Breeding for Resistance to aflatoxin Contamination in Groundnut H D Upadhyaya, F Waliyar and S N Nigam

Groundnut (Arachis hypogaea L.) is an annual legume grown primarily for high quality edible oil (36 to 54 % on dry matter basis) and easily digestible protein (12 to 36 %) in its seeds. It is cultivated in 107 countries in tropical, sub-tropical and warm temperate regions of the world. The crop is grown on about 25.54 million ha world wide with an estimated total production of 35.09 million t in shell and an average productivity of 1.37 t ha-1 (Food and Agriculture Organization, 2001). In several countries of Asia, which accounts for 57.44% of world area and 66.69% of production and Africa, which accounts for 37.86% area and 24.54% of production, groundnut is an important cash crop. Over two-thirds of the global groundnut production occurs in seasonally rainfed regions where drought is a potential constraints for crop production. Beside low productivity which ranges from 0.7 to 0.8 t ha-1 in these regions, drought particularly the one which occurs at the end of season, predisposes crop with invasion by the aflatoxin-producing fungus Aspergillus flavus.

Groundnut is an important oilseed crop cultivated in 96 countries worldwide on 23.8 million ha with an annual production of 30.97 million t. It is an important cash crop in several countries of Asia, which accounts for 57.13% of world area and Africa, which accounts for 37.24% area.

Aflatoxin contamination of groundnut is a widespread serious problem in most groundnut-producing countries where the crop is grown under rainfed conditions. The aflatoxin contamination does not affect crop productivity but it makes produce unfit for consumption as toxins are injurious to health. The marketability of contaminated produce, particularly in international trade is diminished to nil due to stringent standards of permissible limits on aflatoxin contamination set by the importing countries. The aflatoxin-producing fungus, Aspergillus flavus and A. parasiticus, can invade groundnut seed in the field before harvest, during postharvest drying and curing, and in storage and transportation. The semi-arid tropical environment is conducive to preharvest contamination when the crop experiences drought before harvest, whereas in the wet and humid areas, postharvest contamination is more prevalent. Research on aflatoxin contamination is not regularly carried out by all the groundnut-producing countries because of the complex nature of the problem and lack of qualified personnel and appropriate infrastructure. Nevertheless, some countries have been regularly monitoring groundnut and its products for aflatoxin at different stages (farm, markets, and storage). Aflatoxin contamination can be minimized by adopting certain cultural, produce handling, and storage practices. However, these practices are not widely adopted particularly by the small farmers in the developing countries, which contribute about 60% to the world groundnut production.

One of the possible means of reducing aflatoxin contamination of groundnut is the use of cultivars resistant to seed invasion by aflatoxin-producing fungi or to aflatoxin production. These cultivars will be of great value to the farmers in both developed and

developing countries as there is no cost input. Therefore, breeding for resistance to A. flavus and A. parasiticus and/or aflatoxin production can play a significant role in preventing aflatoxin contamination in groundnut and consequently associated economic losses and health hazards.

The alleviation of aflatoxin contamination through genetic manipulation has been attempted since mid-1970s. We have achieved significant progress; however, these efforts have not resulted in complete eradication of aflatoxin contamination. In this paper we have briefly discussed the status of research on finding a genetic solution to this problem.

Relationships between types of resistance

There are conflicting reports on the relationship between IVSCAF-resistance and resistance to natural seed infection, and aflatoxin contamination in the field. At the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, India and in USA, though a significant reduction in the levels of seed infection by A. flavus under field conditions in the IVSCAF-resistant genotypes in comparison to the IVSCAF-susceptible genotypes was observed, the correlation was not perfect. In the breeding lines developed and evaluated, very low correlation (-0.07) was observed between IVSCAF and seed infection in the field, indicating two independent genetic mechanisms (Utomo et al. 1990, Upadhyaya et al. 1997). The high correlation observed in an earlier study (Mehan et al. 1987) might have been due to the inclusion of some selected germplasm lines; whereas the absence of correlation observed in breeding lines developed at ICRISAT Center (IC), Patancheru might have resulted from the recombination of genes controlling these mechanisms. Studies conducted, in the 1980s, in USA and at IC showed low levels of aflatoxin contamination in IVSCAF-resistant genotypes. However, the genotypes which were earlier reported to be resistant to IVSCAF or preharvest aflatoxin contamination contained high levels of aflatoxin, and when subjected to an extended period of heat and drought stress in USA, none of them was more resistant than the susceptible cultivar Florunner (Anderson et al. 1995). Highly significant genotype? environment interaction effects for aflatoxin contamination were observed in this study. The exact information on the relationship between different resistance mechanisms, their interactions, and possible contributions in reducing aflatoxin contamination have not been clearly established. Knowledge of these aspects is very crucial in developing strategies to reduce aflatoxin contamination.

Genetics of resistance

There are only few published reports on inheritance of resistance to seed infection, IVSCAF, and aflatoxin production, which give estimates of broad sense heritability and combining ability. The high estimate (79%) of broad sense heritability for seed colonization was reported from USA in a cross involving PI 337409 (resistant) and PI 331326 (susceptible). The heritability estimates in later studies in USA were 55% in the cross involving AR 4 (resistant) and NC 7 (susceptible), and 63% in a cross between

GFA 2 (resistant) and NC 7 (susceptible). At IC, the values were 60% in a cross involving J 11 (resistant) and OG 43-4-1 (susceptible) and 59% in a cross between two resistant parents, J 11 and Ah 7223.

The heritability estimates for resistance to seed infection have been reported to be low in USA: 27% in AR 4 ? NC 7 and 33% in GFA 2 ? NC 7 (Utomo et al. 1990). However, in our study the estimates were moderate to high (56–87%) (Upadhyaya et al. 1997). For resistance to aflatoxin production, the heritability estimates were reported as 20% in AR 4 ? NC 7 and 47% in GFA 2 ? NC 7. A report from USA stated that there is no significant correlation among the three types of resistance, indicating that they are controlled by different genes (Utomo et al. 1990).

A study on combining ability of IVSCAF-resistance using lines ? tester analysis at IC indicated UF 71513 to be a good general combiner and Var 27 to be a poor combiner for resistance to IVSCAF. J 11 had non-significant general combining ability effect. In a diallel study, significant reciprocal effects were noticed in some crosses indicating maternal influence on testa structure (Rao et al. 1989).

The genetics of resistance mechanisms has not been clearly established. The allelic relationship among various sources for each resistance trait needs to be elucidated to enable breeders to pyramid the non-allelic genes for each resistance mechanism.

Future prospects of breeding for aflatoxin resistance

Although researchers have not been able to locate germplasm lines which show complete resistance to fungi at the pod-wall, seed-coat, and cotyledon levels, it was expected that the levels of resistance could be improved further by pyramiding resistance genes from different and diverse sources. It was also thought that by combining the three different kinds of resistance in one genetic background, the problem of aflatoxin contamination could be overcome to a large extent. Unfortunately, the progress made so far in conventional breeding has not been able to meet these expectations. The recourse to biotechnology, through modification of the aflatoxin biosynthesis pathway or the use of variants of hydrolytic enzymes (chitinases and glucanases) to provide transgenic protection to groundnut against infection by aflatoxin-producing fungi may help in obtaining groundnuts free from aflatoxin. Genetic resistance alone may not be enough to eliminate the problem of aflatoxin contamination in groundnut. It will have to be complimented with good crop husbandry and post-harvest practices. Special efforts will be made to screen large number of germplasm. This may led to higher sources of resistance. Large screening is possible as we now have the technology to carryout this activity using ELISA.

References

Anderson, W.P., Holbrook, C.C., Wilson, D.M., and Matheron, M.E. 1995. Evaluation of preharvest aflatoxin contamination in several potentially resistant peanut genotypes. Peanut Science 22:29–32.

Mehan, V.K. 1989. Screening groundnut for resistance to seed invasion by Aspergillus flavus and aflatoxin production. Pages 323–334 in Aflatoxin contamination of groundnut: proceedings of the International Workshop, 6–9 Oct 1987, ICRISAT Center, India (McDonald, D., and Mehan, V.K., eds.). Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics.

Mehan, V.K., McDonald, D., and Rajagopalan, K. 1987. Resistance of peanut genotypes to seed infection by Aspergillus flavus in field trials in India. Peanut Science 14:14–17.

Mixon, A.C. 1983a. Two peanut germplasm lines, GFA-1 and GFA-2. Crop Science 23:1020–1021.

Mixon, A.C. 1983b. Peanut germplasm lines, AR-1, -2, -3, and -4. Crop Science 23:1021.

Rao, M.J.V., Nigam, S.N., Mehan, V.K., and McDonald, D. 1989. Aspergillus flavus resistance breeding in groundnut: progress made at ICRISAT Center. Pages 345–355 in Aflatoxin contamination of groundnut: proceedings of the International Workshop, 6–9 Oct 1987, ICRISAT Center, India (McDonald, D., and Mehan, V.K., eds.). Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics.

Rao, M.J.V., Upadhyaya, H.D., Mehan, V.K., Nigam, S.N., McDonald, D. and Reddy, N.S. 1995. Registration of peanut germplasm ICGV 88145 and ICGV 89104 resistant to seed infection Aspergillus flavus. Crop Science 35:1717.

Reddy, D.V.R., Nambiar, P.T.C., Rajeshwari, R., Mehan, V.K., Anjaiah, V., and McDonald, D. 1988. Potential of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detecting viruses, fungi, bacteria, mycoplasma-like organisms, mycotoxins, and hormones. Pages 43–49 in Biotechnology in tropical crop improvement: proceedings of the International Biotechnology Workshop, 12–15 January 1987, ICRISAT, Patancheru, India. Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics.

Upadhyaya, H.D., Nigam, S.N., Mehan, V.K., and Lenne, J.M. 1997. Aflatoxin contamination of groundnut – prospects of a genetic solution through conventional breeding. Pages 81–85 in Aflatoxin contamination problems in groundnut in Asia: proceedings of the First Working Group Meeting, 27–29 May 1996, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Hanoi, Vietnam (Mehan, V.K., and Gowda, C.L.L., eds.). Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics.

Upadhyaya, H.D., Nigam, S.N., Mehan, V.K., Reddy, A.G.S., and Yellaiah, N. 2001. Registration of Aspergillus flavus seed infection resistant peanut germplasm ICGV 91278, ICGV 91283, and ICGV 91284. Crop Science 41:559–600.

Utomo, S.D., Anderson, W.F., Wynne, J.C., Beute, M.K., Hagler, W.M. Jr., and Payne, G.A. 1990. Estimates of heritability and correlation among three mechanisms of resistance to Aspergillus parasiticus in peanut. Proceedings of the American Peanut Research and Education Society 22:26. (Abstract.)

Waliyar, F., Ba, A., Hassan, H., Bonkoungou, S., and Bosc, J.P. 1994. Sources of resistance to Aspergillus flavus and aflatoxin contamination in groundnut in West Africa. Plant Disease 78:704–708.

Anderson, W.P., Holbrook, C.C., Wilson, D.M., and Matheron, M.E. 1995. Evaluation of preharvest aflatoxin contamination in several potentially resistant peanut genotypes. Peanut Science 22:29–32.

Mehan, V.K. 1989. Screening groundnut for resistance to seed invasion by Aspergillus flavus and aflatoxin production. Pages 323–334 in Aflatoxin contamination of groundnut: proceedings of the International Workshop, 6–9 Oct 1987, ICRISAT Center, India (McDonald, D., and Mehan, V.K., eds.). Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics.

Mehan, V.K., McDonald, D., and Rajagopalan, K. 1987. Resistance of peanut genotypes to seed infection by Aspergillus flavus in field trials in India. Peanut Science 14:14–17.

Mixon, A.C. 1983a. Two peanut germplasm lines, GFA-1 and GFA-2. Crop Science 23:1020–1021.

Mixon, A.C. 1983b. Peanut germplasm lines, AR-1, -2, -3, and -4. Crop Science 23:1021.

Rao, M.J.V., Nigam, S.N., Mehan, V.K., and McDonald, D. 1989. Aspergillus flavus resistance breeding in groundnut: progress made at ICRISAT Center. Pages 345–355 in Aflatoxin contamination of groundnut: proceedings of the International Workshop, 6–9 Oct 1987, ICRISAT Center, India (McDonald, D., and Mehan, V.K., eds.). Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics.

Rao, M.J.V., Upadhyaya, H.D., Mehan, V.K., Nigam, S.N., McDonald, D. and Reddy, N.S. 1995. Registration of peanut germplasm ICGV 88145 and ICGV 89104 resistant to seed infection Aspergillus flavus. Crop Science 35:1717.

Reddy, D.V.R., Nambiar, P.T.C., Rajeshwari, R., Mehan, V.K., Anjaiah, V., and McDonald, D. 1988. Potential of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detecting viruses, fungi, bacteria, mycoplasma-like organisms, mycotoxins, and hormones. Pages 43–49 in Biotechnology in tropical crop improvement: proceedings of the International Biotechnology Workshop, 12–15 January 1987, ICRISAT, Patancheru, India. Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics.

Upadhyaya, H.D., Nigam, S.N., Mehan, V.K., and Lenne, J.M. 1997. Aflatoxin contamination of groundnut – prospects of a genetic solution through conventional breeding. Pages 81–85 in Aflatoxin contamination problems in groundnut in Asia: proceedings of the First Working Group Meeting, 27–29 May 1996, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Hanoi, Vietnam (Mehan, V.K., and Gowda, C.L.L., eds.). Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics.

Upadhyaya, H.D., Nigam, S.N., Mehan, V.K., Reddy, A.G.S., and Yellaiah, N. 2001. Registration of Aspergillus flavus seed infection resistant peanut germplasm ICGV 91278, ICGV 91283, and ICGV 91284. Crop Science 41:559–600.

Utomo, S.D., Anderson, W.F., Wynne, J.C., Beute, M.K., Hagler, W.M. Jr., and Payne, G.A. 1990. Estimates of heritability and correlation among three mechanisms of resistance to Aspergillus parasiticus in peanut. Proceedings of the American Peanut Research and Education Society 22:26. (Abstract.)

Waliyar, F., Ba, A., Hassan, H., Bonkoungou, S., and Bosc, J.P. 1994. Sources of resistance to Aspergillus flavus and aflatoxin contamination in groundnut in West Africa. Plant Disease 78:704–708.