Nitrous Oxide Emission from Soils

K. L. Sahrawat and D. R. Keeney*

I.	Introduction	103
II.	Processes Contributing to N ₂ O Production	104
	A. Nitrification and N ₂ O Emission	
	B. Factors Affecting N_2O Production via Nitrification	
	C. Denitrification and N ₂ O Emission	114
	D. Factors Affecting N ₂ O Production via Denitrification	
	E. Other Processes Contributing to N ₂ O Production	126
III.	Mechanisms of N ₂ O Production in Soils	126
	A. Chemical Reactions	
	B. Biological Reactions	
IV.	Control of N ₂ O Emission from Soils	
	A. Effects of Management and Native Conditions on N ₂ O	
	Emissions from Different Soil Ecosystems	129
	B. Ecological Factors Affecting N ₂ O Emissions	136
	C. Use of Chemicals to Control N ₂ O Emissions	
V.	Summary	
	References	

I. Introduction

Nitrous oxide (N₂O) constitutes only 350 parts per billion (ppb) of the atmosphere. Its production by biochemical processes in soils has long been recognized (Delwiche, 1981; Payne, 1981a, b). However, recent

^{*}Department of Soil Science, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53706, USA.

Contribution from the college of Agricultural and Life Sciences and by the National Science Foundation.

Present address (KLS): International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru P.O., Andhra Pradesh 502324, India.

concern about the involvement of N₂O in promoting destruction of the stratospheric ozone layer, which protects the biosphere from harmful ultraviolet radiation (Crutzen, 1970, 1971, 1974, 1981; Johnston, 1971, 1977; CAST, 1976; McElroy et al., 1977; Liu et al., 1977), and also the concern that the increased N₂O may contribute to the "greenhouse effect" caused by increased CO₂ concentration (Yung et al., 1976), have resulted in extensive research on how N₂O emissions are affected by fertilizer N usage for meeting food, feed, and fiber requirements of the world (Delwich, 1981; Payne, 1981b; Keeney, 1982; Firestone, 1982; Freney and Simpson, 1983).

Nitrous oxide is the source of various oxides of N that catalyze the destruction of ozone by photochemical reactions (Crutzen, 1981). These can be represented as follows:

$$O_3 + hv \rightarrow O + O_2$$

 $O + NO_2 \rightarrow NO + O_2$
 $NO + O_3 \rightarrow NO_2 + O_2$

This series of reactions results in the net loss of ozone, which can be represented as:

$$2 O_3 \rightarrow 3 O_2$$

Measurement of N₂O emissions from soils as a result of use of N in inorganic or organic forms is of interest to atmospheric scientists, agronomists, and soil scientists. Atmospheric scientists' concern arises from the effect of N₂O on ozone destruction, while agronomists and soil scientists view N₂O emission as a mechanism of N loss from soils. Additional interest in N₂O measurement as a measure of direct denitrification loss of N has arisen from a recent finding that acetylene blocks the conversion of N₂O to N₂ during denitrification in soils (Balderston *et al.*, 1976; Yoshinari and Knowles, 1976; Yoshinari *et al.*, 1977; M. S. Smith *et al.*, 1978). Although there are problems associated with the use of acetylene to measure N loss through denitrification, this finding has stimulated interest in N₂O emission as a direct measurement of in situ denitrification under field conditions (Tiedje, 1982).

Several recent reviews focus on the processes leading to N₂O emission from soils (Trudinger et al., 1980; Delwiche, 1981; Payne, 1981b; Firestone, 1982). The purpose of this review is to put together the available information on biological and chemical processes in soils that are connected with N₂O production from different soil ecosystems, mechanism of N₂O emission under managed and native soil ecosystems, and the management factors potentially of use in control of N₂O emission in relation to processes contributing to these emissions.

II. Processes Contributing to N₂O Production

While denitrification has been considered the major source of N₂O in soils and waters (CAST, 1976; Delwiche, 1981; Payne, 1981a), it is now

recognized that nitrification may also contribute to N₂O emissions (Bremner and Blackmer, 1981). Nitrous oxide also can be formed by chemical reactions when [NO₂] or NH₂OH are decomposed in acid soils, producing small amounts of N₂O (Corbet, 1935; Arnold, 1954; Nelson and Bremner, 1970; Bremner and Blackmer, 1980; Nelson, 1982), but these processes likely contribute relatively little to N₂O producton in soils as compared to nitrification and denitrification.

A. Nitrification and N₂O Emission

The first indication of N₂O formation during nitrification was obtained by Corbet (1935) with cultures of nitrifiers. He suggested that N₂O was produced by the microorganisms that oxidized [NH₄]⁺ or NH₂OH to [NO₂]⁻. Later studies (Yoshida and Alexander, 1970, 1971) showed that the cells of Nitrosomonas europaea produced N₂O during oxidation of [NH₄]⁺ or NH₂OH to [NO₂]⁻. Ritchie and Nicholas (1972, 1974) provided evidence that [NO₂] was reduced to N₂O by nitrate reductase synthesized by Nitrosomonas europaea. It was generally believed that much of the N₂O produced in soils was the result of denitrification of [NO₁] under anaerobic or microanaerobic conditions. However, recent studies (Blackmer and Bremner, 1977; Bremner and Blackmer, 1978, 1979; Freney et al., 1978, 1979; Goodroad and Keeney, 1984a, 1985; Robertson and Tiedie, 1984; Sahrawat et al., 1985) have shown that N₂O is produced in soils under aerobic conditions in the presence of nitrifiable forms of N and may also represent a major source of marine N₂O (Elkins et al., 1978). Blackmer et al. (1980) found that N₂O was evolved when sterilized soils were incubated under aerobic conditions after treatment with cultures of nitrifying microorganisms by Nitrosomonas, Nitrosospira, or Nitrosolobus species and (NH₄)₂SO₄ (Table 1). Nitrous oxide was not evolved when the sterilized soils, treated with [NH₄]⁺, were not inoculated with nitrifying microorganisms, indicating that the nitrifiers were capable of producing N_2O in soils.

Bremner and Blackmer (1981), in a summary of the recent literature on N_2O emission associated with nitrification, concluded that N_2O was evolved from well-aerated soils, and that N_2O production was related to the nitrifiable N content and was not affected by the addition of $[NO_3]^--N$. Emissions of N_2O from well-aerated soils treated with $[NH_4]^+-N$ or urea were greatly retarded by the nitrification inhibitor, nitrapyrin [2-chloro-g-(tri-chloromethyl)pyridine], which retards nitrification of $[NH_4^+]-N$.

Field studies of N_2O emission from Iowa soils showed that the amounts of N_2O evolved from plots treated with $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ or urea markedly exceeded those from plots receiving the same amount of N as $Ca(NO_3)_2$. The fertilizer-induced emissions of N_2O-N observed during 96 days from plots treated with $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ (125 to 250 kg N ha ⁻¹) constituted only 0.11 to 0,18% of the fertilizer N applied. The amount of

Table 1. Effects of ammonia-oxidizing chemoautotriphic nitrifiers on emission of N_2O from sterilized soil treated with ammonium sulfate^{a,b}

Nitrifier strain used	N_2O-N evolved in 21 days (ng g ⁻¹ of soil)
None	< 1
Nitrosomonas europaea	712
Nitrosomonas Tara	30
Nitrosomonas E-K	473
Nitrosolobus AV-3	102
Nitrosolobus Bearden	126
Nitrosopira AV-2	208

^aFrom Blackmer et al., (1980) with permission.

N₂O emitted from plots receiving Ca(NO₃)₂ did not increase with the amount of N applied and was not appreciably greater than the amounts emitted from control plots (Table 2, Breitenbeck *et al.*, 1980). Bremner *et al.* (1981) studied the effect of anhydrous ammonia (NH₃) fertilization of three Iowa field soils (250 kg N ha⁻¹) on N₂O emissions and found that the emissions of N₂O—N from the fertilized soils in 139 days averaged 15.6 kg ha⁻¹ and ranged from 12.1 to 19.6 kg ha⁻¹. The emissions of N₂O—N from the unfertilized soils averaged 2.0 kg ha⁻¹. The fertilizer-induced N₂O emissions represented 4.0 to 6.8% of the fertilizer N applied (Table 3).

Table 2. Effects of different forms and amounts of fertilizer nitrogen on N_2O evolved from field plots during 96 days^a

Nitroger	ı fertilizer		
Form	Amount (kg N ha ⁻¹)	Amount of N_2O evolved (g N ha ⁻¹)	N ₂ O-N evolved as % of N added
None	-	330	
$Ca(NO_3)_2$	125	382	0.04
$Ca(NO_3)_2$	250	358	0.01
Urea	125	503	0.14
Urea	250	617	0.12
$(NH_4)_2SO_4$	125	557	0.18
$(NH_4)_2SO_4$	250	613	0.11

^aFrom Breitenbeck et al., Geophys. Res. Lett. 7, 85-88, 1980; copyright by the American Geophysical Union.

^bSoil samples (30 g) of sterilized storden soil were treated with (NH₄)₂SO₄ (100 mg kg⁻¹ soil) and inoculated with nitrifier specified and incubated (30°C; 0.23 ml water per gram of soil) under air in 1.2-L flasks. Flask atmospheres were renewed after 2, 7, and 14 days.

Typic Calcia-

Typic Hapla-

Typic Hapla-

quolls

quolls

quolls

Harps

Webster

Canisteo

Mean

5.3

6.8

4.0

5.4

1.7

15.0

2.5

19.6

2.0

12.0

2.0

15.6

Amount of N₂O-N evolved 96 days 139 days Soil Fertilizer % of N % of N Series Subgroup treatment^b kg ha-1 applied kg ha⁻¹ applied

1.6

14.9

2.3

19.2

1.9

2.0

15.4

12.0

5.3

6.8

4.0

5.4

None

None

None

None

AA

AA

AA

AA

Table 3. Effect of anhydrous ammonia fertilization on N₂O emission from soils^a

Nitrous oxide emissions exceeded considerably those in similar field studies using Ca(NO₃)₂, urea, or (NH₄)₂SO₄ (for comparison, see results in Tables 2 and 3).

Freney et al. (1979) found that N₂O was emitted from soils at water contents ranging from air dry to field capacity. The rate of emission increased with increase in temperature from 4 to 37°C. Nitrous oxide formation resulted from microbiological processes and was prevented by autoclaving and inhibited by HgCl₂ or toluene. Apparently N₂O was formed during nitrification at lower moisture content (see also Bremner and Blackmer, 1978, 1979).

Cochran et al. (1981) followed N₂O emission via nitrification from a fallow field fertilized with 0, 55, 110, and 220 kg N ha⁻¹ as NH₃. The daily fluxes of N₂O ranged from 1 to 15 g N ha⁻¹ day⁻¹, with the least from unfertilized plots and maximum from the plots fertilized with 200 kg N. However, even at the highest rate of N application less than 0.1% of the applied N was recovered as N2O after 5 weeks. Nitrate tended to accumulate in soil fertilized with higher rates of NH₃.

B. Factors Affecting N₂O Production via Nitrification

Soil and environmental factors that affect nitrification also influence N₂O emissions via the nitrification process. Soil pH, organic matter, water and oxygen status, and the capacity of soils to supply nitrifiable N ([NO₃]⁻¹ production) have greatest influence on N₂O production in soils.

^a(Adapted from Bremner et al., J. Environ. Quality 10, 1981, 77-80 by permission of the Am. Soc Agro., Crop Sci. Soc. Am., Soil Sci. Soc. Am.)

^bAA, anhydrous ammonia was applied at the rate of 250 kg N ha⁻¹.

Among the environmental factors, temperature, rainfall (soil water content), and the soil ecosystem and nutrient status affect N_2O emissions.

1. Nitrifier Culture Studies

Nitrous oxide emissions from the cultures of nitrifiers isolated from soils are also influenced by cell density, age of cells, pH, O₂ concentration, temperature, concentration of such elements as phosphate, and the concentration of substrate ([NH₄]⁺ or NH₂OH). Yoshida and Alexander (1970, 1971) found that N₂O emissions by *Nitrosomonas europaea* increased with increasing concentration of substrate ([NH₄]⁺ or NH₂OH), high pH, or an increase in temperature from 0 to 35°C. Cell age and density and phosphate concentration also greatly influenced N₂O evolution. They found that, in addition to *Nitrosomonas* species, several heterotrophic microorganisms, such as *Bacillus subtilis*, *Escherichia coli*, *Aerobacter aerogenes*, *Aspergillus flavus*, and *Penillium atrovenetum*, were capable of forming N₂O from [NO₃]⁻ or [NO₂]⁻.

Goreau et al. (1980), in a study with Nitrosomonas species isolated from marine environments, found that low O_2 partial pressures (0.005 to 0.2 atm or 0.18 to 7 mg L⁻¹) decreased the rate of [NO₂]⁻ production from 3.6 $\times 10^{-10}$ to 0.5×10^{-10} mmol of [NO₂]⁻ per cell but increased emissions of N₂O from 1×10^{-12} to 4.3×10^{-12} mmol N day⁻¹ per cell. Nitrifying bacteria from the genera Nitrosomonas, Nitrosospira, Nitrosolobus, and Nitrosococcus emitted similar quantities of N₂O at 0.2 atm O₂. Nitrite-oxidizing bacteria (Nitrobacter species) did not emit measurable quantities of N₂O during growth. Cates (1983) studied the physiological and N substrate factors affecting N₂O-N production by cultures of nitrifers maintained at pH 7.5 and at 23°C under aerobic conditions (0.2 atm O₂) and reported that the physiological growth stage of the nitrifiers greatly influenced N₂O production. The emission of N₂O-N ranged from 0.2 × 10^{-3} to 23 × 10^{-3} mol per mole of [NH₄]⁺ oxidized. Nitrate level did not affect N₂O emission rates.

Nitrous oxide can be produced by microorganisms other than classical nitrifiers or denitrifiers. Several *Rhizobium* spp. were shown by Zablotowic *et al.* (1978) to be capable of reducing [NO₃]⁻ to N₂O under anoxic conditions, and O'Hara *et al.* (1983) confirmed that N₂O was the dominant gaseous N product. O'Hara *et al.* (1983) found the denitrifying enzymes of *Rhizobium japonicum*, *R. hupini*, and *R. meliloti* to be O₂ sensitive. *Rhizobium*-dependent denitrification may be an important avenue of N loss in certain ecological situations (Daniel *et al.*, 1980; O'Hara *et al.*, 1984). M. S. Smith and Zimmerman (1981) found 209 of 214 soil bacterial isolates capable of producing N₂O from [NO₃]⁻, but only 46 of these were true respiratory denitrifiers. They were unable to evaluate the significance of these nondenitrifying [NO₃]⁻ reducers as a source of soil N₂O but felt they might contribute to N₂O evolution from soil.

Similarly, Bleakley and Tiedje (1982) and Burth *et al.* (1982) have isolated numerous heterotrophic bacteria, yeasts, and fungi capable of producing N_2O . Therefore, it is apparent that N_2O can be produced by nonnitrifiers and nondenitrifiers and that N_2O production involves a complex ecological niche in the soil N cycle.

2. Soil Studies

Bremner and Blackmer (1981) have summarized the factors affecting N₂O emissions during nitrification. Nitrous oxide was emitted from soils under very well-aerated conditions and N2O emission also was observed from soil samples containing less than 5% water. Nitrous oxide emission rates increased with increase in pH and soil organic matter content and were strongly increased on amendment of soils with nitrifiable sources of inorganic N or plant and organic residues. Increase in soil temperature from 5 to 30°C increased the rate of emission of N₂O from well-aerated soil samples. The temperature effect was far more pronounced when the samples were amended with 200 µg g⁻¹ of [NH₄]⁺-N. Nitrous oxide emissions from unamended samples increased from 2 to 5 ng g⁻¹ soil with increase in temperature from 5 to 30°C and the [NH₄]⁺-amended samples had N_2O-N emission increases of from 16 to 242 ng g^{-1} soil in 16 days (Bremner and Blackmer, 1981). Similarly, Freney et al. (1979) reported that N₂O emission rates from Australian soils increased with increase in soil temperature from 4 to 37°C. Blackmer et al. (1982) found that N₂O emissions from field, moist unamended soil increased with increase in temperature from 10 to 40°C and Q_{10} values, the rate of reaction increase for each 10° increase in temperature, varied from 1.35 to 2.28 (Table 4).

Soil water content also greatly affects N₂O production in soils under aerobic conditions. Freney et al. (1979) reported that N₂O emissions

	N ₂ O-N evolved	
Temperature (°C)	in 21 hr (ng g^{-1} soil) (s.d.)	Q_{10}
10	8.3 (0.5)	

Table 4. Effect of temperature on emission of N_2O from soil^{a,b}

20

30

18.9 (0.8)

37.6 (0.5)

50.9 (3.3)

2.28

1.99

1.35

^a(Adapted from Blackmer et al., Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 46, 1982, 937-942, by permission of Soil Sci. Soc. Am.).

^bSamples of field-moist soil (Typic Hapla-quoll, 23% water) were incubated at the specified temperature for 21 hr.

increased with increase in soil water from air dry to field capacity, and they concluded that production of N₂O was continuous at all moisture contents. Studies reported by Bremner and Blackmer (1981) also indicated that emissions of N₂O increased with increase in soil moisture from 20 to 150% of the water-holding capacity (WHC). It was further observed that emissions of N₂O during 30 days from soils amended with [NH₄]⁺ exceeded those from samples amended with [NO₃]⁻ when the amended soils were saturated with water to promote denitrification. They also found that air drying of soil samples prior to aerobic incubation greatly increased N₂O emission rates.

The emissions of N₂O from soils are also greatly affected by addition of chemicals that retard nitrification. For example, nitrapyrin, HgCl₂, toluene, and CS₂ have been reported to retard N₂O emissions associated with nitrification (Freney et al., 1978, 1979; Blackmer et al., 1980; Bremner and Blackmer, 1980, 1981). Acetylene, which is known to inhibit oxidation of [NH₄]⁺ by nitrifying microorganisms (Hynes and Knowles, 1978; Walter et al., 1979), is also reported to retard N₂O emissions associated with nitrification in well-aerated soils (Bremner and Blackmer, 1979, 1981; Blackmer et al., 1980; Aulakh et al., 1984b).

Goodroad and Keeney (1984a) investigated N₂O production in aerobically incubated soils as affected by pH, temperature, and water content. Samples of a silt loam collected from plots maintained at pH 4.7, 5.1, or 6.7 were used. It was found that nitrification of added $[NH_4]^+-N$ increased with increase in soil pH (4.7 to 6.7), temperature (10 to 30°C), and water content (10 to 30% v/v). The rate of N₂O emission was proportional to [NO₃] production and on the average 0.1% of the nitrified N was evolved as N₂O-N in 5 days. The ratio of N₂O-N to [NO₃]⁻-N produced was greatly affected by soil pH and temperature, particularly at 5 kPa soil water potential (Table 5). Addition of nutrients, such as P, and liming materials, such as CaCO₃, can also affect N₂O evolution from soils in some situations. For example, Minami and Fukushi (1983) found that application of P or CaCO₃ to four Japanese soils increased N₂O emission under aerobic incubation. However, Pinduced N₂O emissions were larger than those obtained with CaCO₃. The addition of CaCO3 and P enhanced the growth of ammonium oxidizers and accumulation of [NO₂]⁻-N which probably accounted for enhanced N₂O evolution.

Addition of CaCO₃ to six acid forest soils increased emissions of N₂O during 28 days of aerobic incubation at 30°C under field-moist conditions, but P application had little effect on N₂O emissions (Table 6, Sahrawat *et al.*, 1985). It was found that CaCO₃ addition greatly enhanced ammonification or non- or slowly nitrifying soils and both ammonification and nitrification in nitrifying soils. From 0.03 to 0.3% of the $[NH_4]^+$ -N nitrified was released as N₂O-N. Calcium carbonate addition increased the soil pH to the neutral range (6.7 to 7.5) and increased

Table 5. Ratio of N₂O-N to [NO₃]⁻-N produced in Plano silt loam soils of varying pH at different water contents and temperatures under aerobic incubation for 5 days^{ab}

				(N ₂ O-1	$(N_2O-N/[NO_3]^N) \times 10^{-3}$	$\times 10^{-3}$			
Soil water		pH 4.7		İ	pH 5.1		11 13 13 13 13 13 13	7.9 Hd	
(m^3/m^{-3})	10°C	20°C	30°C	10°C	20°C	30°C	10°C	20°C	30°C
0.1	1.5	1.5	1.9	0.7	6.0	6.0	1.0	0.9	1.0
0.2	1.1	2.0	2.4	6.0	8.0	6.0	0.8	1.0	1.0
0.3	1.3	1.9	3.3	8.0	1.2	11.5	1.0	6.0	5.7

^bSoil samples were treated with 100 mg N as (NH₄)₂SO₄ kg⁻¹ soil. Soil water potential (kPa) is 1600, 20 and 5; water-filled porosity is 18, 36, and 54% at water content of 0.1, 0.2, and 0.3 m³ m⁻³, respectively. ^aReprinted with permission from Soil Biol. Biochem., 16 L. L. Goodroad and D. R. Keeney, pp. 39-43; copyright 1984 by Pergamon Press, Ltd.

Table 6. Effect of phosphorus and CaCO3 on N2O-N production during aerobic incubation of six forest soils after 28 days at 30° Cab

Site	٨	Forest	}	Organic	N ₂ O-N	N ₂ O-N (µg N kg ⁻¹ dry soil)	dry soil)
Soil	Stand	fype	$_{\mathrm{CaCl}_{2})}^{\mathrm{pH}}$	matter (%)	Control	+ P	+CaCO ₃
Histosol	Hemlock	Mor	3.9	59	7	3	4
Alfisol	Sugar	Mull	5.1	22	19	29	29
	maple						
Alfisol	Red oak	Mor	4.3	16	49	48	110
Alfisol	White oak	Mor	4.4	25	36	28	109
Spodosol	White	Mor	4.4	42	56	62	96
	pine						
Entisol	Red pine	Disturbed	4.4	2.4	9	4	25

^aReprinted from Sahrawat et al. (1985) by permission of Forest Sci.

^bField-moist samples (10 g) from Blackhawk Island, Wisconsin (Pastor et al., 1984) were treated with 1 ml of water, 1 ml of water containing 1000 mg L⁻¹P (as KH₂PO₄), or 0.2 g (2%) of powdered CaCO₃.

'Hemlock, Tsuga canadensis (L.) Carr.; sugar maple, Acer saccharum Marsh; red oak, white oak, Quercus rubra L., Q. alba L.; red pine, white pine, Pinus

resinosa Ait., P. strobus L.

nitrification and N_2O emissions. Adding P and CaCO₃ together did not affect N transformations, including N_2O evolution, compared to CaCO₃ alone.

Keeney et al. (1985) showed that CO₂ concentration in the soil atmosphere can affect N₂O evolution associated with nitrification and denitrification. Some results of this study are shown in Table 7. It was found that an increase in CO₂ concentration from 0.3 to 100% increasingly retarded the rate of nitrification in soil. Nitrous oxide production associated with nitrification increased as CO₂ increased from 0.3 to 2.6% and tended to be greater as CO₂ concentration increased to 73%. At 100% CO₂, no N₂O was produced during 7 days of incubation at 25°C. Carbon dioxide, however, did not affect N₂O production or reduction in the saturated, [NO₃]⁻-amended soil nor the rate of N₂O reduction in anaerobic environments. It was concluded that increased CO₂ in arable soils containing large

Table 7. Effect of varying concentrations of CO_2 on N_2O production and on the ratio of N_2O produced to NO_3 produced in soil under aerobic conditions in 7 days^{a,b}

	Treatmen	nt		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
CO ₂ (%)	[NH ₄] ⁺ (µg N g ⁻¹)	[NO ₃] ⁻ (μg N g ⁻¹)	CO ₂ 7 days (%)	N_2O-N (ng N g ⁻¹)	N_2O-N to $[NO_3]^N$ (× 10^{-3})
Air	0	0	3.3	117 ± 2	3.4
22	0	0	25	83 ± 14	4.4
50	0	0	49	154 ± 11	22
73	0	0	70	544 ± 55	181
100	0	0	100	0	_
Air	0	95	3.1	123 ± 10	3.6
23	0	95	25	168 ± 23	7
50	0	95	47	250 ± 28	25
73	0	95	71	716 ± 303	90
100	0	95	100	$46,400 \pm 1350$	
Air	90	0	3.6	396 ± 126	6
2.6	90	0	6.2	$1,120 \pm 208$	19
5.2	90	0	8.6	972 ± 88	16
11	90	0	14	$1,616 \pm 637$	32
23	90	0	26	$1,111 \pm 104$	28
50	90	0	49	$1,034 \pm 152$	74
73	90	0	71	$1,270 \pm 562$	423
100	90	0	100	0	-

^aReprinted with permission from Soil Biol. Biochem., 17, D. R. Keeney et al., 571-573; copyright 1985, Pergamon Press, Ltd.

^bPlano silt loam (10 g) was incubated at 25°C with 2.5 ml of water or with 2.5 ml of a solution containing either 900 μg NO₃-N (as KNO₃) or 950 μg $[NH_4]^+$ -N $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ under the specified CO_2 concentrations.

amounts of nitrifiable N could result in increased N_2O production during nitrification. The effect was not large until CO_2 concentration was greater than 50% of the soil atmosphere.

C. Denitrification and N₂O Emission

According to the Glossary of Soil Science (Soil Science Society of America, 1979), denitrification is "the microbial reduction of [NO₃] or [NO₂] to gaseous N as molecular N (N₂) or as an oxide of N" (ps). Several reviews are available (Garcia, 1975a; Delwiche and Bryan, 1976; Focht and Verstraete, 1977; Payne, 1973, 198b; Delwiche, 1981; Knowles, 1981; Firestone, 1982). Additionally, various aspects of N₂O production via denitrification have been covered by several recent reviews (Crutzen, 1981; Ingraham, 1981; Bryan, 1981; Schroeder, 1981; Rolston, 1981; Hahn, 1981; Payne, 1981a, b).

1. Laboratory Studies

Beijernick and Minkman (1910) and Suzuki (1912) reported N₂O to be one of the gases evolved by bacteria in anaerobic cultures and soils. Wijler and Delwiche (1954) studied the gaseous products of denitrification in soils using ¹⁵N-tagged KNO₃. They identified evolution of N₂O, N₂ and NO gases during dentrification. Nitrous oxide was the major production of dentrification and the ratio of N₂O/ to N₂ evolved was affected by soil pH. Above pH 7, N₂O was readily reduced to N₂. However, at pH < 7, the rate of reduction of N₂O to N₂ declined. Evolution of and the relative proportions of N₂O and N₂ were not affected by the applications of organic residues. There was no evidence of any nonenzymatic denitrifications (Van Slyke reaction) taking place. At low soil pH (4.9) NO was produced in amounts equal to that of N₂O evolved. Chemical decomposition of [NO₂]⁻ formed from [NO₃]⁻ was suggested as possible pathway for NO formation (also see Cady and Bartholomew, 1961, 1963):

$$3 \text{ HNO}_2 = 2 \text{ NO} + \text{HNO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$$

Subsequent studies have found that numerous facultative heterotrophs can produce N_2O from $[NO_3]^-$ and can further reduce N_2O to N_2 (Delwiche, 1959). Payne (1981a, b) has discussed the intermediates formed during denitrification. It is now certain that denitrification involves several enzymatic and chemical reactions and follows the sequence:

$$2 [NO_3]^- \rightarrow 2[NO_2]^- \rightarrow 2 NO \rightarrow N_2O \rightarrow N_2$$

Studies with cultures of various denitrifying bacteria (Payne, 1981a, b) indicate that 13 genera of include species capable of reducing [NO₃]⁻ to N₂ via [NO₂]⁻, NO, and N₂O. Nitrous oxide production by denitrifying

microorganisms in cultures have been reported by many workers (Najjar and Allen, 1954; Delwiche, 1959; Baalsrud and Baalsrud, 1954; Barbaree and Payne, 1967; Miyata, 1971; Ishaque and Aleem, 1973; Pichinoty *et al.*, 1978; M. S. Smith and Tiedje, 1980; Payne, 1981a, b). Many studies of denitrification in soils have identified N₂O as one of the intermediates, and the ratios of N₂ to N₂O evolved vary greatly (Arnold, 1954; Wijler and Delwiche, 1954; Nommik, 1956; Cooper and Smith, 1963; McGarity and Hauck, 1969; Stefanson, 1973; Burford and Bremner, 1975; Rolston *et al.*, 1976; Letey *et al.*, 1980a, b; Payne 1981a, b).

2. Field Studies

Field studies of N₂O evolution as a mechanism of fertilizer N loss have been summarized by Rolston (1981). The amount of N₂O evolved varied from nil to as high as 8 kg N ha⁻¹ day⁻¹. The highest emissions were reported in summer from experimental plots maintained in a wet state and treated with manure (Table 8). Much research indicates a range in N₂O emission ranging from <0.01 to 1 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ day⁻¹ (Burford and Millington, 1968; Burford and Stefanson, 1973; Dowdell and Smith, 1974; Rolston *et al.*, 1976, 1978; Freney *et al.*, 1978; Focht, 1978; McKenney *et al.*, 1978; Ryden *et al.*, 1978, 1979; Findlay and McKenney, 1979; Hutchinson and Mosier 1979, 1981; Ryden, 1981, 1983; C. J. Smith *et al.*, 1981a; Burford *et al.*, 1981; Webster and Dowdell, 1982; Mosier *et al.*, 1982, 1983; Aulakh *et al.*, 1982, 1983a, b; Armstrong, 1983; Goodroad and Keeney, 1984b; Goodroad *et al.*, 1984) depending on N fertilization rate, source of N applied, soil water content, tillage practices, and the prevailing soil temperature.

The fluxes of N₂O reported from organic soils are higher than those reported for mineral soils. For example, Terry *et al.* (1981) reported that N₂O-N fluxes from cultivated organic soils of south Florida ranged from 0.004 kg N ha⁻¹ day⁻¹ during the dry periods to 4.5 kg N ha⁻¹ day⁻¹ following rainfall events. The total N₂O-N emissions during 1 yr (1979 to 1980) amounted to 165, 97, and 48 kg N ha⁻¹ for the fallow, grass cropped, and sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.) cropped organic soils, respectively (Table 9). Similarly, Duxbury *et al.* (1982) found that the maximum daily N₂O-N fluxes from cropped mineral soils ranged from 0.19 to 0.63 kg N ha⁻¹ during a 2-yr study compared to 2.9 to 4.6 kg N ha⁻¹ for an organic soil during the same period. The annual emission of N₂O-N from the mineral soils ranged from 0.9 to 0.42 kg N ha⁻¹, whereas for the organic soils they ranged from 7 to 165 kg N ha⁻¹. Goodroad and Keeney (1984b) also found high N₂O emission rates from drained organic soils.

D. Factors Affecting N₂O Production via Denitrification

Factors that affect denitrifications in soils also affect N_2O emissions but the ratio of N_2 to N_2O evolved is sensitive to environmental and soil

Table 8. Denitrification loss of nitrogen in summer as N₂O and N₂ from plots maintained at different soil-water pressure heads^{ab}

	: :	Surface flux	e flux	Flux at		
Treatment ^c	bou water pressure head (cm)	N_2O (kg N ha ⁻¹)	$N_2 $ (kg N ha ⁻¹)	60-cm depth ^d , $N_2 + N_2O$ (kg N ha ⁻¹)	Total (kg N ha ⁻¹)	Total (% of fertilizer N)
Manure	-15	6.6	198	10	218	73
Manure	-70	5.4	42	<u></u>	47	91
Cropped	-15	4.3	30	5.5	: 6	<u> 7</u>
Cropped	-70	1.8	7	0	: 6	; «·
Uncropped	-15	2.1	5.7	2.5	01) (C)
Uncropped	-70	9.0	3.6	0	4	. —

^aAdapted from Rolston et al., Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 42, 1978, 863-869, by permission of Soil Sci. Soc. Am.

^bThe plots were established on a Yolo loam (Typic Xerotthents) soil. The average soil temperature at the 5-cm depth during July (when the study was done) was approximately 23°C.

^cAll treatments received 300 kg N ha⁻¹ as KNO₃.

^dCalculated from the $^{15}N_2$ and N_2O concentration gradients between the 30- and 60-cm depths and from the average gaseous diffusion coefficient between two depths of 5 cm² hr⁻¹ corresponding to a soil air content of 0.12 cm³ cm⁻³.

Table 9. Effects of cropping on nitrous oxide emissions from Pahokee Muck during 1979 and 1980^a

			Treat	Treatment		
	Fallow	low	Grass	ass	Suga	Sugarcane
Period of Study	Range Total $(kg N_2O-N ha^{-1}) (kg N_2O-N ha^{-1})$	Total (kg N_2 O-N ha ⁻¹)	Range (kg N ₂ O-N ha ⁻¹)	Total (kg N ₂ O-N ha ⁻¹)	(kg N ₂ O-N ha ⁻¹)	Total (kg N ₂ O-N ha ⁻¹)
May 1979 to April 1980	0.4–60.4	164.9	0.4–58.8	8.96	0.3–26.1	48.4
From Terry et al (19	From Terry et al (1981): reprinted with permission of APCA	rmission of APCA I				

Table 10. Summary of factors that affect the relative proportion of N_2O to N_2 evolved via the denitrification process^a

Factor	Influence on N ₂ O/N ₂ ratio
Nitrate concentration	Ratio increases with increasing [NO ₃]
Nitrite concentration	Ratio increases with increasing [NO ₂] ⁻
Oxygen concentration	Ratio increases with increasing O ₂
pH	Ratio increases with pH decrease; pH also enhances the effect of [NO ₃] ⁻
Sulfide	Ratio increases with increasing sulfide
Carbon	Ratio generally decreases with increasing C availability
Redox potential (Eh)	Ratio not affected by Eh changes below 0 mV
Enzyme status	Ratio can be increased or decreased by synthesis of or absence of N ₂ O reducing activity relative to preceding reductases

^aFrom Firestone (1982). Reproduced from Nitrogen in Agricultural Agronomy, Agronomy Monograph No. 22, 1982, pages 289-326. By permission of the American Society of Agronomy.

factors (Table 10). Rolston (1981), Payne (1981b), and Firestone (1982) have discussed factors affecting denitrification and N₂O production in soils. Mosier *et al.* (1983) focused on factors that affected N₂O evolution from cropped and native soils with a view to modeling N₂O emissions in soil.

1. Substrate Concentration and Availability

Presence of NO₃ or [NO₂]⁻ is a prerequisite for N₂O production via denitrification, In a recent review, Mosier *et al.* (1983) analyzed the data obtained from a number of field studies and found that N₂O production via denitrification was correlated with the [NO₃]⁻ content of soil (r = 0.65, n = 136). They found that N₂O fluxes could be represented by first-order kinetics when the [NO₃]⁻ content of the soils did not exceed 40 µg [NO₃]⁻-N g⁻¹ soil. At higher [NO₃]⁻-N concentration reduction of N₂O to N₂ may be slowed (Blackmer and Brenmer, 1978). This conclusion is in accord with the earlier findings that at high [NO₃]⁻-N concentrations the dominant gasous product of denitrification is N₂O (Nommik, 1956; Cooper and Smith, 1963; Blackmer and Brenner, 1978; Cho and Mills, 1979; Rolston, 1981). However, the effect of [NO₃]⁻ on reduction of N₂O has been found to be less in organic soils and sediments (Terry and Tate, 1980).

Evidence presented by Firestone et al. (1980) suggests that [NO₃]

concentration affects the evolution of N_2O and the ratio of N_2 to N_2O , although the total loss of N via denitrification in soils may be independent of $[NO_3]^-$ concentration over a wide range (Wijler and Delwiche, 1954; Nommik, 1956; Bremner and Shaw, 1958).

2. Soil Water Content and Soil Drying

The soil water regime affects denitrification and gasous products of denitrification directly and also indirectly by affecting the diffusion of O, into and through the soil. Nommik (1956) found that the denitrification rate was proportional to the water content of soils, and at the lower water contents the gaseous product of denitrification mainly consisted of N₂O. Molecular nitrogen evolution was favored by strictly anaerobic conditions. Studies by Blackmer and Bremmer (1976), Bremmer and Blackmer (1979), Freney et al. (1978), and Focht et al. (1979) suggest that N₂O initially produced when water content is greater than the field capacity is reduced to N₂, so that N₂O emission rates are lower than N₂ emission rates at field capacity. Mosier et al. (1983) reported that soil relative water content (RWC, an index of soil water content at wilting point divided by water content at field capacity minus the water content at wilting point) was related to N_2O emissions in field soils (r = 0.52, n = 136). Nitrous oxide fluxes were low at RWC < 0.35 and increased as RWC increased.

Similarly, studies by Terry et al. (1981), Duxbury et al. (1982), and Goodroad and Keeney (1984b) found that N_2O fluxes from mineral and organic soils were many times lower during dry periods than shortly after rainfall events when the soils were saturated (Table 11).

Drying or freezing of soil samples prior to anaerobic incubation also increases the rate of denitrification and the evolution of N₂O and N₂ (e.g., McGarity, 1962; Myers and McGarity, 1971; McKenzie and Kurtz, 1976; Patten et al., 1980). Studies by Patten et al. (1980) showed that even partial drying of field-moist soils increased the emission of $(N_2O + N_2)-N$ from soils incubated anaerobically after treatment with [NO₃]⁻. Air-dry storage of soils increased the amounts of $(N_2O + N_2)-N$ evolved when these soils were incubated anaerobically. It was suggested that drying or air-dry storage of soil increased the soil's capacity to denitrify and to evolve gaseous products of denitrification (N2O and N2) under anaerobic conditions by enhancing the amount of soil organic matter available to the denitrifiers. C. J. Smith and Patrick (1983) studied the effect of several anaerobic and aerobic cycles on N₂O emission from (NH₄)₂SO₄-amended soil suspensions and found that no N2O was evolved from continuously anaerobic samples. Continuously aerobic samples produced small amounts of N2O, whereas alternate anaerobic-aerobic cycles of varying duration increased N₂O emissions several fold (Table 12).

Table 11. Nitrous oxide emissions from cultivated mineral and organic soils during 2 yr of study^a

	20 St. 11:400 IV	Maximum	Annual N ₂ (Annual N ₂ O emissions
Crop	or manure treatment ^b	daily N_2O kg ha ⁻¹	May 1979-May 1980 (kg ha ⁻¹)	May 1980-May 1981 (kg ha ⁻¹)
Mineral Soils				
Alfalfa	0	0.63	4.2	2.3
Field corn A	130(M)	0.22	2.4	2.9
	130(F)	0.28		2.2
Field corn B	$130(\mathbf{M})$	0.19		3.8
Timothy weed	, O	0.23	1.7	6.0
Organic Soils				
Onions	170	4.5	85	72
Sweet corn	170	2.9	76	152
Sugarcane (Florida)	0	3.1	48	7
St. Augustine grass	0	4.6	76	16
(Florida)				
None (fallow, Florida)	0	4.5	165	59
^a Reprinted by permission from I	Duxbury et al., Nature, 29	8, 462-464, copyright (c	^a Reprinted by permission from Duxbury et al., Nature, 298, 462-464, copyright (c) 1982 Macmillan Journals Limited.	d.

^bField corn sites received 20 kg N ha⁻¹ added as either urea-ammonium nitrate (1:1) fertilizer side dressed 40 days after planting (F) or manure (45 tons ha⁻¹) applied before planting (M). Fertilizer containing NH₄NO₃ was broadcast on the organic soil sites in New York before planting.

Table 12. Effects of continuous aerobic or anaerobic conditions and of alternate anaerobic and aerobic treatments on N_2O emission from soil suspension^{a,b}

Treatment	N_2 O-N evolved in 56 days (ng N g ⁻¹ dry soil)
Aerobic	790
Anaerobic	0
Alternate anaerobic/aerobic (7/7 days)	7,190
Alternate anaerobic/aerobic (14/14 days)	15,670

^aAdapted with permission from Soil Biol Biochem., 15, C. J. Smith and W. H. Patrick, pp. 693-697; copyright 1983 Pergamon Press, Ltd.

3. Oxygen Supply

The absence of O₂ or its restricted availability in soils or at soil microsites is one prerequisite for denitrification (see Rolston, 1981; Payne, 1981a, b; Firestone, 1982, for reviews). However, the water content of soils also indirectly affects the oxygen content, and hence denitrification, as well as N₂O production by affecting the rate of diffusion of O₂ (Wijler and Delwiche, 1954; Nommik, 1956; Bremner and Shaw, 1958; Pilot and Patrick, 1972; Focht, 1974; Firestone and Tiedje, 1979; Rolston, 1981; Letey et al., 1980a, b; Firestone, 1982; Cho, 1982); K. A. Smith (1980) has developed an excellent model of the extent of anaerobic zones in soil aggregates.

Focht (1974) used a zero-order kinetic model to estimate the production of N_2O and N_2 in soils and stated that aeration affected the ratio of N_2 to N_2 evolved from soils. The rates of denitrification increase with decreased aeration, but the N_2O to N_2 ratio declines because of greater rate of reduction of N_2O to N_2 under anaerobic conditions (also see Letey *et al.*, 1981).

Firestone et al. (1980) studied the effects of factors controlling the production of N_2O through biological denitrification by bacteria cultures and soils and found that increased oxygen content enhanced production of N_2O relative to N_2 during denitrification. Under anaerobic conditions, N_2O production initially was also found to increase, but this was followed by N_2O consumption in the system and its conversion to N_2 by nitrous oxide reductase (Letey et al., 1981). C. J. Smith et al. (1983) reported that the critical redox potential (Eh) for N_2O reduction and production occurred at +250 mV for pH 6, 7, and 8.5 and at +300 mV for pH 5 for the

^bSoil samples (400 g) were amended with $[NH_4]^+$ -N (92 mg N kg⁻¹ dry soil) and ground rice straw (0.5%, w/w) and water was added to form soil: water ratio of 1:5 and pH of 6.2 \pm 0.2.

soil-water systems. They, as well as Letey et al. (1981), have confirmed the theory of Freney et al. (1979), that the soil can act as a N_2O sink under anoxic conditions. Letey et al. (1981) found that N_2O emissions were higher in soils with fluctuating redox potential established by wetting and drying cycles.

4. Carbon Supply

It has long been recognized that availability of C enhances the loss of $[NO_3]^-$ or $[NO_2]^-$ through denitrification (Rolston, 1981; Payne, 1981a, b; Firestone, 1982). Studies by Wijler and Delwiche (1954), Nommik (1956), and M. S. Smith and Tiedje (1979) showed that C supply decreased the ratio of N_2O to N_2 evolved during denitrification in soils.

The total fluxes of N_2O-N have been reported to be many times higher in organic soils with higher contents of soil organic matter and are also affected by cropping (Tables 11 and 12; Terry et al., 1981; Duxbury et al, 1982). Burford and Bremmer (1975) and Patten et al. (1980) found that N_2O or N_2 production in a number of Iowa soils was positively correlated with total organic C, water-soluble C, and mineralizable C (as measured by CO_2 production). The highest correlations (r = 0.98 and 0.99) were reported between the amounts of $(N_2 + N_2O)-N$ evolved and the amounts of CO_2 produced during anaerobic incubation of soils treated with $[NO_3]^-$ (Patten et al., 1980).

The availability of C as an electron doner influences N₂O production in soils but the relationship is not direct. Often, changes in C availability are associated with factors that may affect N₂O production (Firestone, 1982; Koskinen and Keeney, 1982). Carbon supplied by application of organic residues increases not only N₂O production in soils but also the rate of N₂O reduction to N₂ (Wijler and Delwiche, 1954; Nommik, 1956; Firestone *et al.*, 1980; Letey *et al.*, 1980a, b, 1981). The rhizosphere of a plant may be a better source of energy for denitrification (Garcia, 1975b; Rolston, 1981; Firestone, 1982). However, the effect of the rhizosphere on N₂O production is not established. It is speculated that N₂O production may be greater in the rhizosphere zone to start with until nitrous oxide reductase is synthesized or rerepressed by anoxic conditions. This should decrease N₂O fluxes and increase N₂ production.

The depth within the soil profile where denitrification occurs also affects the N_2O mole fraction evolved. If denitrification occurs very near to the soil surface, N_2O produced can readily diffuse into the atmosphere, whereas if denitrification occurs deeper in the profile there is more possibility that the N_2O produced will be further reduced to N_2 . Thus, the mole fraction of N_2O [$(N_2O-N)/(N_2O+N_2)-N$] evolved is larger when denitrification occurs very close to the surface and smaller when the site of denitrification is deeper in the soil profile (Rolston, 1981).

5. Temperature

Temperature greatly influences denitrification rates in soils (Bremner, 1978; Broadbent and Clark, 1965; Rolston, 1981; Knowles, 1981; Payne, 1981a, b; Firestone, 1982). In earlier studies on the effects of temperature on denitrification, Nommik (1956) and Bremner and Shaw (1958) indicated that temperature was very important in controlling the rate of denitrification in soils and the temperature optimum for denitrification was suggested to be between 60 and 70°C. Nommik (1956) also noted that amounts of gaseous products formed were greatly influenced by temperature. He showed that while the rate of $(N_2O + N_2)-N$ evolved from anaerobic soils treated with $[NO_3]^-$ increased with the increase in temperature from 3 to 65°C, evolution of N_2O became an increasingly

Table 13. Amounts of N_2 and N_2O evolved from nitrate-amended soil incubated under He at different temperatures^{a,b}

			Time (hr)	
Temperature (°C)	Form of N - (µg N per 50 g soil)	24	48	96
7	N_2	26	42	86
	N_2^2O	98	145	209
15	N_2^{-}	49	84	163
	$N_2^{-}O$	71	127	195
25	N_2^-	88	337	664
	$N_2^{-}O$	255	361	299
40	$N_2^{\tilde{c}}$	145	295	1117
	$N_2^{-}O$	749	2247	2659
50	$N_2^{\tilde{\iota}}$	953	7816	7609
	N_2^2O	4274	158	0
60	$N_2^{\frac{1}{2}}$	8884	8726	8159
	N_2^2O	0	0	0
65	$\mathbf{N}_2^{^{L}}$	8850	8553	7739
	N_2^2O	0	0	0
67	N_2^2	8956	8142	8707
	$N_2^{2}O$	0	0	0
70	N_2^2	262	695	851
• -	N_2O	3084	4171	5786
75	No N_2 or N_2 O evolved		- • -	

^aAdapted from Keeney et al. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 43, 1979, 1124-1128, by permission of Soil Sci. Soc. Am.

^bPlano silt from (Typic Argiudoll) soil samples (50 g) were treated with 6 ml of water containing 5 mg [NO₃]-N [as Ca(NO₃)₂] and incubated under He after adjusting soil water content to 40% (w/w) at the specified temperatures.

smaller component of gaseous N evolved and also that N₂O persisted for shorter times with increasing temperature.

Focht (1974) and Focht and Verstraete (1977), on the other hand, suggested that temperature had little effect on the gaseous composition of denitrification products. Focht (1974) did not include temperature in the zero-order kinetic model suggested for studying N₂O and N₂ production through denitrification. Bailey (1976) found, as did Nommik (1956), that greater proportions of N₂O were evolved at lower temperatures (6 to 8°C, 15°C) than at higher (30°C).

Keeney et al. (1979) examined the relative proportion of N₂O and N₂ evolved from a [NO₃]-amended silt loam during anaerobic incubation (under He atmosphere) in the temperature range of 7 to 75°C (Table 13). They found that the denitrification rate increased with temperature above 15°C, with an apparent temperature optimum of 60 to 67°C. Nitrous oxide evolution in relation to total $(N_2O + N_2)$ – N declined with time but was still 44 to 50% of the gas produced at 16 days at 7 and 15°C. Nitrous oxide comprised up to 88% of the gas produced at 40°C and after 2 days. However, at 50°C, most of the gas was N₂O after 1 day but at 50 to 70°C, N₂O had disappeared by 1 day. Denitrification was found to be occurring at 70°C but at a reduced rate. Nitrous oxide represented more than 85% of the N evolved at 70°C. Denitrification did not occur at 75°C. It was suggested that the commonly stated temperature optima for denitrification might be too high for true biological denitrification because of the dominance of temperature-dependent chemical reactions at temperatures higher than 50°C.

As suggested by Bailey (1976) and Keeney et al. (1979), temperature effects on N_2O production in soils may be complicated by accumulation of $[NO_2]^-$, which could be involved in chemodenitrification. Also in response to temperature, differential organic matter mineralization may change the redox potential, which further complicates prediction of N_2O production (Keeney et al., 1979).

6. pH

Soil pH is an important factor in controlling the rate of denitrification. It is generally accepted that the optimal pH range for denitrification is between 7.0 and 8.0. Although denitrification occurs in soils over a wide range of pH, it is often reported that the denitrification rate is slow below pH 6 (Broadbent and Clark, 1965; Delwiche and Bryan, 1976; Focht and Verstraete, 1977; Rolston, 1981; Payne, 1981a, b; Knowles, 1981; Delwiche, 1981; Firestone, 1982).

It is now accepted that as the soil pH declines below 6.0, the evolution of N_2O relative to N_2 increases (Rolston, 1981; Knowles, 1981; Payne, 1981a, b; Firestone, 1982). For example, Wijler and Delwiche (1954) and Nommik (1956) found that production of N_2O relative to N_2 was much higher in soils with pH lower than 6.0, and this was because reduction of

N₂O was inhibited at low pH. These results have been confirmed by subsequent research (Hauck and Melsted; Cady and Bartholomew, 1960; Matsubara and Mori, 1968; Focht, 1974; Firestone *et al.*, 1980; Koskinen and Keeney, 1982).

Firestone et al. (1980) found that pH 4.9 or 6.5 had little effect on the ratio of N_2O to N_2 evolved at very low levels of $[NO_3]^-$ (<0.5 µg g⁻¹) but that the pH effect was large at 10 µg g⁻¹, indicating an interaction between pH and $[NO_3]^-$ substrate levels on the gaseous products of denitrification.

Koskinen and Keeney (1982) studied the effect of soil pH on the rate and products of denitrification in a soil that had been maintained at various pH levels in the field for 18 yrs. This avoided additions of salts to manipulate soil pH, which might have caused perturbations in the native microflora. It was found that N₂O comprised 83% of the gaseous N products for pH 4.6 and 5.4 when 90% of the [NO₃]⁻-N (100 μg g⁻¹ of [NO₃]⁻ added) had been denitrified. At pH 6.9, N₂ was the dominant product of denitrification, even after the first day of incubation. They also reported production of NO in soils with pH 4.6 and 5.4 that was consistent with the findings of Wijler and Dewiche (1954), who reported that considerable amounts of NO were evolved as a result of denitrification in soils at pH 4.9.

However, as suggested by Koskinen and Keeney (1982), it is difficult to evaluate the absolute effect of pH on the relative production of gaseous products of denitrification because of involvement of [NO₂]⁻ and soil pH interactions that may affect production of N₂O and N₂. It is recognized that in more acid soils, chemical reactions of [NO₂]⁻-N can also contribute to gaseous N production (Bollag *et al.*, 1973; Nelson, 1982).

7. Other Factors

In addition to the above-discussed environmental, substrate, and soil factors that affect N_2O emissions from soils, there are other factors that affect N_2O production which cannot be ascribed easily to any individual effect but are best explained by considering the total ecosystem. A combination of several environmental, soil, and agricultural practices, and perhaps plant factors, interact to influence such biological processes as mineralization, nitrification, and denitrification, which affect N_2O emissions.

For example, research by Aulakh et al. (1982) in Canadian prairies showed that gaseous N loss as $(N_2O + N_2)$ -N was two to five times higher from summer-fallowed plots than from cropped plots. In a 2-yr study of various management practices on gaseous loss of N, Aulakh et al. (1983b) found that incorporation of legume residue followed by partial fallow decreased the gaseous loss of N compared to summer fallow. It was also found that soil moisture, mean air temperature, and inorganic N concentrations collectively accounted for 37 to 66% of the variations in

N₂O fluxes. The contribution of lower soil horizons to gaseous N loss was negligible.

Regarding the possible effect of ecosystems on N₂O fluxes, Goodroad and Keeney (1984b) observed major differences in N₂O emissions from forest soils. For example, it was found in a 2-yr study that significantly more N₂O was released from coniferous forest floors than from deciduous forest floors (Table 14). Differences in soil pH and N cycling processes might have contributed to these differences in N₂O fluxes. Usually low-N grassland ecosystems have been reported to emit small amounts of N₂O as compared to managed ecosystems (Mosier *et al.*, 1983; Goodroad and Keeney, 1984b).

Other factors, such as preincubation conditions (anaerobic and aerobic) and time of preincubation, also greatly affect N_2O evolution (Tiedje et al., 1979; Letey et al., 1980a, b). Letey et al. (1980a, b) found that little N_2O was evolved when the soil had been preincubated for 7 days under saturated conditions. There were relatively higher rates of N_2O evolution during the initial stages of incubation when the soil had been preincubated under air-dry or moist conditions. Nitrous oxide evolution decreased to nil with time. The ratio of N_2O to N_2 evolved was high initially and decreased rapidly with time mainly because of reduction of N_2O to N_2 .

E. Other Processes Contributing to N₂O Production

In addition to biological reactions, chemodenitrification, in which volatile loss of N results from chemical reactions of [NO₂]⁻ with organic reducing compounds, may contribute to production of N₂ and N₂O (Bremner and Blackmer, 1980; Nelson, 1982; Mosier *et al.*, 1983; Pang and Cho, 1984). Mosier *et al.* (1983) outlined five N₂O-producing processes and stated that nitrification and denitrification were the most important. However, in addition to chemodenitrification they listed dissimilatory [NO₃]⁻ reduction to [NH₄]⁺ and assimilatory [NO₃]⁻ reduction (where N is incorporated into cell biomass) as processes that might contribute to N₂O evolution. Chemodenitrification may be of importance at times in soils with neutral to alkaline reaction where [NO₂]⁻⁻ accumulation is a problem. However, research on N₂O emission from soils clearly establishes the importance of denitrification and nitrification as the main processes for N₂O production.

III. Mechanisms of N₂O Production in Soils

A. Chemical Reactions

Alternatively, the unidentified compound produced by oxidation of $[NH_4]^+$ or reduction of $[NO_2]^-$ may chemically react with organic

Table 14. Nitrous oxide emissions from University of Wisconsin Arboretum sites^a

		(ng N ₂ O-N m ⁻² S ⁻¹)	S-1)		
Site	Mean	Spatial S.D. ^b	Temporal S.D. ^b	Sampling dates	lotal emissions (kg N_2 O-N ha ⁻¹)
1979		To control to the second secon			5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Forests					
Deciduous	1.5	1.7	3.8	11 June-5 Nov.	0.19
Coniferous	7.7	9.5	18.1	11 June-5 Nov.	0.99
Prairie					
Burned	9.0	1.6	1.9	11 June-5 Nov.	0.09
Unburned	0.7	1.0	2.3	11 June-5 Nov.	0.10
Organic soils					
Drained marsh	18.0	13.8	45.8	11 June-5 Nov.	2.3
Undrained marsh	0.2	9.0	9.0	23 July-15 Nov.	0.02
Wet meadow	8.5	7.7	14.8	11 June-5 Nov.	1.1
1980					
Forests					
Deciduous	4.3	2.6	5.9	28 March-10 Nov.	0.80
Coniferous	10.1	4.9	8.5	28 March-10 Nov.	2.1
Prairie					
Burned	9.0	0.2	0.5	9 April-10 Nov.	0.11
Unburned	9.0	0.2	0.5	9 April-10 Nov.	0.10
Organic soils				1	
Drained marsh	41.3	35.4	134.7	28 March-10 Nov.	7.4
Undrained marsh	0.3	0.3	0.7	9 April-10 Nov.	0.06
Wet meadow	8.5	. 11.1	22.3	24 April-10 Nov.	1.4

^aReproduced from Goodroad and Keeney J. Environ. Qual. 13, 1984, 448-452, by permission of the Am. Soc. Agron., Crop Sci. Soc. Am., Soil Sci. Soc. Am.

^bStandard deviations (S.D.) are pooled estimates between sample chambers (spatial) or between sampling dates (temporal).

materials to form N₂O as does [NO₂]⁻. Accumulation of [NO₂]⁻ is reported to occur in both acid and alkaline soils following application of alkaline-producing fertilizers, especially at high pH or at microsites where the fertilizer band is situated. Numerous factors, including low temperature, low soil buffering capacity, type and rate of fertilizer N, rate of nitrification, and soil pH, affect [NO₂O]⁻ accumulation in soils (Chalk and Smith, 1983). Arnold (1954) and Wijler and Delwiche (1954) suggested that a Van Slyke-type chemical reaction may be responsible for production of N₂O through reaction of [NO₂]⁻ with NH₂OH:

$$NH_2OH + NHO_2 = N_2O + 2 H_2O$$

Several subsequent reports also suggest that N₂O and N₂ are produced during chemical decomposition of hydroxylamine in soils (Bremner and Blackmer, 1980; Nelson, 1982; Chalk and Smith, 1983). Similarly, production of N gases, such as N₂ and N₂O, has been reported following the reaction of [NO₂]⁻ with soil organic components. Discussions of the mechanism of N₂O formation via chemodenitrification are given in the reviews by Nelson (1982), Chalk and Smith (1983), and Hauck (1983).

B. Biological Reactions

Bremner and Blackmer (1980, 1981) have discussed the various factors affecting N_2O production in soils. It becomes evident from the cases they present that *Nitrosomonas* species have the capacity to produce nitrite reductase that reduces $[NO_2]^-$ to N_2O under anaerobic conditions or aerobically during concurrent oxidation of $[NH_4]^+$ to $[NO_2]^-$.

Work by Ritchie and Nicholas (1972, 1974) established that the conversion of $[NO_2]^-$ to N_2O (Yoshida and Alexander, 1970, 1971) by cells of *Nitrosomonas europaea* involves the production of an intermediate compound, nitroxyl, that dimerizes to form hyponitrous acid. Nitrous oxide production results from the decomposition of hyponitrous acid.

According to Bremner and Blackmer (1981), the mechanism of N₂O production during nitrification of [NH₄]⁺ by *Nitrosomonas* spp. involves production of [NO₂]⁻-N via NH₂OH and probably an unidentified compound. Nitrite is acted upon by nitrite reductase, resulting in evolution of N₂O.

Evidence presented by Payne (1973, 1981a, b), Ingraham (1981), Bryan (1981), Firestone (1982), and McKenney et al. (1982) suggests that the pathways of N₂O production employ the following route:

$$[NO_3]^{-} \xrightarrow{\text{nitrate}} [NO_2]^{-} \xrightarrow{\text{NO}} NO \xrightarrow{\text{nitric oxide}} N_2O \xrightarrow{\text{nitrous oxide}} N_2$$

$$\stackrel{\text{reductase}}{\text{reductase}} \text{reductase} \qquad \text{reductase}$$

However, there is still uncertainty about NO being an intermediate (Bryan, 1981; McKenney et al., 1982) in the overall denitrification

mechanism. The processes and mechanisms leading to N_2O and N_2 production are clearly established. The well-accepted pathway for N_2O and N_2 production is:

$$[NO_3]^- \rightarrow [NO_2]^- \rightarrow N_2O \rightarrow N_2$$

M. S. Smith and Tiedje (1979) suggested that there were two distinct phases of denitrification in soil. In phase I, the initial rate of denitrification was attributed to the activity of the preexisting denitrifying enzyme systems. This phase was little affected by C amendments and lasted for 1 to 3 hrs. Nitrous oxide concentrations reached measurable levels after 15 min and remained in easily measurable amounts during the rest of the phase I time. Chloramphenicol, an inhibitor of protein enzyme synthesis. did not decrease the rate of denitrification (N₂ and N₂O production) during phase I. Phase II of denitrification in soils was attained after 4 to 8 h of anaerobic incubation, and chloramphenicol inhibited the rate of denitrification in this phase. The rate of denitrification was linear because of a lack of significant growth of denitrifiers. Whereas phase I denitrification was found to be affected by the aeration state of the sample, phase II was not affected. It was suggested that although the denitrifying enzymes were present in relatively dry soils, they were not repressed until O2 inhibition was eliminated. Evidence presented indicated that most of the N is lost through denitrification during the brief period of a few hours following an irrigation or a rainfall event. Further, the phase I denitrification rate was more likely to be related directly to field denitrification rates.

IV. Control of N₂O Emission from Soils

As discussed earlier, a range of soils and environmental factors affect N₂O emissions from soils via nitrification and denitrification processes. In addition to these factors, the production of N₂O is also greatly affected by soil, crop, and fertilizer N management practices (Mosier *et al.*, 1983). Studies have also shown that the cropping systems and the fertilization practices affect N₂O emissions from soils (Rolston, 1981; Mosier *et al.*, 1983). Some of the factors affecting N₂O production relating to soil ecosystems and management and native soil conditions are briefly covered here.

A. Effects of Management and Native Conditions on N₂O Emissions from Different Soil Ecosystems

Mosier et al. (1982) presented evidence to show that the source and rate of fertilizer N affect N₂O production in soils. In a field study of N₂O emissions from soil fertilized with 0, 56, 112, and 224 kg N ha⁻¹ as

NH₄NO₃, it was found that N₂O emissions increased with the rate of N application. However, the increases in N₂O emissions with N rates were small and N₂O-N accounted for only about 0.4 to 1.5% of the fertilizer added (Mosier *et al.*, 1982). Similar results have been reported by many other researchers, indicating that the overall contribution of fertilizer N to N₂O production is rather small (Hutchinson and Mosier, 1979; Denmead *et al.*, 1979; McKenney *et al.*, 1978, 1980; Rolston *et al.*, 1980; Mosier and Hutchinson, 1981; Rolston, 1981; Cochran *et al.*, 1981; Seiler and Conrad, 1981; C. J. Smith *et al.*, 1981; Rice and Smith, 1982; Aulakh *et al.*, 1982, 1983a, b; Armstrong, 1983) with the possible exception of organic soils (Terry *et al.*, 1981; Duxbury *et al.*, 1982; Mosier *et al.*, 1983) and vegetable crops heavily fertilized under irrigated conditions (Rolston, 1981; Rolston *et al.*, 1982).

Similarly, the source of fertilizer N also influences the fluxes of N₂O emissions from soils. For example, it has been observed that the N₂O emissions are larger from soils fertilized with anhydrous NH₃ than from those fertilized with [NO₃]⁻ or [NH₄]⁺ sources (Breitenbeck *et al.*, 1980; Bremner *et al.*, 1981; Cochran *et al.*, 1981; Mosier *et al.*, 1983).

Mosier et al. (1983) formulated a linear regression model of N_2O flux from soils describing the effect of fertilizer N rate on N_2O emissions, which can be represented by the following equation:

$$FN_2O = 3.88 + 0.024 Ft$$

Where FN_2O is the N_2O flux expressed as g N ha⁻¹ day⁻¹ and Ft is the applied fertilizer expressed as kg N ha⁻¹.

The emissions of N₂O from soils have been characterized by a large efflux of N₂O for a short time following fertilizer N application, followed by declining rates approaching baseline levels, irrespective of the amounts of N applied. The period characterized by a large efflux of N₂O is reported to vary from 5 to 6 weeks when most of the N₂O emissions occur (Breitenbeck et al., 1980; McKenney et al., 1980; Bremner et al., 1981; Mosier et al., 1983).

Research summarized by Mosier *et al.* (1983) indicates that the amounts of N_2O evolved from the native grasslands were similar to amounts emitted from unfertilized cropped soils. The total amounts of N_2O-N emitted from these ecosystems annually amounted to about 1 kg N ha⁻¹ and its source was apparently atmospheric deposition of N, which was about 10 kg N ha⁻¹ annually.

Recent studies in Canada have shown that such management practices as summer fallowing, green manuring, and tillage affect the gaseous loss of N_2 and N_2O (Aulakh *et al.*, 1982, 1983a, b, 1984a).

1. Agricultural Soils

Recent field studies of N₂O emissions from agricultureal soils fertilized with chemical fertilizers or organic manures have been summarized by

Rolston (1981), Mosier et al. (1983), Ryden and Rolston (1983), and Goodroad et al. (1984). It becomes evident that N₂O emissions from arable soils are greatly influenced by fertilizer management practices. The form and rate of fertilizer applications influence N₂O emissions (Hutchinson and Mosier, 1979; McKenney et al., 1978, 1980; Breitenbeck et al., 1980; Bremner et al., 1981; Cochran et al., 1981; Seiler and Conrad, 1981; Mosier and Hutchinson, 1981; Mosier et al., 1982, 1983). Also tillage and crop cover management (Aulakh et al., 1982, 1983a, b) and irrigation and rainfall events that affect the water content of soils also affect N₂O emissions (Burford et al., 1981; Rolston, 1981; Ryden, 1983; Ryden and Rolston, 1983; Goodroad et al., 1984).

It has generally been found that the total N₂O emissions from agricultural soils fertilized with N are greatly influenced by the moisture regime. In dry regions with low rainfall activity, the annual emissions have been reported to be low and usually range from about 1 to 16 kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹ (McKeeney et al., 1978, 1980; Hutchinson and Mosier, 1979; Burford et al., 1981; Aulakh et al., 1982, 1983b). Nitrous oxide emission from N-fertilized and irrigated soils are reported to be of higher mangitude and can be as high as 20 to 42 kg N ha⁻¹ (Ryden and Lund, 1980). Also, N₂O emissions from cropped or uncropped cultivated organic soils are larger than those from mineral soils (Terry et al., 1981; Duxbury et al., 1982).

Goodroad et al. (1984) measured the N₂O emissions from Wisconsin agricultural soils for two consecutive years and found that the total emissions were highest in the manure-amended and reduced tillage treatment (Table 15). Highest rate of N₂O emissions were often observed at the time of soil thaw. Soil pH (4.7, 5.1 and 6.7) had little effect on the emissions. The total emissions (in kg N₂O-N ha⁻¹) in 1980 under different treatments were: reduced tillage corn, 3.5 to 6.3; sludge, 1.6; manure, 6.1; alfalfa (Medicago sativa), 3.2; rye (Secale cereale L.), 1.6; straw, 2.2. These values are in the range of those reported in the literature from other arable agricultural soils (Mosier et al., 1983).

Evidence presented by Mosier et al. (1983) suggests that the N_2O fluxes from the N-fertilized and irrigated fields in Colorado are larger than those from similar unfertilized sites under the same irrigation regimes. However, the N_2O-N emissions accounted for only 0.6 to 1.5% of the total N added.

With other agricultural soils, emissions of N_2O were highest from the vegetable cropland (Ryden and Lund, 1980) and drained organic soils used for cropping or left fallow (Duxbury *et al.*, 1982). However, their contribution to the overall global fluxes of N_2O may be small because of the small area covered by these soils.

Studies of N_2O emissions from agricultural arable soils so far reported, including both short-term and long-term measurements, suggest that generally less than 2.0% of the applied fertilizer N is lost as N_2O-N .

Table 15. Nitrous oxide emissions from agricultural soils in Wisconsina

		$(ng N_2O-N m^{-2} s^{-1})$	S_1)		Total
Site	Mean	Spatial S.D. ^b	Temporal S.D. ^b	Sampling dates	emissions ² (kg N_2 O-N ha ⁻¹)
1979				7,000	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Reduced tillage corn					
In the row	4.4	5.8	7.8	13 June-7 Nov.	9.0
Between the rows	2.4	2.8	5.2	13 June-7 Nov.	0.3
Organic amendments					
Sludge	1.9	1.0	2.2	21 June-20 Nov.	0.2
Manure	9.8	10.7	19.8	13 June-20 Nov.	1.2
Lime					
pH 4.7	2.8	1.4	3.8	13 June-20 Nov.	0.4
pH 5.1	1.7	6.0	2.7	13 June-20 Nov.	0.2
pH 6.7	1.6	1.4	2.7	13 June-20 Nov.	0.2
1980					
Reduced tillage corn					
In the row	21.5	23.7	30.8	22 April-29 Oct.	3.5
Between the rows	38.7	59.3	142.7	22 April-13 Nov.	1.6
Organic amendments				•	
Sludge	9.3	7.3	20.1	22 April-13 Nov.	1.6
Manure	27.7	48.1	79.1	2 April-15 Dec.	6.1
Lime				1	
pH 4.7	8.4	10.1	15.7	2 April-25 Oct.	1.5
pH 5.1	5.2	3.7	9.2	2 April-29 Oct.	6.0
pH 6.7	5.6	0.9	8.2	2 April-29 Oct.	1.0

^aAdapted from Goodroad et al. J. Environ. Qual. 13, 1984, 557-561, by permission of the Am. Soc. Agron., Crop Sci. Soc. Am., Soil Sci. Soc. Am. ^bStandard deviations (S.D.) are pooled estimates between sample chambers (spatial) and between sampling dates (temporal).

^cValues obtained by summing the products of the daily emissions and time period in days over actual dates sampled.

Nitrous oxide emission rates are usually characterized by large temporal and spatial variability because of complex interactions between physical and biochemical factors that affect production and emission of N_2O from soils.

2. Forest Soils

There is lack of data on N₂O flux from forest soils ecosystems. A recent study by Goodroad and Keeney (1984b) suggested that there were large differences in N₂O emissions among various forest covers and that N₂O flux from replanted forest was on the same order of magnitude as that in agricultural systems (Table 14). It was also found that significantly more N₂O was emitted from coniferous than from deciduous forest floors. Perhaps N added through precipitation could be the source for these emissions. The average daily flux of N₂O-N from deciduous and coniferous forest floors were found to be 1.2 and 5.8 g ha⁻¹ day⁻¹, respectively, and were 0.180 and 0.860 kg N₂O-N for the year. A recent study by Melillo et al. (1983) showed that N₂O emissions were highest from the forest floor of a 2-yr-old hardwood stand. Although the pH of the forest floors ranged from 3.5 to 3.9, there was considerable apparent denitrification loss of N as N₂O. The denitrification potential as measured by the acetylene blockage technique was highly correlated with the [NO₃] -N content. The results suggested that recently cut forest systems have the potential to emit N₂O in amounts similar to those reported from agricultural soils.

Because forests occupy a significant area of land, their overall contribution to the global N_2O-N flux may be important, and there is need to obtain more data on N_2O emissions from forest soil ecosystems.

3. Prairies

Schultz et al. (1970) and Burford and Hall (1977) reported that the N₂O-N flux from unfertilized grasslands was small and ranged from 0.1 to 0.8 mg N m⁻² day⁻¹. Burford and Hall (1977) found that fertilization of the grass sward increased the daily flux (range of 0.2 to 2 mg N₂O-N m⁻²). Rolston et al. (1978) reported that N₂O emission rates from grass swards were the highest when they were heavily fertilized with organic manures and the soil water content was high. The N₂O flux in their study from grass sward ranged from 40 to 800 mg N m⁻² day⁻¹. Ryden (1981), on the other hand, indicated that the daily N₂O flux from a British grassland soil fertilized with inorganic fertilizer N ranged from nil to 21 mg N m⁻². Soils very low in [N0₃]⁻-N were found to act as sinks for atmospheric N₂O.

Mosier et al. (1981) studied N_2O emissions from a native short grass prairie and found that the daily flux averaged 2.3 g N ha⁻¹ day⁻¹ during

summer. They found that fertilization of soils with urea to simulate grazing animal urine deposition increased the N₂O emission rates by a factor of three over the untreated soils. However, N₂O-N accounted for only 0.6% of the added N. They concluded that although N₂O emissions from the native grasslands were small, they were significantly larger than results obtained from uncultivated soils. Their estimate indicated that about 10% of total N input in the grasslands from biological N₂ fixation and atmospheric depositions was lost as N₂O. Sherlock and Goh (1983) noted that urine stimulated N₂O production in a grazed pasture relative to urea or [NH₄]⁺. Large emissions of N₂O-N (up to 0.6 g ha⁻¹ day⁻¹) occurred from sheep urine patches.

Denmead et al. (1979) measured N_2O emission from an unfertilized grass sward over 5 months and found that the emissions were largest in spring and increased with increase in the soil water and $[NO_3]^-$ contents. Nitrous oxide emission rates showed a diurnal cycle in response to soil surface temperatures. Peak emission rates occurred in the afternoon, with a minimum near sunrise. Average daily N_2O emissions from soils with soil water less than field capacity ranged from 0.6 to 2.5 mg N m⁻².

Christensen (1983) found that the N₂O flux from untreated soils under permanent grass during 5 months averaged 0.58 mg N m⁻² day⁻¹. Application of cow dung slurry caused a surge in N₂O flux that increased up to 40-fold compared to untreated grassland. On the other hand, applications of NH₄NO₃ caused up to a five-fold increase in the N₂O flux during the same period (Table 16). These results, along with those reported by Rolston *et al.* (1978), suggest that grassland heavily fertilized with organic N has a greatly increased rate of N₂O emission.

Christensen (1983) reported that the N₂O flux often showed marked diurnal fluctuations, which were not solely associated with change in temperature but were also likely related to grass root activity and photosynthetic rates. A study by Blackmer *et al.* (1982) also showed that diurnal variability in rate of emissions of N₂O from Iowa soils was often not related to diurnal variability in soil temperature but was related to the solubility of N₂O in water induced by temperature change. The N₂O flux from the unfertilized grassland ranged from 0.5 to 1.4 mg N m⁻² day⁻¹ and increased up to 50 mg N m⁻² day⁻¹ when they were fertilized with organic N (Christensen, 1983).

Goodroad and Keeney (1984b) measured N_2O flux from Wisconsin prairies for 2 yr and found that the total N_2O-N emissions ranged from 0.09 to 0.11 kg N ha⁻¹. Nitrous oxide emissions were similar from burned and unburned prairies (Table 14). Seasonal patterns of N_2O emissions were markedly influenced by changes in soil temperature and soil water content. The average daily N_2O flux from uncultivated tall grass prairies amounted to 0.5 g N_2O-N ha⁻¹ and was not significantly affected by removal of plant residues by burning.

Table 16. Cow slurry and ammonium nitrate-induced N_2O flux from soil under permanent grass^{a,b}

Fertiliz	er/Slurry		
Treatment	Rate (g N m ⁻²)	Sampling date	N_2O-N flux (mg N m ⁻²)
None	_	1 May-10 July	43
	_	10 July-6 August	24
NH ₄ NO ₃	10.0	1 May-10 July	132
4 3	10.0	10 July-6 August	106
Slurry ^c	10.3/11.1	1 May-10 July	165
~ ,	15.9/11.9	10 July-6 August	770

^aReprinted with permission from Soil Biol. Biochem., 15, S. Christensen, pp. 531-536; copyright 1983 Pergamon Press Ltd.

4. Wetlands

Denmead et al. (1979) measured N₂O flux from a flooded rice field in New South Wales. The soils had about 40 kg NO₃-N ha⁻¹ in the surface soils at the start of the experiment. Measurements were made continuously for 18 days after the field was flooded. Nitrous oxide fluxes showed a diurnal cycle in response to temperature of the paddy flood water. Between the second and 19th day of flooding, about 27 kg [NO₃]⁻-N ha⁻¹ was lost from the flood water. However, N₂O-N evolved was only 0.38 kg ha⁻¹ and represented only about 1.4% of the apparent [NO₃]⁻ loss. In another small-bay experiment in which NaNO₃ was added, N₂O production accounted for only 0.8% of the [NO₃]⁻-N lost.

Similarly, a study of N_2O flux from a flooded rice paddy in the Philippines fertilized with $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ (80 kg N ha⁻¹) showed that N_2O emissions amounted to only 0.1% of the N applied (Freney *et al.*, 1981). Smith, C. J. *et al.* (1982) measured the rate of N_2O emissions from a flooded rice field in Louisiana fertilized with urea (0, 90, and 180 ga N ha⁻¹). Urea application increased the flux of N_2O-N , but the emissions were low and ranged from 90 to 197 g N ha⁻¹ over 105 days. Nitrous oxide fluxes were found to be correlated with the content of exchangeable $[NH_4]^+-N$ in soil and $([NO_3]^- + [NO_2]^-)-N$ in the flood water. The average N_2O-N emissions from the unfertilized soil totaled 74 g N ha⁻¹, indicating that the fertilizer-induced emissions of N_2O represented only a very small proportion of the urea N applied (0.01 to 0.05%).

^bCow slurry and NH₄NO₃ were added to the plots on 30 April and 10 July 1981.

^cSlurry was added on inorganic N/organic N basis.

This brief discussion of the available information regarding N_20 emissions from flooded rice paddies clearly suggests that the N_20 emissions from these systems are very low and that fertilizer-induced N_20 emissions are also small, usually accounting for less than 1.4% of the fertilizer N.

B. Ecological Factors Affecting N₂0 Emissions

It is evident that a large number of soil and environmental factors affect N₂O emission from soils. These include a number of physical and biochemical processes that directly or indirectly affect N₂O production or emission from soil.

In addition to these factors, the ecosystem as a whole likely has a profound effect on N₂O emissions. For example, recent studies have shown that there is a marked difference in N₂O emissions rate from different forest soil ecosystems representing different plant communities (Goodroad and Keeney, 1984b). There may be at least three factors that are affecting these emissions: (1) soil pH may be an important factor in controlling production of substrate for N₂O production via denitrification and nitrification. Perhaps immobilization of mineral N may be another factor controlling net availability of meneral N for nitrification and subsequent denitrification. (2) Deficiency of nutrients, such as P, may be implicated in N mineralization and nitrification, which affect N₂O emissions. (3) The possibility of some toxic substances being produced in a soil ecosystem, which then affects, nitrification and N mineralization, also needs investigation, because these "inhibitory substances" may be important in controlling the population of nitrifiers and denitrifiers involved in N₂O production.

All these ecological factors that may be affecting N_2O production in soils are speculative, for lack of supporting data, but may provide a good starting point for research to identify factors that affect N_2O emissions in different ecosystems.

C. Use of Chemicals to Control N₂0 Emissions

Recent laboratory (Bremner and Blackmer, 1978; C. J. Smith and Chalk, 1980; Blackmer et al., 1980; Aulakh et al., 1984b) and field (Bremner et al. 1981; Magalhaes et al., 1984) studies have shown that nitrapyrin reduces the rate of N_2O emission associated with the nitrification in soils. Field results showing the effect of nitrapyrin on anhydrous ammonia-induced N_2O emissions are given in Table 17, which clearly shows that nitrapyrin is effective in reducing N_2O emissions from both fall and spring applications of anhydrous ammonia. However, nitrapyrin has been

Table 17. Effects of nitrapyrin on N_2O emission from soil fertilized with anhydrous ammonia in fall or spring^a

	Amount of N ₂ O-N evolved (kg N ha ⁻¹) in 167 days		
Treatment ^b	Fall application	Spring application	
None	0.16	0.43	
AA	1.55	1.37	
AA + NP	1.04	0.55	

^aFrom Bremner et al., Geophys Res. Lett. 8, 353-356, 1981, copyright by the American Geophysical Union.

found to have little direct effect on N₂O production via denitrification of [NO₃]⁻-N (Bremner and Blackmer, 1980, 1981). This opens up a new avenue for the use of nitrification inhibitors to control N₂O emissions from soils.

There is a need to develop chemicals that can control N_2O emissions associated not only with nitrification but also with denitrification. A better understanding of the enzyme system involved in N_2O production should aid in developing chemicals that can block the specific enzymatic system which is responsible for N_2O production.

V. Summary

We have reviewed current literature on the processes that contribute to N₂O emissions from soils. It would appear that denitrification is the dominant source of N₂O evolution from soils. However, it is now well recognized that nitrification can release significant amounts of N₂O in some situations. Chemodenitrification as a mechanism of N₂O production in soils is well established under laboratory conditions. However, it is not possible at the present time to speculate how much nitrification and chemodenitrification contribute to the overall N₂O emissions from soils under field conditions. It is rather difficult to delineate the contributions of denitrification and nitrification to N₂O evolution from soils in the field because of the occurrence of anaerobic microsites in apparently aerobic soils, particularly ones with considerable native organic matter or carbon added externally as plant residues or manures.

The mechanism of N_2O production via nitrification of $[NH_4]^+-N$ to $[NO_2]^--N$ is not clearly understood. It is clear, however, that N_2O can be

^bAA, anhydrous ammonia (180 kg N ha⁻¹); NP, nitrapyrin (0.56 kg ha⁻¹). Treatments were performed on 9 October, 1979 for the fall application and on 15 April, 1980 for the spring application.

formed from $[NO_2]^-$ via denitrification under anaerobic conditions or during oxidation of $[NH_4]^+$ to $[NO_2]^-$ under aerobic conditions. It is also not clearly understood whether N_2O is formed by chemical decomposition of hydroxylamine during nitrification or as a result of reaction between hydroxylamine and $[NO_2]^-$. It is clear, however that $[NO_2]^-$ accumulates in soils in situations where biological oxidation of $[NO_2]^-$ by *Nitrobacter* is at low ebb. There is a need to understand the significance of chemodenitrification in the field when alkaline-hydrolyzing fertilizers are band applied.

Research on N_2O emissions from native and managed ecosystems suggests that significant amounts of emissions occur under wet conditions from agricultural soils, particularly those fertilized with organic manures or having a high content of organic matter. The daily flux of N_2O-N can vary from as low as <0.01 to as high as 8 kg N ha⁻¹. The high fluxes of N_2O-N are not common in agricultural soils, with the exceptions of cultivated organic soils. Limited studies on forest soils suggest that fluxes of N_2O from these systems may be of an order of magnitude similar to those obtained from agricultural soils. Nitrous oxide emissions are greatly affected by the kind of forest stand and differences in N cycling processes. The potential for larger emissions of N_2O exists in recently cut forests.

The fluxes of N₂O from grasslands are quite variable and are affected by fertilization and other management practices. They range from 0.1 to 800 mg N m⁻² day⁻¹. Mosier *et al.* (1981) reported an average daily flux of 2.3 g N ha⁻¹ day⁻¹ from a native short grass prairie in summer. They estimate that about 10% of total N inputs in the grasslands from biological N₂ fixation and atmospheric deposition is lost as N₂O annually. Nitrous oxide fluxes reported from flooded rice paddies are relatively small compared to other ecosystems. The fertilizer-induced N₂O emissions are also usually small from flooded rice soils. It would appear that under the anaerobic conditions found in flooded soils, the gaseous product of denitrification is dominantly N₂, because N₂O is readily reduced to N₂ under these conditions. These soils may act as sinks rather than sources for N₂O.

The best way of reducing N₂O emissions from soils is by increasing the efficiency of N in crop production. Nitrification inhibitors are capable of reducing N₂O production via nitrification or by chemodenitrification by slowing [NO₂]⁻ accumulation. Development of chemicals that can retard denitrification and associated N₂O production will interest agronomists, soil scientists, and environmentalists.

Finally, modeling efforts are now needed to take stock of N_2O emissions from soils used for production of food, feed, and fiber crops with the available data sets as well as to learn about the kind of data sets needed for the modeling exercise prerequisite to prediction of global N_2O emissions.

References

- Armstrong, A.S.B. 1983. Nitrous oxide emissions from two sites in Southern England during winter 1981/1982. J. Sci. Food Agric. 34:803-807.
- Arnold, P.W. 1954. Losses of nitrous oxide from soil. J. Soil Sci. 5:116-128.
- Aulakh, M.S., D.A. Rennie, and E.A. Paul. 1982. Gaseous nitrogen losses from cropped and summer fallowed soils. Can. J. Soil Sci. 62:187-195.
- Aulakh, M.S., D.A. Rennie, and E.A. Paul. 1983a. The effect of various clover management practices on gaseous N losses and mineral N accumulation. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 63:593-605.
- Aulakh, M.S., D.A. Rennie, and E.A. Paul. 1983b. Field studies on gaseous nitrogen losses from soils under continuous wheat versus a wheat-fallow rotation. *Plant Soil* 75:15-28.
- Aulakh, M.S., D.A. Rennie, and E.A. Paul. 1984a. Gaseous nitrogen losses from soils under zero-till as compared with conventional-till management systems. *J. Environ. Qual.* 13:130-136.
- Aulakh, M.S., D.A. Rennie, and E.A. Paul. 1984b. Acetylene and N-Serve effects upon N₂O emissions from NH₄ and NO₃ treated soils under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. Soil Biol. Biochem. 16:351-356.
- Baalsrud, K., and K.S. Baalsrud. 1954. Studies on *Thiobacillus denitrificans*. Arch. Mikrobiol. 20:34-62.
- Bailey, L.D. 1976. Effects of temperature and root denitrification in a soil. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 56:79–87.
- Balderston, W.L., B. Sherr, and W.J. Payne. 1976. Blockage by acetylene of nitrous oxide reduction in *Pseudomonas perfectomarinus*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 31:504-508.
- Barbaree, J.M., and W.J. Payne. 1967. Products of denitrification by a marine bacterium as revealed by gas chromatography. *Mar. Biol.* 1:136-139.
- Beijerinck, M.W., and D.C.J. Minkman. 1910. Bildung und Verbrauch von Stickoxydul. Zentralbl. Bakterial. II. Abt. 25:30-63 (Original not seen, cited in Payne, 1981b).
- Blackmer, A.M., and J. M. Bremner. 1976. Potential of soil as a sink for atmospheric nitrous oxide. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 3:739-742.
- Blackmer, A.M., and J.M. Bremner. 1977. Nitrification of fertilizer nitrogen in soils as a source of atmospheric N₂O. Agron. Abst. p. 146.
- Blackmer, A.M., and J.M. Bremner. 1978. Inhibitory effect of nitrate on reduction of N₂O to N₂ by soil microorganisms. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 10:187-191.
- Blackmer, A.M., J.M. Bremner, and E.L. Schmidt. 1980. Production of nitrous oxide by ammonia-oxidizing chemoautotrophic microorganisms in soil. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 40:1060-1066.
- Blackmer, A.M., S.G. Robbins, and J.M. Bremner. 1982. Diurnal variability in rate of emission of nitrous oxide from soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 46:937-942.
- Bleakley, B.H., and J.M. Tiedje. 1982. Nitrous oxide production by organisms other than nitrifiers or denitrifiers. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 44:1342–1348.
- Bollag, J.-M., S. Drzymala, and L.T. Kardos. 1973. Biological versus chemical nitrate decomposition in soil. *Soil Sci.* 116:44-50.
- Breitenbeck, G.A., A.M. Blackmer, and J.M. Bremner. 1980. Effects of different nitrogen fertilizers on emission of nitrous oxide from soil. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 7:85-88.

- Bremner, J.M. 1978. Effects of soil processes on the atmospheric concentration of nitrous oxide. In: D.R. Nielsen and J.B. McDonald (eds.), *Nitrogen in the environment*. Vol. 1. Academic Press, New York, pp. 477-491.
- Bremner, J.M., and A.M. Blackmer. 1978. Nitrous oxide: emission from soils during nitrification of fertilizer nitrogen. Sicence 199:295-296.
- Bremner, J.M., and A.M. Blackmer. 1979. Effects of acetylene and soils water content on emission of nitrous oxide from soils. *Nature* (London) 280:380-381.
- Bremner, J.M., and A.M. Blackmer. 1980. Mechanisms of nitrous oxide production in soils. In: P.A. Trudinger, M.R. Walter, and R.J. Ralph (eds.), *Biochemistry of ancient and modern environments*. Australian Academy of Science, Canberra, Australia, pp. 279-291.
- Bremner, J.M., and A.M. Blackmer. 1981. Terrestrial nitrification as a source of atmospheric nitrous oxide. In: C.C. Delwiche (ed.), *Denitrification, nitrification, and atmospheric nitrous oxide*. John Wiley, New York, pp. 151-170.
- Bremner, J.M., and K. Shaw. 1958. Denitrification in soil. II. Factors affecting denitrification. J. Agric. Sci. 51:39-52.
- Bremner, J.M., G.A. Breitenbeck, and A.M. Blackmer. 1980. Effect of anhydrous ammonia fertilization on emission of nitrous oxide from soil. J. Environ. Qual. 10:77-80.
- Bremner, J.M., G.A. Breitenbeck, and A.M. Blackmer. 1981. Effect of nitrapyrin on emission of nitrous oxide from soil fertilized with anhydrous ammonia. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 8:353-356.
- Broadbent, F.E., and F.E. Clark. 1965. Denitrification. In: W.V. Bartholomew and F.E. Clark (eds.), *Soil nitrogen*. Agronomy 9:344-359. Am. Soc. of Agron., Madison, Wisconsin.
- Bryan, B.A. 1981. Physiology and biochemistry of denitrification. In: C.C. Delwiche (ed.), *Denitrification, nitrification, and atmospheric nitrous oxide*. John Wiley, New York, pp. 67-84.
- Burford, J.R., and J.M. Bremner. 1975. Relationships between the denitrification capacities of soils and total water-soluble and readily decomposable soils organic matter. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 7:389–394.
- Burford, J.R., and K.C. Hall. 1977. Fluxes of nitrous oxide from a rye-grass sward. In: *Annual Rept. of Letcombe Lab. for 1976*, Agric. Res. Council, Wantage, U.K., pp. 85-88.
- Burford, J.R., and R.J. Millington. 1968. Nitrous oxide in the atmosphere of a red brown earth. *Trans. 9th Int. Congr. Soil Sci.* 2:505-511.
- Burford, J.R., and R.C. Stefanson. 1973. Measurements of gaseous losses of nitrogen from soils. Soil Biol. Biochem. 5:133-141.
- Burford, J.R., R.J. Dowdell, and R. Crees. 1981. Emission of nitrous oxide to the atmosphere from direct-drilled and phoughed clay soils. *J. Sci. Food Agric*. 32:219–223.
- Burth, I., G. Benckiser, and J.C.G. Ottow. 1982. N₂O-Freisetzung aus Nitrit (Denitrifikation) durch ubiquitare Pilze unter aeroben Bedingungen. *Naturwissenschaften* 69:598-599.
- Cady, F.B., and W.V. Bartholomew. 1960. Sequential products of anaerobic denitrification in Norfolk soil material. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 24:477-482.
- Cady, F.B., and W.V. Bartholomew. 1961. Influence of low PO₂ on denitrification processes and products. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc. 25:362-365.
- Cady, F.B., and W.V. Bartholomew. 1963. Investigations of nitric oxide reactions in soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.* 27:546-549.

- CAST (Council for Agric. Sci. and Technol.). 1976. "Effect of increased nitrogen fixation on stratospheric ozone." Report 53, Iowa State Univ., Ames, Iowa.
- Cates, R.L., Jr. 1983. Field and laboratory studies of nitrous oxide production in soils. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Wis. 281 pp.
- Chalk, P.M., and C.J. Smith. 1983. Chemodenitrification. In: J.R. Freney and J.R. Simpson (eds.), Gaseous loss of nitrogen from plant-soil systems. Developments in plant and soil sciences. Vol. 9. Martinus Nijhoff, The Hague, pp. 65-89.
- Cho, C.M. 1982. Oxygen consumption and denitrification kinetics in soil. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 46:756-762.
- Cho, C.M., and J.G. Mills. 1979. Kinetic formulation of the denitrification processes in soil. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 59:249-257.
- Christensen, S. 1983. Nitrous oxide emission from a soils under permanent grass: seasonal and diurnal fluctuations as influenced by manuring and fertilization. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 15:531-536.
- Cochran, V.L., L.F. Elliott, and R.I. Papendick. 1981. Nitrous oxide emissions from a fallow field fertilized with anhydrous ammonia. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 45:307-310.
- Cooper, G.S., and R.L. Smith. 1963. Sequence of products formed during denitrification in some diverse western soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.* 27:659-662.
- Corbet, A.S. 1935. The formation of hyponitroous acid as an intermediate compound in the biochemical oxidation of ammonia to nitrous acid. II. Microbiological oxidation. *Biochem. J.* 29:1086-1096.
- Crutzen, P.J. 1970. The influence of nitrogen oxides on the atmospheric ozone content. *Quart. J. R. Meterol. Soc.* 96:320-325.
- Crutzen, P.J. 1971. Ozone production rates in an oxygen-hydrogen-nitrogen oxide atmosphere. *J. Geophys. Res.* 76:7311–7328.
- Crutzen, P.J. 1974. Estimates of possible variations in total ozone due to natural causes and human activities. *Ambio* 3:201-210.
- Crutzen, P.J. 1981. Atmospheric chemical processes of the oxides of nitrogen, including nitrous oxide. In: C.C. Delwiche (ed.), *Denitrification, nitrification, and atmospheric nitrous oxide*. John Wiley, New York, pp. 17-44.
- Daniel, R.M., K.W. Steele, and A.W. Limmer. 1980. Denitrification by rhizobia. A possible factor contributing to nitrogen losses from soils. *N.Z. Agric. Sci.* 14:109–112.
- Delwiche, C.C. 1959. Production and utilization of nitrous oxide by *Pseudomonas denitrificans*. J. Bacteriol. 77:55-59.
- Delwiche, C.C. (ed.). 1981. Denitrification, nitrification and atmospheric nitrous oxide. John Wiley, New York. 286 p.
- Delwiche, C.C., and B.A. Bryan. 1976. Denitrification. *Ann. Rev. Microbiol.* 30:241–262.
- Denmead, O.T., J.R. Freney, and J.F. Simpson. 1979. Studies of nitrous oxide emission from a grass sward. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 43:726-728.
- Dowdell, R.J., and K.A. Smith. 1974. Field studies of the soil atmosphere. II. Occurrence of nitrous oxide. J. Soil Sci. 25:231-238.
- Duxbury, J.M., D.R. Bouldin, R.E. Terry, and R.L. Tate, III. 1982. Emissions of nitrous oxide from soils. *Nature* (London) 298:462-464.
- Elkins, J.W., S.C., Wofsy, M.B. McElroy, C.E. Kolb, and W.A. Kaplan. 1978. Aquatic sources and sinks for nitrous oxide. *Nature* (London) 275:602-604.

- Findlay, W.I., and D.J. McKenney. 1979. Direct measurement of nitrous oxide flux from soil. Can. J. Soil Sci. 59:413-421.
- Firestone, M.K. 1982. Biological denitrification. In: F.J. Stevenson *et al.* (eds.) Nitrogen in agricultural soils. *Agronomy* 22:289–236. Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, Wisconsin.
- Firestone, M.K., and J.M. Tiedje. 1979. Temporal changes in nitrous oxide and denitrogen from denitrification following on set of anaerobiosis. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 38:673-679.
- Firestone, M.K., R.B. Firestone, and J.M. Tiedje, 1980. Nitrous oxide from soil denitrification: factors controlling its biological production. *Science* 208:749-751.
- Focht, D.D. 1974. The effect of temperature, pH and aeration on the production of nitrous oxide and gaseous nitrogen—a zero order kinetic model. *Soil Sci.* 118:173-179.
- Focht, D.D. 1978. Methods for analysis of denitrification in soils. In: D.R. Nielsen and J.B. McDonald (eds.), *Nitrogen in the environment*. Vol. 2. *Soil-plant relation-ships*. Academic Press, New York, pp. 433-490.
- Focht, D.D., and W. Verstraete. 1977. Biochemical ecology of nitrification and denitrifictation. In: M. Alexander (ed.), *Advances in microbial ecology*. Vol. 1. Plenum Press, New York, pp. 135-214.
- Focht, D.D., L.H. Stolzy, and B.D. Meek. 1979. Sequential reduction of nitrate and nitrous oxide under field conditions as brought about by organic amendments and irrigation management. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 11:37-46.
- Freney, J.R., and J.R. Simpson (eds.). 1983. Gaseous loss of nitrogen from plant-soil systems. In: *Development in plant and soil sciences*. Vol. 9. Martinus Nijhoff, The Hague, p. 317.
- Freney, J.R., O.T. Denmead, and J.R. Simpson. 1978. Soil as a source or sink for atmospheric nitrous oxide. *Nature* (London) 273:530-532.
- Freney, J.R., O.T. Denmead, and J.R. Simpson. 1979. Nitrous oxide emission from soils at low moisture contents. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 11:167-173.
- Freney, J.R., O.T. Denmead, I. Watanabe, and E.T. Craswell. 1981. Ammonia and nitrous oxide losses following applications of ammonium sulfate to flooded rice. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 32:37-45.
- Garcia, J.L. 1975a. La denitrification dans les sols. *Bull. Inst. Pasteur Paris* 73:167–193.
- Garcia, J.L. 1975b. Effet rhizosphere du riz sur la denitrification. Soil Biol. Biochem. 7:139-141.
- Goodroad, L.L., and D.R. Keeney. 1984a. Nitrous oxide production in aerobic soils under varying pH, temperature, and water content. *Soil Biol. Biochem*. 16:39-43.
- Goodroad, L.L., and D.R. Keeney. 1984b. Nitrous oxide emission from forest, marsh, and prairie ecosystems. *J. Environ. Qual.* 13:448-452.
- Goodroad, L.L., and D.R. Keeney, 1985. Site of nitrous oxide production in field soils. *Biol. Fert. Soils* 1:3-7.
- Goodroad, L.L., D.R. Keeney, and L.A. Peterson. 1984. Nitrous oxide from agricultural soils in Wisconsin. J. Environ. Qual. 13:557-561.
- Goreau, T.J., W.A. Kaplan, S.C. Wofsy, M.B. McElroy, F.W. Valois, and S.W. Watson. 1980. Production of NO₂⁻ and N₂O by nitrifying bacteria at reduced concentrations of oxygen. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 40:526-532.

- Hahn, J. 1981. Nitrous oxide in the oceans. In: C.C. Delwiche (ed.), *Denitrification, nitrification, and atmospheric nitrous oxide*. John Wiley, New York, pp. 191–240.
- Hauck, R.D. 1983. Agronomic and technological approaches to minimizing gaseous nitrogen losses from croplands. In: J.R. Freney and J.R. Simpson (eds.), Gaseous loss of nitrogen from plant-soil systems. Development in plant and soil sciences, Vol. 9. Martinus Nijhoff, The Hague; pp. 285-312.
- Hauck, R.D., and S.W. Melsted. 1956. Some aspects of the problem of evaluating denitrification in soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.* 20:361–364.
- Hollocher, T.C. 1982. The pathway of nitrogen and reductive enzymes of denitrification. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 48:531-544.
- Hutchinson, G.L., and A.R. Mosier. 1979. Nitrous oxide emissions from an irrigated corn field. Science 205:1125-1127.
- Hutchinson, G.L., and A.R. Mosier. 1981. Improved soil cover method for field measurement of nitrous oxide flux. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 45:311-316.
- Hynes, R.K., and R. Knowles. 1978. Inhibition by acetylene of ammonia oxidation in *Nitrosomonas europaea*. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 4:319-321.
- Ingraham, J.L. 1981. Microbiology and genetics of denitrifiers. In: C.C. Delwiche (ed.), *Denitrification, nitrification, and atmospheric nitrous oxide*. John Wiley, New York, pp. 45-65.
- Ishaque, M., and M.I.H. Aleem. 1973. Intermediates of denitrification in the chemoautotroph *Thiobacillus denitrificans*. Arch. Mikrobiol. 94:269-282.
- Johnson, H.S. 1971. Reduction of stratospheric ozone by nitrogen oxide catalysts from SST exhaust. Science 173:517-522.
- Johnston, H.S. 1977. Analysis of independent variables in the perturbation of stratospheric ozone by nitrogen fertilizers. J. Geophys. Res. 82:1767-1772.
- Keeney, D.R. 1982. Nitrogen management for maximum efficiency and minimum pollution. In: F.J. Stevenson *et al.* (ed.), *Nitrogen in agricultural soils. Agronomy* 22:605-649. Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, Wisconsin.
- Keeney, D.R. 1986. Critique of the acetylene blockage technique for field measurement of denitrification. In: R.D. Hauck and R. W. Weaver (eds.) Field measurement of dinitrogen fixation and denitrification. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Spec. Publ. 18: pp. 103-115. Soil Sci. Soc. Am., Madison, Wisconsin.
- Keeney, D.R., I.R. Fillery, and G.P. Marx. 1979. Effect of temperature on the gaseous nitrogen products of denitrification in a silt loam. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 43:1124-1128.
- Keeney, D.R., K.L. Sahrawat, and S.S. Adams. 1985. Carbon dioxide concentration in soil: Effects on nitrification, denitrification and associated nitrous oxide production. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 17:571-573.
- Knowles, R. 1981. Denitrification. In: E.A. Paul and J.M. Ladd (eds.), Soil Biochemistry. Vol. 5. Marcel Dekker, New York, pp. 323-369.
- Koskinen, W.C., and D.R. Keeney. 1982. Effects of pH on the rate of gaseous production of denitrification in a silt loam soil. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 46:1165-1167.
- Letey, J., N. Valoras, A. Hadas, and D.D. Focht. 1980a. Effect of air-filled porosity, nitrate concentration and time on the ratio of N₂O/N₂ evolution during denitrification. *J. Environ. Qual.* 9:227-321.
- Letey, J., A. Hadas, M. Valoras, and D.D. Focht. 1980b. Effect of preincubation

- and reduction during denitrification as affected by redox potential. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 45:727-730.
- Liu, S.C., R.J. Cicerone, and T.M. Donahue. 1977. Sources and sinks of atmospheric N₂O and possible ozone reduction due to industrial fixed nitrogen fertilizers. *Tellus* 29:251-263.
- Magalhaes, A.M.T., P.M. Chalk, and W.M. Strong. 1984. Effect of nitrapyrin on nitrous oxide emission from fallow soils fertilized with anhydrous ammonia. *Fert. Res.* 5:411-421.
- Matsurbara, T., and T. Mori. 1968. Studies on denitrification. IX. Nitrous oxide, its production and reduction to nitrogen. J. Biochem. (Tokyo) 64:863-871.
- McElroy, M.B., S.C. Wofsy, and Y.L. Yung. 1977. The nitrogen cycle: perturbations due to man and their impact on atmospheric N₂O and O₃. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London, B. Biol Sci.* 277:159-181.
- McGarity, J.W. 1962. Effect of freezing of soil on denitrification. *Nature* (London) 196:1342–1343.
- McGarity, J.W., and R.D. Hauck. 1969. An aerometric apparatus for the evaluation of gaseous nitrogen transformations in field soils. *Soil Sci.* 108:335-344.
- McKenney, D.J., D.L. Wade, and W.I. Findlay. 1978. Rates of N₂O evolution from N-fertilized soil. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 5:777-780.
- McKenney, D.J., K.F. Shuttleworth, and W.I. Findlay, 1980. Nitrous oxide evolution rates from fertilized soils; effects of applied nitrogen. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 60:429-438.
- McKenney, D.J., K.F. Shuttleworth, J.R. Vriesacker, and W.I. Findlay. 1982. Production and loss of nitric oxide from denitrification in anaerobic Brookston clay. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 43:534-541.
- McKenzie, E., and L.T. Kurtz. 1976. Effect of pretreatment on loss of nitrogen-15-labeled fertilizer from waterlogged soil during incubation. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 40:534-537.
- Melillo, J.M., J.D. Aber, P.A. Steudler, and J.P. Schimel. 1983. Denitrification potential in a successional sequence of northern hardwood forest stands. *Environ. Biogeochem. Ecol. Bull.* (Stockholm) 35:217-228.
- Minami, K., and S. Fukushi. 1983. Effects of phosphate and calcium carbonate application on emission of N₂O from soils under aerobic conditions. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 29:517-524.
- Miyata, M. 1971. Studies on denitrification. XIV. The electron donating system in the reduction of nitric oxide and nitrate. *J. Biochem.* (Toyko) 70:205–213.
- Mosier, A.R., and G.L. Hutchinson. 1981. Nitrous oxide emissions from cropped fields. *J. Environ. Qual.* 10:169-173.
- Mosier, A.R., M. Stillwell, W.J. Parton, and R.G. Woodmansee. 1981. Nitrous oxide emissions from a native short grass prairie. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 45:617–619.
- Mosier, A.R., F.L. Hutchinson, B.R. Sabey, and J. Baxter. 1982. Nitrous oxide emissions from barley plots treated with ammonium nitrate or sewage sludge. *J. Environ. Qual.* 11:78-81.
- Mosier, A.R., W.J. Parton, and G.L. Hutchinson. 1983. Modelling nitrous oxide evolution from cropped and native soils. *Environ. Biogeochem. Ecol. Bull.* (Stockholm) 35:229-241.

- Myers, R.J.K., and J.W. McGarity. 1971. Factors influencing high denitrifying activity in the subsoil of solodized solonetz. *Plant Soil* 35:145-160.
- Najjar, V.A., and M.B. Allen. 1954. Formation of nitrogen, nitrous oxide and nitric oxide by extracts of denitrifying bacteria. J. Biol. Chem. 206:209-214.
- Nelson, D.W. 1982. Gaseous losses of nitrogen other than through denitrification. In: F.J. Stevenson *et al.* (eds.), Nitrogen in agricultural soils. Agronomy 22:327–363. Am. Soc. Agron., Madison, Wisconsin.
- Nelson, D.W., and J.M. Bremner. 1970. Gaseous products of nitrite decomposition in soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 2:203-215.
- Nommik, H. 1956. Investigations on denitrification in soil. *Acta Agric. Scand.* 6:195-228.
- O'Hara, G.W., R.M. Daniel, and K.W. Steele. 1983. Effect of oxygen on the synthesis, activity and breakdown of the *Rhizobium* denitrification system. *J. Gen. Microbiol.* 129:2405-2412.
- O'Hara, G.W., R.M. Daniel, K.W. Steele, and P.M. Bonish. 1984. Nitrogen losses from soils caused by *Rhizobium*-dependent denitrification. *Soil Biol. Biochem*. 16:429-431.
- Pang, P.C.K., and C.M. Cho. 1984. Oxygen consumption and denitrification activity of a conifer forest soil profile. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 48:393-399.
- Pastor, J., J.D. Aber, C.A. McClaugherty, and J.M. Melillo. 1984. Above-ground production and N and P cycling along a nitrogen mineralization gradient on Blackhawk Island, Wisconsin. *Ecology* 65:256-268.
- Patten, D.K., J.M. Bremner, and A.M. Blackmer. 1980. Effects of drying and airdry storage of soils on their capacity for denitrification nitrate. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 44:67-70.
- Payne, W.J. 1973. Reduction of nitrogenous oxide by microorganisms. *Bacteriol. Rev.* 37:409-452.
- Payne, W.J. 1981a. The status of nitric oxide and nitrous as intermediates in denitrification. In: C.C. Delwiche (ed.), *Denitrification, nitrification, and atmospheric nitrous oxide*. John Wiley, New York, pp. 85-103.
- Payne, W.J. 1981b. Denitrification. John Wiley, New York. 214 pp.
- Pichinoty, F., J.L. Garicia, M. Mandel, C. Job, and M. Durand. 1978. Isolement de bacteries utilisant en anaerobiose l'oxyde nitrique cdomme accepteur d'electrons respirtoire. *C.R. Acad. Sci.*, Ser. D 286:1403-1405.
- Pilot, L., and W.H. Patrick, Jr. 1972. Nitrate reduction in soils: effect of soil moisture tension. *Soil Sci.* 114:312–316.
- Rice, C.W., and M.S. Smith. 1982. Denitrification in no-till and plowed soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 46:1168-1173.
- Ritchie, G.A.F., and D.J.D. Nicholas. 1972. Identification of the sources of nitrous oxide produced by oxidative and reductive processes in *Nitrosomonas europaea*. *Biochem. J.* 126:1181-1191.
- Ritchie, G.A.F., and D.J.D. Nicholas. 1974. The partial characterization of purified reductase and hydroxylamine oxidase from *Nitrosomonas europaea Biochem. J.* 138:471-480.
- Robertson, G.P., and J.M. Tiedje. 1984. Denitrification and nitrous oxide production in successional and old-growth Michigan forests. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 48:383-389.
- Rolston, D.E. 1981. Nitrous oxide and nitrogen gas production in fertilizer loss.

- In: C.C. Delwiche (ed.), Denitrification, nitrification, and atmospheric nitrous oxide. John Wiley, New York, pp. 127-149.
- Rolston, D.E., M. Fried, and D.A. Goldhamer. 1976. Denitrification measured directly from nitrogen and nitrous oxide gas fluxes. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 40:259-266.
- Rolston, D.E., D.L. Hollman, and D.W. Toy. 1978. Field measurement of denitrification. I. Flux of N₂ and N₂O. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 42:863-869.
- Rolston, D.E., A.N. Sharpley, D.W. Toy, D.L. Hoffman, and F.E. Broadbent. 1980. "Denitrification as affected by irrigation frequency of a field soil." EPA-600/2-80-066. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Ada, Oklahoma.
- Rolston, D.W., A.N. Sharpley, D.W. Toy, and F.E. Broadbent. 1982. Field measurement of denitrification: III. Rates during irrigation cycles. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 46:289-296.
- Ryden, J.C. 1981. N₂O exchange between a grassland soil and the atmosphere. *Nature* (London) 292:235-237.
- Ryden, J.C. 1983. Denitrification loss from a grassland soils in the field receiving different rates of nitrogen as ammonium nitrate. *J. Soil Sci.* 34:355–365.
- Ryden, J.C., and L.J. Lund. 1980. Nature and extent of directly measured denitrification losses from some irrigated vegetable crop production units. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 44:505-511.
- Ryden, J.C., and D.E. Rolston. 1983. The measurement of denitrification. In: J.R. Freney and J.F. Simpson (eds.), Gaseous loss of nitrogen from plant-soil systems. Developments in plant and soil sciences. Vol. 9. Martinus Nijhoff, The Hague, pp. 91-132.
- Ryden, J.C., L.J. Lund, and D.D. Focht. 1978. Direct-in-field measurement of nitrous oxide flux from soils. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 42:731-737.
- Ryden, J.C., L.J. Lund, and D.D. Focht. 1979. Direct measurement of denitrification loss from soils: development and application of field methods. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 43:110-118.
- Sahrawat, K.L., D.R. Keeney, and S.S. Adams. 1985. Rate of aerobic nitrogen transformations in six acid climax forest soils and the effect of phosphorus and CaCO₃. Forest Sci. 31:680-684.
- Schroeder, E.D. 1981. Denitrification in wastewater management. In: C.C. Delwiche (ed.), *Denitrification, nitrification, and atmospheric nitrous oxide*. John Wiley, New York, pp. 105-125.
- Schultz, K., C. Junge, R. Beck, and B. Albrecht. 1970. Studies of atmospheric N₂O. *J. Geophys. Res.* 75:2230-2246.
- Seiler, W., and R. Conrad. 1981. Field measurement of natural and fertilizer-induced N₂O release rates from soils. *Air Polln. Contr. Assn. J.* 31:767-772.
- Sherlock, R.R., and K.M. Goh. 1983. Initial emission of nitrous oxide from sheep urine applied to pasture soil. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 15:615-617.
- Smith, C.J., and P.M. Chalk. 1980. Gaseous nitrogen evolution during nitrification of ammonia fertilizer and nitrite transformations in soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 44:277-282.
- Smith, C.J., and W.H. Patrick, Jr. 1983. Nitrous oxide emission as affected by alternate anaerobic and aerobic conditions from soil suspensions enriched with ammonium sulfate. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 15:693-697.
- Smith, C.J., M. Brandon, and W.H. Patrick, Jr. 1982. Nitrous oxide emission

- following urea-N fertilization of wetland rice. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 28:161-171.
- Smith, C.J., R.L. Chen, and W.H. Patrick, Jr. 1981. Nitrous oxide emissions from sinulated overland flow water treatment systems. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 13:275–278.
- Smith, C.J., M.F. Wright, and W.H. Patrick, Jr. 1983. The effect of soil redox potential and pH on the reduction and production of nitrous oxide. *J. Environ. Qual.* 12:186-188.
- Smith, K.A. 1980. A model of the extent of anaerobic zones in aggregated soils, and its potential application to estimates of denitrification. *J. Soil Sci.* 31:263–277.
- Smith, M.S., and J.M. Tiedje. 1979. Phases of denitrification following oxygen depletion in soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 11:261-276.
- Smith, M.S., and J.M. Tiedje. 1980. Growth and survival of antibiotic-resistant denitrifier strains in soil. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 26:854–856.
- Smith, M.S., and K. Zimmerman. 1981. Nitrous oxide production by nondenitrifying soil nitrate reducers. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 45:865-871.
- Smith, M.S., M.K. Firestone, and J.M. Tiedje. 1978. The acetylene inhibition method for short-term measurement of soil denitrification and its evaluation using nitrogen-13. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 42:611-616.
- Soil Science Society of America, Terminology Committees. 1979. Glossary of soil science terms. Soil Sci. Soc. Am., Madison, Wisconsin, p. 5.
- Stefanson, R.C. 1973. Evolution patterns of nitrous oxide and nitrogen in sealed soil-plant systems. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 5:167–169.
- Suzuki, S. 1912. Uber die enstehungder Stickoxyde in denitrifikations-prozess. 1. Prufung, Bestimung und Voskomen des Stickoxyduls in den Garungsgasen. Zentrabl. Bakteriol. Parasitenkd. II Abt. 31:27-49.
- Terry, R.E., and R.L. Tate, III. 1980. The effect of nitrate on nitrous oxide reduction in organic soils and sediments. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 44:744-747.
- Terry, R.E., R.L. Tate, III, and J.M. Duxbury. 1981. Nitrous oxide emissions from drained cultivated organic soils of South Florida. *Amer. Poll. Contr. Assn. J.* 31:1173-1176.
- Tiedje, J.M. 1982. Denitrification. In: A.L. Page (ed.), *Methods of soil analysis*, Part 2. Agronomy 9:1011-1026. Am. Soc. Agron., Soil Sci. Soc. Am., Madison, Wisconsin.
- Tiedje, J.M., R.B. Firestone, M.K. Firestone, M.F. Betlach, M.S. Smith, and W.H. Caskey. 1979. Methods for the production and use of nitrogen-13 in studies of denitrification. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 43:709-716.
- Trudinger, P.A., M.R. Walter, and R.J. Ralph. (eds.). 1980. Biochemistry of ancient and modern environments. Australian Academy of Science, Canberra, Australia.
- Walter, H.M., D.R. Keeney, and I.R. Fillery. 1979. Inhibition of nitrification by acetylene. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 43:195-196.
- Webster, C.P., and R.J. Dowdell. 1982. Nitrous oxide emission from permanent grass swards. J. Sci. Food Agric. 33:227-230.
- Wijler, J., and C.C. Delwiche. 1954. Investigations on the denitrification process in soil. *Plant Soil* 5:155-169.
- Yoshida, T., and M. Alexander. 1970. Nitrous oxide formation by *Nitrosomonas europea* and heterotrophic microorganisms. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. Proc.* 34:880–882.

- Yoshida, T., and M. Alexander. 1971. Hydroxylanine oxidation by *Nitrosomonas europaea*. Soil Sci. 111:307-312.
- Yoshinari, T., and R. Knowles. 1976. Acetylene inhibiton of nitrous oxide reduction by denitrifying bacteria. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 69:705-710.
- Yoshinari, T., R. Hynes, and R. Knowles. 1977. Acetylene inhibition of nitrous oxide reduction and measurement of denitrification and nitrogen fixation in soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. 9:177-183.
- Yung, Y.L., and W.C. Wang, and A.A. Lacis. 1976. Greenhouse effects due to nitrous oxide. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 3:619-621.
- Zablotowicz, R.M., D.L. Eskew, and D.D. Focht. 1978. Denitrification in *Rhizobium. Can. J. Microbiol*. 24:757-760.