



## Nutritional quality and health benefits of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.): a review

A. K. Jukanti<sup>1</sup>, P. M. Gaur<sup>1\*</sup>, C. L. L. Gowda<sup>1</sup> and R. N. Chibbar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, AP 502 324, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Plant Sciences, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada S7N 5A8

(Submitted 31 August 2011 – Final revision received 12 January 2011 – Accepted 18 January 2012)

### Abstract

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is an important pulse crop grown and consumed all over the world, especially in the Afro-Asian countries. It is a good source of carbohydrates and protein, and protein quality is considered to be better than other pulses. Chickpea has significant amounts of all the essential amino acids except sulphur-containing amino acids, which can be complemented by adding cereals to the daily diet. Starch is the major storage carbohydrate followed by dietary fibre, oligosaccharides and simple sugars such as glucose and sucrose. Although lipids are present in low amounts, chickpea is rich in nutritionally important unsaturated fatty acids such as linoleic and oleic acids.  $\beta$ -Sitosterol, campesterol and stigmasterol are important sterols present in chickpea oil. Ca, Mg, P and, especially, K are also present in chickpea seeds. Chickpea is a good source of important vitamins such as riboflavin, niacin, thiamin, folate and the vitamin A precursor  $\beta$ -carotene. As with other pulses, chickpea seeds also contain anti-nutritional factors which can be reduced or eliminated by different cooking techniques. Chickpea has several potential health benefits, and, in combination with other pulses and cereals, it could have beneficial effects on some of the important human diseases such as CVD, type 2 diabetes, digestive diseases and some cancers. Overall, chickpea is an important pulse crop with a diverse array of potential nutritional and health benefits.

**Key words:** Chickpeas: Quality: Nutrition: Health

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.), also called garbanzo bean or Bengal gram, is an Old-World pulse and one of the seven Neolithic founder crops in the Fertile Crescent of the Near East<sup>(1)</sup>. Currently, chickpea is grown in over fifty countries across the Indian subcontinent, North Africa, the Middle East, southern Europe, the Americas and Australia. Globally, chickpea is the third most important pulse crop in production, next to dry beans and field peas<sup>(2)</sup>. During 2006–9, the global chickpea production area was about 11.3 million ha, with a production of 9.6 million metric tonnes and an average yield of 849 kg/ha<sup>(2)</sup>. India is the largest chickpea-producing country with an average production of 6.38 million metric tonnes during 2006–9, accounting for 66% of global chickpea production<sup>(2)</sup>. The other major chickpea-producing countries include Pakistan, Turkey, Australia, Myanmar, Ethiopia, Iran, Mexico, Canada and the USA.

There are two distinct types of cultivated chickpea: Desi and Kabuli. The Desi (microsperma) types have pink flowers,

anthocyanin pigmentation on stems, and a coloured and thick seed coat. The Kabuli (macrosperma) types have white flowers, lack anthocyanin pigmentation on stems, and have white or beige-coloured seeds with a ram's head shape, a thin seed coat and a smooth seed surface<sup>(3)</sup>. In addition, an intermediate type with pea-shaped seeds of local importance is recognised in India. The seed weight generally ranges from 0.1 to 0.3 g and 0.2 to 0.6 g in the Desi and Kabuli types, respectively<sup>(4)</sup>. The Desi types account for about 80–85% of the total chickpea area and are mostly grown in Asia and Africa<sup>(5)</sup>. The Kabuli types are largely grown in West Asia, North Africa, North America and Europe.

There is a growing demand for chickpea due to its nutritional value. In the semi-arid tropics, chickpea is an important component of the diets of those individuals who cannot afford animal proteins or those who are vegetarian by choice. Chickpea is a good source of carbohydrates and protein, together constituting about 80% of the total dry seed mass<sup>(6,7)</sup> in

**Abbreviations:** ANF, anti-nutritional factors; DF, dietary fibre; DFC, dietary fibre content; GI, glycaemic index; HFD, high-fat diet; LA, linoleic acid; LDL-C, LDL-cholesterol; OA, oleic acid; RCT, randomised controlled trial.

\* **Corresponding author:** P. M. Gaur, email p.gaur@cgiar.org

comparison with other pulses. Chickpea is cholesterol free and is a good source of dietary fibre (DF), vitamins and minerals<sup>(8,9)</sup>.

Globally, chickpea is mostly consumed as a seed food in several different forms and preparations are determined by ethnic and regional factors<sup>(10,11)</sup>. In the Indian subcontinent, chickpea is split (cotyledons) as 'dhal' and ground to make flour ('besan') that is used to prepare different snacks<sup>(12,13)</sup>. In other parts of the world, especially in Asia and Africa, chickpea is used in stews and soups/salads, and consumed in roasted, boiled, salted and fermented forms<sup>(14)</sup>. These different forms of consumption provide consumers with valuable nutritional and potential health benefits.

Although chickpea is a member of the 'founder crop package'<sup>(15)</sup> with potential nutritional/medicinal qualities, it has not received due attention for research like other founder crops (e.g. wheat or barley). Chickpea has been consumed by humans since ancient times owing to its good nutritional properties. Furthermore, chickpea is of interest as a functional food with potential beneficial effects on human health. Although other publications have described the physico-chemical and nutritional characteristics of chickpea, there is limited information on the relationship between its nutritional components and health benefits. This review attempts to bridge this void and investigates the literature regarding the nutritional value of chickpeas and their potential health benefits.

## Chickpea grain composition

### Classification of carbohydrates

Dietary carbohydrates are classified into two groups: (1) available (mono- and disaccharides), which are enzymatically digested in the small intestine, and (2) unavailable (oligosaccharides, resistant starch, non-cellulosic polysaccharides, pectins, hemicelluloses and cellulose), which are not digested in the small intestine<sup>(16)</sup>. The total carbohydrate content in

chickpea is higher than that in pulses (Table 2). Chickpea contains monosaccharides (ribose, glucose, galactose and fructose), disaccharides (sucrose and maltose) and oligosaccharides (stachyose, ciceritol, raffinose and verbascose) (Table 1). The amount of these fractions varies, though not significantly, between the Desi and Kabuli genotypes (Table 1).

### Mono-, di- and oligosaccharides

Sánchez-Mata *et al.*<sup>(17)</sup> reported the following monosaccharide concentrations in chickpea: galactose, 0.05 g/100 g; ribose, 0.11 g/100 g; fructose, 0.25 g/100 g; glucose, 0.7 g/100 g. Maltose (0.6%) and sucrose (1–2%) have been reported to be the most abundant free disaccharides in chickpea<sup>(9)</sup>. Pulse seeds contain some of the highest concentrations of oligosaccharides among all the crops. Oligosaccharides are not absorbed or hydrolysed by the human digestive system but fermented by colonic bacteria to release gases or flatulence<sup>(18)</sup>.  $\alpha$ -Galactosides are the second most abundant carbohydrates in the plant kingdom after sucrose<sup>(19,20)</sup>, and in chickpea, they account for about 62% of total sugar (mono-, di- and oligosaccharides) content<sup>(17)</sup>. The two important groups of  $\alpha$ -galactosides present in chickpea are as follows: (1) raffinose family of oligosaccharides, including raffinose (trisaccharide), stachyose (tetrasaccharide) and verbascose (pentasaccharide)<sup>(20)</sup>, and (2) galactosyl cyclitols, including ciceritol (Table 1)<sup>(21)</sup>. Ciceritol was isolated for the first time from chickpea seeds by Quemener & Brillouet<sup>(22)</sup> and later confirmed by Bernabé *et al.*<sup>(21)</sup>. Ciceritol and stachyose, two important galactosides in chickpea, constitute 36–43% and 25%, respectively, of total sugars (mono-, di- and oligosaccharides) in chickpea seeds<sup>(17,23)</sup>.

$\alpha$ -Galactosides are neither absorbed nor hydrolysed in the upper gastrointestinal tract of humans, accumulating in the large intestine of the human digestive system. Humans lack  $\alpha$ -galactosidase, the enzyme responsible for degrading these

**Table 1.** Different carbohydrate fractions in chickpea seeds

Carbohydrates	Áman <sup>(27)†§</sup>	Wang & Daun <sup>(56)*</sup>		Han & Baik <sup>(20)†</sup>	Aguilera <i>et al.</i> <sup>(23)§  </sup>
		K	D		
Starch	–	41.1 (38.2–43.9)	36.4 (33.1–40.4)	–	51.9
Sucrose	4.3	3.8 (3.10–4.41)	2.0 (1.56–2.85)	–	15.2
Raffinose	1.0	0.6 (0.48–0.73)	0.5 (0.46–0.77)	50.2	3.2
Stachyose	2.8	2.2 (1.76–2.72)	1.6 (1.25–1.98)	27.0	17.7
Verbascose	Traces	–	–	ND	–
Ciceritol	–	–	–	67.7	27.6
Fructose	0.1	–	–	–	3.1
Galactose	–	–	–	–	0.1
Galactinol	0.5	–	–	–	–
Glucose	0.1	–	–	–	0.5
Maltose	–	–	–	–	3.3
Manninotriose	3.4	–	–	–	–
Pinitol	0.2	–	–	–	–

K, Kabuli; D, Desi.

\* Expressed as g/100 g dry weight. Numbers in parentheses indicate range.

† Expressed as mg/g.

‡ Expressed as a percentage of the dry weight of raw seed.

§ The type of chickpea is not specified.

|| Expressed as g/kg.

oligosaccharides<sup>(20)</sup>. Therefore,  $\alpha$ -galactosides undergo microbial fermentation by colonic bacteria resulting in the production of hydrogen, methane and CO<sub>2</sub>, major components of flatulent gases<sup>(24)</sup>. The expulsion of these gases is responsible for abdominal discomfort. Gas production is higher following chickpea consumption compared with other pulses, and this could be due to a higher content of oligosaccharides in chickpea<sup>(25,26)</sup>. Germination decreases the raffinose, stachyose and verbascose content<sup>(27)</sup>. Chickpea has lower values for the absolute content of flatulent  $\alpha$ -galactosides (1.56 g/100 g) compared with other pulses such as white beans (2.46 g/100 g), lentils (2.44 g/100 g) and pinto beans (2.30 g/100 g)<sup>(17)</sup>.

### Polysaccharides

Polysaccharides are high-molecular-weight polymers of monosaccharides present as storage carbohydrates (e.g. starch) or structural carbohydrates (e.g. cellulose) providing structural support<sup>(9)</sup>. Among the storage polysaccharides, chickpea has been reported to synthesise and store starch and not galactomannans<sup>(9)</sup>. Starch is the major storage carbon reserve in pulse seeds<sup>(6)</sup>. It is made up of two large glucan polymers, amylose and amylopectin, in which the glucose residues are linked by  $\alpha$ -(1  $\rightarrow$  4) bonds to form a linear molecule and the linear molecule is branched by  $\alpha$ -(1  $\rightarrow$  6) linkages<sup>(6)</sup>. The side chains of amylopectin are packed into different polymorphic forms in the lamellae of starch grains: 'A' type in cereals and 'C' type in pulses. The 'C' polymorph is considered to be of the intermediate type between the 'A' polymorph in cereals and the 'B' polymorph in tubers in packing density and structure<sup>(6)</sup>. The content of starch varies from 41 to 50% of the total carbohydrates<sup>(28–30)</sup>, with the Kabuli types having more soluble sugars (sucrose, glucose and fructose) compared with the Desi types<sup>(28)</sup>. The total starch content of chickpea seeds has been reported to be about 525 g/kg DM, about 35% of total starch has been considered to be resistant starch and the remaining 65% as available starch<sup>(23,31)</sup>. Cereals such as wheat have a higher amount of starch compared with chickpea<sup>(32)</sup>, but chickpea seeds have a higher amylose content (30–40 *v.* 25% in wheat)<sup>(33,34)</sup>. *In vitro* starch digestibility values (ISDV) of chickpea vary from 37 to 60%<sup>(35,36)</sup> and are higher than other pulses such as black grams, lentils and kidney beans<sup>(37)</sup>. However, the *in vitro* starch digestibility values of pulses, in general, are lower than cereals due to a higher amylose content<sup>(38)</sup>.

### Dietary fibre

DF is the indigestible part of plant food in the human small intestine. It is composed of poly/oligosaccharides, lignin and other plant-based substances<sup>(39)</sup>. DF can be classified into soluble and insoluble fibres. The soluble fibre is digested slowly in the colon, whereas the insoluble fibre is metabolically inert and aids in bowel movement<sup>(40)</sup>. The insoluble fibre undergoes fermentation aiding in the growth of colonic bacteria<sup>(40)</sup>. The total DF content (DFC) in chickpea is 18–22 g/100 g of raw chickpea seed<sup>(23,40)</sup>, and it has a higher amount of DF

among pulses (Table 2). Soluble and insoluble DFC are about 4–8 and 10–18 g/100 g of raw chickpea seed, respectively<sup>(29,41)</sup>. The fibre content of chickpea hulls on a dry weight basis is lower (75%) compared with lentils (87%) and peas (89%)<sup>(29)</sup>. The lower DFC in chickpea hulls can be attributed to the difficulty in separating the hull from the cotyledon during milling.

The DFC of chickpea seeds is equal to or higher than that of other pulses such as lentils (*Lens culinaris*) and dry peas (*Pisum sativum*)<sup>(40)</sup>. The Desi types have a higher total DFC and insoluble DFC compared with the Kabuli types. This could be due to thicker hulls and seed coat in the Desi types (11.5% of total seed weight) compared with the Kabuli types (only 4.3–4.4% of total seed weight)<sup>(41)</sup>. Further, Wood *et al.*<sup>(42)</sup> have reported that the thinner seed coat in the Kabuli types is due to thinner palisade and parenchyma layers with fewer polysaccharides. Usually, no significant differences are found in soluble DFC between the Kabuli and Desi types due to the similar proportion of hemicelluloses that constitute a large part (about 55%) of the total seed DF in the Kabuli and Desi types<sup>(43)</sup>. The hemicellulosic sugar arabinose/rhamnose is present in appreciable amounts in hull and insoluble fibre fractions of chickpea<sup>(29)</sup>. Glucose is present in large amounts in hull and soluble fibre fractions of chickpea. Xylose is the major constituent of soluble fibre fractions in chickpea<sup>(29)</sup>.

### Protein content

Protein–energy malnutrition is observed in infants and young children in developing countries, and includes a range of pathological conditions arising due to the lack of protein and energy in the diet<sup>(44)</sup>. Malnutrition affects about 170 million people, especially preschool children and nursing mothers of developing countries in Asia and Africa<sup>(45)</sup>. Pulses provide a major share of protein and energy in the Afro-Asian diet. Among the different pulses, chickpea has been reported to have a higher protein bioavailability<sup>(46,47)</sup>.

The protein content in chickpea significantly varies as a percentage of the total dry seed mass before (17–22%) and after (25.3–28.9%) dehulling<sup>(13,48)</sup>. The differences in the crude protein concentration of Kabuli and Desi types have been inconsistent, showing significant differences in one instance (241 g/kg in 'Kabuli' *v.* 217 g/kg in 'Desi')<sup>(49)</sup> and no differences in another instance (217 g/kg in 'Kabuli' *v.* 215 g/kg in 'Desi')<sup>(41)</sup>. The seed protein content of eight annual

**Table 2.** Nutrient composition (g/100 g) of different legumes<sup>(32)</sup>

Crops	Carbohydrate	Fat	TDF	Total sugars
Chickpea ( <i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.)	60.7	6.0	17.4	10.7
Pigeon pea ( <i>Cajanus cajan</i> L.)	23.8	1.6	5.1	3.0
Bean ( <i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i> L.)	7.0	0.2	2.7	3.3
Mung bean ( <i>Vigna radiata</i> L.)	62.6	1.2	16.3	6.6
Peas ( <i>Pisum sativum</i> L.)	14.5	0.4	5.1	5.7
Faba bean ( <i>Vicia faba</i> L.)	58.3	1.5	25.0	5.7

TDF, total dietary fibre.

wild species of the genus *Cicer* ranged from 168 g/kg in *Cicer cuneatum* to 268 g/kg in *Cicer pinnatifidum*, with an average of 207 g/kg over the eight wild species<sup>(50)</sup>. Chickpea protein quality is better than some pulse crops such as black gram (*Vigna mungo* L.), green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) and red gram (*Cajanus cajan* L.)<sup>(51)</sup>. Additionally, there is no significant difference in the protein concentration of raw chickpea seeds compared with some pulses such as black gram, lentils, red kidney bean and white kidney bean<sup>(37)</sup>.

### Protein digestibility

The *in vitro* protein digestibility of raw chickpea seeds varies from 34 to 76%<sup>(36,52,53)</sup>. Chitra *et al.*<sup>(54)</sup> found higher *in vitro* protein digestibility values for chickpea genotypes (65.3–79.4%) compared with those for pigeon pea (*C. cajan*; 60.4–74.4%), mung bean (*V. radiata*; 67.2–72.2%), urd bean (*V. mungo*; 55.7–63.3%) and soyabean (*Glycine max*; 62.7–71.6%). The digestibility of protein from the Kabuli types is higher than that from the Desi types<sup>(47,55)</sup>.

### Amino acid profile

The amino acid profiles of chickpea seeds are presented in Table 3. There are some minor variations in the quantity of a few amino acids such as lysine, tyrosine, glutamic acid, histidine and the two combined aromatic amino acids (Table 3)<sup>(45)</sup>. Generally, sulphur-rich amino acids (methionine and cystine) are limiting in pulses. Commonly consumed food pulses such as chickpea, field pea, green pea, lentils and common beans have about 1.10 g/16 g N of methionine and cystine<sup>(56)</sup>, the exceptions being cowpea, which has about 2.20 g/16 g N of methionine, and green pea, which has about 1.80 g/16 g N of cystine<sup>(45)</sup>. There are no significant

differences in the amino acid profiles of Kabuli- and Desi-type chickpeas<sup>(56,57)</sup>. Amino acid deficiencies in chickpea (or other pulses) could be complemented by consuming cereals, which are rich in sulphur-containing amino acids<sup>(55)</sup>. Pulses are usually consumed along with cereals, especially in Asian countries, thereby allowing the daily dietary amino acid requirements to be met.

### Fat content and fatty acid profile

The total fat content in raw chickpea seeds varies from 2.70 to 6.48%<sup>(51,58)</sup>. Shad *et al.*<sup>(59)</sup> reported lower values (about 2.05 g/100 g) for crude fat content in Desi-type chickpea varieties. Wood & Grusak<sup>(9)</sup> reported a fat content of 3.40–8.83 and 2.90–7.42% in Kabuli- and Desi-type chickpea seeds, respectively. Further, even higher levels (3.80–10.20%) of fat content in chickpea have been reported<sup>(24)</sup>. The fat content in chickpea (6.04 g/100 g) is higher than that in other pulses such as lentils (1.06 g/100 g), red kidney bean (1.06 g/100 g), mung bean (1.15 g/100 g) and pigeon pea (1.64 g/100 g), and also in cereals such as wheat (1.70 g/100 g) and rice (about 0.60 g/100 g)<sup>(32)</sup>. Chickpea is composed of about 66% PUFA, about 19% MUFA and about 15% SFA (Table 4). On average, oleic acid (OA) was higher in the Kabuli types and linoleic acid (LA) was higher in the Desi types (Table 4). Chickpea is a relatively good source of nutritionally important PUFA, LA (51.2%) and monounsaturated OA (32.6%). Chickpea has higher amounts of LA and OA compared with other edible pulses such as lentils (44.4% LA; 20.9% OA), peas (45.6% LA; 23.2% OA) and beans (46.7% LA; 28.1% OA)<sup>(56)</sup>. LA is the dominant fatty acid in chickpea followed by OA and palmitic acid (Table 4).

**Table 3.** Amino acid content in chickpea seeds

Amino acids	Rao & Subramanian <sup>(187)†§</sup>	Wang & Daun <sup>(56)*†</sup>				Alajaji & El-Adawy <sup>(58)*†</sup>	Wang <i>et al.</i> <sup>(57)‡</sup>	
		K	Range	D	Range		K	D
Lys	45–79	5.80	4.9–6.70	5.90	5.2–6.90	7.70	5.47	5.55
Met	7–31	1.50	1.1–2.10	1.50	1.1–1.70	1.60	1.92	2.05
Cys	7–18	1.40	0.8–2.00	1.30	1.1–1.60	1.30	0.19	0.15
Phe	30–68	5.20	4.5–6.20	5.30	4.5–5.90	5.90	5.81	5.42
Tyr	20–35	2.80	2.2–3.30	2.30	1.4–3.10	3.70	2.63	2.55
Ile	44–60	3.10	2.6–3.90	3.60	2.5–4.40	4.10	3.90	3.70
Leu	49–80	6.40	5.6–7.20	7.00	5.6–7.70	7.00	6.69	6.30
Thr	28–48	4.20	3.3–5.10	4.30	3.7–4.70	3.60	3.13	3.23
Val	38–63	3.70	2.9–4.60	4.00	2.8–4.70	3.60	3.83	3.60
Arg	–	10.50	8.3–13.7	9.80	8.3–13.6	10.30	8.07	8.11
His	–	2.10	1.7–2.40	2.20	1.7–2.70	3.40	2.00	2.66
Ala	–	3.90	3.5–4.70	4.10	3.6–4.53	4.40	3.44	3.40
Asp	–	12.10	11.2–12.9	12.80	11.1–15.9	11.40	11.66	10.59
Glu	–	15.2	13.1–17.5	16.00	13.4–19	17.30	20.24	16.70
Gly	–	3.80	3.2–4.50	3.90	3.3–4.20	4.10	2.54	3.12
Pro	–	4.90	3.8–6.50	4.80	4.0–6.30	4.60	4.04	3.95
Ser	–	5.90	5.2–6.70	6.00	5.5–6.90	1.10	3.39	4.96
Trp	2–12	1.0	0.7–1.60	0.90	0.8–1.10	4.90	N/D	N/D

K, Kabuli; D, Desi; N/D, not determined.

\* Expressed as g/16 g N.

† The type of chickpea is not specified.

‡ Expressed as g/100 g.

§ Expressed as mg/g protein.

**Table 4.** Fatty acid profiles of chickpea seeds

Fatty acids	Baker <i>et al.</i> <sup>(188)§</sup>	Wang & Daun <sup>(56)*†</sup>				USDA <sup>(32)‡</sup> K
		K	Range	D	Range	
Lauric (12:0)	ND	ND	–	0.02	0.0–0.10	0.00
Myristic (14:0)	0.3	0.21	0.19–0.26	0.22	0.17–0.32	0.009
Palmitic (16:0)	12.7	9.41	8.52–10.3	9.09	8.56–11.0	0.501
Palmitoleic (16:1)	0.1	0.30	0.27–0.34	0.26	0.23–0.30	0.012
Stearic (18:0)	1.5	1.42	1.21–1.68	1.16	1.04–1.60	0.085
Oleic (18:1)	19.3	32.56	27.7–42.46	22.31	18.44–28.5	1.346
Linoleic (18:2)	62.9	51.20	42.25–56.59	61.62	53.10–65.25	2.593
Linolenic (18:3)	3.3	2.69	2.23–3.91	3.15	2.54–3.65	0.101
Arachidic (20:0)	Traces	0.66	0.59–0.76	0.51	0.45–0.74	–
Gadoleic (20:1)	ND	0.57	0.48–0.70	0.50	0.41–0.59	0.00
Eicosadienoic (20:2)	ND	0.06	0.00–0.09	0.12	0.08–0.15	–
Behenic (22:0)	ND	0.42	0.29–0.48	0.37	0.30–0.42	0.00
Erucic (22:1)	–	0.07	0.00–0.16	0.13	0.00–0.21	–
Lignoceric (24:0)	ND	0.17	0.00–0.29	ND	–	–
Nervonic (24:1)	–	ND	–	ND	–	0.00

K, Kabuli; D, Desi; USDA, United States Department of Agriculture; ND, measured but not detected.

\* Expressed as percentage of oil.

† The type of chickpea is not specified.

‡ Expressed as g/100 g.

§ Expressed as wt% of total elute.

### Oil characteristics

Chickpea cannot be considered as an oilseed crop since its oil content is relatively low (3.8–10%)<sup>(24,60)</sup> in comparison with other important oilseed pulses such as soyabean or groundnut. However, chickpea oil has medicinal and nutritionally important tocopherols, sterols and tocotrienols<sup>(61)</sup>. The content of different sterols and tocopherols in chickpea is presented in Table 5. Sitosterol (72.52–76.10%; Table 5) is the dominant sterol in chickpea oil followed by campesterol. The  $\alpha$ -tocopherol content reported by the United States Department of Agriculture<sup>(32)</sup> is lower than the other reported values in Table 5. However, the  $\alpha$ -tocopherol content in chickpea is relatively higher (8.2 mg/100 g) than other pulses such as lentils (4.9 mg/100 g), green pea (1.3 mg/100 g), red kidney bean (2.1 mg/100 g) and mung bean (5.1 mg/100 g)<sup>(32)</sup>. The  $\alpha$ -tocopherol content, coupled with the concentration of  $\delta$ -tocopherol, which is a potent antioxidant property<sup>(62)</sup>, makes chickpea oil oxidatively stable and contributes to a better shelf life during storage<sup>(63)</sup>. TAG is the predominant neutral lipid in Desi chickpea oil and phospholipids are also found in oil<sup>(61)</sup>.

The physico-chemical characteristics of chickpea oil are summarised in Table 6. The relative index values of chickpea (1.49) are higher than those of soyabean (1.46) and groundnut (1.47), the two important oil-bearing pulses<sup>(64)</sup>. The iodine values of chickpea oil (111.87–113.69, Wijs method) were also higher than the iodine values of groundnut (80–106, Wijs method) and *Phaseolus vulgaris* (80.5–92.3, Wijs method)<sup>(61,65)</sup>. Higher refractive index and iodine values indicate substantial unsaturation in chickpea oil, which is demonstrated by the dominance of LA content<sup>(61)</sup> (Table 4). The lower acid values observed for chickpea (Table 6) make its oil refining easier<sup>(66)</sup>. The peroxide value for chickpea oils (3.97–6.37 mequiv/kg; Table 6) was within the

maximum limit of the Codex recommendation (10 mequiv/kg) for edible oils<sup>(64)</sup>.

### Minerals

Chickpea, like other pulses, not only brings variety to the cereal-based daily diet of millions of people in Asia and Africa, but also provides essential vitamins and minerals<sup>(67,68)</sup>. The different minerals present in chickpea seeds are presented in Table 7. Raw chickpea seeds (100 g) on an average provide about 5.0 mg/100 g of Fe, 4.1 mg/100 g of Zn, 138 mg/100 g of Mg and 160 mg/100 g of Ca. About 100 g of chickpea seeds can meet the daily dietary requirements of Fe (1.05 mg/d in males and 1.46 mg/d in females) and Zn (4.2 mg/d in males and 3.0 mg/d in females) and 200 g can meet that of Mg (260 mg/d in males and 220 mg/d in females)<sup>(69)</sup>. There

**Table 5.** Important sterols and tocopherols in oil from chickpea seeds (Mean values and standard deviations)

Sterols (%)	Gopala Krishna <i>et al.</i> <sup>(174)*</sup>		Zia-UI-Haq <i>et al.</i> <sup>(63)</sup>
	Mean	SD	D
Campesterol	–	–	12.06–13.67
$\Delta^7$ -Avenasterol	–	–	0.79–1.21
Stigmasterol	–	–	4.92–5.38
$\beta$ -Sitosterol	–	–	73.12–76.10
Clerosterol	–	–	1.94–4.01
$\Delta^5$ -Avenasterol	–	–	3.12–5.72
Tocopherols (mg/100 g oil)			
$\alpha$	33.94	1.43	32.99–34.82
$\beta$	1.87	0.17	1.67–1.89
$\gamma$	186.17	11.80	185.08–186.02
$\delta$	8.36	1.40	7.93–8.88
Tocotrienols			
$\gamma$	3.67	0.19	–

D, Desi.

\* The type of chickpea is not specified.



**Table 6.** Physical and chemical characteristics of chickpea seed oil

Characteristics	Zia-Ul-Haq <i>et al.</i> <sup>(61)</sup>	Shad <i>et al.</i> <sup>(59)</sup>
	D	D
Total oil (%)	5.88–6.87	–
Acid values (mg KOH/g)	2.55–2.67	2.40–2.50
Iodine values (Wijs method)	111.87–113.69	112.56–113.87
Saponification values (mg KOH/g)	183.98–185.64	178.90–180.64
Unsaponifiable matter (% w/w)	2.99–3.71	3.42–3.47
Specific gravity	–	0.9339–0.9346
Relative density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> , at 40°C)	0.96	–
Refractive index (at 40°C)	1.48	–
Colour	Brown–yellow	–
Peroxide value (mequiv/kg)	3.97–6.37	–
<i>p</i> -Anisidine value	5.39–8.74	–
Oxidation value	13.09–22.34	–
Flavour score	–	–
MAG (%)	2.2–2.7	–
DAG (%)	0.7–1.6	–
TAG (%)	55.7–63.2	–
Energy value (kJ/100 g sample)	–3.85–13.01	1531.71–1560.63

D, Desi; MAG, monoacylglycerols; DAG, diacylglycerols.

were no significant differences between the Kabuli and Desi genotypes except for Ca, with the Desi types having a higher content than the Kabuli types<sup>(56,70)</sup>. The amount of total Fe present in chickpea is lower (5.45 mg/100 g) compared with other pulse crops such as lentils (8.60 mg/100 g) and beans (7.48 mg/100 g)<sup>(71)</sup>. Data on other minerals present in chickpea are very limited. Se, a nutritionally important essential trace element, is also found in chickpea seeds (8.2 µg/100 g)<sup>(32,67)</sup>. Chickpea has been reported to have other trace elements including Al (10.2 µg/g), Cr (0.12 µg/g), Ni (0.26 µg/g), Pb (0.48 µg/g) and Cd (0.01 µg/g)<sup>(32,67)</sup>. The quantities reported here for Al, Ni, Pb and Cd do not pose any toxicological risk.

### Vitamins

Vitamins are required in tiny quantities; this requirement is met through a well-balanced daily diet of cereals, pulses, vegetables, fruits, meat and dairy products. Pulses are a good source of vitamins. As shown in Table 8, chickpea can complement the vitamin requirement of an individual when consumed with other foods. Chickpea is a relatively inexpensive

and good source of folic acid and tocopherols (both  $\gamma$  and  $\alpha$ ; Table 8)<sup>(72)</sup>. It is a relatively good source of folic acid coupled with more modest amounts of water-soluble vitamins such as riboflavin (B<sub>2</sub>), pantothenic acid (B<sub>5</sub>) and pyridoxine (B<sub>6</sub>), and these levels are similar to or higher than those observed in other pulses (Table 9)<sup>(73)</sup>. However, niacin concentration in chickpea is lower than that in pigeon pea and lentils (Table 9)<sup>(74)</sup>.

### Carotenoids

Plant carotenoids are lipid-soluble antioxidants/pigments responsible for bright colours (usually red, yellow and orange) of different plant tissues<sup>(75)</sup>. Carotenoids are classified into two types: (1) oxygenated, referred to as xanthophylls, which includes lutein, violaxanthin and neoxanthin, and (2) non-oxygenated, referred to as carotenes, which includes  $\beta$ -carotene and lycopene<sup>(76)</sup>. The important carotenoids present in chickpea include  $\beta$ -carotene (Table 8), lutein, zeaxanthin,  $\beta$ -cryptoxanthin, lycopene and  $\alpha$ -carotene. The average concentration of carotenoids (except lycopene) is higher in wild accessions of chickpea than in cultivated varieties or landraces (cv. *Hadas*)<sup>(77)</sup>.  $\beta$ -Carotene is the most important and widely distributed carotenoid in plants and is converted into vitamin A more efficiently than the other carotenoids<sup>(77)</sup>. On a dry seed weight basis, chickpea has a higher amount of  $\beta$ -carotene than 'golden rice' endosperm<sup>(77,78)</sup> or red-coloured wheats<sup>(32)</sup>.

### Isoflavones

Chickpea seeds contain several phenolic compounds<sup>(9)</sup>. Of these, two important phenolic compounds found in chickpea are the isoflavones biochanin A (5,7-dihydroxy-4'-methoxyisoflavone) and formononetin (7-hydroxy-4'-methoxyisoflavone)<sup>(9)</sup>. Other phenolic compounds detected in chickpea oil are daidzein, genistein, matairesinol and secoisolaricresinol<sup>(79,80)</sup>. The concentration of biochanin A is higher in Kabuli-type seeds (1420–3080 µg/100 g) compared with Desi-type seeds (838 µg/100 g)<sup>(81)</sup>. The amount of formononetin in Kabuli- and Desi-type seeds is 215 µg/100 g and 94–126 µg/100 g, respectively<sup>(81)</sup>.

**Table 7.** Mineral constituents (mg/100 g) of chickpea seeds

Minerals	Rao & Deosthale <sup>(189)*</sup>	Ibáñez <i>et al.</i> <sup>(70)</sup>		Wang & Daun <sup>(56)</sup>			USDA <sup>(32)</sup> K	
		D	K	D	Range	K		Range
Cu	1.18	1.25	1.20	1.00	0.5–1.40	1.00	0.7–1.40	0.847
Fe	4.60	4.51	4.46	5.90	4.6–7.00	5.50	4.3–7.60	6.24
Zn	6.11	3.57	3.50	3.60	2.8–5.10	4.40	3.6–5.60	3.43
Mn	1.21	1.72	1.65	3.40	2.8–4.10	3.90	2.3–4.80	2.20
Ca	220.0	210.0	154.0	161.70	115–226.5	106.60	80.5–144.3	105.0
Mg	119.0	128.0	122.0	169.10	143.7–188.6	177.80	153–212.8	115.0
Na	–	22.9	21.07	–	–	–	–	24.0
K	–	878.0	926.0	1215.70	1027.6–1479	1127.20	816–1580	875.0
P	398.0	–	–	377.30	276.2–518.6	505.1	294–828.8	366.0
Cr	0.08†	–	–	–	–	–	–	–

D, Desi; K, Kabuli; USDA, United States Department of Agriculture.

† Expressed as µg/g.

\* The type of chickpea is not specified.

**Table 8.** Vitamins in chickpea seeds

Vitamins	Chavan <i>et al.</i> <sup>(12)*†</sup>	Wang & Daun <sup>(56)*†</sup>		Ciftci <i>et al.</i> <sup>(72)†‡</sup>	USDA <sup>(32)*†</sup>
		K	D		K
Retinol (A)	–	ND	ND	–	ND
Vitamin C	2.15–6.00	1.34	1.65	–	4.0
Vitamin (D <sub>2</sub> + D <sub>3</sub> )	–	ND	ND	115.4	ND
Thiamin (B <sub>1</sub> )	0.028–0.40	0.4	0.29	–	0.477
Riboflavin (B <sub>2</sub> )	0.15–0.30	0.26	0.21	–	0.212
Niacin (B <sub>3</sub> )	1.6–2.90	1.22	1.72	–	1.541
Pantothenic acid (B <sub>5</sub> )	–	1.02	1.09	–	1.588
Pyridoxine (B <sub>6</sub> )	0.55	0.38	0.30	–	ND
Cyanocobalamin (B <sub>12</sub> )	–	ND	ND	–	0.535
Biotin	–	ND	ND	–	–
γ-Tocopherol	–	10.68	9.33	6.9	–
α-Tocopherol (vitamin E)	–	2.24	1.91	22.0	0.820
Choline, total (in µg/100 g)	–	–	–	–	95.20
Folic acid	150.0	299.21	206.48	–	557.00
Vitamin A, Retinol activity equivalent (RAE)	–	–	–	–	3.00
β-Carotene	–	–	–	46.3	40.00
Vitamin K (phylloquinone)	120.0	–	–	23.2	9.00

K, Kabuli; D, Desi; USDA, United States Department of Agriculture; ND, measured but not detected.

\* Expressed as mg/100 g.

† The type of chickpea is not specified.

‡ Expressed as µg/100 g.

### Anti-nutritional factors

Despite the potential nutritional and health-promoting value of anti-nutritional factor (ANF), their presence in chickpea limits its biological value and usage as food. ANF interfere with digestion and also make the seed unpalatable when consumed in raw form by monogastric animal species<sup>(82)</sup>. ANF can be divided into protein and non-protein ANF<sup>(83)</sup>. The non-protein ANF include alkaloids, tannins, phytic acid, saponins and phenolics, while the protein ANF include trypsin inhibitors, chymotrypsin inhibitors, lectins and antifungal peptides (Table 10)<sup>(84,85)</sup>. Chickpea protease inhibitors are of two types: (1) Kunitz type – single-chain polypeptides of about 20 kDa with two disulphide bridges which inhibit the enzyme activity of trypsin but not chymotrypsin<sup>(86)</sup>; and (2) Bowman–Birk inhibitors – which are also single-chain polypeptides of about 8 kDa in size with seven disulphide bridges which inhibit the enzyme activity of both trypsin and chymotrypsin<sup>(87,88)</sup>. Protease inhibitors interfere with digestion by irreversibly binding with trypsin and chymotrypsin in

the human digestive tract. They are resistant to the digestive enzyme pepsin and the stomach's acidic pH<sup>(84)</sup>. They negatively affect certain necessary enzymatic modifications required during food processing such as water-retaining capacity, gel-forming and foaming ability of different products<sup>(89)</sup>.

Phytic acid can bind to several important divalent cations (e.g. Fe, Zn, Ca and Mg) forming insoluble complexes and making them unavailable for absorption and utilisation in the small intestine<sup>(90–92)</sup>. Tannins inhibit enzymes, reducing the digestibility and making chickpea astringent. Saponins are commonly found in several pulses including chickpea (Table 10)<sup>(93)</sup>, giving the pulses a bitter taste and making them less preferable for consumption by humans and animals<sup>(94)</sup>. Saponin content in chickpea (56 g/kg) is higher than that in other pulses such as green gram (16 g/kg), lentils (3.7–4.6 g/kg), faba bean (4.3 g/kg) and broad bean (3.5 g/kg)<sup>(95)</sup>.

Though the ANF act as limiting factors in chickpea consumption, they can be reduced or eliminated by soaking,

**Table 9.** Vitamin\* content (mg/100 g) in different legumes<sup>(56)</sup>

Crops	Folic acid	Vit C	Vit B <sub>1</sub>	Vit B <sub>2</sub>	Vit B <sub>3</sub>	Vit B <sub>5</sub>	Vit B <sub>6</sub>	Tocopherol (γ + α)
Chickpea (Kabuli)	299.0	1.34	0.49	0.26	1.22	1.02	0.38	12.9
Chickpea (Desi)	206.5	1.65	0.29	0.21	1.72	1.09	0.30	11.2
Bean	107.9	3.85	0.58	0.16	1.31	0.31	0.21	3.85
Red kidney beans	34.5	0.09	0.99	0.23	0.33	0.31	0.21	3.15
Lentils	138.1	0.71	0.29	0.33	2.57	1.32	0.23	5.64
White kidney beans	22.0	0.09	0.73	0.11	1.12	0.35	0.16	2.96
Pigeon pea†	173‡	NA	0.4	0.17	2.20	0.68	0.07	0.39

Vit, vitamin; NA, not available.

\* Vit A and B<sub>12</sub> not detected in these legumes.

† Adopted from the United States Department of Agriculture<sup>(32)</sup>.

‡ Expressed as µg/100 g.

**Table 10.** Anti-nutritional factors in chickpea\*

Constituents	Gupta <sup>(95)</sup>	Singh <sup>(190)</sup>	Champ <sup>(80)</sup>	Alajaji & El-Adawy <sup>(58)</sup>
Trypsin inhibitor†	8.57	10.9 (6.7–14.6)	1.0–15.0	11.9
Chymotrypsin inhibitor†	2.79	7.1 (5.7–9.4)	–	–
Amylase inhibitor‡	–	8.7 (0–15.0)	–	–
Haemagglutinin activity§	0.0	–	–	6.22
Tanins	–	Traces	–	4.85
Total phenols	–	3.03 (1.55–6.10)	–	–
Polyphenols	–	–	0.1–0.60	–
Phytolectins	–	400¶	–	–
Cyanogens	0.8**	Traces	–	–
Mycotoxins (ppb)	–	18 (traces–35)	–	–
Phytic acid	–	–	–	1.21
Saponins	5.6	–	0.40	0.91
Oxalate	–	–	0.07	–
Genistein††	–	–	0.07–0.21	–
Daidzein††	–	–	0.01–0.19	–
Secoisolariciresinol††	–	–	0.01	–

ppb, Parts per billion.

\* The type of chickpea is not specified in any of the citations used.

† Expressed as units/mg protein.

‡ Expressed as units/g.

§ Expressed as units/mg sample.

|| Expressed as mg/g.

¶ Expressed as units/g.

\*\* Expressed as mg/100 g; others in g/100 g dry weight of sample.

†† Expressed as mg/100 g.

cooking, boiling and autoclaving<sup>(58)</sup>. The ANF also have beneficial effects, which are discussed below.

### Health benefits

Although pulses have been consumed for thousands of years for their nutritional qualities<sup>(96)</sup>, it is only during the past two to three decades that interest in pulses as food and their potential impact on human health has been revived. Chickpea consumption has been reported to have some physiological benefits that may reduce the risk of chronic diseases and optimise health (discussed in detail in the following paragraphs). Therefore, chickpeas could potentially be considered as a 'functional food' in addition to their accepted role of providing proteins and fibre. Different definitions are proposed that describe functional foods as: (1) 'one encompassing healthful products, including modified food or ingredient that may provide health benefits beyond traditional ingredients'<sup>(97)</sup>; (2) 'foods that, by virtue of the presence of physiologically-active components, provide a health benefit beyond basic nutrition'<sup>(98)</sup>. As discussed above, chickpea is a relatively inexpensive source of different vitamins, minerals<sup>(9,99,100)</sup> and several bioactive compounds (phytates, phenolic compounds, oligosaccharides, enzyme inhibitors, etc.) that could aid in potentially lowering the risk of chronic diseases. Due to its potential nutritional value, chickpea is gaining consumer acceptance as a functional food. Recent reports of the importance of chickpea consumption in relation to health are discussed below.

### CVD, CHD and cholesterol control

In general, increased consumption of soluble fibre from foods results in reduced serum total cholesterol and LDL-cholesterol

(LDL-C) and has an inverse correlation with CHD mortality<sup>(101–106)</sup>. Usually, pulses and cereals have a comparable ratio of soluble to insoluble fibres per 100 g serving (about 1:3)<sup>(107)</sup>. Chickpea seeds are a relatively cheap source of DF and bioactive compounds (e.g. phytosterols, saponins and oligosaccharides); coupled with its low glycaemic index (GI), chickpea may be useful for lowering the risk of CVD<sup>(108)</sup>. Chickpea has a higher total DFC (about 18–22 g)<sup>(40)</sup> compared with wheat (about 12.7 g)<sup>(109)</sup> and a higher amount of fat compared with most other pulses or cereals<sup>(33,110)</sup>. However, two PUFA, LA and OA, constitute almost about 50–60% of chickpea fat. Intake of PUFA such as LA (the dominant fatty acid in chickpea; Table 4) has been shown to have a beneficial effect on serum lipids, insulin sensitivity and haemostatic factors, thereby it could be helpful in lowering the risk of CHD<sup>(111,112)</sup>.

Isoflavones are diphenolic secondary metabolites that may lower the incidence of heart disease due to (1) the inhibition of LDL-C oxidation<sup>(113,114)</sup>, (2) the inhibition of proliferation of aortic smooth muscle cells<sup>(115)</sup> and (3) the maintenance of the physical properties of arterial walls<sup>(116)</sup>. Ferulic and *p*-coumaric acids are polyphenols that are found in chickpea seeds at low concentrations, and these have been shown to reduce blood lipid levels in rats<sup>(117,118)</sup>.  $\beta$ -Carotene, the most studied carotenoid, is also present in chickpea seeds. Some cross-sectional and prospective studies have shown an inverse relationship between the incidence of CVD and plasma levels of antioxidants such as  $\beta$ -carotene and vitamin E<sup>(119)</sup>. However, a large-scale randomised controlled trial (RCT) involving 22 071 healthy individuals demonstrated no benefit or harm of  $\beta$ -carotene supplementation (50 mg on alternate days) on CVD, although this study concluded that  $\beta$ -carotene supplementation could have some apparent benefits on subsequent vascular events<sup>(120)</sup>. These neutral results have



also been supported by several other intervention and prevention trials as reviewed by Stanner *et al.*<sup>(121)</sup>. Therefore, despite the evidence supporting the increased occurrence of CVD with a low intake of antioxidants or low levels of antioxidants in the plasma, there is at present no evidence from intervention trials to support the beneficial effect of  $\beta$ -carotene on CVD or CHD. The role of  $\beta$ -carotene, along with other vitamins or nutrients, in helping to reduce the incidence of CVD needs to be further investigated.

Foods rich in saponins have been reported to reduce plasma cholesterol by 16–24%<sup>(122)</sup>. The mechanism of cholesterol reduction is by binding to dietary cholesterol<sup>(123)</sup> or bile acids, thereby increasing their excretion through faeces<sup>(124,125)</sup>.  $\beta$ -Sitosterol (the dominant phytosterol in chickpea) is helpful in decreasing serum cholesterol levels and the incidence of CHD<sup>(126–128)</sup>. A higher intake of folic acid helps in reducing serum homocysteine concentrations, a risk factor for CHD<sup>(129)</sup>. Folic acid supplementation has been shown to reduce homocysteine levels by 13.4–51.7%<sup>(130–132)</sup>. However, although a meta-analysis has shown an association between elevated levels of homocysteine and the risk of CHD and stroke<sup>(133)</sup>, there are no RCT that indicate a benefit of folic acid supplementation on the risk of CVD, CHD or stroke.

A fibre-rich chickpea-based pulse (non-soyabean) diet has been shown to reduce the total plasma cholesterol levels in obese subjects<sup>(134)</sup>. This study was conducted on thirty obese subjects (BMI 32.0 (SD 5.3) kg/m<sup>2</sup>) with a mean age group of 36 (SD 8) years. The subjects were divided into two groups of fifteen each and fed with a hypoenergetic diet consisting of a chickpea-based pulse diet and a control diet (no pulses) for a period of 8 weeks (4d/week). After 8 weeks, the total cholesterol levels in the chickpea-based pulse diet-fed group decreased from 215 to 182 mg/dl, whereas a smaller decrease (181 to 173 mg/dl) was observed for the control diet-fed group<sup>(134)</sup>. The proposed mechanism for this hypocholesterolaemic effect is the inhibition of fatty acid synthesis in the liver by fibre fermentation products such as propionate, butyrate and acetate<sup>(134)</sup>. SCFA (e.g. propionate) have been shown to inhibit both cholesterol and fatty acid biosynthesis by inhibiting acetate (provides acetyl-CoA) utilisation<sup>(135)</sup>. Feeding a chickpea diet to rats also resulted in a favourable plasma lipid profile<sup>(136)</sup>. In this study, thirty healthy male 'Sprague–Dawley' rats were fed three different diets for 8 months: a normal-fat diet (5 g fat, 22 g protein and 1381 kJ/100 g); a high-fat diet (HFD; lard 20% (w/w), sugar 4% (w/w), milk powder 2% (w/w) and cholesterol 1% (w/w) into standard laboratory chow, which contained 25.71 g fat, 19.54 g protein and 1987 kJ/100 g diet); a HFD plus chickpea diet (same as the HFD, but 10% crushed chickpea seeds replaced the standard chow; it contained 25.11 g fat, 19.36 g protein and 1965 kJ/100 g). Several pro-atherogenic factors, including TAG, LDL-C and LDL-C:HDL-cholesterol ratio, decreased with consuming the chickpea-based diet<sup>(136)</sup>. In eighty-four healthy 'Sprague–Dawley' rats divided into fourteen groups of six each fed diets containing chickpea (49–65.4% of diet) and peas (46–62% of diet) for 35 d, lower levels of plasma cholesterol were recorded<sup>(137)</sup>. The decrease in cholesterol levels varied with the processing method used; extrusion and

boiling had similar effects for chickpeas, whereas extrusion was most effective in peas. Phytosterols present in chickpea, along with other factors (e.g. isoflavones, oligosaccharides), reduce LDL-C levels in the blood by inhibiting the intestinal absorption of cholesterol due to the similarity in their chemical structure with cholesterol, thereby potentially reducing the risk of CHD<sup>(9,109)</sup>.

### Diabetes and blood pressure

Pulses such as chickpea have a higher amount of resistant starch and amylose<sup>(109)</sup>. Amylose has a higher degree of polymerisation (1667 glucose *v.* 540), rendering the starch in chickpea more resistant to digestion in the small intestine which ultimately results in the lower availability of glucose<sup>(109,138)</sup>. The lower bioavailability of glucose results in the slower entry of glucose into the bloodstream, thus reducing the demand for insulin which results in the lowering of the GI and insulinaemic postprandial response<sup>(139,140)</sup>. The lowering of the GI is an important aspect in reducing both the incidence and the severity of type 2 diabetes<sup>(141)</sup>. Further, increased consumption of resistant starch is related to improved glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity<sup>(102,142,143)</sup>. LA, a PUFA, is biologically important due to its involvement in the production of PG. PG are involved in the lowering of blood pressure and smooth muscle constriction<sup>(144)</sup>. Also, LA and linolenic acid are required for growth and performing different physiological functions<sup>(145)</sup>. Additionally, phytosterols, such as  $\beta$ -sitosterol, are helpful in reducing blood pressure<sup>(126–128)</sup>. LA and  $\beta$ -sitosterol are the major PUFA and phytosterol, respectively, in chickpea seeds; therefore, chickpea seeds could be incorporated as part of a regular diet that may help to reduce blood pressure.

Inclusion of chickpea in a high-fat rodent feed reduced the deposition of visceral and ecotopic fats, resulting in hypolipidaemia and insulin-sensitising effects in rats<sup>(136)</sup>. Incorporation of chickpeas in a human study also led to improvements in fasting insulin and total cholesterol content<sup>(146)</sup>. Total cholesterol and fasting insulin were reduced by 7.7 mg/dl and 5.2 pmol/l, respectively. In this study, forty-five healthy individuals were fed with a minimum of 104 g chickpea/d for 12 weeks as part of their regular diet.

### Cancer

Butyrate is a principal SCFA (about 18% of the total volatile fatty acids) produced from the consumption of a chickpea diet (200 g/d) in healthy adults<sup>(147)</sup>. Butyrate has been reported to suppress cell proliferation<sup>(148)</sup> and induce apoptosis<sup>(149)</sup>, which may reduce the risk of colorectal cancer. Butyrate inhibits histone deacetylase, which prevents DNA compaction and induces gene expression. It has also been suggested that butyrate shunts the cells along the irreversible pathway of maturation leading to cell death<sup>(149)</sup>. Inclusion of  $\beta$ -sitosterol (the major phytosterol in chickpea; Table 7) in a rat diet reduced *N*-methyl-*N*-nitrosourea (carcinogen)-induced colonic tumours<sup>(150)</sup>. Saponin-rich foods have been shown to inhibit pre-neoplastic lesions caused by azoxymethane in the

rat colon<sup>(151)</sup>. Protease inhibitors are also known to suppress carcinogenesis by different mechanisms, but their precise targets are still unknown<sup>(83,152,153)</sup>.

Lycopene, an oxygenated carotenoid present in chickpea seeds, may reduce the risk of prostate cancer<sup>(154)</sup>. Though there are association studies suggesting a role for lycopene in protection against prostate cancer, the results from very few RCT conducted are not sufficient either to support or refute the role of lycopene in cancer prevention<sup>(155,156)</sup>. Ziegler<sup>(157)</sup> reported that lower levels of carotenoids either in the diet or body can enhance the risk of certain types of cancer. Studies have shown a direct positive correlation between a carotenoid-rich diet and a decreased incidence of lung and other forms of cancer<sup>(158)</sup>. The cancer prevention ability of carotenoids could be due to their antioxidant properties<sup>(159)</sup>, but the exact mode of action needs to be identified.

Biochanin A, a chickpea isoflavone, inhibits the growth of stomach cancer cells *in vitro* and reduces tumour growth when the same cells are transferred to mice<sup>(79,160)</sup>. Further, chickpea isoflavone extract specifically inhibited epithelial tumour growth and had no effect on healthy cells<sup>(161)</sup>. Murillo *et al.*<sup>(162)</sup> have shown a 64% suppression of azoxymethane-induced aberrant cryptic foci in rats fed with 10% chickpea flour, and indicated that saponins could be one of the factors for the reduction of lesions. *N*-Nitrosodiethylamine, a nitrosoamine, has been reported to cause carcinogenesis through DNA mutation<sup>(163)</sup>. Inclusion of chickpea seed coat fibre in the diet has been shown to reduce the toxic effects of *N*-nitrosodiethylamine on lipid peroxidation and antioxidant potential<sup>(163)</sup>. The average percentage decrease in lipid peroxidation was about 21% in the liver and lungs, about 15.50% in the spleen and kidney and about 12.46% in the heart. In eighteen rats divided into three groups of six each, a hypercholesterolaemic diet was fed for 4 weeks: group I was fed a control hypercholesterolaemic diet (starch (63%), oil (10%), casein (15%), cellulose (5%), salt mixture (5%), yeast powder (1%) and cholesterol (1%)); group II fed a hypercholesterolaemic diet plus *N*-nitrosodiethylamine (100 mg/kg); group III fed a group II diet + 5% chickpea seed coat fibre.

### Weight loss/obesity

Intake of foods, which are rich in DF, is associated with a lower BMI<sup>(164,165)</sup>. Eating of foods with a high fibre content helps in reaching satiety faster (fullness post-meal), and this satiating effect lasts longer as fibre-rich foods require a longer time to chew and digest in the intestinal system<sup>(103,166)</sup>. Additionally, consumption of low-GI foods resulted in an increase in cholecystokinin (a gastrointestinal peptide and hunger suppressant) and increased satiety<sup>(167–169)</sup>. Diets with low-GI foods resulted in reduced insulin levels and higher weight loss compared with those with higher-GI foods<sup>(170)</sup>. Since chickpea is considered to be a low-GI food, it may help in weight-loss and obesity reduction.

Chickpea supplementation in the diet prevented increased body weight and the weight of epididymal adipose tissues in rats<sup>(136)</sup>. At the end of the 8-month experimental period, rats fed on a HFD weighed 654 g *v.* those fed with a HFD

plus chickpea (562 g). The epididymal fat pad weight:total body weight ratio was higher in rats fed on a HFD (0.032 g/g) compared with those fed on a HFD plus chickpea diet (0.023 g/g; details of this experiment are explained in the 'CVD, CHD and cholesterol control' section)<sup>(136)</sup>. Therefore, chickpea being a low-GI food could be an effective choice in weight-loss programmes. Chickpea has been reported to decrease fat accumulation in obese subjects. This aids in improving fat metabolism and could be helpful in correcting obesity-related disorders<sup>(136)</sup>. Chickpea supplementation in the diet resulted in increased satiation and fullness<sup>(171)</sup>. In this study, forty-two participants consumed a chickpea-supplemented diet (average 104 g/d) for 12 weeks; this was preceded and succeeded by their habitual diet for 4 weeks each.

### Gut health and laxation

A significant increase (18%) in DF intake was recorded when 140 g/d of chickpea and chickpea flour were consumed by nineteen healthy individuals for 6 weeks<sup>(172)</sup>. Similarly, Murty *et al.*<sup>(171)</sup> reported a 15% increase in DF intake in forty-two volunteers (age 52.17 (SD 6.30) years old). These studies revealed an overall improvement in bowel health accompanied by an increased frequency of defecation, ease of defecation and softer stool consistency while on a chickpea diet compared with a habitual diet. DF promote laxation/bowel function by aiding in the movement of material through the digestive system.

### Other health benefits

Chickpea seed oil contains different sterols, tocopherols and tocotrienols<sup>(173–175)</sup>. These phytosterols have been reported to exhibit anti-ulcerative, anti-bacterial, anti-fungal, anti-tumour and anti-inflammatory properties coupled with a lowering effect on cholesterol levels<sup>(171,176)</sup>.  $\Delta^7$ -Avenasterol and  $\Delta^5$ -avenasterol, phytosterols present in chickpea oil, have antioxidant properties even at frying temperatures<sup>(177)</sup>. Carotenoids such as lutein and zeaxanthin, the major carotenoids in chickpea seeds, are speculated to play a role in senile or age-related macular degeneration. Though there are some epidemiological and association studies suggesting a beneficial effect of lutein and zeaxanthin on age-related macular degeneration, evidence from RCT on the effect of carotenoids on age-related macular degeneration is not presently available<sup>(178)</sup>. Carotenoids have been reported to increase natural killer cell activity<sup>(179)</sup>. Vitamin A, a derivative of  $\beta$ -carotene, is important in several developmental processes in humans such as bone growth, cell division/differentiation and, most importantly, vision. It has been reported that at least three million children develop xerophthalmia (damage to cornea) and about 250 000–500 000 children become blind due to vitamin A deficiency<sup>(180)</sup>. Chickpea has been reported to have higher levels of carotenoids (explained above) than 'golden rice', and it could be potentially used as a source of dietary carotenoids.

Chickpea seeds have been used in traditional medicine as tonics, stimulants and aphrodisiacs<sup>(181)</sup>. Further, they are

used to expel parasitic worms from the body (anthelmintic property), as appetizers, for thirst quenching and reducing burning sensation in the stomach<sup>(35)</sup>. In the Ayurvedic system of medicine, chickpea preparations are used to treat a variety of ailments such as throat problems, blood disorders, bronchitis, skin diseases and liver- or gall bladder-related problems (biliousness)<sup>(182)</sup>. In addition to these applications, chickpea seeds are also used for blood enrichment, treating skin ailments, ear infections, and liver and spleen disorders<sup>(183)</sup>. Uygur people of China have used chickpea in herbal medicine for treating hypertension and diabetes for over 2500 years<sup>(184–186)</sup>.

## Conclusions

The information presented in this review shows the potential nutritional importance of chickpea and its role in improved nutrition and health. It is an affordable source of protein, carbohydrates, minerals and vitamins, DF, folate,  $\beta$ -carotene and health-promoting fatty acids. Scientific studies have provided some evidence to support the potential beneficial effects of chickpea components in lowering the risk of various chronic diseases, although information pertaining to the role of individual chickpea components in disease prevention and the mechanisms of action are limited to date. This is due to the complex nature of disease aetiology and various factors having an impact on their occurrence. It is imperative that the scientific community continues to unravel the mechanisms involved in disease prevention and determine how food bioactives from foods such as chickpea can influence human health. Further research, especially well-conducted RCT, needs to be performed to provide compelling evidence for the direct health benefits of chickpea consumption.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge the ICRISAT library staff and other researchers for their help in this review. The authors have no conflict of interests to declare. A. K. J. acquired the necessary material and wrote most of the sections. P. M. G. and R. N. C. contributed to the writing of the nutritional aspects of the manuscript. P. M. G. also corresponded with the other authors. C. L. L. G. helped in writing the introduction part.

## References

- Lev-Yadun S, Gopher A & Abbo S (2000) The cradle of agriculture. *Science* **288**, 1062–1063.
- FAOSTAT (2011) <http://faostat.fao.org/site/567/DesktopDefault.aspx> (accessed 12 December 2011).
- Moreno M & Cubero JI (1978) Variation in *Cicer arietinum* L. *Euphytica* **27**, 465–485.
- Frimpong A, Sinha A, Tar'an B, *et al.* (2009) Genotype and growing environment influence chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) seed composition. *J. Sci Food Agric* **89**, 2052–2063.
- Pande S, Siddique KHM, Kishore GK, *et al.* (2005) Ascochyta blight of chickpea: biology, pathogenicity, and disease management. *Aust J Agric Res* **56**, 317–332.
- Chibbar RN, Ambigaipalan P & Hoover R (2010) Molecular diversity in pulse seed starch and complex carbohydrates and its role in human nutrition and health. *Cereal Chem* **87**, 342–352.
- Geervani P (1991) Utilization of chickpea in India and scope for novel and alternative uses. In *Proceedings of a Consultants Meeting*, 27–30 March 1989, pp. 47–54. Patancheru, AP: ICRISAT.
- Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada (2006) Chickpea: situation and outlook. *Bi-weekly Bulletin* **19**, <http://www.agr.gc.ca>.
- Wood JA & Grusak MA (2007) Nutritional value of chickpea. In *Chickpea Breeding and Management*, pp. 101–142 [SS Yadav, R Redden, W Chen and B Sharma, editors]. Wallingford: CAB International.
- Muehlbauer FJ & Tullu A (1997) *Cicer arietinum* L. In *New CROP FactSHEET*, p. 6. Seattle, WA: Washington State University, USDA-ARS.
- Ibricci H, Knewton SJB & Grusak MA (2003) Chickpea leaves as a vegetable green for humans: evaluation of mineral composition. *J Sci Food Agric* **83**, 945–950.
- Chavan JK, Kadam SS & Salunkhe DK (1986) Biochemistry and technology of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) seeds. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* **25**, 107–157.
- Hulse JH (1991) Nature, composition and utilization of pulses. In *Uses of Tropical Grain Legumes, Proceedings of a Consultants Meeting*, 27–30 March 1989, pp. 11–27. Patancheru, AP: ICRISAT.
- Gecit HH (1991) Chickpea utilization in Turkey. In *Proceedings of a Consultants Meeting*, 27–30 March 1989, pp. 69–74. Patancheru, AP: ICRISAT.
- Zohary D & Hopf M (2000) *Domestication of Plants in the Old World*, 3rd ed. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Chibbar RN, Baga M, Ganeshan S, *et al.* (2004) Carbohydrate metabolism. In *Encyclopedia of Grain Science*, pp. 168–179 [C Wrigley, H Corke and CE Walker, editors]. London: Elsevier.
- Sánchez-Mata MC, Peñuela-Teruel MJ, Cámara-Hurtado M, *et al.* (1998) Determination of mono-, di-, and oligosaccharides in legumes by high-performance liquid chromatography using an amino-bonded silica column. *J Agric Food Chem* **46**, 3648–3652.
- Kozłowska H, Aranda P, Dostalova J, *et al.* (2001) Nutrition. In *Carbohydrates in Grain Legume Seeds: Improving Nutritional Quality and Agronomic Characters*. Oxon: CAB International.
- Jones DA, DuPont MS, Ambrose MJ, *et al.* (1999) The discovery of compositional variation for the raffinose family of oligosaccharides in pea seeds. *Seed Sci Res* **9**, 305–310.
- Han IH & Baik B-K (2006) Oligosaccharide content and composition of legumes and their reduction by soaking, cooking, ultrasound and high hydrostatic pressure. *Cereal Chem* **83**, 428–433.
- Bernabé M, Fenwick R, Frias J, *et al.* (1993) Determination, by NMR spectroscopy, of the structure of ciceritol, a pseudotrisaccharide isolated from lentils. *J Agric Food Chem* **41**, 870–872.
- Quemener B & Brillouet JM (1983) Ciceritol, a pinitol digalactoside from seeds of chickpea, lentil and white lupin. *Phytochemistry* **22**, 1745–1751.
- Aguilera Y, Martín-Cabrejas MA, Benítez V, *et al.* (2009) Changes in carbohydrate fraction during dehydration process of common legumes. *J Food Compos Anal* **22**, 678–683.

24. Singh U (1985) Nutritional quality of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.): current status and future research needs. *Plant Foods Hum Nutr* **35**, 339–351.
25. Jaya TV, Naik HS & Venkataraman LV (1979) Effect of germinated legumes on the rate of *in-vitro* gas production by *Clostridium perfringens*. *Nutr Rep Int* **20**, 393–401.
26. Rao PU & Belavady B (1978) Oligosaccharides in pulses: varietal differences and effects of cooking and germination. *J Agric Food Chem* **26**, 316–319.
27. Aman P (1979) Carbohydrates in raw and germinated seeds from mung bean and chickpea. *J Sci Food Agric* **30**, 869–875.
28. Jambunathan R & Singh U (1980) Studies on desi and kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars. 1. Chemical composition. In *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Chickpea Improvement*, 28 February–2 March 1979, ICRISAT, Hyderabad, India, pp. 61–66. Patancheru, AP: ICRISAT.
29. Dalgetty DD & Baik BK (2003) Isolation and characterization of cotyledon fibres from peas, lentils, and chickpea. *Cereal Chem* **80**, 310–315.
30. Özer S, Karaköy T, Toklu F, *et al.* (2010) Nutritional and physicochemical variation in Turkish kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) landraces. *Euphytica* **175**, 237–249.
31. Aguilera Y, Esteban RM, Benítez V, *et al.* (2009) Starch, functional properties, and microstructural characteristics in chickpea and lentil as affected by thermal processing. *J Agric Food Chem* **57**, 10682–10688.
32. United States Department of Agriculture (2010) USDA National nutrient database for standard reference, release 22 (2009). <http://www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/foodcomp/search/> (accessed 1 July 2010; 12 July 2010; 2 August 2010).
33. Williams PC & Singh U (1987) Nutritional quality and the evaluation of quality in breeding programs. In *The Chickpea*, pp. 329–356 [MC Saxena and KB Singh, editors]. Wallingford: CAB International.
34. Guillon F & Champ MM (2002) Carbohydrate fractions of legumes: uses in human nutrition and potential for health. *Br J Nutr* **88**, Suppl. 3, S293–S306.
35. Zia-Ul-Haq M, Iqbal S, Ahmad S, *et al.* (2007) Nutritional and compositional study of desi chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars grown in Punjab, Pakistan. *Food Chem* **105**, 1357–1363.
36. Khalil AW, Zeb A, Mahood F, *et al.* (2007) Comparative sprout quality characteristics of desi and kabuli type chickpea cultivars (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *LWT-Food Sci Technol* **40**, 937–945.
37. Rehman Z & Shah WH (2005) Thermal heat processing effects on antinutrients, protein and starch digestibility of food legumes. *Food Chem* **91**, 327–331.
38. Madhusudhan B & Tharanathan RN (1996) Structural studies of linear and branched fractions of chickpea and finger millet starches. *Carbohydr Res* **184**, 101–109.
39. American Association of Cereal Chemists (AACC) (2001) The definition of dietary fibre. (Report of the Dietary Fibre Definition Committee to the Board of Directors of the AACC.). *Cereal Foods World* **46**, 112–126.
40. Tosh SM & Yada S (2010) Dietary fibres in pulse seeds and fractions: characterization, functional attributes, and applications. *Food Res Int* **43**, 450–460.
41. Rincón F, Martínez B & Ibáñez MV (1998) Proximate composition and antinutritive substances in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) as affected by the biotype factor. *J Sci Food Agric* **78**, 382–388.
42. Wood JA, Knights EJ & Choct M (2011) Morphology of chickpea seeds (*Cicer arietinum* L.): comparison of desi and kabuli types. *Int J Plant Sci* **172**, 632–643.
43. Singh U (1984) Dietary fibre and its constituents in desi and kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars. *Nutr Rep Int* **29**, 419–426.
44. Haider M & Haider S (1984) Assessment of protein-calorie malnutrition. *Clin Chem* **30**, 1286–1299.
45. Iqbal A, Khalil IA, Ateeq N, *et al.* (2006) Nutritional quality of important food legumes. *Food Chem* **97**, 331–335.
46. Yust MM, Pedroche J & Giron-Calle J (2003) Production of ACE inhibitory peptides by digestion of chickpea legumin with alcalase. *J Food Chem* **81**, 363–369.
47. Sánchez-Vioque R, Clemente A, Vioque J, *et al.* (1999) Protein isolates from chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.): chemical composition, functional properties and protein characterization. *Food Chem* **64**, 237–243.
48. Badshah A, Khan M, Bibi N, *et al.* (2003) Quality studies of newly evolved chickpea cultivars. *Adv Food Sci* **25**, 95–99.
49. Singh U & Jambunathan R (1981) Studies on desi and kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars: levels of protease inhibitors, levels of polyphenolic compounds and *in vitro* protein digestibility. *J Food Sci* **46**, 1364–1367.
50. Ocampo B, Robertson LD & Singh KB (1998) Variation in seed protein content in the annual wild *Cicer* species. *J Sci Food Agric* **78**, 220–224.
51. Kaur M, Singh N & Sodhi NS (2005) Physicochemical, cooking, textural and roasting characteristics of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars. *J Food Eng* **69**, 511–517.
52. Khattak AB, Zeb A & Bibi N (2008) Impact of germination time and type of illumination on carotenoid content, protein solubility and *in vitro* protein digestibility of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) sprouts. *Food Chem* **109**, 797–801.
53. Clemente A, Sánchez-Vioque R, Vioque J, *et al.* (1998) Effect of cooking on protein quality of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) seed. *Food Chem* **62**, 1–6.
54. Chitra U, Vimala V, Singh U, *et al.* (1995) Variability in phytic acid content and protein digestibility of grain legumes. *Plant Foods Hum Nutr* **47**, 163–172.
55. Paredes-López O, Ordorica-Falomir C & Olivares-Vázquez MR (1991) Chickpea protein isolates: physicochemical, functional and nutritional characterization. *J Food Sci* **56**, 726–729.
56. Wang N & Daun JK (2004) The chemical composition and nutritive value of Canadian pulses. In *Canadian Grain Commission Report*, pp. 19–29.
57. Wang X, Gao W, Zhang J, *et al.* (2010) Subunit, amino acid composition and *in vitro* digestibility of protein isolates from Chinese kabuli and desi chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars. *Food Res Int* **43**, 567–572.
58. Alajaji SA & El-Adawy TA (2006) Nutritional composition of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) as affected by microwave cooking and other traditional cooking methods. *J Food Compos Anal* **19**, 806–812.
59. Shad MA, Pervez H, Zafar ZI, *et al.* (2009) Evaluation of biochemical composition and physicochemical parameters of oil from seeds of desi chickpea varieties cultivated in arid zone of Pakistan. *Pak J Bot* **41**, 655–662.
60. Gül MK, Ömer EC & Turhan H (2008) The effect of planting time in fatty acids and tocopherols in chickpea. *Eur Food Res Technol* **226**, 517–522.
61. Zia-Ul-Haq M, Ahmad M, Iqbal S, *et al.* (2007) Characterization and compositional study of oil from seeds of desi chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars grown in Pakistan. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* **84**, 1143–1148.



62. Tsaknis J (1998) Characterization of *Moringa peregrine* Arabian seed oil. *Grasses Acei* **49**, 170–176.
63. Zia-ul-Haq M, Ahmad S, Ahmad M, *et al.* (2009) Effects of cultivar and row spacing on tocopherol and sterol composition of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) seed oil. *J of Agric Sci (Tarim Bilimleri Dergisi)* **15**, 25–30.
64. Kirk SR & Sawyer R (1991) *Pearson's Composition and Analysis of Foods*, 9th ed. pp. 617–620. Essex: Longman Scientific and Technical Press.
65. Mabaleha MB & Yeboah SO (2004) Characterization and compositional studies of the oils from some legume cultivars, *Phaseolus vulgaris*, grown in Southern Africa. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* **81**, 361–364.
66. Siddhuraju P, Becker K & Makkar HPS (2001) Chemical composition, protein fractionation, essential amino acid potential and antimetabolic constituents of an unconventional legume, Gilabean (*Entada phaseoloides* Merrill) seed kernel. *J Sci Food Agric* **82**, 192–202.
67. Cabrera C, Lloris F, Giménez R, *et al.* (2003) Mineral content in legumes and nuts: contribution to the Spanish dietary intake. *Sci Tot Environ* **308**, 1–14.
68. Duhan A, Khetarpaul N & Bishnoi S (1999) In starch digestibility (*in vitro*) of various pigeonpea cultivars through processing and cooking. *Ecol Food Nutr* **37**, 557–568.
69. FAO (2002) *Human Vitamin and Mineral Requirement. Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation*. Bangkok: FAO. <http://www.fao.org/DOCREP/004/Y2809E/y2809e00.html>
70. Ibáñez MV, Rinch F, Amaro M, *et al.* (1998) Intrinsic variability of mineral composition of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Food Chem* **63**, 55–60.
71. Quinteros A, Farre R & Lagarda MJ (2001) Optimization of iron speciation (soluble, ferrous and ferric) in beans, chickpea and lentils. *Food Chem* **75**, 365–370.
72. Ciftci H, Ozkaya A, Cevrimli BS, *et al.* (2010) Levels of fat-soluble vitamins in some foods. *Asian J Chem* **22**, 1251–1256.
73. Lebidzińska A & Szefer P (2006) Vitamins B in grain and cereal-grain food, soy-products and seeds. *Food Chem* **95**, 116–122.
74. Singh F & Diwakar B (1993) Nutritive value and uses of pigeon pea and groundnut. In *Skill Development Series* no. 14. Patancheru, AP: ICRISAT. <http://dSPACE.icrisat.ac.in/dSPACE/bitstream/123456789/1464/1/Nutritive-Value-Uses-Pigeonpea-Groundnut.pdf>
75. Bartley GE & Scolnik PA (1995) Plant carotenoids: pigments for photoprotection, visual attraction, and human health. *Plant Cell* **7**, 1027–1038.
76. DellaPenna D & Pogson BJ (2006) Vitamin synthesis in plants: tocopherols and carotenoids. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* **57**, 711–738.
77. Abbo S, Molina C, Jungmann R, *et al.* (2005) Quantitative trait loci governing carotenoid concentration and weight in seeds of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Theor Appl Genet* **111**, 185–195.
78. Ye X, Babili A, Kioto A, *et al.* (2000) Engineering the provitamin A biosynthetic pathway into (carotenoid free) rice endosperm. *Science* **287**, 303–305.
79. Dixon RA (2004) Phytoestrogens. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* **55**, 225–261.
80. Champ MJM (2002) Non-nutrient bioactive substances of pulses. *Br J Nutr* **88**, Suppl. 3, S307–S319.
81. Mazur WM, Duke JA, Wahala K, *et al.* (1998) Isoflavonoids and lignans in legumes: nutritional and health aspects in humans. *J Nutr Biochem* **9**, 193–200.
82. Domoney C (1999) Inhibitor of legume seeds. In *Seed Protein*, pp. 635–655 [PR Shewry and R Casey, editors]. Amsterdam: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
83. Duranti M & Gius C (1997) Legume seeds: protein content and nutritional value. *J Field Crop Res* **53**, 31–45.
84. Roy F, Boye IJ & Simpson BK (2010) Bioactive proteins and peptides in pulse crops: pea, chickpea and lentil. *Food Res Int* **43**, 432–442.
85. Muzquiz M & Wood JA (2007) Antinutritional factors. In *Chickpea Breeding and Management*, pp. 143–166 [SS Yadav, B Redden, W Chen and B Sharma, editors]. Wallingford: CAB International.
86. Srinivasan A, Giri AP & Harsulkar AM (2008) A Kunitz trypsin inhibitor from chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) that exerts anti-metabolic effect on podborer (*Helicoverpa armigera*) larvae. *Plant Mol Biol* **57**, 359–374.
87. Smirnoff P, Khalef S, Birk Y, *et al.* (1976) A trypsin and chymotrypsin inhibitor from chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*). *Biochem J* **157**, 745–751.
88. Guillamon E, Pedrosa MM, Burbano C, *et al.* (2008) The trypsin inhibitors present in seed of different grain legume species and cultivar. *J Food Chem* **107**, 68–74.
89. Garcia-Cerreno FL (1996) Proteinase inhibitors. *Trends Foods Sci Technol* **7**, 197–204.
90. Sandberg AS (2002) Bioavailability of minerals in legumes. *Br J Nutr* **88**, Suppl. 3, S281–S285.
91. van der Poel AFB (1990) Effect of processing on antinutritional factors and protein nutritional value of dry beans. *Anim Feed Sci Technol* **2**, 179–208.
92. Cheryan M (1980) Phytic acid interactions in food systems. *CRC Crit Rev Food Sci* **13**, 297–335.
93. Oakenful D & Sidhu GS (1990) Could saponins be a useful treatment of hypercholesterolemia? *Eur J Nutr* **44**, 79–88.
94. Birk Y & Peri I (1980) Saponins. In *Toxic Constituents of Plant Foodstuff*, pp. 161–182 [IE Liener, editor]. New York: Academic Press.
95. Gupta Y (1987) Anti-nutritional and toxic factors in food legumes: a review. *Plant Foods Hum Nutr* **37**, 201–228.
96. Kerem Z, Lev-Yadun S, Gopher A, *et al.* (2007) Chickpea domestication in the Neolithic Levant through the nutritional perspective. *J Archaeol Sci* **34**, 1289–1293.
97. Milner JA (2000) Functional foods: the US perspective. *Am J Clin Nutr* **71**, S1654–S1659.
98. Hasler CM (2002) Functional foods: benefits, concerns and challenges – a position paper from the American Council on Science and Health. *J Nutr* **132**, 3772–3781.
99. Duke JA (1981) *Handbook of Legumes of World Economic Importance*. pp. 52–57. New York: Plenum Press.
100. Huisman J & Van der Poel AFB (1994) Aspects of the nutritional quality and use of cool season food legumes in animal feed. In *Expanding the Production and Use of Cool Season Food Legume*, pp. 53–76 [FJ Muehlbauer and WJ Kaiser, editors]. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
101. Kushi LH, Meyer KM & Jacobs DR (1999) Cereals, legumes, and chronic disease risk reduction: evidence from epidemiologic studies. *Am J Clin Nutr* **70**, 451S–458S.
102. James SL, Muir JG, Curtis SL, *et al.* (2003) Dietary fibre: a roughage guide. *Intern Med J* **33**, 291–296.
103. Marlett JA, McBurney MI & Slavin JL (2002) Position of the American Dietetic Association: health implications of dietary fibre. *J Am Diet Assoc* **102**, 993–1000.
104. Anderson JW & Hanna TJ (1999) Impact of nondigestible carbohydrates on serum lipoproteins and risk for cardiovascular disease. *J Nutr* **129**, 1457S–1466S.



105. Noakes M, Clifton P & McMurchie T (1999) The role of diet in cardiovascular health. A review of the evidence. *Aust J Nutr Diet* **56**, S3–S22.
106. Fehily A (1999) Legumes: types and nutritional value. In *Encyclopedia of Human Nutrition*, pp. 1181–1188 [M Sadler, editor]. vol. 2, New York: Academic Press.
107. Van Horn L (1997) Fibre, lipids, and coronary heart disease. A statement for healthcare professionals from the nutrition committee, American Heart Association. *Circulation* **95**, 2701–2704.
108. Duranti M (2006) Grain legume proteins and nutraceutical properties. *Fitoterapia* **77**, 67–82.
109. Pittaway JK, Ahuja KDK, Robertson IK, *et al.* (2007) Effects of a controlled diet supplemented with chickpea on serum lipids, glucose tolerance, satiety and bowel function. *J Am Coll Nutr* **26**, 334–340.
110. Messina MJ (1999) Legumes and soybeans: overview of their nutritional profiles and health effects. *Am J Clin Nutr* **70**, S439–S450.
111. Hu FB, Manson JE & Willett WC (2001) Types of dietary fat and risk of coronary heart disease: a critical review. *J Am Coll Nutr* **20**, 5–19.
112. Sanders TA, Oakley FR, Miller GJ, *et al.* (1997) Influence of *n*-6 versus *n*-3 polyunsaturated PUFAs in diets low in saturated PUFAs on plasma lipoproteins and hemostatic factors. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* **17**, 3449–3460.
113. Tikkanen MJ & Adlercreutz H (2000) Dietary soy-derived isoflavone phytoestrogens: could they have a role in coronary heart disease prevention? *Biochem Pharmacol* **60**, 1–5.
114. Tikkanen MJ, Wahala K, Ojala S, *et al.* (1998) Effect of soybean phytoestrogen intake on low density lipoprotein oxidation resistance. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **95**, 3106–3110.
115. Pan W, Ikeda K, Takebe M, *et al.* (2001) Genistein, daidzein and glycitein inhibit growth and DNA synthesis of aortic smooth muscle cells from stroke-prone spontaneously hypertensive rats. *J Nutr* **131**, 1154–1158.
116. van der Schouw YT, Pijpe A, Lebrun CEI, *et al.* (2002) Higher than usual dietary intake of phytoestrogens is associated with lower aortic stiffness in postmenopausal women. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* **22**, 1316–1322.
117. Sharma RD (1980) Effect of hydroxy acids on hypocholesterolemia in rats. *Atherosclerosis* **37**, 463–468.
118. Sharma RD (1984) Hypocholesterolemic effect of hydroxy acid components of Bengal gram. *Nutr Rep Int* **29**, 1315–1322.
119. Su L, Bui M, Kardinaal A, *et al.* (1998) Differences between plasma and adipose tissue biomarkers of carotenoids and tocopherols. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* **7**, 1043–1048.
120. Christen WG, Gaziano JM & Hennekens CH (2000) Design of Physicians' Health Study II – a randomized trial of beta-carotene, vitamins E and C, and multivitamins, in prevention of cancer, cardiovascular disease, and eye disease, and review of results of completed trials. *Ann Epidemiol* **10**, 125–134.
121. Stanner SA, Hughes J, Kelly CNM, *et al.* (2003) A review of the epidemiological evidence for the 'antioxidant hypothesis'. *Public Health Nutr* **7**, 407–422.
122. Thompson LU (1993) Potential health benefits and problems associated with antinutrients in foods. *Food Res Int* **26**, 131–149.
123. Gestener B, Assa Y, Henis Y, *et al.* (1972) Interaction of lucerne saponins with sterols. *Biochem Biophys Acta* **270**, 181–187.
124. Sidhu GS & Oakenful DG (1986) A mechanism for the hypocholesterolemic activity of saponins. *Br J Nutr* **55**, 643–649.
125. Zulet MA & Martínez JA (1995) Corrective role of chickpea intake on a dietary-induced model of hypercholesterolemia. *Plant Foods Hum Nutr* **48**, 269–277.
126. Ling WH & Jones PJ (1995) Dietary phytosterols: a review of metabolism, benefits and side effects. *Life Sci* **57**, 195–206.
127. Clark J (1996) Tocopherols and sterols from soybeans. *Lipid Technol* **8**, 111–114.
128. Moreau RA, Whitaker BD & Hicks KB (2002) Phytosterols, phytostanols, and their conjugates in foods: structural diversity, quantitative analysis, and health-promoting uses. *Prog Lipid Res* **41**, 457–500.
129. Albert CM, Cook RN, Gaziano JM, *et al.* (2008) Effect of folic acid and B vitamins on risk of cardiovascular events and total mortality among women at high risk for cardiovascular disease. a randomized trial. *JAMA* **299**, 2027–2036.
130. Baker F, Picton D & Blackwood S (2002) Blinded comparison of folic acid and placebo in patients with ischemic heart disease: an outcome trial [abstract]. *Circulation* **106**, 741S.
131. Righetti M, Ferrario GM, Milani S, *et al.* (2003) Effects of folic acid treatment on homocysteine levels and vascular disease in hemodialysis patients. *Med Sci Monit* **9**, PI19–PI24.
132. Bazzano LA, Reynolds K, Holder KN, *et al.* (2006) Effect of folic acid supplementation on risk of cardiovascular diseases. A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *JAMA* **296**, 2720–2726.
133. Homocysteine Studies Collaboration (2002) Homocysteine and risk of ischemic heart disease and stroke: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* **288**, 2015–2022.
134. Crujeiras AB, Parra D, Abete I, *et al.* (2007) A hypocaloric diet enriched in legumes specifically mitigates lipid peroxidation in obese subjects. *Free Radic Res* **41**, 498–506.
135. Wright RS, Anderson JW & Bridges SR (1990) Propionate inhibits hepatocyte lipid synthesis. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* **195**, 26–29.
136. Yang Y, Zhou L, Gu Y, *et al.* (2007) Dietary chickpea reverse visceral adiposity, dyslipidaemia and insulin resistance in rats induced by a chronic high-fat diet. *Br J Nutr* **98**, 720–726.
137. Wang YHA & McIntosh GH (1996) Extrusion and boiling improves rat body weight gain and plasma cholesterol lowering ability of peas and chickpea. *J Nutr* **126**, 3054–3062.
138. Muir JG & O'Dea K (1992) Measurement of resistant starch: factors affecting the amount of starch escaping digestion *in vitro*. *Am J Clin Nutr* **56**, 123–127.
139. Kendall CW, Emam A, Augustin LS, *et al.* (2004) Resistant starches and health. *J AOAC Int* **87**, 769–774.
140. Osorio-Díaz P, Agama-Acevedo E, Mendoza-Vinalay M, *et al.* (2008) Pasta added with chickpea flour: chemical composition, *in vitro* starch digestibility and predicted glycemic index. *Cienc Tecnol Aliment* **6**, 6–12.
141. Regina A, Bird A, Topping D, *et al.* (2006) High-amylose wheat generated by RNA interference improves indices of large-bowel health in rats. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**, 3546–3551.
142. Tharanathan RN & Mahadevamma S (2003) Grain legumes – a boon to human nutrition. *Trends Food Sci Technol* **14**, 507–518.
143. Jenkins DJ, Kendall CW, Augustin LS, *et al.* (2002) High-complex carbohydrate or lente carbohydrate foods? *Am J Med* **113**, Suppl. 9B, S30S–S37.

144. Aurand LW, Woods AE & Wells MR (1987) *Food Composition and Analysis*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company.
145. Pugalenti M, Vadivel V, Gurumoorthi P, *et al.* (2004) Comparative nutritional evaluation of little known legumes, *Tamarindus indica*, *Erythrina indica* and *Sesbania bispinosa*. *Trop Subtrop Agroecosys* **4**, 107–123.
146. Pittaway JK, Robertson IK & Ball MJ (2008) Chickpeas may influence fatty acid and fiber intake in an *ad libitum* diet, leading to small improvements in serum lipid profile and glycemic control. *J Am Diet Assoc* **108**, 1009–1013.
147. Fernando WMU, Hill JE, Zello GA, *et al.* (2010) Diets supplemented with chickpea or its main oligosaccharide component raffinose modify faecal microbial composition in healthy adults. *Benef Microb* **1**, 197–207.
148. Cummings JH, Stephen AM & Branch WJ (1981) Implications of dietary fibre breakdown in the human colon. In *Banbury Report 7 Gastrointestinal Cancer*, pp. 71–81 [WR Bruce, P Correa, M Lipkin, S Tannenbaum and TD Wilkins, editors]. New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
149. Mathers JC (2002) Pulses and carcinogenesis: potential for the prevention of colon, breast and other cancers. *Br J Nutr* **88**, Suppl. 3, S273–S279.
150. Raicht RF, Cohen BI, Fazzini EP, *et al.* (1980) Protective effect of plant sterols against chemically induced colon tumors in rats. *Cancer Res* **40**, 403–405.
151. Koratkar R & Rao AV (1997) Effect of soya bean saponins on azoxymethane-induced preneoplastic lesions in the colon of mice. *Nutr Cancer* **27**, 206–209.
152. Moy LY & Bilings PC (1994) A proteolytic activity in human breast cancer cell which is inhibited by the anticarcinogenic Bowman–Birk protease inhibitor. *Cancer Lett* **85**, 205–210.
153. Kennedy AR (1993) Cancer prevention by protease inhibitors. *Prev Med* **22**, 796–811.
154. Giovannucci E, Ascherio A, Rimm EB, *et al.* (1995) Intakes of carotenoids and retinal in relation to risk of prostate cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* **87**, 1767–1776.
155. Konijeti R, Henning S, Moro A, *et al.* (2010) Chemoprevention of prostate cancer with lycopene in the tramp model. *Prostate* **70**, 1547–1554.
156. Ilic D, Forbes KM & Hasset C (2011) Lycopene for the prevention of prostate cancer (Review). In *The Cochrane Collaboration*, pp. 1–23. Chichester: John Wiley, Sons. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/14651858.CD008007.pub2/abstract>
157. Ziegler RG (1989) A review of epidemiologic evidence that carotenoids reduce the risk of cancer. *J Nutr* **119**, 116–122.
158. Bendich A (1994) Recent advances in clinical research involving carotenoids. *Pure Appl Chem* **66**, 1017–1024.
159. Seis H, Stahl W & Sundquist AR (1992) Antioxidant functions of vitamins. Vitamins E and C, beta-carotene, and other carotenoids. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* **669**, 7–20.
160. Yanagihara K, Ito A, Toge T, *et al.* (1993) Antiproliferative effects of isoflavones on human cancer cell lines established from the gastrointestinal tract. *Cancer Res* **53**, 5815–5821.
161. Girón-Calle J, Vioque J, del Mar Yust M, *et al.* (2004) Effect of chickpea aqueous extracts, organic extracts and protein concentrates on cell proliferation. *J Med Food* **7**, 122–129.
162. Murillo G, Choi JK, Pan O, *et al.* (2004) Efficacy of garbanzo and soybean flour in suppression of aberrant crypt foci in the colons of CF-1 mice. *Anticancer Res* **24**, 3049–3056.
163. Mittal G, Vadhera S, Brar APS, *et al.* (2009) Protective role of chickpea seed coat fibre on *N*-nitrosodiethylamine-induced toxicity in hypercholesterolemic rats. *Exp Toxicol Pathol* **61**, 363–370.
164. Howarth NC, Saltzman E & Roberts SB (2001) Dietary fibre and weight regulation. *Nutr Rev* **59**, 129–139.
165. Pereira MA & Ludwig DS (2001) Dietary fibre and body-weight regulation. Observations and mechanisms. *Pediatr Clin North Am* **48**, 969–80.
166. Burley VJ, Paul AW & Blundell JE (1993) Influence of a high-fibre food (myco-protein) on appetite: effects on satiation (within meals) and satiety (following meals). *Eur J Clin Nutr* **47**, 409–418.
167. Swinburn BA, Caterson I, Seidell JC, *et al.* (2004) Diet, nutrition and the prevention of excess weight gain and obesity. *Public Health Nutr* **7**, 123–146.
168. Brand-Miller J, Holt SHA, Pawlak DB, *et al.* (2002) Glycemic index and obesity. *Am J Clin Nutr* **76**, 281S–285S.
169. Holt S, Brand J, Soveny C, *et al.* (1992) Relationship of satiety to postprandial glycemic, insulin and cholecystokinin responses. *Appetite* **18**, 129–141.
170. Slabber M, Barnard HC, Kuyil JM, *et al.* (1994) Effects of a low-insulin-response, energy-restricted diet on weight loss and plasma insulin concentrations in hyperinsulinemic obese females. *Am J Clin Nutr* **60**, 48–53.
171. Murty CM, Pittaway JK & Ball MJ (2010) Chickpea supplementation in an Australian diet affects food choice, satiety and bowel function. *Appetite* **54**, 282–288.
172. Nestel P, Cehun M & Chronopoulos A (2004) Effects of long-term consumption and single meals of chickpea on plasma glucose, insulin, and triacylglycerol concentrations. *Am J Clin Nutr* **79**, 390–395.
173. Akihisa T, Yasukawa K, Yamaura M, *et al.* (2000) Triterpene alcohol and sterol formulations from rice bran and their anti-inflammatory effects. *J Agric Food Chem* **48**, 2313–2319.
174. Gopala Krishna AG, Prabhakar JV & Aitzetmuller K (1997) Tocopherol and fatty acid composition of some Indian pulses. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* **74**, 1603–1606.
175. Akihisa T, Nishimura Y, Nakamura N, *et al.* (1992) Sterols of *Cajanus cajan* and three other leguminosae seeds. *Phytochemistry* **31**, 1765–1768.
176. Arisawa M, Kinghorn DA, Cordell GA, *et al.* (1985) Plant anti-cancer agents xxxvi, schottenol glucoside from *Accharis cordifolia* and *Ipomopsis* aggregate. *Plant Med* **6**, 544–555.
177. Wang T, Hicks KB & Moreau R (2002) Antioxidant activity of phytosterols, oryzanol, and other phytosterol conjugates. *J Am Oil Chem Soc* **79**, 1201–1206.
178. Mozaffarieh M, Sacu S & Wedrich A (2003) The role of the carotenoids, lutein and zeaxanthin, in protecting against age-related macular degeneration: a review based on controversial evidence. *Nutr J* **2**, 20.
179. Santos MS, Leka LS, Ribaya JDM, *et al.* (1998) Beta-carotene-induced enhancement of natural killer cell activity in elderly men: an investigation of the role of cytokines. *Am J Clin Nutr* **66**, 917–924.
180. Reifen R (2002) Vitamin A as an anti inflammatory agent. *Proc Nutr Soc* **3**, 397–400.
181. Pandey G & Enumeratio G (1993) *Planta Medica Gyanendra Ausadhiya Padapavali*. pp. 116. Delhi: Spring.
182. Sastry CST & Kavathekar KY (1990) *Plants for Reclamation of Wastelands*. pp. 684. New Delhi: Council of Scientific and Industrial Research.
183. Warner PKW, Nambiar VPK & Remankutty C (1995) *Indian Medicinal Plants*. pp. 773–774. Chennai: Orient Longman.
184. Li YH, Jiang B, Zhang T, *et al.* (2008) Antioxidant and free radical-scavenging activities of chickpea protein hydrolysate (CPH). *Food Chem* **106**, 444–450.



185. Zhang T, Jiang B & Wang Z (2007) Nutrition and application of chickpea (in Chinese). *Cereals Oils* **7**, 18–20.
186. Zhang T, Jiang B & Wang Z (2007) Gelation properties of chickpea protein isolates. *Food Hydrocoll* **21**, 280–286.
187. Rao HK & Subramanian N (1970) Essential amino acid composition of commonly used Indian pulses by paper chromatography. *J Food Sci Technol* **7**, 31–34.
188. Baker BE, Papaconstantinou JA, Cross CK, *et al.* (1961) Protein and lipid constitution of Pakistani pulses. *J Sci Food Agric* **12**, 205–207.
189. Rao DSS & Deosthale YG (1981) Mineral composition of four Indian food legumes. *J Food Sci* **46**, 1962–1963.
190. Singh U (1988) Anti-nutritional factors of chickpea and pigeonpea and their removal by processing. *Plant Foods Hum Nutr* **38**, 251–261.