

1 **Pathogenic variation in the pearl millet blast pathogen, *Magnaporthe grisea* and**  
2 **identification of resistance to diverse pathotypes**

3 Rajan Sharma, H. D. Upadhyaya, S. V. Manjunatha, K. N. Rai, S. K. Gupta, and R. P. Thakur  
4 International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru 502324, Andhra  
5 Pradesh, India

6 Corresponding author : Rajan Sharma

7 E-mail: [r.sharma@cgiar.org](mailto:r.sharma@cgiar.org)

8 Phone: +91 40 3071 3395

9 Fax: +91 40 3071 3074

10 **ABSTRACT**

11 Blast, also known as leaf spot, caused by *Pyricularia grisea* [teleomorph: *Magnaporthe*  
12 *grisea*], has emerged as a serious disease affecting both forage and grain production in pearl  
13 millet in India. Pathogenic variation was studied in a greenhouse using 25 *M. grisea* isolates  
14 collected from four major pearl millet growing states in India (Rajasthan, Haryana,  
15 Maharashtra and Uttar Pradesh) on ten pearl millet genotypes (ICMB 02444, ICMB 02777,  
16 ICMB 06444, ICMB 93333, ICMB 96666, ICMB 97222, ICMB 99444, 863B, ICMR 06222  
17 and ICMB 95444). Differential reactions to the test isolates were recorded on ICMB 02444,  
18 ICMB 93333, ICMB 97222, 863B and ICMR 06222. The 25 isolates were grouped into five  
19 different pathotypes based on their reaction types (virulent =  $\geq 4$  score and avirulent  $\leq 3$  score  
20 on 1-9 scale). For the identification of resistance sources, a pearl millet mini-core comprising  
21 238 accessions was evaluated under greenhouse conditions against five *M. grisea* isolates  
22 (Pg118, Pg119, Pg56, Pg53 and Pg45) representing the five pathotypes. Of 238 accessions,  
23 32 were found to be resistant to at least one pathotype. Resistance to multiple pathotypes (2  
24 or more) was recorded in several accessions, while three accessions (IP 7846, IP 11036 and  
25 IP 21187) exhibited resistance to four of the five pathotypes. Four early flowering ( $\leq 50$  days)

1 blast resistant mini-core accessions (IP 7846, IP 4291, IP 15256 and IP 22449) and four  
 2 accessions (IP 5964, IP 11010, IP 13636 and IP 20577) having high scores ( $\geq 7$ ) for grain and  
 3 green fodder yield potential, and overall plant aspect were found to be promising for  
 4 utilization in pearl millet improvement programs. Identification of five pathotypes of *M.*  
 5 *grisea* and sources of resistance to these pathotypes will provide a foundation for breeding for  
 6 blast resistance in pearl millet in India.

7 -----  
 8 Pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.] is a C4 cereal grown mostly in the arid  
 9 and semi-arid regions of Africa and Asia on 26 million ha (16) and is the sixth-ranked cereal  
 10 after wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.), maize (*Zea mays* L.), rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), barley  
 11 (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), and sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench]. It is primarily  
 12 cultivated for grain, but is also a valuable source of fodder (both stover and green forage). In  
 13 nontraditional areas, such as the southern United States, Brazil, Australia, and Korea, it is  
 14 grown for forage and silage production for dairy.

15 During the past three decades single-cross F<sub>1</sub> hybrids based on cytoplasmic-nuclear  
 16 male-sterility (CMS) systems have contributed significantly to increase pearl millet  
 17 productivity in India as the single-cross hybrids of pearl millet have 25–30% grain yield  
 18 advantage over open-pollinated varieties (17). However, stresses such as drought and  
 19 diseases pose a continuous threat to the successful realization of high productivity in pearl  
 20 millet. Among several diseases that affect pearl millet, downy mildew caused by *Sclerospora*  
 21 *graminicola* (Sacc.) Schroet, has been a major problem of pearl millet hybrids. During recent  
 22 years, blast, also known as leaf spot caused by *Pyricularia grisea* (Cooke) Sacc. [teleomorph:  
 23 *Magnaporthe grisea* (Herbert) Barr] has emerged as another serious disease in major pearl  
 24 millet growing areas in India. This disease causes substantial yield losses of grain (23) and  
 25 forage (29). Symptoms of the disease appear as gray, water-soaked foliar lesions that enlarge

1 and become necrotic, resulting in extensive chlorosis and premature drying of young leaves  
2 (31). This disease becomes more severe during humid weather conditions especially with  
3 dense plant stands. Leaf blast on pearl millet has been found to be negatively correlated with  
4 green-plot yield, dry matter yield and digestive dry matter thus affecting the productivity and  
5 quality of the crop (29).

6 In India, the disease was first reported from Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh (12). Although  
7 blast was considered a minor disease of pearl millet in India, the disease incidence has  
8 increased alarmingly during the recent years (1, 11). The blast pathogen infects several cereal  
9 crops, including rice, wheat, pearl millet, finger millet and foxtail millet, and several grasses.  
10 The pathogen is highly variable, but highly specialized in its host range. Thus, *M. grisea*  
11 strains from rice or any other hosts do not infect pearl millet and vice versa. The rice-blast  
12 pathosystem has been extensively studied. In disease-conducive environments, the lifespan of  
13 many disease-resistant rice cultivars has been known to be ephemeral (19). Most of the  
14 resistance genes in rice break down in a few years because of their race specificity and the  
15 rapid change in pathogenicity of the blast fungus (20). Pathogenic variation in *M. grisea*  
16 populations adapted to rice, finger millet, foxtail millet, wheat and several weed hosts has  
17 been reported (13, 15, 21). Various potential mechanisms, including sexual recombination,  
18 heterokaryosis, parasexual recombination, and aneuploidy have been proposed to explain  
19 frequent race changes in *M. grisea* (10). This implies that pathogenic variability might exist  
20 in the pearl millet-infecting strains of *M. grisea*. Therefore, for the management of this  
21 disease through host plant resistance, it is important to study pathogenic variation in pearl  
22 millet infecting populations of *M. grisea* and identify resistance sources to virulent  
23 pathotypes.

24 Plant genetic resources conserved in gene banks can be tapped for the identification of  
25 resistance sources to various biotic and abiotic stresses (25). The gene bank at International

1 Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, India has  
2 assembled 21,594 pearl millet accessions (the term accession is used to describe a distinct  
3 type or variety of plant collected at a specific location and time) originating from 50  
4 countries, including 750 accessions of 24 wild species of genus *Pennisetum* exhibiting  
5 variation for different traits (26). However, because of the large size of the collection, precise  
6 evaluation is a complicated and expensive process that can impede effective utilization of the  
7 germplasm. Therefore, the collection needs to be reduced to a meaningful and manageable  
8 level for evaluation for the traits of economic importance. To solve these problems, Frankel  
9 (3) proposed the establishment of a core collection (10% of entire collection) that could be  
10 selected from the existing collection of crop species resources in a gene bank. In pearl millet,  
11 Bhattacharjee et al. (2) developed a core collection comprising 1600 accessions. This core  
12 collection was augmented with 501 accessions representing 4717 new accessions and  
13 exclusion of 7 (5 duplicates and 2 male sterile lines) accessions, resulting in a revised core  
14 collection of 2094 accessions (28). However, the size of core collection (2094 accessions)  
15 was still too large for replicated multiple evaluations to identify sources of traits of economic  
16 importance. To overcome this, the concept of a mini-core collection, comprising 10% of the  
17 core or 1% of entire collection, which still represents most of the useful variation in a crop  
18 species, was utilized (24). Thus, a mini-core collection of pearl millet comprising 238  
19 accessions developed at ICRISAT (27) was evaluated to identify sources of resistance to *M.*  
20 *grisea*. The objectives of this study were to study pathogenic variability in pearl millet  
21 infecting populations of *M. grisea* in India, and identify sources of resistance in the pearl  
22 millet mini-core collection to diverse pathotypes.

## 23 MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 24 Pathogenic Variability

25

1           **Isolate collection and maintenance.** Farmers' fields were surveyed in the four major  
2 pearl millet growing states in India, Rajasthan, Haryana, Maharashtra and Uttar Pradesh,  
3 during August – September in 2009 and 2010 for the prevalence of pearl millet blast. Pearl  
4 millet fields were randomly selected along main roads and occasionally on feeder roads and  
5 blast severity was visually assessed as percent leaf area of plants showing typical blast  
6 symptoms. A total of 25 *M. grisea* isolates were collected from the blast-infected fields  
7 (Table 1). Isolations of *M. grisea* were made from the blast-infected tissue on oatmeal agar  
8 (rolled oats 50 g, agar 15 g, distilled water 1 L) at ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh,  
9 India. After incubating the cultures at  $25 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$  for 15 days, a dilute spore suspension ( $3 \times 10^3$   
10 spores/ml) was prepared in distilled water and plated onto 4% water agar in Petri plates. After  
11 10-12 h incubation, single germinating conidia were selected under a microscope and  
12 transferred to test tubes containing oatmeal agar for further studies.

13           **Host differentials.** Differential hosts are sets of plant cultivars used to distinguish  
14 pathotypes (races) by their qualitative differences in their reactions (susceptible and resistant)  
15 to different isolates of the pathogen. Ten pearl millet genotypes (ICMB 02444, ICMB 02777,  
16 ICMB 06444, ICMB 93333, ICMB 96666, ICMB 97222, ICMB 99444, 863B, ICMR 06222  
17 and ICMB 95444) that had shown differential reactions in the pearl millet blast variability  
18 nursery (PMBVN) evaluated at several locations in India during 2010 were selected as host  
19 differentials (1).

20           **Inoculum preparation and inoculation of host differentials.** Inoculum of each  
21 isolate was multiplied on oatmeal agar plates by incubating the inoculated plates at  $25^\circ\text{C}$  with  
22 12 h darkness for 7-10 days. Spores were harvested by flooding the plates with sterilized  
23 distilled water and scraping the growth by a spatula. The spore suspension was adjusted to  
24 desired concentration ( $1 \times 10^5$  spore  $\text{mL}^{-1}$ ) with the help of haemocytometer and a drop of a  
25 surfactant (Tween 20) was added to ensure the uniform dispersal of spores.

1 Seed of host differentials was planted in 15-cm diameter pots (10 seeds/pot) filled  
2 with sterilized soil-sand-FYM mix (2:1:1 by volume) and placed in a greenhouse bay  
3 maintained at  $32\pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Pot-grown seedlings (12 day-old) were spray-inoculated with an  
4 aqueous conidial suspension of each isolate, covered with polyethylene bags and incubated at  
5  $25^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 24 h to prevent cross contamination. After 24 h of incubation, bags were removed  
6 and inoculated seedlings were exposed to  $> 90\%$  RH under misting for 6 days in a  
7 greenhouse. The experiment was conducted in a completely randomized design (CRD) with  
8 three replicates; 1pot/replicate with 10 seedlings. Blast severity was recorded 8 days after  
9 inoculation using a 1-9 progressive scale (1= no lesion to small brown specks of pinhead size;  
10 2 = larger brown specks; 3 = small, roundish to slightly elongated, necrotic gray spots,  
11 approximately 1-2 mm in diameter with a brown margin; 4 = typical blast lesions, elliptical,  
12 1-2 cm long, usually confined to the area between main veins, covering  $< 2\%$  of the leaf area;  
13 5 = typical blast lesions covering  $< 10\%$  of the leaf area; 6 = typical blast lesions covering  
14 10-25% of the leaf area; 7= typical blast lesions covering 26-50% of the leaf area; 8 = typical  
15 blast lesions covering 51-75% of the leaf area and many leaves dead; 9 = all leaves dead)  
16 (22). Based on the reaction type [avirulent reaction =  $\leq 3.0$  score (no lesion to small necrotic  
17 spots) on a differential line, and virulent reaction = score  $\geq 4.0$  (typical blast lesions) on 1-9  
18 scale], isolates were grouped in different pathogenic groups/pathotypes. The experiment was  
19 repeated to confirm the reaction (virulent/avirulent) of isolates on host differentials.

## 20 **Evaluation of pearl millet mini-core collection**

21 **Evaluation for blast resistance.** The pearl millet mini-core comprising 238 accessions was  
22 evaluated under greenhouse conditions along with a susceptible (ICMB 95444) and a  
23 resistant check (ICMB 06444) against five *M. grisea* isolates (Pg118, Pg119, Pg56, Pg53 and  
24 Pg45) representing five pathotypes selected from the pathogenic variability study. Seed of the  
25 mini-core accessions was obtained from the Genetic Resources Division, ICRISAT,

1 Patancheru, India. The experiment was conducted in a completely randomized design (CRD)  
2 with two replicates; 1pot/replicate with 10 seedlings as described above.

3 **Evaluation for agronomic traits.** The mini-core was evaluated for agronomic traits such as  
4 days to 50% flowering, seed yield potential, green fodder yield potential and overall plant  
5 aspect in an augmented design in the rainy season (June to October), 2007, at Patancheru.  
6 Each plot consisted of a single 4-m-long row with between-row spacing of 75 cm and within-  
7 row spacing of 10 cm. Data on days to 50% flowering were recorded as days from sowing to  
8 the stage when 50% of plants in an accession exhibited stigma emergence. Seed yield  
9 potential, green fodder yield potential and overall plant aspect was visually assessed on 1-9  
10 scale (9). At maturity, seed yield potential of an accession was visually assessed based on  
11 spike number, size, density, seed setting and seeds size compared with a standard check on a  
12 1-9 scale (1 = lowest, 2 = very low, 3 = low, 4 = low to moderate, 5 = moderate, 6 = good, 7  
13 = high, 8 = very high and 9 = excellent). Green fodder yield potential was assessed based on  
14 tillering, leafiness and bulk at flowering, and rating of overall plant aspect was based on  
15 overall agronomic desirability of accession at dough stage on 1-9 scale (1 = poorest, 2 = very  
16 poor, 3 = poor, 4 = fair, 5 = average, 6 = good, 7 = better, 8 = best and 9 = excellent). This  
17 data set was used for agronomic comparison of selected blast resistant mini-core accessions.

### 18 **Data analysis**

19 Analyses of variance (ANOVA) for blast scores was done using GENSTAT statistical  
20 package version 10.1 (Rothamsted Experiment Station, Harpenden, Herts AL52JQ, UK) to  
21 determine significant differences among isolates, host genotypes and their interactions (14).

22 Disease reaction of host differentials to each isolate was used to construct a binary  
23 matrix. Avirulent reaction ( $\leq 3.0$  score on 1-9 scale) of the isolate on the differential line was  
24 scored as 0 and virulent reaction (score  $\geq 4.0$ ) as 1. The data were then analyzed using  
25 Numerical Taxonomy System Version 2.2 (NTSYSpc). The proximity matrix was computed

1 using Dice similarity coefficient and a dendrogram was constructed by unweighted pair group  
2 method of arithmetic averages (UPGMA) using the SAHN (Sequential Agglomerative  
3 Hierarchical Nested) cluster analysis module for the grouping of the isolates in different  
4 pathogenic groups/pathotypes (18).

## 6 RESULTS

7 **Pathogenic variation.** Test isolates induced clear blast symptoms on the susceptible  
8 line ICMB 95444. The ANOVA revealed highly significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) differences among  
9 isolates, host genotypes and their interaction for blast severity (Table 2). The mean blast  
10 severity across the differentials was maximum for isolate Pg118 collected from Rewari,  
11 Haryana (score 7.3 on 1-9 scale) followed by Pg056 from Gotan, Rajasthan. Minimum  
12 severity was observed for Pg007 and Pg039 (score 4.1) collected from Ahmednagar,  
13 Maharashtra, and Hisar, Haryana, respectively (Table 3). Mean blast score across isolates was  
14 minimum (1.5 score) on ICMB 97222 followed by ICMB 06444 (1.9 score).

15 Isolates induced differential reaction on ICMB 02444, ICMB 93333, ICMB 97222,  
16 863B and ICMR 06222. On the basis of the reaction type (avirulent/virulent), the 25 isolates  
17 were grouped into five different pathotypes (Fig. 1). ICMB 02777, ICMB 96666, ICMB  
18 99444 and ICMB 95444 were highly susceptible to all the 25 isolates with  $> 7.0$  score. A  
19 maximum of 11 isolates were included each in the pathogenic group/pathotype 1 and 2 and  
20 the remaining three isolates represented pathotype 3 (Pg119), 4 (Pg056) and 5 (Pg118).  
21 Pathotype 5 represented by isolate Pg118 from Rewari, Haryana was most virulent and  
22 infected all genotypes except ICMB 06444, whereas pathotype 1 was least virulent (Fig. 1).  
23 Pathotypes 1 and 2 were differentiated by their reaction (avirulent/virulent) on ICMB 02444,  
24 and 2 and 3 were differentiated by reaction on 863B. Similarly, pathotypes 3 and 4 were  
25 differentiated by reaction on ICMB 93333 and ICMR 06222, whereas 4 and 5 differentiated



1 by their reaction only on ICMB 97222 (Fig. 1). Among the host differentials, ICMB 06444  
2 showed resistance ( $\leq 3.0$  score) to all 25 isolates and ICMB 97222 to 24 isolates followed by  
3 ICMB 93333 and ICMB 06222, being resistant to 23 isolates. 863B was resistant to 22  
4 isolates.

5 **Identification of blast resistance in mini-core collection.** Significant variation was  
6 observed in the mini-core accessions evaluated for resistance to five isolates representing five  
7 pathotypes (Table 2). Thirty-two accessions exhibited resistance ( $\leq 3.0$  score) to at least one  
8 pathotype (Table 4). Fourteen accessions were found resistant to highly virulent pathotype 5  
9 isolate Pg118. Nineteen accessions were resistant to Pg45 (pathotype 2) followed by six each  
10 to Pg119 (pathotype 3) and Pg53 (pathotype 1) and five to Pg56 (pathotype 4) (Fig. 2).  
11 Seventy-eight accessions showed moderate resistance (3.1-5.0 score) to Pg118, 21 to Pg119,  
12 43 to Pg56, 69 to Pg53 and 15 to Pg45.

13 None of the 32 accessions selected from the mini-core were resistant to all five  
14 pathotypes; however, resistance to any four pathotypes was observed in IP 7846, IP 11036  
15 and IP 21187 (Table 4). IP 21187 was susceptible to pathotype 2 isolate Pg45, whereas IP  
16 7846 and IP 11036 had moderate resistance to pathotype 4 and 1, respectively. Several of  
17 these accessions had agronomic traits considered to be good. The days to 50% flowering in  
18 the 32 blast resistant accessions ranged from 43 to 121. Scoring for grain yield potential and  
19 overall plant aspect ranged from 4 to 7 on a 1-9 scale (Table 4). Similarly, green fodder yield  
20 potential score ranged from 3 to 9. Four of the 32 resistant accessions were early ( $\leq 50$  days),  
21 24 medium (51-80 days) and four accessions were late ( $> 80$  days) for flowering. Four early  
22 flowering ( $\leq 50$  days) accessions (IP 7846, IP 4291, IP 15256 and IP 22449), seven  
23 accessions (IP 4488, IP 5964, IP 8913, IP 9692, IP 11010, IP 13636 and IP 20577) with high  
24 score (score 7 on 1-9 scale) for grain yield potential and overall plant aspect, and four  
25 accessions (IP 8350, IP 11010, IP 14753 and IP 17396) having excellent green fodder yield

1 potential (score 9) were found promising for utilization in pearl millet improvement. IP 5964,  
2 IP 11010, IP 13636 and IP 20577 had high score ( $\geq 7$ ) for grain and green fodder yield  
3 potential, and overall plant aspect.

4

## 5 **DISCUSSION**

6 Pathogenic variability study of the 25 isolates of *M. grisea* collected from four major  
7 pearl millet growing states, Rajasthan, Haryana, Maharashtra and Uttar Pradesh in India, led  
8 to the identification of five pathogenically distinct groups/pathotypes. Many pathogenic races  
9 have been identified in *M. grisea* infecting rice, and this variability has been cited as the  
10 principal cause for the frequent breakdown of resistance in rice varieties (20). Although  
11 pathogenic variation in the *M. grisea* populations adapted to rice, wheat, foxtail millet, finger  
12 millet and several weed hosts have been reported (13, 15, 21), there is no information on the  
13 virulence structure of pearl millet infecting populations of the pathogen in India. The results  
14 of our study revealed pathogenic variation in the pearl millet infecting populations of *M.*  
15 *grisea* in India. Since the prime objective of this study was to select pathogenically diverse  
16 isolates for greenhouse screening of pearl millet breeding lines for blast resistance, five  
17 isolates Pg118, Pg119, Pg56, Pg53 and Pg45 representing five pathotypes have been selected  
18 and are being maintained at ICRISAT, Patancheru, India.

19 We could identify 32 germplasm accessions from the mini-core collection having  
20 resistance to at least one of the five pathotypes of *M. grisea* in India. Most of these accessions  
21 (21) originated in India; therefore, germplasm accessions collected from India seem to be  
22 potential sources of blast resistance and could be evaluated against different pathotypes of *M.*  
23 *grisea* to identify additional sources of blast resistance. Sources of blast resistance have been  
24 identified in pearl millet, and efforts have been made to incorporate resistance into improved  
25 cultivars and elite breeding lines in the USA (7, 30). Resistance to leaf blast in pearl millet

1 was derived from *P. glaucum* ssp. *monodii* accession from Senegal (6). Blast resistance in  
2 *monodii* is controlled by three independent, dominant genes (5), although Tift 85DB, with  
3 resistance derived from *monodii*, was shown to have a single resistance gene (32). This  
4 resistance was effective against different isolates tested in the USA. However, Tift 85DB  
5 was found susceptible to the Indian isolate (4), indicating that the pearl millet infecting  
6 populations of *M. grisea* in India are different from those found in the USA.

7 Breeding for blast resistance in pearl millet is yet to begin in India. To facilitate  
8 breeding for blast resistance, information on the sources and inheritance of resistance is  
9 essential. Resistance in an elite parent line ICMB 06222 to isolate Pg45 collected from pearl  
10 millet fields at ICRISAT, Patancheru, India was found to be governed by single dominant  
11 gene (4). As the chances of breaking down of major gene(s) are more because of race  
12 specificity and the rapid change in pathogenicity in the blast fungus (19), it would be prudent  
13 to collect diverse sources of resistance and deploy them in pearl millet hybrid parent lines to  
14 prevent disease outbreaks. Three (IP 7846, IP 11036 and IP 21187) of the 32 accessions  
15 selected from the mini-core were resistant to any four pathotypes; IP 11036 and IP 7846 also  
16 exhibited moderate resistance to the fifth pathotype. IP 7846 is an early maturing line with <  
17 45 days to 50% flowering, thus, could be a potential source for breeding early maturing high  
18 yielding blast resistant pearl millet lines and hybrids. The 32 blast resistant accessions in the  
19 mini-core were selected from 26 of the 136 clusters of core collection. Interestingly all the  
20 three accessions, IP 21503, IP 22449 and IP 15256 included in the mini-core from cluster  
21 number 25 were resistant to 2-3 pathotypes and also had moderate resistance to 1-2  
22 pathotypes. Similarly two accessions IP 4488 and IP 11036 out of three in the mini-core  
23 collection selected from cluster 36 exhibited multiple pathotype resistance. It would be useful  
24 to evaluate remaining 23 accessions each from clusters 25 and 36 for additional sources of  
25 multiple pathotype resistance as the success rate of identifying resistant lines has been found

1 to be quite high ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) while screening the lines within clusters than the lines not in those  
2 clusters (8). Two hybrid parent lines ICMB 06444 and ICMB 97222 used as host differentials  
3 in this study also exhibited high levels of blast resistance. Therefore, resistance to multiple  
4 pathotypes identified in the mini-core accessions as well as in the elite parental lines ICMB  
5 06444 and ICMB 97222 can be exploited for the development of high yielding blast resistant  
6 pearl millet hybrids in India.

## 7 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

8 The authors gratefully acknowledge the partial funding support from the Bill &  
9 Melinda Gates Foundation project on ‘Harnessing Opportunities for Productivity  
10 Enhancement (HOPE) of Sorghum and Millets in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia’ and  
11 Pearl Millet Hybrid Parental Research Consortium to carry out this research work.

12

1

2 **LITERATURE CITED**

- 3 1. Anonymous 2011. Annual Report. All India Coordinated Pearl Millet Improvement,  
4 Indian Council of Agricultural Research. Mandore, Jodhpur.
- 5 2. Bhattacharjee, R., Khairwal, I. S., Bramel, P. J., and Reddy, K. N. 2007.  
6 Establishment of a pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.) R. Br.] core collection  
7 based on geographical distribution and quantitative traits. *Euphytica* 155:35–45.
- 8 3. Frankel, O. H., and Brown, A. H. D. 1984. Plant genetic resources today: a critical  
9 appraisal. Pages 249-268 in: *Crop Genetic Resources: Conservation and Evaluation*. J.  
10 H. W. Holden and J. T. Williams, eds. Allen and Unwin, Winchester, MA.
- 11 4. Gupta, S. K., Sharma, R., Rai, K. N., and Thakur, R. P. 2012. Inheritance of foliar  
12 blast resistance in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L. (R.) Br.). *Plant Breeding*  
13 131:217-219.
- 14 5. Hanna, W. W., and Wells, H. D. 1989. Inheritance of pyricularia leaf spot resistance  
15 in pearl millet. *J. Hered.* 80:145-147.
- 16 6. Hanna, W. W., Wells, H. D., and Burton, G. W. 1987. Registration of pearl millet  
17 inbred parental lines, Tift 85D<sub>2</sub>A<sub>1</sub> and Tift 85<sub>2</sub>B<sub>1</sub>. *Crop Sci.* 27:1324-1325.
- 18 7. Hanna, W. W., Wells, H. D., Burton, G. W., and Monson, W. G. 1988. Registration of  
19 ‘Tifleaf 2’ pearl millet. *Crop Sci.* 28:1023.
- 20 8. Holbrook, C. C., and Anderson, W. F. 1995. Evaluation of a core collection to  
21 identify resistance to late leaf spot in peanut. *Crop Sci.* 35:1700-1702.
- 22 9. IBPGR, and ICRISAT. 1993. Descriptors for pearl millet [*Pennisetum glaucum* (L.)  
23 R. Br.]. Int. Board for Plant Genetic Resources, Rome, Italy, and Int. Crops Res. Inst.  
24 for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, India.

- 1        10. Kang, S., and Lee, Y. H. 2000. Population structure and race variation of the rice blast  
2            fungus. *Plant Pathol. J.* 16:1-8.
- 3        11. Lukose, C. M., Kadvani, D. L., and Dangaria, C. J. 2007. Efficacy of fungicides in  
4            controlling blast disease of pearl millet. *Indian Phytopath.* 60:68-71.
- 5        12. Mehta, P. R., Singh, B., and Mathur, S. C. 1953. A new leaf spot disease of bajra  
6            (*Pennisetum typhoides* Staph and Hubbard) caused by a species of *Pyricularia*. *Indian*  
7            *Phytopath.* 5:140-143.
- 8        13. Nakayama H, Nagamine T and Hayashi N. 2005. Genetic variation of blast resistance  
9            in foxtail millet (*Setaria italica* (L.) P. Beauv.) and its geographic distribution. *Genet.*  
10          *Resour. Crop Ev.* 52: 863-868.
- 11       14. Payne, R. W. 2002. The Guide to GenStat (R) Release 6.1. Part 2: Statistics. VSN  
12          International Ltd, Oxford, UK.
- 13       15. Prabhu, A. S., Filippi, M. C., and Castro, N. 1992. Pathogenic variation among  
14          isolates of *Pyricularia grisea* infecting rice, wheat, and grasses in Brazil. *Trop. Pest*  
15          *Manage.* 38:367-371.
- 16       16. Rai, K. N., Khairwal, I. S., Dangaria, C. J., Singh, A. K., and Rao, A. S. 2009. Seed  
17          parent breeding efficiency of three diverse cytoplasmic-nuclear male-sterility systems  
18          in pearl millet. *Euphytica* 165:495–507.
- 19       17. Rai, K. N., Kulkarni, V. N., Thakur, R. P., Hausmann, B. I. G., and Mgonja, M. A.  
20          2006. Pearl millet hybrid parents research: approaches and achievements. Pages 11-73  
21          in: Hybrid parents research at ICRISAT. C. L. L. Gowda, K. N. Rai, B. V. S. Reddy  
22          and K. B. Saxena, eds. International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid  
23          Tropics, Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India.
- 24       18. Rohlf, F. J. 2000. NTSYS-pc: Numerical Taxonomy and Multivariate Analysis  
25          System Version 2.2. Exeter Software. Setauket, New York.

- 1 19. Srinivasachary, Hittalmani, S., Shivayogi, S., Vaishali, M. G., Shashidhar, H. E., and  
2 Kumar, G. K. 2002. Genetic analysis of rice blast fungus of southern Karnataka using  
3 DNA markers and reaction of popular rice genotypes. *Curr. Sci.* 82:732-735.
- 4 20. Suh, J. P., Roh, J. H., Cho, Y. C., Han, S. S., Kim, Y. G., and Jena, K. K. 2009. The  
5 Pi40 gene for durable resistance to rice blast and molecular analysis of Pi40-advanced  
6 backcross breeding lines. *Phytopathology* 99:243-250.
- 7 21. Takan, J. P., Chipili, J., Muthumeenakshi, S., Talbot, N. J., Manyasa, E. O.,  
8 Bandyopadhyay, R., Sere, Y., Nutsugah, S. K., Talhinhas, P., Hossain, M., Brown, A.  
9 E., and Sreenivasaprasad, S. 2012. *Magnaporthe oryzae* populations adapted to finger  
10 millet and rice exhibit distinctive patterns of genetic diversity, sexuality and host  
11 interaction. *Mol. Biotechnol.* 50:145-158.
- 12 22. Thakur, R. P., Sharma, R., Rai, K. N., Gupta, S. K., and Rao, V. P. 2009. Screening  
13 techniques and resistance sources for foliar blast in pearl millet. *J. SAT Agri. Res.*  
14 7:1-5.
- 15 23. Timper, P, Wilson, J. P., Johnson, A. W., and Hanna, W. W. 2002. Evaluation of  
16 pearl millet grain hybrids for resistance to *Meloidogyne* spp. and leaf blight caused by  
17 *Pyricularia grisea*. *Plant Dis.* 86:909-914.
- 18 24. Upadhyaya, H. D., and Ortiz, R. 2001. A mini-core collection for capturing diversity  
19 and promoting utilization of chickpea genetic resources in crop improvement. *Theor.*  
20 *Appl. Genet.* 102:1292-1298.
- 21 25. Upadhyaya, H. D., Pundir, R. P. S., Gowda, C. L. L., Reddy, V. G., and Singh, S.  
22 2008. Establishing a core collection of foxtail millet to enhance utilization of  
23 germplasm of an underutilized crop. *Plant Genet. Resor.*  
24 doi:10.1017/S1479262108178042.

- 1 26. Upadhyaya, H. D., Reddy K. N., and Gowda C. L. L. 2007. Pearl millet germplasm at  
2 ICRISAT genebank—status and impact. *J. SAT Agric. Res.* 3:1-5.
- 3 27. Upadhyaya, H. D., Yadav D., Reddy, K. N., Gowda, C. L. L., and Singh S. 2011.  
4 Development of Pearl Millet Minicore Collection for Enhanced Utilization of  
5 Germplasm. *Crop Sci.* 51:217–223
- 6 28. Upadhyaya, H.D., Gowda, C. L. L., Reddy, K. N., and Singh S. 2009. Augmenting  
7 the pearl millet core collection for enhancing germplasm utilization in crop  
8 improvement. *Crop Sci.* 49:573–580.
- 9 29. Wilson, J. P., and Gates, R. N. 1993. Forage Yield losses in hybrid pearl millet due to  
10 leaf blight caused primarily by *Pyricularia grisea*. *Phytopathology* 83:739-743.
- 11 30. Wilson, J. P., and Hanna, W. W. 1992. Effects of gene and cytoplasm substitutions in  
12 pearl millet on leaf blight epidemics and infection by *Pyricularia grisea*.  
13 *Phytopathology* 82:839-842.
- 14 31. Wilson, J. P., Burton, G. W., Wells, H. D., Zongo, J. D., and Dicko, I. O. 1989. Leaf  
15 spot, rust and smut resistance in pearl millet landraces from central Burkina Faso.  
16 *Plant Dis.* 73:345-349.
- 17 32. Wilson, J. P., Wells, H. D., and Burton, G. W. 1989. Inheritance of resistance to  
18 *Pyricularia grisea* in pearl millet accessions from Burkina Faso and inbred Tift  
19 85DB. *J. Hered.* 80:499-501.
- 20  
21  
22  
23



1

2 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

3 **Fig. 1.** Pathogenic groups of *Magnaporthe grisea* isolates based on reaction of 10 pearl millet  
4 genotypes.

5 **Fig. 2.** Number of accessions (n=238) expressing resistant to highly susceptible reaction  
6 against five *Magnaporthe grisea* pathotypes.

7

8

9

**Table 1.** Origin, year of collection and disease severity in the farmers' fields caused by 25 *Magnaporthe grisea* isolates used in the pathogenic variability study

Identity	Origin			Blast severity (%)*
	Cultivar	Location	Year of collection	
Pg003	Great 555	Aurangabad, Maharashtra	2009	50
Pg007	Pioneer 86M32	Ahmednagar, Maharashtra	2009	60
Pg009	Pioneer 86M32	Aurangabad, Maharashtra	2009	50
Pg021	Unknown hybrid	Jalna, Maharashtra	2009	60
Pg023	Paras-51	Aurangabad, Maharashtra	2009	60
Pg025	Unknown hybrid	Dhule, Maharashtra	2009	50
Pg026	Unknown hybrid	Jalgaon, Maharashtra	2009	50
Pg027	Unknown hybrid	Jalgaon, Maharashtra	2009	60
Pg031	Unknown hybrid	Dhulei, Maharashtra	2009	50
Pg032	AHT-IIB	Nagpur, Maharashtra	2009	50
Pg037	Nandi 3	Aurangabad, Maharashtra	2009	50
Pg039	ICMB 95222	Hissar, Haryana	2009	30
Pg040	Unknown hybrid	Bawal, Haryana	2009	40
Pg041	ICMB 95444	Jaipur, Rajasthan	2009	50
Pg043	Unknown hybrid	Aligarh, Uttar Pradesh	2009	30
Pg045	ICMB 95444	Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh	2009	70
Pg049	Supremo	Mahendergarh, Haryana	2009	30
Pg050	HHB 67-2	Sundrah, Haryana	2009	50
Pg052	HHB 67	Koka, Haryana	2009	50
Pg053	Pioneer 86M64	Kherpa, Rajasthan	2009	30

Pg055	Proagro 9444	Mulana, Rajasthan	2009	30
Pg056	Pioneer 86M52	Gotan, Rajasthan	2009	30
Pg057	Unknown hybrid	Rudhia, Rajasthan	2009	50
Pg118	Unknown hybrid	Rewari, Haryana	2010	60
Pg119	Unknown hybrid	Bhøjawas, Haryana	2010	50

\* Blast severity visually assessed as percent leaf area showing typical blast symptoms in the field surveyed.

**Table 2.** Analysis of variance for blast reaction of host differentials to 25 isolates and mini-core accessions to five *Magnaporthe grisea* pathotypes

Source of variation	Pathogenic variability				
	d.f.	s.s.	m.s.	v.r.	F pr.
Replications	2	3.6027	1.8013	11.25	
Isolate (I)	24	375.232	15.6347	97.65	<.001
Host genotype (H)	9	6146.4587	682.9399	4265.66	<.001
I X H	216	626.8747	2.9022	18.13	<.001
Residual	498	79.7307	0.1601		
Total	749	7231.8987			
	Mini core screening				
Replications	1	0.4817	0.4817	4.46	
Isolate (I)	4	866.8475	216.7119	2006.18	<.001
Host genotype (H)	239	2202.993	9.2175	85.33	<.001
I X H	956	1967.353	2.0579	19.05	<.001
Residual	1199	129.5183	0.108		
Total	2399	5167.193			

**Table 3.** Blast severity caused by 25 isolates of *Magnaporthe grisea* onto 10 pearl millet genotypes in a greenhouse trial conducted at ICRISAT, Patancheru, India during 2011

Isolate No.	Blast severity (1-9 scale) <sup>a</sup>										
	ICMB 02444	ICMB 02777	ICMB 06444	ICMB 93333	ICMB 96666	ICMB 97222	ICMB 99444	863B	ICMR 06222	ICMB 95444	Mean
Pg003	3.0	8.0	2.0	1.7	7.7	1.3	7.7	1.0	1.0	8.7	4.2
Pg007	4.0	7.0	1.0	2.0	9.0	1.0	7.3	1.0	1.0	8.0	4.1
Pg010	4.3	7.3	2.0	2.0	8.3	1.3	8.3	2.0	2.0	9.0	4.7
Pg021	7.0	8.3	2.7	3.0	8.3	1.3	8.3	2.3	2.0	9.0	5.2
Pg023	4.0	7.3	2.0	2.0	7.7	2.0	7.3	2.0	3.0	8.7	4.6
Pg025	7.0	8.0	3.0	2.3	8.0	2.0	6.7	1.0	2.3	9.0	4.9
Pg026	5.0	8.0	2.0	2.3	7.7	2.0	8.0	2.7	3.0	9.0	5.0
Pg027	7.0	9.0	2.0	3.0	8.0	2.3	7.0	2.3	3.0	9.0	5.3
Pg031	4.0	7.3	2.0	2.7	8.7	1.0	7.7	1.0	2.0	8.7	4.5
Pg032	4.0	7.7	2.0	3.0	8.3	1.0	8.0	2.0	1.0	9.0	4.6
Pg037	4.0	7.0	1.0	2.0	7.7	1.0	7.3	1.0	1.7	9.0	4.2

Pg039	3.0	7.0	1.3	2.0	7.7	1.0	8.3	1.0	1.0	9.0	4.1
Pg040	3.0	7.7	2.7	3.0	8.0	1.0	8.0	1.7	1.0	9.0	4.5
Pg041	2.0	7.3	1.3	2.0	8.0	1.7	7.7	1.0	2.0	9.0	4.2
Pg043	3.0	7.7	3.0	2.7	8.0	3.0	7.0	1.0	1.0	9.0	4.5
Pg045	4.3	7.0	2.0	3.0	8.0	1.3	8.0	2.0	2.0	9.0	4.7
Pg049	2.0	7.3	1.7	2.0	8.0	1.0	7.3	1.3	2.0	9.0	4.2
Pg050	2.0	8.0	1.0	2.0	9.0	1.0	8.0	1.0	2.0	9.0	4.3
Pg052	3.0	7.3	1.0	2.0	8.3	1.0	8.3	1.0	2.0	9.0	4.3
Pg053	2.3	7.7	2.0	2.0	8.0	1.0	8.0	1.0	2.0	9.0	4.3
Pg055	3.0	7.3	2.0	2.0	8.0	1.0	7.0	1.3	2.0	9.0	4.3
Pg056	6.7	7.0	1.3	6.3	8.0	1.0	8.0	8.3	7.0	9.0	6.3
Pg057	3.0	7.3	2.0	2.0	8.3	1.0	8.0	1.0	1.3	9.0	4.3
Pg118	9.0	8.0	3.0	7.0	8.0	4.3	8.0	8.3	8.3	9.0	7.3
Pg119	4.0	8.0	1.0	3.0	8.7	1.3	7.3	4.3	3.0	9.0	5.0
Mean	4.1	7.6	1.9	2.7	8.1	1.5	7.7	2.1	2.4	8.9	

<sup>a</sup> Mean of 3 replicates; LSD (P<0.01): Isolate = 0.2671; Genotype = 0.169, Isolate × Genotype = 0.8448

1-9 scale: 1= no lesion to small brown specks of pinhead size; 2 = larger brown specks; 3 = small, roundish to slightly elongated, necrotic gray spots, approximately 1-2 mm in diameter with a brown margin; 4 = typical blast lesions, elliptical, 1-2 cm long, usually confined to the area between main veins, covering < 2% of the leaf area; 5 = typical blast lesions covering < 10% of the leaf area; 6 = typical blast lesions covering 10-25% of the leaf area; 7= typical blast lesions covering 26-50% of the leaf area; 8 = typical blast lesions covering 51-75% of the leaf area and many leaves dead; 9 = all leaves dead

**Table 4.** Origin, days to 50% flowering, seed and fodder yield potential, overall plant aspect and blast scores of 32 accessions selected from pearl millet mini-core collection having resistance to at least one pathotype

Accession (IP) No.	Origin	Days to 50% flowering	Seed yield potential <sup>a</sup>	Green fodder yield potential <sup>a</sup>	Overall plant aspect <sup>a</sup>	Blast score to pathotypes/isolates <sup>b</sup>				
						1/ Pg053	2/ Pg045	3/ Pg119	4/ Pg056	5/ Pg118
14753	Cameroon	93	6	9	6	3.0	7.0	5.5	3.5	3.0
17396	Central African Republic	116	6	9	6	5.0	3.0	6.0	5.0	5.0
21503	France	66	6	8	6	5.5	2.0	3.5	4.0	3.0
8913	Gambia	67	7	6	7	7.0	2.0	5.5	6.5	4.0
7846	ICRISAT, India	43	5	3	5	3.0	3.0	3.0	4.0	3.0
12650	ICRISAT, India	53	4	5	4	4.0	7.0	4.5	5.5	3.0
21187	ICRISAT, India	56	5	8	5	2.0	6.0	2.0	3.0	3.0
21283	ICRISAT, India	60	6	5	6	7.0	2.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
22449	ICRISAT, India	47	4	4	4	5.0	2.0	3.0	6.0	3.5
3110	India	76	6	8	6	5.0	8.0	6.0	7.0	3.0



3329	India	56	5	7	5	3.0	7.5	5.5	7.5	5.5
3646	India	54	5	6	5	6.0	2.0	6.0	5.0	5.0
3706	India	53	6	5	6	4.0	2.0	3.5	4.5	4.0
4291	India	46	5	7	5	5.0	3.0	6.0	6.0	5.5
4488	India	56	7	6	7	4.0	2.0	3.0	4.0	5.0
7259	India	65	5	7	5	4.0	3.0	6.0	7.0	6.0
7358	India	74	6	7	5	6.0	3.0	5.0	4.0	4.0
8350	India	65	6	9	6	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.0	3.0
9198	India	52	5	5	5	4.0	5.0	4.5	4.0	3.0
11010	India	76	7	9	7	5.0	6.0	2.0	4.0	2.0
11036	India	52	6	7	6	4.0	3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
11044	India	54	6	6	6	6.0	3.0	6.0	6.0	5.0
13636	India	57	7	8	7	4.0	3.0	5.0	5.0	5.0
15095	India	72	6	7	6	7.0	3.0	7.0	5.0	3.0
15256	India	50	5	6	5	3.0	5.5	4.0	3.0	3.0
7915	Niger	74	5	7	5	7.0	2.0	6.0	3.0	5.0

9692	Nigeria	60	7	6	7	5.0	3.0	6.0	6.0	4.0
20577	Nigeria	121	7	7	7	3.0	8.0	7.5	6.0	5.5
5964	Senegal	94	7	8	7	4.0	2.0	6.0	5.0	3.5
13261	Senegal	67	6	8	6	5.0	6.0	7.0	3.0	5.5
2083	South Africa	55	7	7	6	6.0	4.0	6.5	6.0	3.0
11247	Zimbabwe	59	6	6	6	6.0	8.0	6.0	5.0	2.0
Trial mean		65.7				5.8	7.3	6.3	6.1	5.56
CV (%)		6.5				4.9	3.6	6.9	5.7	5.0
LSD		8.5				0.56	0.52	0.85	0.68	0.55

<sup>a</sup> Scored on 1-9 scale; 1 = poorest, 2 = very poor, 3 = poor, 4 = fair, 5 = average, 6 = good, 7 = better, 8 = best and 9 = excellent

<sup>b</sup> Mean of two replicates; Resistant = score  $\leq 3.0$ ; Moderately resistant = score 3.1-5.0; Susceptible = score 5.1-7.0; Highly susceptible = score  $>7.0$ .

Plant Disease "First Look" paper • <http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-05-12-0481-RE> • posted 08/30/2012  
This paper has been peer reviewed and accepted for publication but has not yet been copyedited or proofread. The final published version may differ.



