

Inheritance of foliar blast resistance in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*)

Shashi K. Gupta, Rajan Sharma, Kedar N. Rai, Ram P. Thakur

Vol 131, Issue 1, Pages 217-219, Year February 2012

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0523.2011.01929.x>

This is author version post print archived in the official Institutional Repository of ICRISAT www.icrisat.org

Institution:

International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics,
Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh – 502324. India.

Title:

Inheritance of foliar blast resistance in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.
(R.) Br.)

Authors:

Shashi Kumar Gupta, Rajan Sharma, Kedar Nath Rai and Ram P. Thakur

Corresponding author:

SK GUPTA

E-mail: s.gupta@cgiar.org

+914030713495 (Phone)

+914030713074/ 30713075 (Fax)

Abstract

Foliar blast, caused by *Pyricularia grisea* (Cooke) Sacc, has recently emerged as a serious disease of pearl millet in India. To study the inheritance of resistance to this disease, two resistant restorer lines (ICMR 06222 and ICMR 07555) and two susceptible maintainer lines (ICMB 95444 and ICMB 89111) were selected on the basis of foliar blast reaction in tests conducted under field and greenhouse conditions. Each of the two resistant parents was crossed with two susceptible parents to generate 4 sets of F₁s, F₂s and their backcrosses with both resistant and susceptible parental lines. These were evaluated for disease reaction with artificial inoculation under both field and greenhouse conditions. The disease reaction of the F₁s, and the segregation patterns of resistance in the F₂s and backcross generations, showed that resistance to foliar blast in pearl millet is controlled by a single dominant gene.

Key words: *Pennisetum glaucum* - foliar blast - leaf spot - inheritance

Pearl millet foliar blast, also known as leaf spot caused by *Pyricularia grisea* (Cooke) Sacc. [teleomorph: *Magnaporthe grisea* (Herbert) Barr] was first reported in 1942 from Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh in India (Mehta *et al.* 1953). However, until recently, it had not been a disease of any economic significance in this country, which annually cultivates it on about 9.5 million ha, and hence has the largest pearl millet area in the world. Leaf blast has been considered a serious disease in southern coastal plains of the USA where infection from this disease has been found to have significant adverse effects on green forage yield and digestible dry matter (Wilson and Gates, 1993). It is known that host plant resistance is the most cost-effective strategy to effectively manage this disease. Thus, sources of blast resistance were identified, and efforts were made to incorporate resistance into improved hybrid parents and elite breeding lines in the USA (Hanna *et al.* 1988). Recently, leaf blast has emerged as a serious disease in pearl millet in India (Lukose *et al.* 2007; Anonymous, 2009), which becomes more severe during humid weather conditions, especially in dense plant stands. Breeding for blast resistance is yet to begin in India, though field and greenhouse screening techniques have been developed and resistance sources have been identified (Thakur *et al.* 2009). Knowledge of the inheritance of resistance will have a direct bearing on the breeding efficiency for genetic management of this disease. We report on the results of a study of the inheritance of blast resistance to the pathogen population prevalent at ICRISAT, Patancheru research center.

Materials and Methods

Based on the results of a previous study (Thakur *et al.* 2009), ICMR 06222 and ICMR 07555 were selected as resistant parents, and ICMB 89111 and ICMB 95444 as susceptible parents for foliar blast disease. These selected parental lines were re-confirmed for their foliar blast reaction in the greenhouse at ICRISAT, Patancheru. Four F₁s were generated by crossing both resistant lines (P₂) on each of the two susceptible lines (P₁) in the cool post-rainy season during November- February 2008-09. During the subsequent hot dry season, March- June 2009, in each F₁, 8-10 panicles were selfed using parchment paper bags to generate a F₂ population, and bulk pollen from 8-10 F₁ panicles was used to pollinate the corresponding susceptible and resistant parents to develop BCP₁ (susceptible parent × F₁) and BCP₂ (resistant parent × F₁) populations, respectively.

All the parents, four F₁s, four F₂s, four BCP₁s and four BCP₂s were screened against *P. grisea* Patancheru isolate in the greenhouse in July-August 2009 in three replications. In each replication, 3 pots of the parents and F₁, 10 pots each of both BCP₁ and BCP₂, and 20 pots of F₂ were planted for each cross. Seeds were sown in 15-cm diameter pots (10 seeds/pot) filled with sterilized soil-sand-FYM mix (2:1:1) and placed in a greenhouse bay maintained at 30±1°C. The seedlings (12 day-old) were spray-inoculated with an aqueous conidial suspension (ca. 1×10⁵ spores ml⁻¹) of *P. grisea* (Patancheru isolate) and exposed to high humidity (>90% RH) under misting for 10 days. Blast severity was recorded 10 days after inoculation using a 1-9 progressive scale (Thakur *et al.* 2009). Following

this, the plants having score of ≤ 3 were rated as resistant and with score of >5 as susceptible.

The above parents and populations were also evaluated under field conditions during rainy season of 2009. The experiment was conducted in a randomized complete block design with 3 replications with 1 row of 4m long for each F_1 and parents, 4 rows of each BCP_1 and BCP_2 , and 8 rows of each F_2 planted in each replication. Systematic susceptible checks (ICMB 95444, -99666 and -89111) were grown every 5th row, alternately. Plants were thinned to 20 plants/row 15 days after planting and standard agronomic practices were followed for crop management. Plants were spray-inoculated twice, first at pre-tillering stage and second at flowering stage with an aqueous conidial suspension (ca. 1×10^5 spores mL⁻¹) of *P. grisea* (Patancheru isolate). High humidity was provided by perfo-irrigation twice a day on rain-free days, 30 min each between 11-12 h and 16-17 h, to promote disease development. Disease severity was recorded using same 1-9 progressive scale as mentioned for greenhouse screening.

The observed ratios of resistant to susceptible plants in the segregating populations in greenhouse and field experiments were compared to theoretical ratios using chi-square test after pooling of plants from all the replications.

Results and Discussion

All the plants of the susceptible parents were susceptible (score of >5) both under greenhouse and field conditions. In the F_2 and BCP_1 there was clear cut segregation either for resistant plants (score of ≤ 3) or susceptible plants

(score of >5), and no plant had score of 4 and 5 for blast reaction both under greenhouse and field conditions. All the plants of the two resistant parents were resistant both under greenhouse and field conditions. All plants in all the four F₁s and their corresponding four BCP₂s were also resistant to blast under greenhouse and field conditions (Table 1). The F₂ population from cross ICMB 89111 x ICMR 06222 had a good fit to the segregation ratio of 3R:1S in both the greenhouse and field screens, indicating dominant monogenic control of blast resistance. The BCP₁ of this cross had good fit to the 1R:1S ratio expected for monogenic inheritance in both greenhouse and field screens. The F₂ of cross ICMB 95444 x ICMR 06222 also gave good fit to the segregation ratio of 3R:1S in both greenhouse and field screens, and BCP₁ segregation of this cross had good fit to 1R:1S segregation ratio in field screen but not in the greenhouse where excess of susceptible plants were observed. The resistant parent ICMR 07555 when crossed to the susceptible parents ICMB 89111 and ICMB 95444 gave a good fit to segregation ratio of 3R:1S in the F₂ both in greenhouse and field screens, again indicating monogenic control of blast resistance. The BCP₁ ratio of these crosses had significant deviations from the expected 1R:1S segregation ratio due to excess of susceptible plants in both the greenhouse and field experiments. Thus, in all the five cases of BCP₁ where segregation ratio had significant deviation from the expected 1R:1S ratio, it was due to excess of susceptible plants, which most likely could have resulted from some selfing in the susceptible parents that were used as female parents in deriving the BCP₁ generation. Such

deviation from expected ratio could also result from segregation distortion caused by segregation distortion loci identified in pearl millet (Busso *et al.* 1995), although segregation distortion appears less likely cause of the deviation from expected ratios which almost all were found in BCP₁ and not in the F₂ generation of all crosses.

The goodness of fit to 3R:1S segregation ratio in all the four F₂s, and 1R:1S ratio in 3 out of the 8 BCP₁ populations under both greenhouse and field conditions leads us to conclude that foliar blast resistance in the pearl millet lines used for this study is controlled by a single dominant gene. In an earlier study, three independent dominant genes were reported to control blast resistance in which Tifton PS34, a weedy relative of pearl millet *Pennisetum glaucum ssp monodii*, was used as resistant source and evaluated against a pathogen population from Georgia, USA (Hanna and Wells, 1989). In yet other study involving Tift 85DB, a blast resistant inbred line derived by backcrossing Tifton PS34 to cultivated pearl millet, resistance to blast was reported to be under dominant monogenic control (Wilson et al. 1989). Thus only one of the three resistant genes from Tifton PS34 got introgressed into Tift 85DB during backcrossing program, and it was as effective for resistance as the three genes. However, Tift 85DB was found to be susceptible to the Patancheru isolate used in our study, indicating that the pathotype used in our study is different from the one used in the above study. We also observed that all the 150 plants of a F₂ population derived from cross ICMR 06222 x ICMR 0755 when tested for blast reaction in the greenhouse were resistant to the disease, indicating that

both parents carried the same common gene for resistance. It is significant to note that the resistant parents used in this study are of very diverse origin: ICMR 06222 (SDMV 90031-S1-3-3-2-1-3-2-2-1-1-B) is derived from an *iniari* landrace-based open-pollinated variety developed by ICRISAT in southern Africa, and ICMR 07555 (ICMS 8511 S1-17-2-1-1-4-1-B-3-3-2-2-B) is derived from a non-*iniari*-based synthetic developed at ICRISAT in India. A Blast Resistant Seed Parent Composite has been constituted from the intercrosses of 8 blast resistant seed parental lines of diverse origin developed at ICRISAT. About 500 plants of this composite were evaluated during the 2009 rainy season under field condition using artificial inoculation. Interestingly, all the plants were found resistant to moderately resistant with no segregation for susceptible plants, indicating that all lines involved in this composite carried a common resistance gene. Considering the severity and wider occurrence of this disease in India, extensive efforts should be made to identify additional sources of resistance to the pathotype used in our study as well as to other more virulent pathotypes recently identified and being studied for virulence diversity (Rajan Sharma unpublished)

M. grisea infecting rice had shown large pathogenic variability. Thus, a preliminary assessment of the pathogenic variability for virulence was conducted in pearl millet using 20 isolates from different locations in India. The most resistant line ICMR 06222 used in this study was found susceptible to four isolates, indicating pathogenic variability in the pathogen, and suggesting the use of different pathotypes for the

identification of resistance sources. In rice, about 50 blast resistance genes have been identified and several of them have been incorporated into rice cultivars. However, most of these resistance genes have broken down to blast disease because of their race specificity and also due to the rapid changes in pathogenicity of the blast fungus (Suh *et al.* 2009). Various potential mechanisms, including sexual recombination, heterokaryosis, parasexual recombination and aneuploidy have been proposed to explain frequent race changes in the rice blast fungus (Kang and Lee 2000). Therefore, efforts should be made to study pathogenic variability in *P. grisea* isolates from different pearl millet growing areas in India and identify resistant sources to different pathotypes for utilizing them in breeding program to manage this disease through host plant resistance.

References

- Anonymous 2009: Annual Report, All India Coordinated Pearl Millet Improvement, Indian Council of Agricultural Research. Project Coordinating Unit, Agricultural research Unit, Mandore, Jodhpur-342304, India.
- Busso, C.S., C.J. Liu, C.T. Hash, J.R. Witcombe, K.M. Devos, J.M. Wet, and M.D. Gate, 1995: Analysis of recombination rate in female and male gametogenesis in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) using RFLP markers. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **90**, 242-246.
- Hanna, W.W., and H.D. Wells, 1989: Inheritance of pyricularia leaf spot resistance in pearl millet. *Journal of Heredity* **80**, 145-147.

- Hanna, W.W., H.D. Wells, G.W. Burton, and W.G. Monson, 1988:
Registration of 'Tifleaf 2' pearl millet. *Crop Science* **28**, 1023.
- Kang, S., and Y.H. Lee, 2000: Population structure and race variation of
the rice blast fungus. *Plant Pathology Journal* **16**, 1-8.
- Lukose, C.M., D.L. Kadvani, and C.J. Dangaria, 2007: Efficacy of
fungicides in controlling blast disease of pearl millet. *Indian
Phytopathology* **60**, 68-71.
- Mehta, P.R., B. Singh, and S.C. Mathur, 1953: A new leaf spot disease of
bajra (*Pennisetum typhoides* Staph and Hubbard) caused by a species of
Piricularia. *Indian Phytopathology* **5**, 140-143.
- Suh, J.P., J.H. Roh, Y.C. Cho, S.S. Han, Y.G. Kim, and K.K. Jena, 2009:
The Pi40 gene for durable resistance to rice blast and molecular
analysis of Pi40-advanced backcross breeding lines. *Phytopathology*
99, 243-250.
- Thakur, R.P., Rajan Sharma, K.N. Rai, S.K. Gupta, and V.P. Rao, 2009:
Screening techniques and resistance sources for foliar blast in pearl
millet. *Journal of SAT Agricultural Research* **7**. 1-5.
- Wilson, J.P. and R.N. Gates, 1993: Forage Yield losses in hybrid pearl
millet due to leaf blight caused primarily by *Pyricularia grisea*.
Phytopathology **83**, 739-743.
- Wilson, J.P., H.D. Wells, and G.W. Burton, 1989: Inheritance of resistance
to *Pyricularia grisea* in pearl millet accessions from Burkino Faso and
inbred Tift 85DB. *Journal of Heredity* **80**, 499-501.

Table1. Segregation for blast resistant (R) and susceptible (S) plants in F₁, F₂, BCP₁ and BCP₂ generations and test of goodness of fit for hypothetical Mendelian ratios in four crosses of two susceptible parents with the two resistant parents in pearl millet, in greenhouse and field experiment , rainy season 2009, ICRISAT–Patancheru

Cross	Environment	Generation	No. of plants		Expected Ratio		No. of plants		χ^2	P
			observed				expected			
			R	S	R	S	R	S		
ICMB 89111 x ICMR 06222	Greenhouse	F ₁	145	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
		F ₂	338	107	3	1	334	111	0.21	0.64
		BCP ₁	117	140	1	1	128.5	128.5	2.05	0.15
		BCP ₂	220	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Field	F ₁	52	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
		F ₂	494	149	3	1	482	161	1.14	0.28
		BCP ₁	206	201	1	1	203.5	203.5	0.06	0.80
		BCP ₂	202	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ICMB 95444 x ICMR 06222	Greenhouse	F ₁	142	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
		F ₂	561	189	3	1	563.5	187.5	0.016	0.89
		BCP ₁	109	156	1	1	132.5	132.5	8.33	0.003
		BCP ₂	314	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Field	F ₁	55	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
		F ₂	544	164	3	1	531	177	1.27	0.26
		BCP ₁	90	106	1	1	98	98	1.30	0.25
		BCP ₂	180	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ICMB 89111 x ICMR 07555	Greenhouse	F ₁	130	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
		F ₂	396	161	3	1	418	139	4.52	0.03
		BCP ₁	25	167	1	1	96	96	105.0	<.001
		BCP ₂	103	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Field	F ₁	46	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
		F ₂	570	165	3	1	551	184	2.55	0.11
		BCP ₁	38	95	1	1	66.5	66.5	24.4	<.001
		BCP ₂	170	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
ICMB 95444 x ICMR 07555	Greenhouse	F ₁	93	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
		F ₂	736	234	3	1	727.5	242.5	0.39	0.53
		BCP ₁	36	202	1	1	119	119	115.7	<.001
		BCP ₂	352	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Field	F ₁	53	0	-	-	-	-	-	-
		F ₂	550	159	3	1	532	177	2.5	0.11
		BCP ₁	28	77	1	1	52.5	52.5	22.86	<.001
		BCP ₂	214	0	-	-	-	-	-	-

