JA0006

IDENTIFICATION OF A MALE-STERILE GENE IN SORGHUM

THE development of random mating populations in sorghum was made possible with the availability of genetic and cytoplasmic-genetic male-sterility systems. So far, ten genetic male-steriles have been reported[1] but only a few of them are free from undesirable character associations. An efficient and easily identifiable male-sterility source is very important for effective random mating and recurrent selection

This note describes a genetic male-sterility system in sorghum and reports its inheritance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Three male-sterile plants were observed in the sorghum line IS 104 in 1974 *rabi* season. The anthers were very small, thin, white and rudimentary (Fig 1) and there were no traces of pollen in them There was no female-sterility This sorghum line had several desirable characteristics such as short stature, early maturity, bold and white grains (but with persistent sub-coat) No differences were noticed between male-sterile and fertile plants.



Male-sterile (left) and fertile anthers

One male-sterile plant was crossed with bulk pollen from all the fertile plants in the family Seventy-five plants were grown in F_1 in 1975 summer. In 1975 *kharif*, an F_2 population of 688 plants was grown and male-sterile plants were identified at bloom Fifty male-sterile heads were crossed with pollen from separate fertile plants (plant-to-plant sibbing) Ten F_2 fertile plants were grown as F_3 families along with 50 sibs in 1975 *rabi* In each segregating family, steriles and fertiles were counted at flowering

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All F_1 plants were fertile indicating that the male-sterility is not due to cytoplasmic factors but genetic in nature. The results obtained from F_2, F_3 and sibs are presented in Table 1. In the F_2 generation

TABLE I

Inheritance of male-sterility in sorghum

Segregation in F_2	X^2	F_3 families	X^2	Sibs	X^2
517 (516)* F	0·006	6 (6·67) Sg	0·201	35 (33·33) Sg	0·250
171 (172) S		4 (3·33) NSg		15 (16·67)NSg	

* Figures in parentheses are expected values.
F = Fertile; S = Sterile,
Sg = Showing segregation,
NSg = Not showing segregation.

171 male-steriles appeared out of a total of 688 plants which exactly fit into 3 1 ratio. The ten F_2 families derived from individual F_2 fertile plants had six families (60%) segregating in 3 . 1 ratio as against expected value of 6 67 (66·67%) on the basis that the ratio of fertile homozygous plants to the heterozygous fertile plants in F_2 is 1 2 These results are confirmed by the segregation pattern observed in families derived from plant-to-plant sibbing. Out of 50 families 35 (70%) segregated into 1 . 1 ratio and 15 (30%) families did not segregate. These results clearly show that the male-sterility reported here is inherited as a single gene recessive

Morphological features of this male-sterility appear to be very distinct and superior to ms_3 and ms_7 genes, and it is much more easily recognised in the field Comparatively the anthers are very small, thin and show complete sterility as against ms_3 gene which is often showing only partial sterility now. Genetic studies are in progress to determine whether it is different from them. A gene symbol will be proposed after confirmation The stability of this gene in a wide range of genetic and cytoplasmic backgrounds is being tested

The author is thankful to Drs Hugh Doggett and Peter Lawrence for their comments and suggestions

The International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics receives support from a variety of donors, governments, foundations, etc , including IBRD, IDRC, UNDP, USAID, etc

The responsibility for all aspects of this publication rests with the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics

The International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics,
1-11-256, Begumpet,
Hyderabad 500 016, A P , India, *July* 19, 1976

K V RAMAIAH

1 Ross, W M , Grain Sorghum Producers Assn Grain Sorghum Research and Utilisation Conference Seventh Biennial Programme March 2-4, 1971, Lubbock, Texas, p 93