

be optimum and with a later sowing higher populations may be optimum; this would require experimental validation for this site. Nevertheless, the present results show that promising yield levels of sole-cropped short-duration pigeonpea can be obtained in this region provided an appropriate plant population is used.

## Reference

**Chauhan, Y.S.** 1990. Pigeonpea: Optimum agronomic management. Pages 257–278 in *The Pigeonpea* (Nene, Y.L., Hall, S.D., and Sheila, V.K., eds.). Wallingford, Oxon, UK: CAB International.

## Pathology

### Newly Developed Extra-Short-Duration and Short-Duration Pigeonpea Lines with Combined Resistance to Wilt and Sterility Mosaic, ICRISAT Asia Center

N B Singh, M V Reddy, A Nageswara Rao, T N Raju, Laxman Singh, and S C Gupta (ICRISAT Asia Center)

Wilt and sterility mosaic, are two of the major diseases that affect pigeonpea in South and Southeast Asia. Extra-short-duration and short-duration pigeonpea lines with combined resistance to both diseases have been developed at ICRISAT (Table 1). The development of

**Table 1. Sterility mosaic (SM) and wilt resistant extra-short-duration and short-duration pigeonpea lines developed at ICRISAT Asia Center, Patancheru, India.**

ICPL	Wilt (%) (3 yr mean) <sup>1</sup>	Sterility mosaic	Growth habit	100-seed mass (g)	Seed color	Days to flower	Dry matter (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Plant height (cm)
90008	23.0	9.8	DT	9.5	B	68	119	128
90014	24.0	6.7	DT	9.5	C	69	119	116
90002	26.0	7.6	DT	9.1	C	70	117	126
87105	15.8	3.3	DT	12.5	C	70	-	125
90016	21.7	11.9	DT	11.9	LB	75	126	155
90018	5.0	8.0	DT	12.1	C	75	127	130
91045	18.3	13.0	NDT	9.0	B	77	128	165
93180	16.8	4.7	DT	11.1	B	79	129	133
93185	15.8	1.6	NDT	9.1	B	80	130	143
90026	14.1	7.9	DT	14.4	C	81	129	146
90027	18.0	8.3	DT	12.6	C	82	132	142
90028	19.6	5.0	DT	13.9	LB	83	135	142
93179	8.4	4.5	DT	10.1	C	83	130	160
93177	7.1	1.2	DT	10.0	C	84	133	160
90029	9.3	7.2	DT	14.7	DB	85	132	147
93176	7.9	10.4	DT	10.8	C	85	135	138
93175	3.4	4.1	DT	9.6	C	86	135	150
93178	15.5	10.0	DT	9.9	B	87	137	145
93181	5.5	16.7	NDT	9.4	C	87	138	190
93183	15.4	15.3	NDT	14.3	C	88	140	175
93186	17.0	3.9	NDT	10.2	B	89	140	200
93182	14.4	8.0	NDT	8.5	C	90	140	173
93184	19.0	16.2	NDT	11.3	B	91	142	175
83024	14.3	7.8	DT	18.3	DB	100	156	130
UPAS 120	38.8	98.0	NDT	7.0	B	64	-	150
ICPL 87	7.1	57.9	DT	10.1	B	70	-	120
Controls								
ICP 2376 (Wilt)	100.0	0.0						
ICP 8863 (SM)	0.0	100.0						

1. Mean of 1992–94 seasons' data from wilt and sterility mosaic disease nursery

DT = Determinate; NDT = Nondeterminate; DB = Dark brown; C = Cream; B = Brown; LB = Light brown.

these lines is significant as resistance was not previously available in the short-duration lines. In the first cycle of breeding, resistance was transferred from medium- and long-duration resistance sources including ICP 7035, ICP 7220, ICP 7867, ICP 8862, and ICP 11304. In the second cycle of breeding, short-duration resistant sources were used (these included ICPL 267, ICPL 87, ICPL 179, ICPL 94, ICPL 151, ICPL 186, and ICPL 288). The original sources of short-duration were the varieties Prabhat and UPAS 120. Information on growth habit, 100-seed mass, seed color, days to flower, dry matter, and plant height is also given in Table 1. Data on two short-duration lines, ICPL 87 and UPAS 120, and two known susceptible lines, ICP 2376 (wilt) and ICP 8863 (sterility mosaic) is given for comparison. Small quantities of seed (100–200 g) of these lines can be obtained from ICRISAT. It will be useful to evaluate these lines in disease-endemic areas. This should facilitate transfer of the lines to farmers' fields.

## Field Evaluation of Short-, Medium-, and Long-Duration Pigeonpeas Against Wilt in Karnataka, India, 1985–94

V B Bidari, M Dayanand, C R Conda, J R Patil, and T Madhav Rao (University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad, Karnataka; Agricultural Research Station, Gulbarga 585 101, Karnataka, India)

Among the diseases that affect pigeonpea, fusarium wilt is the most destructive disease of pigeonpea in rainfed and irrigated areas of Karnataka (Bidari 1996). A total of 348 genotypes, obtained from Indian Institute of Pulses Research, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India, and ICRISAT, Patancheru, India, during the past ten years (1985–94), were tested in a wilt sick garden maintained at the Agricultural Research Station, Gulbarga, Karnataka, India.

The test lines were sown in a row 5-m long at 50 × 20 cm spacing with a row of wilt susceptible control, ICP 2376 after every two test lines. The plant stand was recorded after emergence and counts were made for diseased and healthy plants at fortnightly intervals, and finally at the time of maturity of the crop. Among long-duration genotypes (90) only DPPA 85-5 showed less than 10% wilt, and two lines, DPA 92-1 and T 7, showed less than 20% wilt. In the medium-duration types, BSMR 198 and PRG 100 showed less than 10% wilt, and the seven lines which showed less than 20% wilt were, AKT 1, ICPL 227, 8357, 83024, 87119, and

**Table 1. Fusarium-wilt resistance in short-, medium-, and long-duration pigeonpea lines in a wilt sick plot, Gulbarga, Karnataka, India, 1985–94.**

Duration	No. of lines tested	Lines with <10% wilt	Lines with <20% wilt
Long duration	90	DPPA 85-5(1) <sup>1</sup>	DPA 91-1(1) T 7(1)
Medium duration	174	BSMR 198(1) PRG 100(2)	AKT 1(2) ICPL 227(7) ICPL 8357(5) ICPL 83024(5) ICPL 87119(3) ICPL 88047(3) Bhavani Sagar 1(1)
Short duration (100–130 days)	84	-	-

1. Figures in parentheses indicate the number of seasons the lines were tested.

88047, and Bhavani Sagar 1. None of the short-duration lines showed resistance to wilt disease (Table 1).

## Reference

Bidari, V.B. 1996. (In press.) Incidence of pigeonpea wilt and its distribution in Karnataka. Indian Journal of Pulses Research.

## Fungicidal Efficacy of Herbicides Against Soilborne Pathogens of Pigeonpea in Pakistan

S M Iqbal, M A Zahid, and B A Malik (Pulses Programme, National Agricultural Research Centre, Islamabad, Pakistan)

Given the rise in labor costs, herbicides have an increasing importance in modern agriculture. They are usually applied to the soil, either pre- or postemergence. They may also affect nontarget soilborne pathogens (Katan and Eshel 1977). The present investigation was undertaken to evaluate the fungitoxic effects of herbicides on *Macrophomina phaseolina* (stem canker), *Sclerotinia*