Sources of Resistance to Sorghum Grain Molds

R. BANDYOPADHYAY, Plant Pathologist, L. K. MUGHOGHO, Principal Plant Pathologist, and K. E. PRASADA RAO, Senior Botanist, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru P.O., Andhra Pradesh 502 324, India

ARSTRACT

varier

imac

Bandyopadhyay, R., Mughogho, L. K., and Prasada Rao, K. F. 1988. Sources of resistance to sorghum grain molds. Plant Disease 72: 504-508

Selected accessions from the world collection of sorghum germ plasm were systematically screened in the field during the 1980-1985 rains seasons to identify sources of grain mold resistance. Of 26.564 accessions in the collection, 7,132 that flowered and matured during the rainy season at ICRISA1 Center wife recend, and 156 genetically diverse lines were selected as resistant. All resistant accessions experient one had colored pericarp. However, 24 accessions with colored pericarp. had negligible amounts of tannin, and 14 of the 24 lacked the testa layer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Selection of test material, Field experiments were conducted during the 1980-1985 rainy seasons at ICRISAT Center, Because of the limited rainy season (June-October) and photoperiod sensitivity of sorghum at Patancheru, we screened only those accessions that

> nly those accessions from the ting in the rainy season Flowering data on which

selections were based were obtained from the evaluation data recorded by GRU The selected lines flowered before the first week of September, and their grains matured during frequent rains in September and October.

Screening method and grain mold rating. The following screening method was used unless indicated otherwise. Trials were sown before the onset of the rainy season in the first half of June so that grain maturing stages coincided with periods of frequent rainfall in September. The sorghum lines were classified into early (less than 66 days to 50% flowering) and medium (66-80 days to 50% flowering) maturity groups. Each maturity group was sown in a separate block to simplify data collection. The plots were sprinkled for 1 hr in the morning if it did not rain the previous night and same morning, and for an additional hour in the evening if it did not rain throughout the day. Overhead sprinkler irrigation was provided from

owered and matured grain before the

in and number of accessions of world collection of sorghum germ plasm screened and grain molds at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru, India

Origin		Number of accessions				
graphical region*	Number of countries	In world collection ^b	Screened (%)	Resistant		
East Africa	9	9,018	1,789 (19.8)	35		
West Africa	15	6,110	642 (10.5)	20		
Southern Africa	11	2,339	1,103 (47.2)	43		
North Africa and Middle East	11	1,737	124 (7.1)	15		
Indian Subcontinent	6	4,215	1,524 (36.2)	6		
Southeast Asia and Far East	8	258	168 (65.1)	9		
North and Central America	8	2,131	1.342 (63.0)	26		
South America	3	18	18 (100)	0		
Europe	11	245	75 (30.6)			
USSR	1	69	64 (92.8)	0		
Australia and Oceania	2	29	22 (75.9)	Ó		
Unknown		395	261 (66.1)	Ī		
Total	85	26,564	7,132 (26.8)	156		

East Africa: Burundi, Ethiopia, Kenya, Rwanda, Somalia, Sudan, Tanzania, Uganda, and Zaire. West Africa: Benin, Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Cape Verde Islands, Central African Republic, Chad, Gambia, Ghana, Ivory Coast, Mali, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Sierra Leone, and Togo. Southern Africa: Angola, Botswana, Lesotho, Malagasy Republic, Malawi, Mozambique, Namibia, South Africa, Swaziland, Zambia, and Zimbabwe. North Africa and Middle East: Algeria, Egypt, Iran, Iraq, Israel, Lebanon, Morocco, Saudi Arabia, Syria, Yemen Arabic Republic, and Yemen Democratic Republic. Indian Subcontinent: Afghanistan, Bangladesh, India, Nepal, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka. Southeast Asia and Far East: Burma, China, Indonesia, Japan, Philippines, South Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand. North and Central America: Cuba, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, Nicaragua, United States, and West Indies. South America: Argentina, Uruguay, and Venezuela. Europe: Belgium, Cyprus, France, German Democratic Republic, Greece, Hungary, Italy, Portugal, Spain, and Turkey. Australia and Oceania: Australia and Papua New Guinea.

mola. and quanmake it unacce. The only practic method for control of

Sorghum grain mold caused by

*necia

ed fungi is an

ntevail after

4 before

wherever sorghum Moench) is grown

molds is the use of melti cultivars. The status of the se for sources of grain mold regists ce was reviewed in 1981 by William and Rao (14), who emphasized the and to search for better sources of resistance. In 1980, we began systematic screening of the world collection of sorghum germ plasm assembled at the Genetic Resources Unit (GRU) of the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, India.

Submitted as Journal Article 690 by the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT)

Accepted for publication 23 September 1987

■ 1988 The American Phytopathological Society

At ICRISAT Genetic Resource Unit as of June 1986.

Percentage of accessions screened in parentheses.

ering to grain maturity (black layer nation) and up to 2 wk later when icles were harvested. Susceptible ks of variable maturities were sown comparison. Flowering data were rded as days to 50% flowering when of the plants had 50% of the spikelets ering. Panicles were harvested 14 after maturity and threshed, and as were evaluated for grain mold rity, called the threshed grain mold ig (TGMR). This is an estimate of the entage of molded grain surface area is recorded on a 1-5 scale where 1 = nold visible, 2 = 1-10%, 3 = 11-25%, 26-50%, and 5 = more than 50% of grain surface molded. Germination tested at least 1 mo after harvest by bating 100 grains in petri dishes lined wet filter paper at 30 C.

itial screening. Test lines were sown replicated 4-m row plots. Accessions TGMRs of up to 3 and with less than of the grain area covered by glumes : selected for further evaluation in inced screening trials.

dvanced screening. Test lines were n in two 4-m row plots in a split-plot gn (two replications) with accessions ned to main plots and two inoculation

treatments in subplots. Inocula of the major mold-causing fungi -- Fusarium moniliforme Sheld., F. pallidoroseum (Cooke) Sacc., and Curvularia lunata (Wakker) Boedijn-were separately multiplied on autoclaved sorghum grain for 10-14 days at 30 C. Suspensions of spores and mycelium of the three fungi were prepared containing 1 × 10⁶ spores of each fungus per milliliter. At the 50% flowering growth stage, 10 randomly selected panicles of one row in each plot were sprayed with the fungal suspension, tagged, and covered with kraft paper bags (14 × 6 × 42 cm) that were removed 7-10 days later. Ten panicles of the second row were tagged but not inoculated or bagged. TGMRs were recorded separately on the 20 tagged panicles, and a mean TGMR value for each inoculation treatment was calculated. Accessions with TGMRs of up to 3 and grain germination above 70% were repeatedly screened in subsequent years until 1985.

Presence of testa. All resistant genotypes were examined to determine if they possessed a testa layer. Grains were cut transversely with a sharp scapel near the tip of the embryo toward the stylar end. Cavity slides were filled with 2% molten water agar, which was allowed to solidify. Then, three cut grains were pressed in the agar, which acted as a support so that the exposed grain surface faced upward parallel to the slide. The exposed grain surface was covered with a drop of immersion oil and examined for the testa layer at 200× magnification with epifluorescence optics of an Olympus fluorescence microscope equipped with HBO 200W mercury lamp, UG-1 exciter filter, and a DM 400 + L420 dichoric mirror-cum-absorption filter.

Estimation of tannin content. The amount of tannin in mature grain was determined by the vanillin assay method, and the values were calculated by substracting the blank with catechin as a standard (10). The results are reported as catechin equivalents (CE %).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We screened 7.132 accessions (26.8%) of the world collection of sorghum germ plasm for resistance to grain mold and identified 156 accessions as resistant in repeated screenings for 2-6 yr during 1980-1985 (Tables I and 2). Grain mold pressure was high in all the years as

e 2. Country of origin, presence of testa, grain tannin content, days to 50% flowering, and threshed grain mold rating (TGMR) of sorghum lind resistant to grain mold in field screening at ICRISAT Center, Patancheru, India, during 1980-1985 rainy seasons

ssion	Origin	Race*	Testa present (P) or absent (A)	Grain tannin content (CE %)	Number of years screened	Days to 50% flowering ^b	TGMR ^c
	Mexico	С	P	3.6	5	62	2.3
	USA	C	P	2.3	5	58	2.0
	USA	С	₽	1.4	5	52	2.6
	USA	C	P	1.1	5	58	2.5
	USA	С	P	1.8	5	60	2.0
	USA	C	P	1.1	5	61	2.0
	USA	С	P	3.3	5	56	2.2
	USA	С	P	1.4	4	66	2.4
	China	KB	P	1.3	3	50	2.8
	USA	C	P	6.1	2	65	3.0
	Sudan	С	P	0.2	6	78	2.2
	USA	С	P	1.4	5	59	2.0
	USA	С	P	1.3	5	56	2.0
	USA	С	P	1.8	5	56	2.0
	Zimbabwe	C	P	1.9	5	55	2.0
	Zimbabwe	Ċ	P	1.9	5	55	2.0
	South Africa	С	P	1.4	6	53	2.0
	Zaire	С	P	1.4	5	68	2.2
	Sudan	С	· P	1.3	6	71	2.3
	Taiwan	DB	P	1.0	5	55	2.7
	India	c	P	2.5	5	62	2.3
	India	CB	P	2.4	4	58	2.5
	India	DC	P	2.6	5	59	2.4
	India	C	P	2.1	5	51	2.0
	Sudan	CB	P	2.2	5	63	2.2
	Nigeria	c	P	1.6	5	58	2.0
	•						(continued on next pa

icolor, BC = bicolor-caudatum, C = caudatum, CB = caudatum-bicolor, D = durra, DB = durra-bicolor, DC = durra-caudatum, G = guinea, guinea-bicolor, GC = guinea-caudatum, K = kafir, and KB = kafir-bicolor.

based on number of years tested.

lon a 1-5 scale where 1 = no mold and 5 = more than 50% of grain surface molded. Values are the maximum of the mean scores of 10 panicles I inoculated and bagged or not treated) recorded for any year of screening.

not available.

num grain mold rating for any year of screening.

Table 2. (continued from preceding page)

Accession			Testa present (P) or	Grain tannia content	Number of years	Days to 50%	
lS no.	Origin	Race*	or absent (A)	(CE %)	ot years screened	10 50% flowering ^b	TGMR
219	Uganda	c	Р	1.9	5	56	2.0
385	Unknown	СВ	P	4.5	2	51	2.4
525	Ethiopia	С	P	2.1	5	51	2.2
1545	Ethiopia	c	P	1.9	5	56	2.0
614 763	Uganda - South Africa	c c	P P	1.2	5 5	55 56	2.3
763	Kenya	č	ř	1.1 1.2	3	30 69	2.0 2.9
848	Kenya	СВ	Þ	2.8	š	56	1.9
058	Kenya	c	P	3.2	2	62	2.0
308	South Africa	C	P	2.6	5	55	2.1
326	South Africa	C	P	2.4	2	63	2.0
)353)470	South Africa	K	P P	1.6	5 5	61	2.2
M71	South Africa South Africa	ć	P	3.7 1.2	5	64 59	2.2 2.0
9482	South Africa	č	P	3.0	š	61	2.0
9484	South Africa	C C C K C C C C	P	2.4	5	61	2.1
487	South Africa	° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° ° °	P	2.7	5	60	2.0
494	South Africa	C	P	3.4	5	57	2.2
1498 1499	South Africa	c	P	3.3	5	65	2.1
7499 7554	South Africa South Africa	Ċ	P P	2.6 1.6	5 2	63 60	2.0
804	Sudan	СВ	P	7.2	6	58	2.0 2.1
10301	Thailand	K	P	3.3	5	57	2.1
0390	Uganda	ĊВ	P	8.9	2	62	2.0
0646	UŠA	CB	P	6.9	2	61	2.4
0892	USA	CB	Р	3.0	5	62	2.0
0942	USA	C	P	5.1	5	54	2.0
1227 1234	Ethiopia	C	P P	4.0	5	59	2.4
2718	Ethiopia USA	D	P	3.2 4.4	5 5	59 59	2.1 2.1
2732	China	Ď	P	5.9	5	59	2.0
2750	China	č	P		4	62	2.5
2930	Ethiopia	СВ	P	1.5	5	59	2.0
2932	China	CB	P	2.1	5	58	2.2
3267	India	DC	P	2.9	5	55	2.2
3615	Uganda	DC	P	2.6	5	56	2,4
3714 3798	South Africa South Africa	DC	P P	1.7 1.6	5 5	60 55	2.1 2.1
3804	South Africa	СВ	P	1.8	5	55 57	2.1
3817	South Africa		P	3.9	3	62	2.0
3885	South Africa	G	Ä	0.8	5	71	2.2
3934	South Africa	CB	P	4.0	5	55	2.2
3945	South Africa	C	P	5.1	5	70	2.0
3958	South Africa	C	P	3.0	5	62	2.1
3965 3969	South Africa South Africa	CB C	P P	- 2.8 5.7	5 5	56 60	2.1 2.0
4291	Botswana	СВ	P	2.7	5	63	2.0
4375	Zimbabwe	G	Ā	0.3	6	71	2.2
4380	Zimbabwe	Ğ	Ä	0.3	6	69	2.4
4384	Zimbabwe	G	A	0.3	6	70	2.6
4385	Zimbabwc	G	P	6.5	5	71	2.7
4387	Zimbabwe	GB	P	4.2	6	68	2.0
4388 4390	Swaziland	DC	P	3,4	6	58	2.0
4390 47 5 6	Swaziland Ethiopia	G CB	A P	0.1 4.7	5 2	68 66	2.4 2.0
5106	Cameroon	CB	P	2.6	2	66	2.0
5119	Cameroon	č	į į	3.9	2	74	3.0
5948	Cameroon	С	P	2.7	2	79	3.0
7141	Nigeria	DC	P	0.7	6	70	2.3
8079	Lebanon	CB	P	9.4	2	62	2.0
8135	Lebanon	***	P	0.2	2	59	2.0
3139	Lebanon	•••	P P	8.4	2	62	2.0
B140 B141	Lebanon Lebanon	***	P	6.3 5.2	2 2	64 67	2.1 2.0
8144	Lebanon	•••	F	10.7	2	- 64	2.0
8146	Lebanon	•••	P	5.0	2	53	3.0
8149	Lebanon	•••	P	5.1	2	55	2.4
B153	Lebanon	•••	P	4.0	2	60	2.0
8154	Lobanon	•••	P	3.6	2	52	2.0
8155	Lebanon	•••	P	3.4	2	61	2.0
B165	Lebanon	•••	P	0.2	2 .	60	3.0
B175	Lebanon	***	P	7.7		67	1.6

Table 1. (continued from preceding page)

ccession			Testa : present (P) or	Grain tannin content	Number of years	Days to 50%	
S ao.	Origin	Race*	absent (A)	(CE %)	screened	flowering*	TGMR'
3219	Lebanon		P	5.0	2	61	2.0
528	India	***	P	6.6	ē	59	2.0
759	Nigeria	***	P	2.0	5	56	2.0
620	USA	c	P	3.0	5	56	2.3
639	USA		P	5.4	2	57	2.0
708	USA	В	A	0.4	2	56	3.0
720	USA	В	P	2.0	2	68	2.0
721	USA	BC	P	1.1	2	69	2.0
757	USA	C	P	9.2	2	60	2.0
758	USA	GC	P	3.9	2	64	2.0
768	USA	•••	P	1.1	2	52	2.8
831	USA	GC	P	8.1	2	63	2.0 -
1835	USA		P	3.4	2	53	2.0
843	South Korea	C	P	2.3	2	61	2.0
844	China	C	P	2.0	2	53	2.4
1861 1884	Iran	GC	P	3.7 0.2	2	63	2.3
454 454	Hungary Malawi	G.	A P	3.1	2	55 56	2.5
454 498	Malawi Malawi	DC	P	5.1 6.1	6	68	2.1 2.1
509	Malawi Malawi	GC	Ä	0.2	5	64	2.1
599	Malawi Malawi	GC	Â	0.2	6	64 72	2.0
.599 !617	Maiawi Burma	CB	A P	6.6	5	60	2.1
1585	Ethiopia	GC	F	2.2	3	73	2.0
599	Ethiopia	GC	P	0.1	3	73	2.0
903	Zambia	GC	P	1.8	3	77	2.6
911	Zambia	GC	P	2.0	3	78	2.0
1931	Zambia	В	P	6.8	3	70	2.0
938	Zambia	GC	P	9.8	3	79	2.0
1941	Zambia	CB	P	9.4	3	78	2.0
1981	Sudan	ĞĈ	P	3.0	3	76	2.5
988	Sudan	GC	P	2.3	3	78	2.4
989	Sudan	ď	P	2.8	3	77	2.1
1992	Sudan	GC	P	1.2	3	75	2.1
1995	Sudan	GC	P	1.8	3	77	2.0
1996	Sudan	GC	P	0.3	3	77	2.0
8008	Sudan	GC	P	0.1	3	73	2.9
5010	Sudan	CB	P	3.0	3	75	2.8
6011	Sudan	GC	P	2.7	3	78	3.0
5015	Sudan	GC	P	***	3	76	3.0
017	Sudan	C	A	0.2	3	73	3.0
5022	Sudan	GC	P	2.0	3	78	2.0
5025	Sudan	DC	P	0.9	3	75	2.0
5032	Sudan	GC	P	0.2	3	79	2.1
038	Sudan	GC	P	1.5	3	75	2.1
5060	Ghana	GC	P	3.5	3	75	2.0
5069	Ghana	GC	Ą	0.5	3	73 74	2.3 2.0
070	Ghana	G	Ą	0.4	3	74 75	2.0 2.9
074	Ghana	GC	P P	2.9	3	75 75	2.9
075 084	Ghana Ghana	GC	P	3.6 2.8	3	73 74	2.2
1084 1085	Ghana Ghana	GC DC	P	2.8 1.8	3	76	2.3
1085 1095	Ghana Ghana	GC	P	- 0.2	3	71	2.3
1098 1098	Ghana Ghana	G	Ä	0.6	3	74	2.1
100	Ghana Ghana	G	â	0.7	3	73	2.0
102	Ghana Ghana	Ċ	P	3.0	3	66	2.5
102	Ghana	GC	P	4.8	3	65	2.3
103	Ghana	C	P	2.1	3	72	2.0
104	Ghana	GC	P	4.1	3	71	2.1
		uc	. •	7.1	-		,
enceptible c SH I	hecks India	•••	A	0.1	6	57	5.0*
on (PV 104	India India	***	â	0.1	6	62	5.0
32	USA		â	0.1	5	52	5.0
17	USA		Â	0.2	5	56	4.8
758	Ethiopia		Â	0.1	6	78	5.0

hown by the TGMRs of susceptible hecks (Table 2). Grain mold ratings of sistant accessions were 3 or below and trmination was greater than 70%. lesistance in these accessions was

maintained for 2 wk after maturity, when panicles were harvested. Mold severity in susceptible sorghums usually increases after maturity, and the disease achieves serious proportions when harvesting is delayed after maturity in wet and humid conditions. All resistant lines except IS 25017 had colored pericarp. Under the fluorescence microscope, the testa layer was clearly identified as a dark brown

layer, often with cross-walls, above the aleurone cell layer. The testa layer was absent in 14 resistant accessions. Tannin content in these 14, and in 10 other accessions with testa, was negligible (less than 1.0 CE %). The range of tannin content in the resistant accessions was 0.1-10.7 CE %.

The resistant accessions had widemorphological variability and diversity in taxonomic races (Table 2). The number of resistant accessions of the various races based on the classification by Harlan and de Wet (7) were: caudatum, 56; guinea, 11; bicolor, 3; durra, 2; kafir, 2; guinea-caudatum, 28; caudatum-bicolor, 20; durra-caudatum, 9; kafir-bicolor, 1; bicolor-caudatum, 1; durra-bicolor, 1; and guinea-bicolor, 1. Twenty-one accessions have not been identified to race. The resistant accessions came from 25 countries, suggesting diversity in geographic origin.

Sources of grain mold resistance have been reported from the United States (1,4-6), Senegal (3), and India (9,11), including ICRISAT Center (13). The majority of the mold-resistant accessions reported from the United States have grain characteristics similar to the ones we report in this paper. Grains of these lines have colored pericarp; some have tests and some do not. Tannins in the testa layer have been reported to confer grain mold resistance (8), but tannins are not always associated with grain mold resistance because several resistant accessions reported in this paper had negligible levels of tannin. Sorghums with colored grain usually have poor consumer acceptance in most parts of the world where the grain is used as nonbeverage food. However, the purpose of the present research was not to identify mold-resistant sorghums for direct introduction as cultivars, but to identify sources of mold resistance that can be further used in breeding programs. ICRISAT sorghum breeders are utilizing some of the resistant sources, particularly those without tests and with negligible tannin, to develop mold-resistant sorghums with good grain quality.

Although data are not presented in this paper, our screening results showed that contrary to previous reports in India (9,11,13), the majority of the accessions had low levels of resistance, if any. The screening techniques used by Rao and Williams (13) and by us were similar (inoculation and bagging of panicles), but we harvested the panicles 10 days later than they did. Inoculation and bagging did not offer significant advantages over using untreated panicles, the method used by others (9,11).

Length of the rainy season (mid-June to mid-October) at ICRISAT Center in relation to photoperiod sensitivity of the germ plasm limited screening of the majority of the accessions. The photoperiod-sensitive accessions flowered and filled grains after the rains ceased. thus escaping grain mold. Such lines can be screened at a location where grain filling and postmaturity stages coincide with grain mold-conducive environment.

Seed of the mold-resistant accessions described are available on request from the Genetic Resources Unit of ICRISAT.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank the Biochemistry Unit of ICRISAT for analysis of grain samples for tannin content.

LITERATURE CITED

- Castor, L. L., and Frederiksen, R. A. 1980.
 Fusaritum and Curvularia grain molds in Texas.
 Pages 93-102 in: Sorghum Diseases, a World Review. International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru, India.
- 2 Curtis D 1 1968 The relation between the date of heading of Nigerian sorghums and the

- on of the growing season. J. Appl. Ecol. 5:215-226.
- 3. Denis, J. C., and Girard, J. C. 1980. Factors affecting the development of sorghum molds in Senegal. Pages 144-153 in: Sorg Diseases, a World Review, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru, India
- 4. Glueck, J. A., and Rooney, L. W. 1976. Physical and chemical characterization of sorghum lis with resistance to grain deterioration. (Abstr.) Cereal Foods World 21:436-437.
- Glueck, J. A., and Rooney, L. W. 1980. Chemistry and structure of grain in relation to mold resistance. Pages 119-140 in: Sorghum Diseases, a World Review. International Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru, India.
- 6. Gray, E., Lacefield, G. D., and Lowe, J. A. 1971. Head mold on grain sorghum. Plant Dis. Rep.
- 7. Harlan, J. R., and de Wet, J. M. J. 1972. A simplified classification of cultivated sorghum. Crop Sci. 12:172-176.
- 8. Harris, H. B., and Burns, R. E. 1973. Relationship between tannin content of sorghum grain and preharvest seed molding. Agron. J. 65:957-959
- 9. Koteswara Rao, B., and Poornachandrudu, P. 1971. Isolation of head molds and assessment of moldy grains in certain sorghum varieties. Andhra Agric. J. 18:153-156.

 10. Price, M. L., Scovoc, S. V., and Butler, L. G.
- 1978. A critical evaluation of the vanillin reaction as an assay for tannin in sorghum grain. J. Agric. Food Chem. 26:1214-1218
- 11. Rana, B. S., Parameswarappa, R., Anahosur, K. H., Rao, V. J. M., Vasudeva Rao, M. J., and Rao, N. G. P. 1978. Breeding for multiple insect/disease resistance. All India Coordinated Sorghum Improvement Project Workshop. Dharwar, India
- Rao, N. G. P., Vidyabhushanam, R., Rana, B. S., Rao, V. J. M., and Rao, M. J. V. 1980. Breeding sorehums for disease resistance in India. Pages 430-433 in: Sorghum Diseases, a World Review, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru,
- 13. Rao, K. N., and Williams, R. J. 1980. Screening for sorghum grain mold resistance at ICRISAT.

 Pages 103-108 in: Sorghum Diseases, a World Review International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru, India.
- 14. Williams, R. J., and Rao, K. N. 1981. A review of sorghum grain moulds, Trop. Pest Manage. 27:200-211.