, with production of 10.9 million metric tons and average yield of 911 kg ha⁻¹ (FAOSTAT 2012). India is the largest chickpea producing country with a share of about 68% in the global chickpea production (FAOSTAT 2012). The other major chickpea producing countries include Australia, Pakistan, Turkey, Myanmar, Ethiopia, Iran, Mexico, Canada and USA. Chickpea is an important constituent of the diet for people in developing countries who either cannot afford animal proteins or are vegetarian by choice. Chickpeas are rich in protein (20-22%), carbohydrate, fiber, minerals (Ca, Mg, Zn, K, Fe and P) and vitamins (thiamine and niacin) (Williams and Singh, 1987; Zia-Ul-Haq *et al.* 2007; Jukanti *et al.* 2012). Chickpea is a good protein supplement for people with cereal based diet and can complement the diet with several essential amino acids.

Two distinct types are recognized in chickpea – ‘desi’ (*microsperma*) with pink flowers, anthocyanin pigmentation on stems, small and colored seed and thick seed coat; and ‘kabuli’ (*macrosperma*) with white flowers, lack of anthocyanin pigmentation on stem, white or beige-colored large seed with ram’s head shape, thin seed coat and smooth seed surface. The desi types account for about 80% of the total chickpea area. Chickpea ranks second, next to dry beans (*Phaseolus vulgaris*), in terms of area under cultivation and production (FAOSTAT 2012). Chickpea is an important legume crop which helps in maintaining/improving the soil health through nitrogen fixation (Krouma, 2009).

Chickpea seeds are consumed in a variety of ways with or without decortication (removal of seed coat). Some of the common ways of using seeds without decortication includes boiled or roasted seeds, in preparation of curries, and soaked/boiled and ground to make paste (e.g. hummus). Chickpea seeds, particularly desi type, are decorticated for making splits (*dal*) and flour (*besan*). Chickpea flour, in combination with other flours (like wheat/rice), is used in making flatbread (*chapati*) and different snacks and sweets. Volume expansion (after soaking in water) and cooking time are important cooking quality traits in chickpea, particularly in kabuli type which are mostly cooked as “whole

grain” without decortication. Cooking time is generally assessed by the softness of the cooked seeds by applying pressure of the fingers (Singh *et al.*, 1991).

Though several reports are available on physical and chemical characteristics of chickpea, limited information is available on the differences between desi and kabuli types for different cooking quality traits. The information available on the role/influence of phenology, physicochemical properties and seed traits on cooking time is also sparse. Therefore the present investigation was undertaken to assess the genetic variability in desi and kabuli chickpea genotypes for different phenological, physicochemical and cooking quality traits. Further, the inter-relationships between these traits were also examined.

Materials and methods

Experimental design, materials and growing conditions

Eighty-six chickpea genotypes (44 kabuli and 42 desi), which included cultivars released from ICRISAT-bred materials in nine countries (Australia, Bangladesh, Ethiopia, India, Kenya, Myanmar, Nepal, Sudan and USA), advanced breeding lines developed at ICRISAT and cultivars/breeding lines developed by Indian National Agricultural Research System (NARS), were studied. The material was grown in vertisols under rainfed condition at ICRISAT, Patancheru, India (17° 30'N; 78° 16'E; altitude 549 m) during the crop season 2008/09. The experimental design used was a completely randomized block design (CRBD) with 2 replications. Each entry was planted in a 4m row with row-to-row spacing of 60cm and plant-to-plant spacing of 10cm. All the recommended package of practices was followed to ensure good crop growth. Observations were recorded on days to 50% flowering, days to maturity, number of pods per plant, number of seeds per plant, and grain yield per plot (g). The physicochemical parameters and cooking time were assessed at ICRISAT's chickpea breeding laboratory and Quality Control laboratory at Acharya NG Ranga Agricultural University, Hyderabad, respectively. All the laboratory tests were carried out in duplicate.

Physicochemical and cooking characteristics

Hydration capacity, hydration index, swelling capacity, swelling index, cooking time (min) and 100-seed weight were evaluated as described below:

- (a) 100-seed weight (g): Average weight of two random samples of 100 seeds from each plot.
- (b) *Hydration capacity* (HC) (g water per seed): 50 seeds were transferred to 200 ml Erlenmeyer flask and 100 ml demineralized water was added. The flask was tightly stoppered and left overnight (16h) at room temperature. Next day the seeds were drained, superfluous water was removed with help of a paper towel and seeds were reweighed. $HC = (\text{weight after soaking} - \text{weight before soaking})/50$.
- (c) *Hydration index* (HI): the ratio between HC and original weight (HC per seed/Original weight per seed [g]).

(d) *Swelling capacity* (SC) (ml per seed): After reweighing, the soaked seeds were transferred to 200 ml measuring cylinder and 100 ml water was added. $SC = (\text{volume after soaking} - \text{volume before soaking})/50$.

(e) *Swelling index* (SI): the ratio between SC and volume (SC per seed/volume per seed [ml]).

(f) *Cooking time* (min): 25 seeds of each sample were soaked in 100 ml of demineralized water for 12 h. After 12 h, the samples were cooked in 100 ml water at 100°C. The temperature was maintained constant throughout, until the samples were cooked. Seeds were cooked until soft when pressed between the fingers to check for softness.

Statistical analysis

Data was subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using GENSTAT version 8.1. The data was analyzed statistically as per Cochran and Cox (1950). The significance of difference of treatment means was tested by 'F-test'. To see the variation among traits, phenotypic (PCV) and genotypic (GCV) coefficients of variation were computed as follows:

$$PCV = (\sqrt{V_p}/\bar{X}) \times 100; GCV = (\sqrt{V_g}/\bar{X}) \times 100$$

Where V_p , V_g and \bar{X} are the phenotypic variance, genotypic variance and grand mean of each trait respectively. Broad sense heritability (h^2_{BS}) was calculated according to Allard (1999) as the ratio of the genotypic variance (V_g) to the phenotypic variance (V_p). Genetic advance (as percent of mean) assuming selection of the superior 5% of the genotypes was estimated in accordance with Johanson *et al.* (1955) as:

$$GA = K \cdot h^2_{BS} \cdot \sqrt{V_p}; GA \text{ (as \% of mean)} = (GA/\bar{X}) \times 100$$

K is the selection differential (2.06 for selecting 5% of the genotypes). Correlation coefficients (r) were computed to examine inter-relationships between all traits studied.

Results

Genotypic differences for phenological, physicochemical and cooking quality traits

There were significant differences among genotypes within each type (desi and kabuli) of chickpea and between the two types for phenology (days to 50% flowering and days to maturity), seed size (100-seed weight and seed volume), hydration capacity and swelling capacity (Table 1). The two types of chickpea also differed significantly from each other for number of pods per plant, number of seeds per plant and grain yield per plot, although kabuli genotypes did not show significant differences for any of these traits and desi genotypes differed significantly only for the first two traits. There was no significant difference between the two types for hydration index and cooking time, while these traits showed significant genotypic variations within each type. Swelling index was the only trait that did not show significant genotypic variation within each type and also between the two types.

Days to 50% flowering ranged from 34 (ICCV 2, 06301, 07304 and 07308) to 81 (Himchana 1), and days to maturity from 85 (ICCV 2) to 122 (Himchana 1) (Table 2). Seed size (100-seed weight and seed volume) varied significantly more so in kabuli types (13.5-58.6 g 100-seed⁻¹; 0.14-0.52 ml seed⁻¹) than in the desi types (10.5-26.5 g 100-seed⁻¹; 0.1-0.25 ml seed⁻¹). The kabuli accession ICC 17109, which was reported to be highly resistant to fusarium wilt (Gaur et al. 2006), had the largest seed (seed weight 58.6 g 100 seed⁻¹; seed volume 0.52 ml seed⁻¹), while the green-seeded desi accession Himchana 1 had the smallest seed (seed weight 10.6g 100 seed⁻¹; seed volume 0.1 ml seed⁻¹).

Hydration capacity was higher in kabuli types (0.19-0.68 g water seed⁻¹) compared to the desi types (0.11-0.31 g water seed⁻¹) while the hydration index was almost similar in both desi and kabuli types (Table 2). Swelling capacity and swelling index of different genotypes ranged between 0.11-0.70 ml seed⁻¹ and 2.1-2.76 respectively. Similar to 100-seed weight and seed volume, hydration capacity and swelling capacity were highest in ICC 17109 (0.68 g water seed⁻¹; 0.70 ml seed⁻¹) and lowest in Himchana 1 (0.11 g water seed⁻¹; 0.11 ml seed⁻¹). Cooking time showed large variation in both desi as well as kabuli types (Table 2). Maximum cooking time (125 min) was observed in case of kabuli genotype ICCV 92337 (JGK 1) while desi genotype ICCL 83110 took minimum time to cook (38 min).

Genetic parameters of different characters

In case of kabuli genotypes, genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) was moderate (18.2 to 28.9%) for most of the traits studied except for days to maturity, swelling index, hydration index and yield for which it was low (>15%) (Table 2). In desi genotypes, moderate value of GCV (22.1 to 24.53%) was obtained for 100-seed weight, hydration capacity, swelling capacity, seed volume and cooking time while low GCV was recorded for the remaining traits (Table 2). In general, the magnitude of phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) was moderately higher than the corresponding GCV for most of the traits, indicating moderate influence of environment on expression of these traits.

In both kabuli as well as desi types, high estimates of broad sense heritability (h^2_{BS}) were recorded (0.78 to 0.98) for days to maturity, 100-seed weight, seed volume, hydration capacity, swelling capacity and cooking time (Table 2). High heritability

coupled with high genetic advance was recorded for 100-seed weight, seed volume, hydration capacity, swelling capacity and cooking time in both desi and kabuli chickpea genotypes (Table 2). In kabuli genotypes high heritability coupled with high genetic advance was also obtained for days to 50% flowering, number of pods per plant and number of seeds per plant (Table 2).

Correlation analysis of different traits

The correlation coefficients between phenological, physicochemical and seed traits were calculated for desi and kabuli types separately (Table 3 and 4). Days to 50% flowering was positively correlated with days to maturity and negatively correlated with 100-seed weight in both the types. A significant difference between the desi and kabuli types was that both the phenological traits (days to 50% flowering and days to maturity) showed significant negative correlation with hydration capacity, hydration index, swelling capacity and seed volume only in the desi type. Number of pods per plant showed high positive correlation (>0.9) with number of seeds per plant and both of these traits were positively correlated with yield in both the types. Number of pods per plant showed significant negative correlation with 100-seed weight, hydration capacity, hydration index, swelling capacity, swelling index, and seed volume only in kabuli type, whereas number of seeds per plant was negatively correlated with 100-seed weight, hydration capacity, swelling capacity and seed volume in both the types and with hydration index and swelling index in only kabuli type. In both the types, 100-seed weight showed significant positive correlation with hydration capacity, swelling capacity and seed volume. Hydration capacity was positively correlated with hydration index, swelling

capacity and seed volume in both the types and with swelling index only in kabuli type. The hydration index was positively correlated with swelling capacity and swelling index in both the types. Similarly, the swelling capacity was positively correlated with seed volume in both the types. The swelling index was positively correlated with hydration capacity, hydration index, swelling capacity and seed volume only in kabuli type. It was interesting to note that cooking time did not show significant correlation with any of the traits in both the types.

Discussion

The genotypic variation within each type (desi and kabuli) of chickpea were significant for most of the traits studied indicating that the genotypes included in this study represented considerable variability for these traits. The desi and the kabuli types differed significantly from each other for all the traits studied, except hydration index, swelling index and cooking time. On an average, the kabuli genotypes were early in phenology (days to 50% flowering and days to maturity) and had lower number of pods per plant, lower number of seeds per plant, larger seed, lower grain yield, and higher hydration and swelling capacity than the desi genotypes. This is well known that kabuli types, in general, have larger seeds and lower grain yields than the desi types and the results of this study further support this. Malik et al. (2010) also observed that kabuli genotypes in general had high values of seed weight, hydration and swelling capacity than the desi types.

Though there are large genotypic variations for seed size within each type in the germplasm and available cultivars, the consumers' preference for seed size are different for these two types because of variation in the uses. Globally, the desi and the kabuli types account for about 80% and 20% of the chickpea production, respectively. The bulk of chickpea consumption is in the form of splits (*dal*) and flour (*besan*) and these are primarily made from desi type. For this reason, small to medium seed size (16 to 24 g 100-seed⁻¹) is preferred in the desi type. There is very little demand for large-seeded desi chickpea. On the other hand, large seed size (30 to 60 g 100-seed⁻¹) is preferred in the kabuli types which are largely used as whole grains in salads, vegetable curries and other preparations. In general, the large-seeded kabuli chickpeas fetch higher price than the

small and medium-seeded kabuli chickpeas and the price premium increases as the seed size increases (Gaur *et al.*, 2007).

The magnitude of PCV was moderately high than the corresponding GCV values for most of the traits, indicating that influence of environment on expression of these traits was not high. Several earlier studies also observed little influence of the environment on expression of seed physiochemical traits in chickpea (Ali *et al.*, 2002; Singh *et al.*, 2003; Patane *et al.*, 2004; Lokare *et al.*, 2007; Malik *et al.*, 2011).

As estimates of GCV and PCV are not sufficient to understand the expected gains through selection, the heritable variation was determined through estimates of broad sense heritability (h^2_{BS}). High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was recorded for 100-seed weight, seed volume, hydration capacity, swelling capacity and cooking time in both desi and kabuli chickpea genotypes. These results indicate that high heritability of these traits is predominantly due to additive gene action and hence direct selection for these traits is expected to be effective. The genetic advance is expected to be low when heritability is due to non-additive gene effect (Rajput *et al.*, 1987; Sadiq *et al.*, 2000). High heritability coupled with high genetic advance has been reported in chickpea for 100-seed weight, seed volume and swelling index (Pandey *et al.*, 2007; Malik *et al.*, 2010).

Significant negative correlation between 100-seed weight and number of seeds per plant indicates strong compensation of traits where increase in seed size leads to reduction in number of seeds per plant. In kabuli types, where most genotypes had large seed, the seed size was negatively correlated with grain yield. This poses a major challenge in developing large-seeded kabuli varieties without compromising on the grain

yield. Increasing seed size over a threshold level (about 35 g 100-seed) in chickpea generally leads to corresponding decrease in number of seeds per plant and grain yield. The preference of farmers in growing large-seeded kabuli chickpea largely depends on the price premium they receive because of large-seed size.

Seed volume, swelling capacity and cooking time are important traits for the consumers, particularly when whole grains are consumed after soaking and cooking. The physicochemical characters like water absorbing capacity of the seed was reported to be determined by cell wall structure, composition and compactness of the cells (Muller, 1967). It may also be related to increased permeability and softer seed coat.

Seed size (100-seed weight) showed significant positive correlation with hydration capacity, hydration index, swelling capacity and seed volume in both the types of chickpea. Most of the earlier studies have reported positive relationship between seed weight and hydration capacity (Williams *et al.*, 1983; Singh *et al.*, 1992; Gil *et al.*, 1996; Kaur *et al.*, 2005; Iqbal *et al.*, 2006; Khattak *et al.*, 2006; Nizakat *et al.*, 2006; Özer *et al.*, 2010; Malik *et al.*, 2010). There are also reports on positive correlation of seed weight with seed volume (Malik *et al.*, 2010) and swelling capacity (Gil *et al.*, 1996; Kaur *et al.*, 2005; Malik *et al.*, 2010). Williams *et al.* (1983) suggested that the mechanism of water absorption was only slightly related to seed size, and more closely associated with permeability and water absorption by starch and seed coat components. Özer *et al.* (2010) found that fiber content was negatively correlated with hydration capacity and swelling capacity. In chickpea, fiber is located in the seed coat, and the desi type has more fiber than the kabuli type. Gil *et al.* (1996) reported that the significant negative correlation between fiber content and hydration capacity might be attributable to a seed coat barrier

effect, as a thicker seed coat is correlated with higher fiber content, and also lower hydration capacity.

We found that in both desi and kabuli types, hydration capacity was positively correlated with hydration index, swelling capacity and seed volume; the hydration index was positively correlated with swelling capacity and swelling index; and the swelling capacity was positively correlated with seed volume. Hydration capacity was positively correlated with swelling index and swelling index was positively correlated with swelling capacity and seed volume only in kabuli type. There are earlier reports on positive associations between seed volume and swelling capacity (Khattak *et al.*, 2006; Nizakat *et al.*, 2006; Malik *et al.*, 2011), swelling capacity and hydration capacity (Kaur *et al.*, 2005; Özer *et al.*, 2010), and between swelling index and hydration capacity (Özer *et al.*, 2010).

Cooking time is one of the most important traits for every household as fast cooking varieties can significantly lead to saving of time and energy. The genotypic variability for cooking time was very high in desi type (38 to 106 min.) as well as kabuli type (42-125 min). The cooking time did not show significant correlation with seed size and any of the other traits studied in both types of chickpea. In contrary to the present study, a significant positive correlation of cooking time with seed weight, seed volume, swelling capacity and a negative correlation with hydration index was observed by Kaur *et al.* (2005). The longer cooking time can be attributed to hardness of the seed, chemical composition of cell wall and time taken for starch gelatinization (Jood *et al.*, 1998). The results of this study suggest that it is possible to develop fast cooking varieties in both the types of chickpea and in all size categories. Chickpea with large seed and faster cooking time would be very well appreciated by women (both urban/working and rural) and can

be marketed as a ready-to-eat food. This would increase the demand and act as an incentive to the farmer to grow chickpea.

Acknowledgements

The financial support from the Department of Agriculture and Cooperation, Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Ali S, Maher AB, Anwar M and Haqqani AM (2002) Exploitation of genetic variability for grain yield improvement in chickpea. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* 4:148-149
- Allard RW (1999) *Principles of Plant Breeding*, 2ndedn. Wiley, New York
- Cochran WG, Cox GM (1992) *Experimental Designs*, 2ndedn. Wiley, New York
- FAOSTAT (2009) <http://faostat.fao.org/site/567/DesktopDefault.aspx>. (Accessed on 11/06/2012)
- Gaur PM, Gowda CLL, Knights EJ, Warkentin TD, Acikgoz N, Yadav SS and Kumar J (2007) Chapter 19: Breeding Achievements. In: Yadav SS, Redden B, Chen W and Sharma B (eds) *Chickpea Breeding and Management*, CABI, UK. pp. 391-416
- Gaur PM, Pande S, Upadhyaya HD and Rao BV (2006) Extra-large kabuli chickpea with high resistance to fusarium wilt. *Journal of SAT Agricultural Research*. Available online at <http://www.icrisat.org/journal/>
- Gil J, Nadal S, Luna D, Moreno MT and De Haro A (1996) Variability of some physico-chemical characters in desi and kabuli chickpea types. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 71(2):179-184
- Iqbal A, Khalil IA, Ateeq N and Khan MS (2006) Nutritional quality of important food legumes. *Food Chemistry* 97:331-335
- Johanson HW, Robinson HF and Comstock RE (1955) Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybeans. *Agronomy Journal* 47:314-318
- Jood S, Bishnoi S and Sharma A (1998) Chemical analysis and physico-chemical properties of chickpea and lentil cultivars. *Nahrung* 42:71-74

- Jukanti AK, Gaur PM, Gowda CLL and Chibbar RN (2012) Chickpea: Nutritional properties and its benefits. *British Journal of Nutrition* (in press)
- Kaur M, Singh N and Sodhi NS (2005) Physicochemical, cooking, textural and roasting characteristics of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars. *Journal of Food Engineering* 69:511-517
- Khattak AB, Khattak GSS, Mahmood Z, Bibi N and Ihsanullah I (2006) Study of selected quality and agronomic characteristics and their interrelationship in Kabuli-type chickpea genotypes (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *International Journal of Food Science and Technology* 41(s2):1-5
- Krouma A (2009) Physiological and Nutritional response of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to salinity. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry* 33:503-512
- Lokare YA, Patil JV and Chavan UD (2007) Genetic analysis of yield and quality traits in kabuli chickpea. *Journal of Food Legumes* 2:147-149
- Malik SR, Maher AB, Asif MA, Iqbal V and Iqbal SM (2010) Assessment of genetic variability and interrelationship among some agronomic traits in chickpea. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* 12:81-85
- Malik SR, Saleem M, Iqbal U, Zahid MA, Bakhsh A and Iqbal SM (2011) Genetic analysis of physiochemical traits in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) seeds. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology* 13:1033-1036
- Muller FM (1967) Cooking quality of pulses. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 18:292-295

- Nizakat B, Khattak AB, Khattak GSS, Mehmood Z and Ihsanullah I (2006) Quality and consumers acceptability studies and their interrelationship of newly evolved desi type chickpea genotypes (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *International Journal of Food Science and Technology* 41:1-5
- Özer S, Karaköy T, Toklu F, Baloch FS, Kilian B and Özkan H (2010) Nutritional and physicochemical variation in Turkish kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) landraces. *Euphytica* 175:237-249
- Pandey RL, Rastogi NK and Geda AK (2007) Genetic analysis of quality traits in chickpea. *Journal of Food Legumes* 1:25-28
- Patanè C, Iacoponi E and Raccuia SA (2004) Physico-chemical characteristics, water absorption, soaking and cooking properties of some Sicilian populations of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition* 55(7):547-554
- Rajput MA, Sarwar G and Tahir KH (1987) Variability for some quantitative traits in Soybean. *Soybean Genetics Newsletter* 14:113-116
- Sadiq MS, Sarwar G and Abbas G (2000) Selection criteria for seed yield in mung bean. *Journal of Agricultural Research* 38:7-12
- Singh U, Subrahmanyam N and Kumar J (1991) Cooking quality and nutritional attributes of some newly developed cultivars of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 55: 37-46
- Singh N, Sekhon KS, Bajwa U and Goyal S (1992) Cooking and parching characteristics of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Journal of Food Science and Technology* 29:347-350

- Singh OP, Yadava HS and Agarwal SC (2003) Divergence analysis for quality traits in chickpea. *Indian Journal of Pulses Research* 1:12-13
- Williams PC, Nakoul H and Singh KB (1983) Relationship between cooking time and some physical characteristics in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 34:492-496.
- Williams PC and Singh U (1987) Nutritional quality and the evaluation of quality in breeding programmes. In: Saxena MC and Singh KB (eds) *The Chickpea*. UK: CAB International, Wallingford Oxon, pp. 329-356.
- Zia-Ul-Haq M, Ahmad M, Iqbal S, Ahmad S and Hakoomat A (2007) Characterization and compositional study of oil from seeds of desi chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*L.) cultivars grown in Pakistan. *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society* 84(12):1143-1148

Table 1 ANOVA for different phenological, physiochemical and cooking traits in 86 chickpea genotypes

Trait	Rep (DF=1)	Genotypes (Desi + Kabuli) (DF=85)	Desi genotypes (DF=41)	Kabuli genotypes (DF=43)	Desi vs Kabuli (DF=1)	Error (DF=85)	CV (%)
Days to 50% flowering	15.72	177.15 **	121.76**	129.34**	4504.29**	24.65	10.46
Days to maturity	21.63	60.04**	63.41**	51.53**	287.65**	4.02	2.01
Number of pods/plant	4.89	346.86**	178.23**	89.89	18310.69**	46.4	19.86
Number of seeds/plant	0.02	574.55**	278.51**	104.19	32937.5**	72.44	21.34
100-seed wt	29.64	256.35**	32.56**	153.45**	13856.15**	7.57	10.26
Yield (kg/ha)	27226.14	226097.6**	148398.72	99450.37	8857582.24**	77940.94	23.88
Hydration capacity (g water seed ⁻¹)	0.02**	0.04**	0.005**	0.03**	1.75**	0.0004	6.24
Hydration Index	0.18**	0.01**	0.008**	0.02**	0.005	0.003	5.29
Swelling Capacity (ml seed ⁻¹)	0.02**	0.04**	0.005**	0.03**	1.57**	0.0005	6.73
Swelling Index	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.27	0.02	5.69
Seed Volume (ml)	0.02**	0.04**	0.005**	0.03**	1.57**	0.0005	6.72
Cooking Time (min)	83.72	521.16**	507.65**	526.8**	832.81	94.42	15.57

* Significant at P=0.05; ** Significant at P=0.01

Table 2 Mean values, range and estimates of different genetic parameters for phenological, physiochemical and cooking traits in desi and kabuli chickpea genotypes

Character	Mean (range)		GCV		PCV		H ²		GA	
	Desi	Kabuli	Desi	Kabuli	Desi	Kabuli	Desi	Kabuli	Desi	Kabuli
Days to 50% flowering	53 ± 2.14 (38-81)	43 ± 2.25 (34-63)	12.12	18.17	14.80	18.93	0.67	0.92	20.44	35.94
Days to maturity	101 ± 1.52 (91-122)	99 ± 1.29 (85-112)	5.36	4.98	5.57	5.15	0.93	0.94	10.64	9.92
Number of pods/plant	45 ± 6.06 (24-66)	24 ± 3.35 (13-42)	16.17	24.04	21.04	27.69	0.59	0.75	25.60	43.01
Number of seeds/plant	54 ± 7.72 (32-85)	26 ± 3.76 (15-45)	16.46	23.40	21.83	27.38	0.57	0.73	25.56	41.20
100-seed wt (g)	17.6 ± 0.98 (10.5-26.5)	35.7 ± 2.35 (13.5-58.6)	22.21	23.55	22.89	24.62	0.94	0.91	44.39	46.40
Yield (kg/ha)	1425 ± 175.4 (867-1852)	947 ± 175.6 (561-1484)	11.52	14.50	19.44	23.54	0.35	0.38	14.07	18.41
Hydration capacity (g water seed ⁻¹)	0.2 ± 0.01 (0.11-0.31)	0.42 ± 0.02 (0.19-0.68)	22.94	29.15	23.25	29.39	0.97	0.98	46.62	59.57
Hydration index	1.0 ± 0.04 (0.92-1.21)	1.02 ± 0.04 (0.8-1.2)	5.14	8.39	6.27	9.09	0.67	0.85	8.68	15.93
Swelling capacity (ml seed ⁻¹)	0.2 ± 0.01 (0.11-0.32)	0.4 ± 0.02 (0.19-0.7)	22.09	28.90	22.47	29.18	0.97	0.98	44.77	58.95
Swelling index	2.4 ± 0.11 (2.13-2.76)	2.3 ± 0.07 (2.1-2.6)	1.45	3.17	4.80	4.34	0.09	0.53	0.90	4.76
Seed volume (ml)	0.2 ± 0.01 (0.10-0.25)	0.3 ± 0.01 (0.14-0.52)	22.10	28.90	22.47	29.18	0.97	0.98	44.77	58.95
Cooking time (min)	60.2 ± 6.01 (38-106)	64.6 ± 7.59 (42-125)	24.53	22.22	26.48	25.14	0.86	0.78	46.80	40.47

GCV – genotypic coefficient of variation, *PCV* - phenotypic coefficient of variation, *H²* - broad sense heritability, *GA* - genetic advance (as % mean)

Table 3 Correlation coefficients of different phenological, physicochemical and cooking traits in desi type chickpea

	DM	Pods/ pt	Seeds/ pt	100- seed wt	Yield	HC	HI	SC	SI	SV	CT
DF50	0.81**	0.04	0.09	-0.43**	-0.09	-0.47**	-0.31*	-0.49**	-0.35*	-0.42**	-0.16
DM		-0.01	0.05	-0.38*	-0.24	-0.53**	-0.42**	-0.52**	-0.18	-0.48**	-0.18
Pods/pt			0.92**	-0.28	0.57**	-0.16	-0.16	-0.15	-0.10	-0.11	-0.10
Seeds/pt				-0.53**	0.41**	-0.40**	-0.24	-0.38*	-0.06	-0.35*	-0.10
100-seed wt					0.21	0.87**	0.36*	0.85**	0.02	0.85**	0.08
Yield						0.20	0.03	0.20	-0.14	0.25	0.01
HC							0.47**	0.99**	0.07	0.97**	-0.03
HI								0.46**	0.52**	0.27	-0.03
SC									0.15	0.96**	-0.02
SI										-0.12	0.02
SV											-0.02

DF50 days to 50% flowering, *DM* days to maturity, *Pods/pt* pods plant⁻¹, *Seeds/pt* seeds plant⁻¹, *100-seed wt* – 100-seed weight in g, *Yield* in kg/ha, *HC* hydration capacity in g water seed⁻¹, *HI* hydration index, *SC* swelling capacity in ml seed⁻¹, *SI* swelling index, *SV* seed volume in ml, *CT* cooking time in min

*, ** - Significant at 5% and 1% level of significance, respectively

Table 4 Correlation coefficients among different phenological, physicochemical and cooking traits in kabuli type chickpea

	DM	Pods/ pt	Seeds/ Pt	100-seed wt	Yield	HC	HI	SC	SI	SV	CT
DF50	0.87**	0.48**	0.45**	-0.38*	0.31*	-0.16	0.20	-0.14	0.23	-0.25	-0.16
DM		0.41**	0.39**	-0.26	0.26	-0.11	0.11	-0.09	0.13	-0.16	-0.10
Pods/pt			0.98**	-0.71**	0.65**	-0.75**	-0.34*	-0.73**	-0.39**	-0.74**	-0.07
Seeds/pt				-0.71**	0.63**	-0.75**	-0.34*	-0.75**	-0.41**	-0.75**	-0.03
100-seed wt					-0.42**	0.83**	0.17	0.84**	0.28	0.89**	-0.15
Yield						-0.47**	-0.28	-0.45**	-0.21	-0.46**	-0.16
HC							0.55**	0.99**	0.60**	0.96**	-0.12
HI								0.50**	0.86**	0.30	-0.08
SC									0.60**	0.97**	-0.15
SI										0.37*	-0.19
SV											-0.11

DF50 days to 50% flowering, *DM* days to maturity, *Pods/pt* pods plant⁻¹, *Seeds/pt* seeds plant⁻¹, *100-seed wt* – 100 seed weight in g, *Yield* in kg/ha, *HC* hydration capacity in g water seed⁻¹, *HI* hydration index, *SC* swelling capacity in ml seed⁻¹, *SI* swelling index, *SV* seed volume in ml, *CT* cooking time in min

*, ** - Significant at 5% and 1% level of significance, respectively