Expl Agric. (1996), volume 32, pp. 129–141 Printed in Great Britain Copyright © 1996 Cambridge University Press

1051

JA 1654

PHYSIOLOGICAL AND MORPHOLOGICAL FEATURES DETERMINING THE PERFORMANCE OF THE SORGHUM LANDRACES OF NORTHERN NIGERIA

By D. J. FLOWER†

West African Sorghum Improvement Program, International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), 419 Yanyawa Avenue, Kano, Nigeria

(Accepted 8 June 1995)

SUMMARY

Sorghum landraces from contrasting districts of northern Nigeria were grown during the 1990 rainy season to describe their physiological and morphological features. Changes in their dry matter production and yield could be predicted from thermal time to flowering (based on response to a fixed daylength) and partitioning indices. Many other morphological and physiological features, such as light-extinction coefficient, light-use efficiency, plant height, leaf area and leaf number, were either stable or varied systematically with time to flowering. Grain yields of early maturing lines were limited by low light interception from flowering to physiological maturity and those of later maturing lines by highly site-specific drought stress.

INTRODUCTION

In northern Nigeria, rainfall and length of the rainy season decrease as latitude increases (Kowal and Knabe, 1973). Thus, Kano (12°03'N, 08°32'E) receives an annual rainfall of 830 mm while the nearby town of Nguru (12°53'N, 10°28'E) receives only 566 mm. There are also pronounced year-to-year differences in the onset of the growing season and the total amount of rainfall received. In Kano, for example, rainfall ranges from 230 to 1200 mm. This spatial and temporal variability in the climate creates major problems for researchers trying to match cultivars with their best environments and to breed new 'adapted' cultivars.

Sorghum is grown on an area of 480 million hectares in Nigeria but average yields are only 1.07 t ha⁻¹ (FAO, 1993). It is grown throughout the country, but sorghum-based cropping systems predominate between the maize-based systems south of Zaria (11°11'N, 07°38'E) and the millet-based systems north of Katsina (13°01'N, 07°41'E). Sorghum-based cropping systems mostly use photoperiod-sensitive sorghum which flowers after the end of the rains at each location (Curtis, 1968), thus avoiding the mould that often renders the grain unfit for human consumption. The grain is therefore produced under conditions of a deteriorating soil water balance. An early cessation in rainfall causes terminal drought stress resulting in little grain being harvested.

†Present address: Agronomy Division, ICRISAT Asia Center, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, 502 324, India.

Although plant breeders have introduced new varieties and hybrids, few farmers have adopted them, preferring the local landraces (Carr, 1989). Sub-Saharan Africa is a primary centre of origin and diversity of sorghum (Mengesha *et al.*, 1991) so that a vast array of landraces can be found in farmers' fields in northern Nigeria. Staff of the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT) have collected a wide range of material from this area and described 230 accessions belonging to the races Durra-Caudatum, Guinea-Caudatum and Guinea-Guineense (Prasada Rao *et al.*, 1985; see also Curtis, 1967). From this material the Kano State Agricultural and Rural Development Authority has identified a sub-set of 36 landraces that have agricultural potential in the region (KNARDA, 1986). These vary markedly in time to flower, leaf number, height, grain colour, grain type and yield of grain and stover.

A study of this material was conducted in order to understand the basis of the continued preference by farmers for local landraces and to describe the morphological and physiological features that influence the performance of landraces in the region. The interactions of these features with the environment were then summarized by a simple, biologically relevant model. This provides a basis for designing efficient production systems and for understanding the interaction of traditional systems with their environment.

EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The 36 sorghum (Sorghum bicolor (L.) Moench) landraces were planted at the ICRISAT research station at Bagauda (11°53'N, 08°14'E) in northern Nigeria, commencing on 7 July 1990 after a delayed start to the rainy season. The soil type at Bagauda is a Plinthic Luvisol (FAO/UNESCO) with an average depth of 90 cm. Measurements with a pressure-plate apparatus on undisturbed soil samples showed that its water holding capacity to 90 cm was 151 mm (IAR, 1988).

The landraces were planted in 4 m² plots in a randomized block design with four replications. Seeds were hand sown on 75 cm ridges and thinned 20 days after sowing (DAS) to a population of 8 plants m⁻². Plots received a basal dressing of 15 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen, 87 kg ha⁻¹ phosphorus (P₂O₅) and 15 kg ha⁻¹ potassium, with a further top dressing, 24 DAS, of 46 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen with urea. The experiment was rainfed and the plots were kept free of weeds. The sorghum was sprayed with Polytrin C[®] 30 DAS for the control of leaf-feeding insects. No attempt was made to control head bugs (*Eurystylus* sp.) during the grain-filling period as damage was minimal.

Plant dry matter samples were taken on four plants per plot and dried in a convection oven at 60°C. Leaf area was measured at flowering time with a leaf area meter (LI-COR, Nebraska, USA) and dry matter samples taken 41, 54 and 73 DAS and at physiological maturity. The dry matter at flowering was measured on a sub-set of 13 lines. Grain yield was determined from measurements taken on 2 m² plots using a mechanical thresher. Days to flowering were recorded when anthers were extruded at the mid-point of the panicle and physiological maturity

when a black layer appeared on grains located at the mid-point of the panicle. A plot was considered to have reached a particular stage when 50% of plants in the plot reached that stage. The length of the grain-filling period was calculated as the time from flowering to maturity.

Light interception was measured between 1100 and 1300 hours 41, 54 and 73 DAS and at flowering with a Sunfleck Ceptometer (Decagon Devices Inc., Washington, USA). Readings were taken, at ground level, to measure the amount of light transmitted, and adjacent to each plot to measure incident solar radiation. Light interception, light-extinction coefficient and radiation-use efficiency (RUE) were calculated using standard equations (Squire, 1990).

Climatic variables were recorded on an adjacent automatic weather station (Campbell Scientific Inc., Utah, USA) consisting of a three-cup anemometer (Met One Inc., Oregon, USA), silicon pyranometer (LI-200sz, LI-COR, Nebraska, USA) and temperature and humidity probes (Phy-Chem Sciences Corp., New York, USA). The readings were made every five minutes, and hourly averages were stored. Potential evaporation estimates were obtained using the Penman equation (van Donk *et al.*, 1988). The extractable water (in mm) at time t was estimated as

$$S_{(t)} = S_{(o)} + R_{(t)} - E_{(t)}$$

where $S_{(o)}$ is the initial extractable soil water content, and $R_{(1)}$ and $E_{(1)}$ are the rainfall and potential evaporation (mm), respectively, accumulated from t = 0. When $S_{(1)}$ was less than 151 mm, drainage and runoff were neglected because they were observed to be small fractions of the rainfall. When calculating the water budget, no attempt was made to partition Ep into its components, soil evaporation and crop transpiration, although changes in these variables affect the difference between actual and potential rates of evaporation and hence the accuracy of the water budget. However, the canopy of many lines had closed by early September and potential and actual evaporation would be similar from then on. Actual evaporation rates would be lower than potential rates when the canopy was small and the soil surface dry. However, the frequency of the rains was such that this situation did not occur for extended periods early in the season. When $S_{(t)}$ exceeded 151 mm, excess water was assumed to be lost by drainage and runoff and when $S_{(t)}$ was less than 45 mm the evaporation rate was assumed to be $(S_{(t)}/$ 45) times the potential rate (Sinclair and Ludlow, 1986).

KNARDA regional trials

Details of the management of these trials are given by KNARDA (1986). Briefly, plants were grown according to recommended local practices. Seeds were sown by hand at a 50 cm row spacing with a density of 5 plants m^{-2} . Trials were sown on the 16 June, 5 June, 23 June and 23 July 1986 at Kaffin Maiyaki, Kadawa, Katsina and Mallamadori, respectively. The dates of planting corresponded to the commencement of the rainy season, which was later at Katsina



Fig. 1. Rainfall (solid bars), changes in extractable soil water content (dotted line) and dry matter production (solid circles) during 1990 at Bagauda, Nigeria. Data for dry matter production are the means for long duration lines flowering 90 to 100 days after sowing; the solid line was fitted by eye.

and Mallamadori than at Kadawa and Kaffin Maiyaki. Fertilizer at the rate of 30 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen, 30 kg ha⁻¹ phosphorus and 30 kg ha⁻¹ potassium was applied at sowing time. An extra 30 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen was applied as a top dressing at Katsina and Mallamadori. Grain yields were measured after threshing air-dried heads in the traditional manner. The area harvested for grain yield was 90, 90, 150 and 75 m² at Kaffin Maiyaki, Kadawa, Katsina and Mallamadori, respectively. Three replicate plots were grown at Kadawa and Mallamadori but only two at Kaffin Maiyaki and Katsina. To permit a valid comparison between sites only data from lines used in the Bagauda study were extracted from these regional trials.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The mean minimum temperature at Bagauda was $21.9 \pm 0.2^{\circ}$ C and the mean maximum $32.37 \pm 0.7^{\circ}$ C. The mean incident solar radiation was 20.5 ± 0.3 MJ m⁻² day⁻¹. These conditions were conducive to the rapid growth of sorghum. Rainfall was well distributed (Fig. 1), although the total of 556 mm received was about 37% below the average annual rainfall for Kano (62 km north of Bagauda). Heavy rains of 72 mm between 11 and 14 September (67–70 DAS) relieved the

potential threat of terminal drought. As a result the length of the growing season was not appreciably reduced.

The soil profile was near field capacity when the crop was sown. Due to the frequency of rainfall, soil moisture storage was above 110 mm for most of the season (Fig. 1). The rains had virtually ceased by 70 DAS and the soil water reserves were being depleted. By day 105, the soil had little moisture left for crop growth.

Dry matter production

In northern Nigeria, there is only a limited period in which agricultural productivity can occur, so efficient use of soil water reserves is essential. Extrapolation of the linear region of the total above-ground dry weight/time relationship to the abscissa (Fig. 1) gives an estimate of 38 days 'lost time' (Goudriaan and Monteith, 1990). As the growth of leaves up to the time of panicle initiation is similar in long and short-duration lines, similar lost times can be expected in both. This is considerably longer than the 26.8 days measured for a sorghum crop grown at Hyderabad, India at a much higher density of 18.4 plants m⁻² (Goudriaan and Monteith, 1990) and represents a substantial proportion of the time when the crop is productive in Kano. It also represents a period when soil evaporation and surface 'runoff' are major components of the water balance, causing appreciable inefficiency in the use of rainfall early in the season.

The dry weight/time relationship can be extrapolated forward to determine the time necessary to reach the maximum crop dry weight if maximum growth rates were to be maintained. After subtraction of lost time, a 'minimum productivity period' of 54 days was obtained for the 1990 rainy season at Bagauda. The lost time for a long duration sorghum crop growing at Bagauda is 45% of the minimum productivity period and 36% of that required for lines such as Gaya Early to mature. This percentage can be expected to increase when sorghum is grown at traditional densities of 10–20 plants m^{-2} in an intercrop, as the sorghum is sown before the intercropped groundnut or cowpea. The maximum growth rate, obtained when 90-95% of the light was being intercepted, was calculated at 20 g m⁻² day⁻¹ (mean of long duration lines). This is similar to the values reported for sorghum grown under rainfed conditions in the semi-arid tropics in India (Goudriaan and Monteith, 1990) and in the humid sub-tropics of Australia (Herbert et al., 1986). Some 25% of the dry-matter production and more than 90% of the grain yield from local landraces (such as Gaya Early) were produced under conditions of declining soil water status at Bagauda in 1990. These conditions occur in many years since the end of the rainy season is relatively stable (Kowal and Knabe, 1973).

Phenology

Local landraces in neighbouring farmers' fields flowered between 80 and 95 DAS while the collection of landraces from other districts in northern Nigeria flowered between 55 and 98 DAS when sown at Bagauda (Table 1). Cultivars that

Table 1. Race (G-C, Guinea caudatum; D-C, Durra caudatum), days to flowering, grain colour, 1000 grain mass (g), plant height (m), grain yield $(t ha^{-1})$ and partitioning index of landraces used in the experiment at Bagauda in northern Nigeria, 1990

Ngeberi KimiG-C56white 32.0 1.97 1.6 0.74 Niger EarlyG-C56white 32.5 2.02 1.9 0.81 Kola El-MotaG-C58white 28.0 2.14 1.7 0.70 Yar GumelG-C62white 39.3 2.39 2.6 0.56 Kura DandalamaD-C63yellow 42.7 2.85 2.1 0.85 Yar Wuri (S.)G-C64white 37.0 2.46 2.2 0.75 Yar WashaG-C65white 41.9 2.25 2.4 0.82 Bagoba RedG-C66red 33.8 2.21 3.1 $-$ Jawa SandaG-C73white 34.6 2.63 3.0 0.66 Yar Wuri (D.)G-C74white 34.6 2.43 3.1 $-$ Yar DuvigiG-CG-C75white 35.5 2.51 3.4 0.55 Yar Mai GaraycG-C75white 33.4 2.71 3.2 0.68 Gaya Early (K.)D-C80yellow 25.3 2.92 2.2 $-$ Yar Durnu UkuG-C81white 26.3 2.92 2.2 $-$ Yar Durnu UkuG-C83white 35.6 3.21 2.0 $-$ Lafa DanbattaG-C87white 30.7 3.28 1.7 $-$ Kadawa Farafara		Race	Days to flower	Grain colour	Grain mass	Plant height	Grain yield	Partitioning index
Niger EarlyG-C56white 32.5 2.02 1.9 0.81 Kola El-MotaG-C58white 28.0 2.14 1.7 0.70 Yar GumelG-C62white 39.3 2.39 2.6 0.56 Kura DandalamaD-C63yellow 42.7 2.85 2.1 0.85 Yar Wuri (S.)G-C64white 37.0 2.46 2.2 0.75 Yar WashaG-C65white 41.9 2.25 2.4 0.82 Bagoba RedG-C66red 33.8 2.21 3.1 $-$ Jawa SandaG-C73white 34.6 2.63 3.0 0.66 Yar Wuri (D.)G-C74white 34.6 2.43 3.1 $-$ Yar DuwigiG-C75white 35.5 2.51 3.4 0.55 Yar Mai GaraycG-C75white 33.4 2.71 3.2 0.68 Gaya Early (K.)D-C80yellow 35.1 3.38 2.8 0.76 Warvar Bashi (B.)G-C81white 26.6 3.21 2.0 $-$ Yar Durmu UkuG-C83white 36.6 3.21 2.0 $-$ Yar Durmu UkuG-C86yellow 35.1 3.38 2.8 0.76 Warvar Bashi (B.)G-C81white 30.7 3.28 1.7 $-$ Yar Gadama	Ngeberi Kimi	G-C	56	white	32.0	1.97	1.6	0.74
Kola El-MotaG-C58white28.02.141.70.70Yar GumelG-C62white39.32.392.60.56Kura DandalamaD-C63yellow42.72.852.10.85Yar Wari (S.)G-C64white37.02.462.20.75Yar WashaG-C65white31.82.213.1-Jawa SandaG-C73white34.62.633.00.66Yar Wari (G.)G-C74white34.62.433.1-Yar DurigiG-C75white33.42.713.20.68Gaya Early (K.)D-C80yellow29.83.223.70.73Yar DurigiG-C75white33.42.713.20.68Gaya Early (B.K.)D-C80yellow29.83.223.70.73Gaya Early (B.K.)D-C80yellow26.72.462.1-Yar Durmu UkuG-C83white26.32.902.2-Yar GadamaG-C87white30.73.281.7-Kadawa FarafaraG-C87white29.12.952.7-Yar Durmu UkuG-C87white30.73.281.7-Kadawa FarafaraG-C89yellow36.73.511.7-Yar GadamaG-C	Niger Early	G-C	56	white	32.5	2.02	1.9	0.81
Yar GumelG-C62white39.32.392.60.56Kura DandalamaD-C63yellow42.72.852.10.85Yar Wuri (S.)G-C64white37.02.462.20.75Yar WashaG-C65white41.92.252.40.82Bagoba RedG-C68red33.82.213.1-Jawa SandaG-C73white34.62.632.90.73Yar Wuri (G.)G-C74white34.62.433.1-Yar DuvigiG-C75white35.52.513.40.55Yar Muri (B.)G-C75white35.42.713.20.68Gaya Early (K.)D-C80yellow29.83.223.70.73Gaya Early (B.K.)D-C80yellow26.32.922.2Lafia DanbattaG-C81white26.32.922.2Kadawa FarafaraG-C86yellow26.72.462.1Kadwa FarafaraG-C87white30.73.281.7-Yar Durnu UkuG-C89yellow38.73.121.9-Kadawa FarafaraG-C89yellow38.73.511.7-Yar Durnu UkuG-C89yellow38.73.121.9-Kadawa FarafaraG-C </td <td>Kola El-Mota</td> <td>G-C</td> <td>58</td> <td>white</td> <td>28.0</td> <td>2.14</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>0.70</td>	Kola El-Mota	G-C	58	white	28.0	2.14	1.7	0.70
Kura DandalamaD-C63yellow42.72.852.10.85Yar Wuri (S.)G-C64white37.02.462.20.75Yar WashaG-C65white41.92.252.40.82Bagoba RedG-C68red33.82.213.1-Jawa SandaG-C73white32.82.633.00.66Yar Wuri (G.)G-C74white34.62.433.1-Yar DuvigiG-C75white35.52.513.40.55Yar Mai GaraycG-C75white33.42.713.20.68Gaya Early (K.)D-C80yellow29.83.223.70.73Gaya Early (B.K.)D-C80yellow35.13.382.80.76Warwar Bashi (B.)G-C81white26.32.922.2Yar Durmu UkuG-C83white36.63.212.0-Lafia DanbattaG-C87white29.72.462.1-Kadawa FarafaraG-C89yellow38.73.121.9-KatwaG-C91white33.53.511.7-Mori (B.)D-C89yellow38.73.121.9-KatwaG-C92white33.32.981.4-Yar DamoG-C92white </td <td>Yar Gumel</td> <td>G-C</td> <td>62</td> <td>white</td> <td>39.3</td> <td>2.39</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>0.56</td>	Yar Gumel	G-C	62	white	39.3	2.39	2.6	0.56
Yar Wuri (S.)G-C64white 37.0 2.46 2.2 0.75 Yar WashaG-C65white 41.9 2.25 2.4 0.82 Bagoba RedG-C68red 33.8 2.21 3.1 $-$ Jawa SandaG-C73white 34.6 2.63 2.9 0.73 Yar Wuri (C.)G-C74white 34.6 2.43 3.1 $-$ Yar Wuri (D.)G-C74white 34.6 2.43 3.1 $-$ Yar DuwigiG-C75white 35.5 2.51 3.4 0.55 Gaya Early (K.)D-C80yellow 29.8 3.22 3.7 0.73 Gaya Early (B.K.)D-C80yellow 29.8 3.22 2.2 $-$ Yar Durmu UkuG-C81white 26.6 3.21 2.0 $-$ Lafa DanbattaG-C86yellow 26.7 2.46 2.1 $-$ Kadawa FarafaraG-C87white 29.1 2.95 2.7 $-$ Mori (B.)D-C89yellow 38.7 3.12 1.9 $-$ KalwaG-C89white 29.7 2.94 1.6 $-$ Kadawa FarafaraG-C91white 33.5 3.51 1.7 $-$ Mori (B.)D-C89white 29.7 2.94 1.6 $-$ KatawaG-C92white <td>Kura Dandalama</td> <td>D-C</td> <td>63</td> <td>yellow</td> <td>42.7</td> <td>2.85</td> <td>2.1</td> <td>0.85</td>	Kura Dandalama	D-C	63	yellow	42.7	2.85	2.1	0.85
Yar WashaG-C65white41.92.252.40.82Bagoba RedG-C68rcd33.82.213.1-Jawa SandaG-C73white34.62.632.90.73Yar Wuri (G.)G-C74white32.82.633.00.666Yar Wuri (D.)G-C74white35.52.513.40.55Yar MurigiG-C75white33.42.713.20.68Gaya Early (K.)D-C80yellow29.83.223.70.73Gaya Early (B.K.)D-C80yellow35.13.382.80.76Warwar Bashi (B.)G-C81white26.32.922.2-Yar Durmu UkuG-C83white36.63.212.0-Lafa DanbattaG-C87white30.73.281.7-Kadawa FarafaraG-C87white30.73.281.7-Yar GadamaG-C89yellow38.73.121.9-Kitsen DamoG-C91white30.53.511.7-Yar GadamaG-C92white33.32.981.4-Yar JabeG-C92white33.32.981.4-Yar GadamaG-C92white33.32.981.4-Yar GadamaG-C92white <td>Yar Wuri (S.)</td> <td>G-C</td> <td>64</td> <td>white</td> <td>37.0</td> <td>2.46</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>0.75</td>	Yar Wuri (S.)	G-C	64	white	37.0	2.46	2.2	0.75
Bagoba RedG-C68red33.82.213.1Jawa SandaG-C73white34.62.632.90.73Yar Wuri (G.)G-C73white32.82.633.00.66Yar Wuri (D.)G-C74white34.62.433.1Yar DuwigiG-C75white35.52.513.40.55Yar Mai GaraycG-C75white33.42.713.20.68Gaya Early (K.)D-C80yellow29.83.223.70.73Gaya Early (B.K.)D-C80yellow35.13.382.80.76Warwar Bashi (B.)G-C81white26.32.922.2Yar Durmu UkuG-C83white36.63.212.0Lafa DanbattaG-C87white29.12.952.7Yar GadamaG-C87white29.72.952.7Yar GadamaG-C89yellow38.73.121.9KatwaG-C89white29.72.941.6KatwaG-C91white33.32.981.7Yar JanG-C92white33.32.981.4Yar JanG-C92white33.32.981.4Yar JanG-C92white33.3 </td <td>Yar Washa</td> <td>G-C</td> <td>65</td> <td>white</td> <td>41.9</td> <td>2.25</td> <td>2.4</td> <td>0.82</td>	Yar Washa	G-C	65	white	41.9	2.25	2.4	0.82
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Bagoba Red	G-C	68	red	33.8	2.21	3.1	-
Yar Wuri (G.)G-C73white32.82.633.00.66Yar Wuri (D.)G-C74white34.62.433.1Yar DuwigiG-C75white35.52.513.40.55Yar Mai GaraycG-C75white33.42.713.20.68Gaya Early (K.)D-C80yellow29.83.223.70.73Gaya Early (B.K.)D-C80yellow25.13.382.80.76Warwar Bashi (B.)G-C81white26.32.922.2Yar Durmu UkuG-C83white36.63.212.0Lafia DanbattaG-C86yellow26.72.462.1-Kadawa FarafaraG-C87white30.73.281.7-Mori (B.)D-C89yellow38.73.121.9-KalwaG-C91white35.63.610.8-Farafara BinonoG-C92white33.32.981.4-Yar LabeG-C92white33.32.981.4-Yar LabeG-C92white33.32.981.4-Yar LabeG-C92white33.32.981.4-Yar LabeG-C92white33.32.981.4-Yar LabeG-C92white	Jawa Sanda	G-C	73	white	34.6	2.63	2.9	0.73
Yar Wuri (D.)G-C74white34.62.433.1Yar DuwigiG-C75white35.52.513.40.55Yar Mai GarayeG-C75white33.42.713.20.68Gaya Early (K.)D-C80yellow29.83.223.70.73Gaya Early (B.K.)D-C80yellow29.83.223.70.73Gaya Early (B.K.)D-C80yellow35.13.382.80.76Warwar Bashi (B.)G-C81white26.32.922.2-Yar Durmu UkuG-C83white36.63.212.0-Lafia DanbattaG-C87white29.12.952.7-Kadawa FarafaraG-C87white30.73.281.7-Mori (B.)D-C89yellow38.73.121.9-KalwaG-C91white33.53.511.7-Yar IanG-C92white33.32.981.4-Yar LabeG-C92white33.32.981.4-Yar LabeG-C92white33.32.981.4-Yar LabeG-C97white33.32.981.4-Yar LabeG-C97white30.20.9-Kiyawa FarafaraG-C97white30.2 <td< td=""><td>Yar Wuri (G.)</td><td>G-C</td><td>73</td><td>white</td><td>32.8</td><td>2.63</td><td>3.0</td><td>0.66</td></td<>	Yar Wuri (G.)	G-C	73	white	32.8	2.63	3.0	0.66
Yar DuwigiG-C75white 35.5 2.51 3.4 0.55 Yar Mai GaraycG-C75white 33.4 2.71 3.2 0.68 Gaya Early (K.)D-C80yellow 29.8 3.22 3.7 0.73 Gaya Early (B.K.)D-C80yellow 35.1 3.38 2.8 0.76 Warwar Bashi (B.)G-C81white 26.3 2.92 2.2 $$ Yar Durmu UkuG-C83white 36.6 3.21 2.0 $$ Lafia DanbattaG-C86yellow 26.7 2.46 2.1 $-$ Kadawa FarafaraG-C87white 29.1 2.95 2.7 $-$ Yar GadamaG-C89yellow 38.7 3.12 1.9 $-$ KaiwaG-C89white 29.7 2.94 1.6 $-$ KaiwaG-C91white 33.5 3.51 1.7 $-$ Yar IanG-C92white 35.0 3.54 1.0 $-$ Yar TuduG-C92white 33.3 2.98 1.4 $-$ Yar TuduG-C92white 33.3 2.98 1.4 $-$ Yar TuduG-C97white 23.3 3.29 2.0 $-$ Kiyawa FarafaraG-C97white 23.3 3.29 2.0 $-$ Kiyawa FarafaraG-C97white </td <td>Yar Wuri (D.)</td> <td>G-C</td> <td>74</td> <td>white</td> <td>34.6</td> <td>2.43</td> <td>3.1</td> <td>-</td>	Yar Wuri (D.)	G-C	74	white	34.6	2.43	3.1	-
Yar Mai GaraycG-C75white 33.4 2.71 3.2 0.68 Gaya Early (K.)D-C80yellow 29.8 3.22 3.7 0.73 Gaya Early (B.K.)D-C80yellow 35.1 3.38 2.8 0.76 Warwar Bashi (B.)G-C81white 26.3 2.92 2.2 $$ Yar Durmu UkuG-C83white 36.6 3.21 2.0 $$ Lafia DanbattaG-C86yellow 26.7 2.46 2.1 $$ Kadawa FarafaraG-C87white 30.7 3.28 1.7 $$ Yar GadamaG-C87white 30.7 3.28 1.7 $$ Mori (B.)D-C89yellow 38.7 3.12 1.9 $$ KalwaG-C89white 29.7 2.94 1.6 $$ Yar JanG-C91white 33.5 3.51 1.7 $-$ YarlanG-C92white 33.3 2.98 1.4 $$ Yar LabeG-C92white 33.3 2.98 1.4 $$ Yar TuduG-C93white 32.3 3.29 2.0 $-$ Kisaen DamoG-C97white 32.3 3.29 2.0 $-$ Yar LabeG-C92white 37.5 3.30 1.8 $-$ Yar TuduG-C97white	Yar Duwigi	G-C	75	white	35.5	2.51	3.4	0.55
Gaya Early (K.)D-C80yellow29.83.223.70.73Gaya Early (B.K.)D-C80yellow35.13.382.80.76Warwar Bashi (B.)G-C81white26.32.922.2Yar Durmu UkuG-C83white36.63.212.0Lafia DanbattaG-C86yellow26.72.462.1Kadawa FarafaraG-C87white29.12.952.7Yar GadamaG-C87white30.73.281.7Mori (B.)D-C89yellow38.73.121.9KalwaG-C91white23.53.511.7Yar IanG-C91white33.32.981.4Yar LabeG-C92white35.03.541.0Yar TuduG-C92white37.53.301.8Yar TuduG-C92white32.33.292.0Kiyawa FarafaraG-C97white28.03.240.0Kiyawa FarafaraG-C97white28.03.240.0Yar TuduG-C97white29.43.020.9Kiyawa FarafaraG-C97white29.43.020.9Kiyawa FarafaraG-C1white <td>Yar Mai Garaye</td> <td>G-C</td> <td>75</td> <td>white</td> <td>33.4</td> <td>2.71</td> <td>3.2</td> <td>0.68</td>	Yar Mai Garaye	G-C	75	white	33.4	2.71	3.2	0.68
Gaya Early (B.K.) D-C 80 yellow 35.1 3.38 2.8 0.76 Warwar Bashi (B.) G-C 81 white 26.3 2.92 2.2 Yar Durmu Uku G-C 83 white 36.6 3.21 2.0 Lafia Danbatta G-C 86 yellow 26.7 2.46 2.1 Kadawa Farafara G-C 87 white 29.1 2.95 2.7 Yar Gadama G-C 87 white 30.7 3.28 1.7 Mori (B.) D-C 89 yellow 38.7 3.12 1.9 Kalwa G-C 89 white 29.7 2.94 1.6 Kitsen Damo G-C 91 white 33.5 3.51 1.7 Yarlan G-C 92 white 33.3 2.98 1.4 Yar Tudu G-C 92 white 37.5 3.30 1.8 Kiyawa Farafara	Gava Early (K.)	D-C	80	yellow	29.8	3.22	3.7	0.73
Warwar Bashi (B.) G-C 81 white 26.3 2.92 2.2 Yar Durmu Uku G-C 83 white 36.6 3.21 2.0 Lafia Danbatta G-C 86 yellow 26.7 2.46 2.1 Kadawa Farafara G-C 87 white 29.1 2.95 2.7 Yar Gadama G-C 87 white 30.7 3.28 1.7 Mori (B.) D-C 89 yellow 38.7 3.12 1.9 Kalwa G-C 89 white 29.7 2.94 1.6 Kitsen Damo G-C 91 white 33.5 3.51 1.7 Yar Labc G-C 92 white 33.5 3.51 1.6 Yar Tudu G-C 92 white 35.0 3.54 1.0 Yar Tudu G-C 92 white 37.5 3.30 1.8 Kiyawa Farafara	Gaya Early (B.K.)	D-C	80	yellow	35.1	3.38	2.8	0.76
Yar Durmu Uku G-C 83 white 36.6 3.21 2.0 Lafia Danbatta G-C 86 yellow 26.7 2.46 2.1 Kadawa Farafara G-C 87 white 29.1 2.95 2.7 Yar Gadama G-C 87 white 30.7 3.28 1.7 Mori (B.) D-C 89 ycllow 38.7 3.12 1.9 Kalwa G-C 89 white 29.7 2.94 1.6 Kitsen Damo G-C 91 white 33.5 3.51 1.7 Yar Labe G-C 91 white 33.5 3.51 1.7 Yar Labe G-C 92 white 33.3 2.94 1.6 Yar Labe G-C 92 white 35.0 3.54 1.0 Yar Tudu G-C 92 white 37.5 3.30 1.8 Kiyawa Farafara G-C	Warwar Bashi (B.)	G-C	81	white	26.3	2.92	2.2	
Lafia Danbatta G-C 86 yellow 26.7 2.46 2.1 - Kadawa Farafara G-C 87 white 29.1 2.95 2.7 - Yar Gadama G-C 87 white 30.7 3.28 1.7 - Mori (B.) D-C 89 yellow 38.7 3.12 1.9 - Kalwa G-C 89 white 29.7 2.94 1.6 - Kitsen Damo G-C 91 white 33.5 3.51 1.7 - Yarlan G-C 91 white 33.5 3.51 1.7 - Yarlan G-C 91 white 33.5 3.51 1.7 - Yar Labe G-C 92 white 35.0 3.54 1.0 - Yar Tudu G-C 92 white 37.5 3.30 1.8 - Kiyawa Farafara G-C 93 white 32.3 3.29 2.0 - KSV 8 G-C 97	Yar Durmu Uku	G-C	83	white	36.6	3.21	2.0	
Kadawa Farafara G-C 87 white 29.1 2.95 2.7 Yar Gadama G-C 87 white 30.7 3.28 1.7 Mori (B.) D-C 89 ycllow 38.7 3.12 1.9 Kalwa G-C 89 white 29.7 2.94 1.6 Kitsen Damo G-C 91 white 33.5 3.51 1.7 Yar Ian G-C 91 white 33.5 3.51 1.7 Yarlan G-C 91 white 33.5 3.51 1.7 Yar Labe G-C 92 white 33.5 3.51 1.0 Yar Tudu G-C 92 white 33.3 2.98 1.4 Yar Tudu G-C 92 white 37.5 3.30 1.8 Kiyawa Farafara G-C 97 white 29.4 3.02 0.9 Gezawa White G-C	Lafia Danbatta	G-C	86	yellow	26.7	2.46	2.1	_
Yar Gadama G-C 87 white 30.7 3.28 1.7 - Mori (B.) D-C 89 yellow 38.7 3.12 1.9 - Kalwa G-C 89 white 29.7 2.94 1.6 - Kitsen Damo G-C 91 white 33.5 3.51 1.7 - Yarlan G-C 91 white 26.8 3.61 0.8 - Farafara Binono G-C 92 white 33.3 2.98 1.4 - Yar Labe G-C 92 white 33.3 2.98 1.4 - Yar Tudu G-C 92 white 37.5 3.30 1.8 - Kiyawa Farafara G-C 92 white 32.3 3.29 2.0 - KSV 8 G-C 97 white 28.0 3.24 0.0 - L187 G-C † white - - - - Yar Zandam D-C † white<	Kadawa Farafara	G-C	87	white	29.1	2.95	2.7	
Mori (B.)D-C89yellow 38.7 3.12 1.9 $-$ KalwaG-C89white 29.7 2.94 1.6 $-$ Kitsen DamoG-C91white 33.5 3.51 1.7 $-$ YarlanG-C91white 26.8 3.61 0.8 $-$ Farafara BinonoG-C92white 35.0 3.54 1.0 $-$ Yar LabeG-C92white 33.3 2.98 1.4 $-$ Yar TuduG-C92white 37.5 3.30 1.8 $-$ Kiyawa FarafaraG-C93white 32.3 3.29 2.0 $-$ KSV 8G-C97white 29.4 3.02 0.9 $-$ Gezawa WhiteG-C97white 28.0 3.24 0.0 $-$ L187G-C \uparrow white $ -$ L533G-C \uparrow white $ -$ Yar ZandamD-C \uparrow yellow $ -$ BarzagaG-C \uparrow white $ -$ Mori Local (S.)D-C \dagger white $ -$	Yar Gadama	G-C	87	white	30.7	3.28	1.7	_
Kalwa G-C 89 white 29.7 2.94 1.6 Kitsen Damo G-C 91 white 33.5 3.51 1.7 Yarlan G-C 91 white 26.8 3.61 0.8 Farafara Binono G-C 92 white 35.0 3.54 1.0 Yar Labe G-C 92 white 33.3 2.98 1.4 Yar Tudu G-C 92 white 37.5 3.30 1.8 Yar Tudu G-C 92 white 32.3 3.29 2.0 Kiyawa Farafara G-C 93 white 32.3 3.29 2.0 KSV 8 G-C 97 white 29.4 3.02 0.9 Gezawa White G-C 97 white 28.0 3.24 0.0 L187 G-C † white Yar Zandam D-C †	Mori (B.)	D-C	89	yellow	38.7	3.12	1.9	_
Kitsen Damo G-C 91 white 33.5 3.51 1.7 Yarlan G-C 91 white 26.8 3.61 0.8 Farafara Binono G-C 92 white 35.0 3.54 1.0 Yar Labe G-C 92 white 33.3 2.98 1.4 Yar Tudu G-C 92 white 37.5 3.30 1.8 Yar Tudu G-C 92 white 32.3 3.29 2.0 Kiyawa Farafara G-C 97 white 39.4 3.02 0.9 KSV 8 G-C 97 white 28.0 3.24 0.0 L187 G-C † white L533 G-C † white Yar Zandam D-C † yellow - Barzaga G-C † white	Kalwa	G-C	89	white	29.7	2.94	1.6	·
Yarlan G-C 91 white 26.8 3.61 0.8 Farafara Binono G-C 92 white 35.0 3.54 1.0 Yar Labe G-C 92 white 33.3 2.98 1.4 Yar Labe G-C 92 white 37.5 3.30 1.8 Yar Tudu G-C 92 white 32.3 3.29 2.0 Kiyawa Farafara G-C 93 white 32.3 3.29 2.0 KSV 8 G-C 97 white 29.4 3.02 0.9 Gezawa White G-C 97 white 28.0 3.24 0.0 L187 G-C † white Yar Zandam D-C † yellow - Barzaga G-C † white - Samsorg 16 G-C † whit	Kitsen Damo	G-C	91	white	33.5	3.51	1.7	
Farafara Binono G-C 92 white 35.0 3.54 1.0 Yar Labe G-C 92 white 33.3 2.98 1.4 Yar Tudu G-C 92 white 37.5 3.30 1.8 Kiyawa Farafara G-C 93 white 32.3 3.29 2.0 KSV 8 G-C 97 white 29.4 3.02 0.9 Gezawa White G-C 97 white 28.0 3.24 0.0 L187 G-C † white - - - L533 G-C † white - - - Yar Zandam D-C † yellow - - - - Barzaga G-C † white - - - - Samsorg 16 G-C † white - - - - Mori Local (S.) D-C † white -	Yarlan	G-C	91	white	26.8	3.61	0.8	_
Yar Labe G-C 92 white 33.3 2.98 1.4 Yar Tudu G-C 92 white 37.5 3.30 1.8 Kiyawa Farafara G-C 93 white 32.3 3.29 2.0 KSV 8 G-C 97 white 29.4 3.02 0.9 Gezawa White G-C 97 white 28.0 3.24 0.0 L187 G-C † white - - - L533 G-C † white - - - Yar Zandam D-C † yellow - - - - Barzaga G-C † white - - - - Samsorg 16 G-C † white - - - - Mori Local (S.) D-C † white - - - -	Farafara Binono	G-C	92	white	35.0	3.54	1.0	
Yar Tudu G-C 92 white 37.5 3.30 1.8 Kiyawa Farafara G-C 93 white 32.3 3.29 2.0 KSV 8 G-C 97 white 29.4 3.02 0.9 Gezawa White G-C 97 white 28.0 3.24 0.0 L187 G-C † white - - - L533 G-C † white - - Yar Zandam D-C † yellow - - Barzaga G-C † white - - Samsorg 16 G-C † white - - Mori Local (S.) D-C † white - - -	Yar Labe	G-C	92	white	33.3	2.98	1.4	
Kiyawa Farafara G-C 93 white 32.3 3.29 2.0 KSV 8 G-C 97 white 29.4 3.02 0.9 Gezawa White G-C 97 white 28.0 3.24 0.0 L187 G-C † white - - L533 G-C † white - - Yar Zandam D-C † yellow - - Barzaga G-C † white - - Samsorg 16 G-C † white - - Mori Local (S.) D-C † white - -	Yar Tudu	G-C	92	white	37.5	3.30	1.8	
KŠV 8 G-C 97 white 29.4 3.02 0.9 Gezawa White G-C 97 white 28.0 3.24 0.0 L187 G-C † white - - - L533 G-C † white - - - Yar Zandam D-C † yellow - - - - Barzaga G-C † white - - - - Samsorg 16 G-C † white - - - - Mori Local (S.) D-C † white - - - -	Kiyawa Farafara	G-C	93	white	32.3	3.29	2.0	_
Gezawa White G-C 97 white 28.0 3.24 0.0 L187 G-C † white - - - - - L533 G-C † white - - - - - Yar Zandam D-C † yellow - - - - Barzaga G-C † white - - - - Samsorg 16 G-C † white - - - - Mori Local (S.) D-C † white - - - -	KŚV 8	G-C	97	white	29.4	3.02	0.9	
L187 G-C † white - - - - L533 G-C † white - - - - - Yar Zandam D-C † yellow - - - - - Barzaga G-C † white - - - - Samsorg 16 G-C † white - - - - Mori Local (S.) D-C † white - - - -	Gezawa White	G-C	97	white	28.0	3.24	0.0	-
L533 G-C † white - - - - Yar Zandam D-C † yellow - - - - - Barzaga G-C † white - - - - - Samsorg 16 G-C † white - - - - Mori Local (S.) D-C † white - - - -	L187	G-C	+	white	_	_		-
Yar Zandam D-C yellow -	L533	G-C	÷	white			_	
Barzaga G-C † white - <	Yar Zandam	D-C	t	vellow	-	-		
Samsorg 16 G-C † white -	Barzaga	G-C	÷	white	_	_		_
Mori Local (S.) D-C † white	Samsorg 16	G-C	÷	white	_	_	_	_
	Mori Local (S.)	D-C	t	white	-		-	_

†Did not flower.

flowered later than 98 DAS failed to produce any grain as soil water reserves had been exhausted.

Time to panicle initiation in sorghum cultivars is dependent on temperature and photoperiod, whereas the length of the grain-filling period is dependent mainly on temperature (Hammer *et al.*, 1989). Little information is currently available on the response of Nigerian landraces to these variables. Muchow (1990) found a linear relationship between the inverse of the effective grain-filling period and mean air temperature for sorghum cultivar Dekalb DK55 when sown



Fig. 2. Relation between the leaf area at flowering and the fraction of light intercepted by landraces flowering less than 80 days after sowing.

in different seasons in northern Australia. At the mean air temperature experienced during grain filling in the current experiment, Dekalb DK55 would fill grain in 26 days. This compares favourably with a mean length of the grain-filling period for landraces at Bagauda of 23.9 ± 0.6 days. The length of the grain-filling period was independent of the time to flowering because of the stable air temperatures during the experiment. Flowering in sorghum marks the end of the period of stem extension. Early flowering lines have fewer internodes to extend and consequently had a final height of about 2 m compared with 3 to 4 m in later maturing lines (Table 1). In general, the landraces were about 3.6 cm taller with each day of delay in flowering.

Leaf area and light interception

The leaf area index at flowering ranged from 1.2 to 3.8 and was directly proportional to the time to flowering. Leaf areas were measured on selected landraces requiring less than 81 days to flower but not on crops flowering later than 81 DAS as the proportion of dead lower leaves rose sharply after this time. When leaf areas were related to light interception at flowering, a common value of extinction coefficient could be used to describe the data (K = 0.54, Fig. 2). This extinction coefficient for these West African sorghum landraces was similar to those for landraces from China, Yemen and Sudan (Matthews *et al.*, 1990) and for



Fig. 3. Relation between time to flowering and (a) light interception measured 41 days after sowing (DAS, dotted line) and at flowering (solid line), (b) light-use efficiency between 41 and 75 DAS, (c) grain yields, and (d) harvest indices. The solid line in (a) is estimated from the expolinear equation (Goudriaan and Monteith, 1990) and the dotted line is a fitted linear regression to the observed data. The solid lines in (c) and (d) are the simulated values in the absence of moisture stress and the corresponding dashed lines are simulated values using the soil water budget as an input. All points are a mean of four replicates.

improved sorghum cultivars, such as ICSP1 (Goudriaan and Monteith, 1990) and Texas 610 (Heslehurst and Wilson, 1986).

All lines intercepted a similar fraction of light (0.53 ± 0.06) at 41 DAS, as no lines had reached the flag-leaf stage by then (Fig. 3a, dashed line). Early maturing lines could not utilize all the incident solar radiation at flowering as early flowering restricted the production of leaves. The fraction of light intercepted at flowering ranged from 0.55 in the early maturing lines to 0.92 in the later maturing lines (Fig. 3a, solid line). There was little increase in light intercepted at flowering by plants that flowered more than 80 DAS despite the production of a greater number of leaves. The line relating light interception to days to flowering was fitted using the formula from which the expolinear equation of Goudriaan and Monteith (1990) was derived.

Radiation-use efficiency

Muchow and Coates (1986) showed that the radiation-use efficiency (RUE) of grain sorghum under irrigated conditions was stable throughout crop growth. When grown under rainfed conditions at Bagauda, crops with an expanding canopy had a mean RUE of 1.45 g MJ^{-1} m⁻² (measured from 41 to 75 DAS, before'water stress became a constraint to production) and this appeared to be unaffected by the time to flowering, though a lower value, 1.35, was recorded after the landraces had flowered. This result is similar to values measured in other parts of the semi-arid tropics by Muchow and Coates (1986), Natarajan and Willey (1980) and Flower *et al.* (1990). Muchow and Coates (1986) suggested several reasons why the RUE may decrease after flowering, but the most likely reason in this case is a deterioration of the quality of the canopy due to nutrient stress. Leaf anthracnose (*Colletotrichum graminicola*) also occurred in some lines during the later stages of grain filling.

Grain yield and harvest index

Grain yield ranged from 1.8 to 3.6 t ha^{-1} (Table 1) as a direct result of greater light interception by the later maturing lines. The yield potential of early maturing lines is often not exploited as they do not use resources effectively when planted at a conventional row spacing. Grain yields of landraces such as Ngeberi Kimi, for example, could be expected to double if a narrower row spacing and higher plant population were used. Lines that flowered later than 80 DAS suffered a reduction in yield in proportion to the delay in flowering (Fig. 3c) because of the declining soil water status.

The harvest index reached 0.46 in the earliest maturing lines but decreased linearly as time to flowering increased to 80 days (Fig. 3d). In later flowering lines, the harvest index decreased substantially because of drought stress.

Model description

A simple growth model was used to explain these differences in yield, the harvest index and dry matter production in a biologically meaningful way. Prior to flowering, the potential amount of above-ground dry matter produced each day (DM_i) was calculated as a product of four variables:

$$DM_i = Q_i \times f \times \varepsilon_s \times \rho$$

where Q_i is the amount of short wave radiation incident on the crop (MJ m⁻²), f is the fraction of this radiation intercepted by the crop (estimated as mentioned above), ε_s is the RUE (set at 1.4 g MJ⁻¹ m⁻²) and ρ is the partitioning index with a value of 1. These variables were also calculated after flowering although assimilate was then being used to produce grain. It was assumed there was no decrease in f or ε_s during the grain-filling period if the conditions were favourable for sorghum (Muchow and Coates, 1986). The thermal time to flowering was calculated and the duration of the grain-filling period set at 411 degree days. In the absence of water stress and with the plant density and arrangement used in this experiment, the potential grain yield of the carly maturing lines, such as Niger Early and Kola El-Mota, was 3.8 t ha⁻¹, increasing in later maturing lines to 6.4 t ha⁻¹. Discrepancies between estimated potential and observed grain yields could

be partly explained when actual values of the partitioning index ρ were used. This was estimated as the ratio of dry grain weight to the gain in above-ground dry matter during grain-filling and had a mean value of 0.71, which was independent of time to flowering (Table 1). In contrast, Herbert *et al.* (1986) found that ρ ranged from 0.87 to 1.26 (mean 1.02) in hybrids that flowered in 57–64 DAS. They suggested that these high values of ρ contributed to the high grain yields (8.2 t ha⁻¹) and harvest indices (0.52) which they observed, pointing out that values of ρ greater than 1 arise from the mobilization of stem reserves during grain filling. Increasing the value of ρ from 0.71 to 1.10 would increase the yields of landraces, such as Gaya Early, from 3.4 to 5.3 t ha⁻¹ and grain yields of this size have been recorded in advanced hybrid yield trials planted in neighbouring fields (ICRISAT, 1990). These hybrids were planted at a higher population of 10.8 plants m⁻² and had a mean time to flowering of 64 days.

A decrease in light interception of about 20% was observed during the grainfilling period in a subsequent experiment with landraces (D. J. Flower, unpublished). A model using the average value of ρ (0.71), and a 20% decrease in light interception during the grain-filling period gave close agreement between observed and calculated values of grain yield for lines flowering within 80 DAS, though later flowering lines suffered from moisture stress (Fig. 3c). The RUE of sorghum, as with other crops, decreases under moisture stress conditions (Flower *et al.*, 1990). Good agreement between observed and fitted data of grain yields was also found by Sinclair and Ludlow (1986) when RUE was held constant until extractable soil moisture contents were less than 30%, and then reduced in proportion to remaining extractable soil moisture and the transpiration rate.

As with grain yield, there was close agreement between the calculated and observed harvest indices for landraces which flowered within 80 DAS; thereafter, water stress reduced harvest indices. The extent of the reduction could be estimated if the effect of water stress on RUE was taken into account. It was not found necessary to alter the value of ρ or f as pronounced wilting was not observed.

Regional agronomic implications

The results from Bagauda in 1990 are supported by the KNARDA trial results in 1986, especially those from the nearby village of Kadawa. The shape of the relation between time to flowering and grain yield was similar at both sites, with the lines that flowered in 80 days giving the highest yields (Figs 3c and 4). The larger grain yields of short duration lines at Bagauda were a direct consequence of a higher plant density and fertilizer level.

Similar results were obtained at Katsina, Mallamadori and Kaffin Maiyaki (KNARDA, 1986). These locations are within 150 km of Bagauda but received different amounts of rainfall, though solar radiation, temperature, humidity and evaporation rates were similar. The soils at Katsina (90 cm in depth) and Mallamadori (more than 150 cm in depth) are Entisols with a water holding capacity of about 125–160 mm (Payne *et al.*, 1991).



Fig. 4. Relation between grain yields and time to flowering at (a) Kaflin Maiyaki, 751 mm rainfall, (b) Kadawa, 700 mm, (c) Katsina, 500 mm, and (d) Mallamadori, 336 mm, in 1986.

Grain yields from all these trials were highly site-specific with a large genotype \times environment interaction. The order of flowering was maintained across sites over this small range of latitudes and planting dates (KNARDA, unpublished data). Maximum grain yields varied from 2.25 t ha⁻¹ at Mallamadori to 3.2 t ha⁻¹ at Katsina (Fig. 4). Because of the low plant population, 5 plants m⁻², early maturing material did not yield more than 2.2 t ha⁻¹ at any of the locations. The time to flowering depended primarily on the length of the rainy period and the amount of rain received and was greatest at Kaffin Maiyaki and least at Mallamadori. Despite these differences, the similarity of the relation between grain yield and time to flowering at all the sites suggests that the data from Bagauda, if correctly interpreted, can have relevance throughout the region. These data also highlight the importance of the soil water balance and the length of the growing period as major determinants of production in the region.

Potential for improved productivity

The productivity of sorghum-based systems may be improved if the biological, physical and socio-economic constraints to production of the present cropping systems in the region can be identified. To explore the opportunities for sustainable increases in production it will be necessary to describe the features of the sorghum landraces. Although a broad spectrum of landraces is available in the Sudano-Sahelian region, their possible adaptation requires knowledge of their thermal time to flowering (a function of response to daylength) and partitioning indices, since many of their other attributes are relatively stable. These include thermal time for the duration of grain filling, light-extinction coefficient and lightuse efficiency. With good management, selected landraces from the region can yield more than $3.5 \text{ t} \text{ ha}^{-1}$. This study has shown that there is variability in the partitioning index among the landraces and that it is well below that measured in hybrids. Direct selection for ρ would thus be one way to increase yields in the region in a manner acceptable to farmers. This need not be at the expense of fodder production if the amount of 'lost time' can be reduced. As cultivars with a range of flowering dates, but similar physiological attributes, are available, farmers can select those best adapted to the length of the growing season in their locality.

Acknowledgements. The study was supported by ICRISAT (West African Sorghum Improvement Program) Nigeria, and a grant from the Italian government. The study was made possible by the competent technical assistance of A. Ekeke, A. Sodangi, I. Danja and I. Shaibu. This paper is dedicated to the endurance of my wife K. M. Greer-Flower.

REFERENCES

- Carr, S. J. (1989). Technology for small-scale farmers in sub-Saharan Africa. World Bank Technical Paper 109.
- Curtis, D. L. (1967). The races of sorghum in Nigeria: their distribution and relative importance. *Experimental Agriculture* 3:275-286.
- Curtis, D. L. (1968). The relation between the date of heading of Nigerian sorghum and the duration of the growing season. *Experimental Agriculture* 4:215–225.
- FAO (1993). 1993 FAO Production Yearbook 44:85. Rome: FAO.
- Flower, D. J., Peacock, J. M. & Usha Rani, A. (1990). Influence of osmotic adjustment on growth, stomatal conductance and light interception of contrasting sorghum lines in a harsh environment. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 17:91–105.
- Goudriaan, J. & Monteith, J. L. (1990). A mathematical function for crop growth based on light interception and leaf area expansion. *Annals of Botany* 66:695-701.
- Hammer, G. L., Vanderlip, R. L., Gibson, G., Wade, L. J., Henzell, R. G., Younger, D. R., Warren, J. & Dale, A. B. (1989). Genotype-by-environment interaction in grain sorghum. II. Effects of temperature and photoperiod on ontogeny. *Crop Science* 29:376–384.
- Herbert, S. W., Fukai, S., Scarle, C., Maharjan, B. B. & Hermus, R. C. (1986). Variation in grain yield among sorghum hybrids sown in spring and summer. Proceedings of the 1st. Australian Sorghum Conference, Queensland Agricultural College, Lawes, Australia, 4-6 February, 1986. Lawes, Australia: Queensland Agricultural College.
- Heslchurst, M. R. & Wilson, G. L. (1986). The influence of plant population on canopy structure, grain yield and yield components for a range of cultivars. Proceedings of the 1st. Australian Sorghum Conference, Queensland Agricultural College, Lawes, Australia, 4-6 February, 1986. Lawes, Australia: Queensland Agricultural College.
- ICRISAT (1990). ICRISAT West African Programs Annual Report 1989. Niamey, Niger: ICRISAT Sahelian Center.
- IAR (1988). Detailed Soil and Vegetational Surveys of Proposed Research Farms at Bagauda and Kadawa, Kano State. Zaria, Nigeria: Institute for Agricultural Research.
- KNARDA (1986). Agricultural Adaptive Research in 1986: Rainfed. Kano, Nigeria: Kano State Agricultural and Rural Development Authority.

- Kowal, J. M. & Knabe, D. T. (1973). An Agroclimatological Atlas of the Northern States of Nigeria. Zaria, Nigeria: Ahmadu Bello University Press.
- Matthews, R. B., Azam Ali, S. N. & Peacock, J. M. (1990). Response of four sorghum lines to mid-season drought. II. Leaf characteristics. *Field Crops Research* 25:297–308.
- Mengesha, M., Appa Rao, S. & Prasada Rao, K. E. (1991). Germplasm resources for the improvement of sorghum and millet in sub-Saharan Africa. SAFGRAD, Inter-network Conference on Food Grain Improvement, Niamey, Niger, 8-14 March, 1991.
- Muchow, R. C. (1990). The effect of high temperature on the rate and duration of grain growth in fieldgrown Sorghum bicolor (L.) Monech. Australian Journal Agricultural Research 41:329-337.
- Muchow, R. C. & Coates, D. B. (1986). An analysis of the environmental limitation to yield of irrigated grain sorghum during the dry season in tropical Australia using a radiation interception model. *Australian Journal Agricultural Research* 37:135-148.
- Natarajan, M. & Willey, R. W. (1980). Sorghum-pigeon pea intercropping and the effects of plant population density. 2. Resource use. *Journal of Agricultural Science* 95:59-65.
- Payne, W. A., Lascano, R. J. & Wendt, C. W. (1991). Annual soil water balance of cropped and fallowed millet fields in Niger. In Soil Water Balance in the Sudano-Sahelian Zone (Proceedings of an International Workshop, Niamey, Niger, February 1991) IAHS Publication 199. Wallingford, UK: IAHS Press, Institute of Hydrology.
- Prasada Rao, K. E., Obilana, A. T. & Mengesha, M. H. (1985). Collection of kaura, farafara and guineense sorghum in northern Nigeria. *Journal d'Agriculture Traditionelle et de Botanique Appliquee* 32:73--81.
- Sinclair, T. R. & Ludlow, M. M. (1986). Influence of soil water supply on water loss of four tropical grain legumes. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology 13:329-341.
- Squire, G. R. (1990). The Physiology of Tropical Crop Production. Wallingford, UK: CAB International.
- van Donk, S. J., Sivakumar, M. V. K. & Kanemasu, E. T. (1988). Estimation of Penman Potential Evaporation for the West African Sahel with an IBM PC. Agricultural Experimental Station, Kansas State University Department Report. Manhattan, Kansas, USA: Kansas State University.