Impact of Pigeonpea Research in Enhancing Sugarcane Production in Thailand

Somboon Janboonme, Julee Tippayaruk, Pratapchai Dhummarangsi, and MCS Bantilan

International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru 502324, Andhra Pradesh, India

Citation : Janboonme S, Tippayaruk J, Dhummarangsi P and Bantilan MCS, (2007) Impact of Pigeonpea Research in Enhancing Sugarcane Production in Thailand. Journal of SAT Agricultural Research 3(1)

Background

A study was undertaken by the Thailand Department of Agriculture in collaboration with the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT) to assess the economic impact of pigeonpea research and development projects in Thailand. In particular, the study aimed to quantify the contribution of pigeonpea as a green manuring crop in Khon Kaen and Udon Thani provinces. The study was coordinated with other assessment projects under way at Kasetsart University, Bangkok, and funded by the Australian Centre for International Agricultural Research (ACIAR).

Research on pigeonpea was conducted under two projects, PN 8201 Pigeonpea improvement and PN 8567 Production System for Short-duration Pigeonpea, started in 1984 in Thailand to improve the yield potential and shorten the number of days to maturity of the traditional long-duration pigeonpea varieties. Results of research experiments undertaken under these two projects by the Land Development Department (LDD) and Chiang Mai University (CMU) of Thailand showed that pigeonpea has many advantages over other legumes. It has the ability to grow on low fertility soil; and it also enhances soil fertility through nitrogen fixation. In northeastern Thailand, where pigeonpea grain production remains insignificant, the research was able to demonstrate the important traits of

pigeonpea such as drought tolerance and its potential use as green manure, which are essential attributes in the area.

PN 8201 and PN 8567 were part of the Collaborative Research Overseas Programs funded by ACIAR which commenced in 1982 in Fiji, Indonesia, and Thailand, with active involvement of the Thailand Department of Agriculture, ICRISAT. and the Universitv of Queensland. In Thailand, the project was implemented by the Field Crops Research Institute (FCRI), Department of Agriculture, Kasetsart University, and Prince of Songkhla University. The project aimed at increasing the adaptation of higher yielding shortduration pigeonpea varieties to replace the traditional long duration varieties.

Funding from ACIAR ended in 1989 but FCRI continued to collaborate with ICRISAT on conducting experimental trials to evaluate promising materials for higher grain yields and insect and disease resistance, and identify important cultural practices including recommended fertilizer application rates.

Research products were developed in the early 90s (e.g., up to 500 kg seed of well adapted materials), and these were provided to LDD, CMU, some agencies, and farmers for testing. Farmers showed preference for pigeonpea as a green manure crop rather than as a grain for cash sale, as the pigeonpea pods suffered serious damage from insects during the trials, resulting in low grain yield.

Starting in 1995, FCRI evaluated several promising improved varieties for use as a green manure crop and produced a total of 1 tonne of seed of two varieties ICPL 270 and ICPL 304 both are appropriate for green manuring. A portion of this seed was provided to farmers in Udon Thani and Khon Kaen provinces, mostly sugarcane growers and seed producers. Seed requirements increased significantly as farmers became convinced of the potential of the crop for green manuring.

Research on pigeonpea in Thailand

Research on pigeonpea in Thailand was begun in 1984. The main implementing agency was the Field Crops Research Institute, Department of Agriculture. Most field experiments were conducted at Khon Kaen Field Crops Research Center.

The research involved implementation of a series of research activities under projects on firstly, pigeonpea improvement and secondly, production of early pigeonpea. The research activities were classified under five categories: (1) varietal tests (yield trials), (2) field tests, (3) population density and sowing time, (4) fertilizer rates, and (5) climatic adaptation. The number of subprojects and trials in each category are listed in Table 1. The performance of entries evaluated from 1984 to 1995 under the variety improvement project is shown in Table 2a and that of entries under the resource management project in Table 2b.

Table 1. Number of subprojects and trials within the different categories of pigeonpea research activities conducted in Thailand.

	Number of subprojects	Number of trials
Variety testing and improvement	19	31
Short-maturing varieties	12	21
Long-maturing varieties	1	1
Pest resistance	2	2
Vegetable pigeonpea	1	3
For green manuring	3	4
Field test	4	7
Density and sowing time	10	10
Fertilizer	2	2
Environmental adaptation	3	3
Other	3	3
Total	41	56

Table 2a. The performance of pigeonpea varieties evaluated under the variety improvement project, 1984-1995.

Subprojects	Number of trials/ location	Sowing date	Varieties	Grain yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Dry matter yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Harvesting (days after sowing)		
Variety Tes	Variety Testing Genotypic Evaluation of Pigeonnes in the Northeast, 1984							
	1/Khon Kaen		QPL 58 ICPL 155	3.90 3.80		124		

 Pigeonpea Preliminary Yield Trial (group I) 1987 							
Group 1	l/Khon Kaen		ICPL 86008 ICPL 83009 QPL 1070	2.66 2.31 2.27		125-138	
Group 2	1/Khon Kaen		ICPL 8324	2.40		135	
. Pigeonpea	Standard Yield Trial	, 1987					
	4/ Mean of 4		QPL 637	1.20		135	
	locations						
. Pigeonpea	a Regional Yield Trial	, 1988					
	4/ Mean of 4		QPL 637	2.00			
	locations						
: Pigeonpea	Locational Yield Tri	al, 1988 (early	and medium)				
	1/Khon Kaen		ICPL 270	1.85			
			ICPL 151	1.40			
. Pigeonpea	Preliminary Yield Tr	ial from ICRI	SAT, 1991				
Group 1	1/Khon Kaen	29 Jul	ICPL 87101	3.10	8.2	120-130	
			ICPL 88027	2.90	9.3	120-130	
			ICPL 86005	2.89	6.8	120-130	
Group 2	1/Khon Kaen	29 Jul	ICPL 88009	2.60	6.1	120-130	
D:	T.:-1 - A Pi 1001		ICPL 88015	2.50	0.4	120-130	
: Figeonpea	1/When Ween	7.D	ICDI 97100	1.77	4.2	112	
	1/Khon Kaen	/ Dec	ICPL 8/109	1.77	4.2	115	
. Pigeonnes	Vield Trial 1992		ICFL 0002/	1.72	5.0	115	
Group 1	1/Khon Kaen	18 Sep	ICPI 87105	3 10		110	
Group 1	1/1000 100cm	10 ocp	ICPL 85120	3.17		110	
			ICPL 90013	3 11		110	
			ICPL 88027	3.10		110	
Group 2	l/Khon Kaen	18 Sep	ICPL 88017	2.94		110	
-		-	ICPL 90012	2.86		110	
. Pigeonpea	a Yield Trial, 1993 (sh	ort-duration, a	and indeterminat	te)			
	1/Khon Kaen	6 Jul	ICPL 90053	3.58		134	
	l Ta Pra	6 Jul	ICPL 90046	3.57		134	
5 Extra Sho	rt-duration Pigeonpea	Yield Trial, 1	994 (extra short	-duration, and i	ndeterminate)		
Group 1	l/Khon Kaen	13 Jul	ICPL 92047	2.90	12.2	124	
			ICPL 92042	2.56	8.7	124	
Group 2	1/Khon Kaen	13 Jul	UPAS 120	2.24	7.7	124	
			ICPL 88034	2.14	9.2	124	
Extra Sho	rt-duration Pigeonpea	Yield Trial, 1	995 (determinat	e)	10.0	110	
Group 1	1/Khon Kaen	22 Jun 22 Jun	ICPL 94020	2.55	10.0	118	
Group 2	1/Khon Kaen	22 Jun Sanal Vial 3 Th	ICPL 94015	2.34	10.1	115	
5 Short-dura	1/When Press	lonal field II	ICDI 0100	2.55	10.8	142	
	1/Khon Kaen	10 Jun	ICPL 8102	2.33	0.7	145	
			ICLT 9903	2.01	2.1	140	

-3-

Subprojects	Number of trials/ location	Sowing date	Varieties	Grain yield (t ha' ⁱ)	Dry matter yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Harvesting (days after sowing)
	1/Roi-et	13 Jun	ICPL 8102	2.47	15.0	197 210
			ICPL 8863	2.20	13.2	197 210
	1/Loei	7 May	ICPL 8863	2.39		171 202
		2	ICPL 8102	2.38		171 202
	3/Mean		ICPL 8102	2.47	12.9	
			ICPL 8863	2.30	11.4	
			ICPL 151	1.54	5.9	
			(control)			
. Pigeonpea	a Preliminary Yield	Trial for Green	n Manure, 1995			
	2/Mean	19 May	ICPL 93001	3.43	13.4	210
		10 Jun	ICPL 95004	3.19	13.7	210
			ICPL 304	2.5	12.6	210
Pest Resist	ance					
. Pigeonpe	a Preliminary Yield	Trial, 1987 (no	insect control)			
	1/ Khon Kaen		ICPL 332	2.10		196
			ICPL 265	1.50		196
. Pigeonpea	a Standard Yield Tri	al , 1987 (inse	ect control)			
	1/ Khon Kaen		ICPL 265	1.90		188
			ICPL 332	1.85		188
Vegetable						
. Pigeonpe	a Standard Yield Tri	al, 1987				
	3/Mean		ICP 7035	3.96		107-139

Table 2b. Performance of pigeonpea varieties evaluated under the resource management project, 1984-1995.

Subprojects	Results
Climatic Adapt	ation
Serial Sowing Triz	ıl of Pigeonpea, 1984
_	Photo insensitive: NORMAN, HUNT, QPL 42, 1605, ICPL 1, ICPL 6, ICPL 26, PANT-A 3 and TC-F 6-2-7
	Photo sensitive: ROYES, C 322, BDN 1, ICPL 227, ICPL 265, ICPL 270 and ICPL 304
Field Test	
Pigeonpea Field T	est, 1988
	QPL 42, 2 locations, grain yield =0.81 t ha ⁻¹ , harvesting 130 days and 170 days, farm cost=15,708 babt ha ⁻¹ insect control cost=33% of total farm cost
Pigeonpea Field T	est. 1989
5 .	QPL 42, 2 locations, grain yield =1.97 t ha ⁻¹ , harvesting 133 days, farm cost=15 348 baht ha ⁻¹ , insect control cost=34% of total farm cost
Pigeonpea Field T	est. 1990
	ICPL 83009, 2 locations, grain yield =1.33 t ha ⁻¹ , harvesting 131 days, farm cost=14 580 baht ha ⁻¹ , insect control cost=30% of total farm cost
Population Dens	ity and Sowing Time
The Effects of Gend	otypes and Population Density on the Productivity of Pigeonpea (short-duration varieties), 1984
	Optimum density - Hunt 200 000 plant ha ⁻¹ , harvesting 94-118 days, 1.96 t ha ⁻¹
	 412, 200 000 plant ha⁻¹, harvesting 100-124 days, 3.2 t ha⁻¹
The Rec. A second	the second Development of the second se

The Effects of Genotypes and Population Density on the Productivity of Pigeonpea (photosensitive varieties), 1984 Optimum density - ROYES 100 000-200 000 plant ha-1, harvesting 145-153 days,

2.1-2.5 t ha⁻¹

- ICPL 295 100 000 plant ha-1, harvesting 145-153 days, 3.25 t ha-1

Subprojects	Results
The Effects of Dif	ferent Spacing on the Productivity of Pigeonnea, 1985
	Optimum density - HUNT 160 000-330 000 plant ha ⁻¹ , harvesting 110 days, 0.78-0.99 t ha ⁻¹
	 - QPL 42 160 000-330 000 plant ha⁻¹, harvesting 116 days, 1.0-1.26 t ha⁻¹
	 ROYES 100 000-200 000 plant ha⁻¹, harvesting 165 days, 0.9-1.04 t ha⁻¹
	 ICPL 265 100 000-200 000 plant ha⁻¹, harvesting 169 days, 1.3-1.5 t ha⁻¹
Study on the Optin	num Spacing of Pigeonpea ICPL 270, Effect on the Growth and Yield, 1988
	Optimum density - ICPL 270 60 000-100 000 plant ha ⁻¹ , harvesting 173 days, mean 2.25 t ha ⁻¹
	- ICPL 270 60 000 plant ha ⁻¹ (green manure use),
C	20.6 r dry matter na -
Genotype × Sown	In in the second s
	Varieties (QPL 17, QPL 42, QPL 150, QPL 58 and HON1)
	Optimum sowing date – northeastern Inaliand: mid July
T	- northern Thailand: Late June
Fertilizers	
The Effects of Pho	sphorus and Potassium Fertilizers on the Growth and Yield of Pigeonpea QPL 42, 1987
	Grain yields increased from 1.56 t ha ⁻¹ with P 37 up to 1.83 t ha ⁻¹ when applied
	with P 75. Increasing K increased seed size
Effects of Differer	t Rates of P and K Fertilizers on the Growth and Yield of Pigeonpea ICPL 83024, 1988
	Grain yields increased from 1.18 t ha ⁻¹ without P application up to 1.57 t ha ⁻¹
	when applied with P 37 kg ha ⁻¹ . Increasing K increased seed size

25 baht = \$US 1.

Materials and methods

Information used in this assessment was gathered from both primary and references) secondary (see data sources. Primary data were obtained reconnaissance through survevs undertaken during 1998. Figure 1 shows the areas in which the surveys were conducted. Data sets on sugarcane farmers practices, farm cost structure, adoption of green manuring and seed distributions were generated from these surveys. The first survey was conducted in November 1998 in the upper regions of northeast Thailand, where most of the work on pigeonpea was conducted. The interviews were conducted survev pigeonpea farmers among and respondents from Khon Kaen Field Crops Research Center (KKFCRC), Office of Land Development, Region 5, Khon Kaen (LDD5), Sugarcane Pest Control Center, Region 5, Udon Thani (SPCC5), and Kumpawapee Sugar Refinery, Udon Thani.

Data collected from this survey showed limited use of the green pods of pigeonpea. No data was obtained on dry seed use. Results of the survey also showed a significant increase in use of pigeonpea for green manuring in sugarcane in the region.

A follow-up survey was undertaken in December 1998, and focused on green manuring of pigeonpea in sugarcane in two districts of Udon Thani and one district of Khon Kaen province. A random sample of farmers who were members of the Sugarcane Planters Association, Simultaneously. were interviewed. another survey was also conducted in Chiang Mai. Organizations interviewed included the Office of Extension and Cooperatives, Udon Thani; Office of Extension, Udon Thani; Sugarcane Center (NE), Udon Thani; Seed Center, Thani; Office Udon of Land Development, Region 5, Khon Kaen (LDD5); Office of Land Development, Region 6, Chiang Mai (LDD6);

Kumpawapee Sugar Refinery, Udon Thani; Ream Udom Sugar Refinery, Udon Thani; Northeast Sugarcane Planters Association, Udon Thani; Chiang Mai Field Crops Research Center, Chiang Mai; and Multiple Cropping Center, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai.

Analysis of dimensions of impact in northeastern and northern Thailand

The usefulness of pigeonpea in the farming systems of Thailand is in crop rotation and green manuring in sugarcane-producing land holdings. It is not significant for its dry seeds as green vegetable. Green manuring of pigeonpea in sugarcane cropping systems was found common in the provinces of Khon Kaen and Udon Thani in the upper regions of northeastern Thailand.

Sugarcane is one of the most important crops in the northeast regions of Thailand. It occupies the third largest area grown to field crops, following cassava and corn (Table 3). The growth rate of sugarcane production in Khon Kaen and Udon Thani is quite high. The area grown to sugarcane more than doubled from 53 404 ha in 1985 to 119 213 ha in 1996 and production increased by more than three times from 2.14 million tonnes in 1985 to 7.5 million tonnes in 1996.

Most of the sugarcane farmers in Udon Thani and Khon Kaen normally replant sugarcane after harvesting the first ratoon. Yields of the second and subsequent ratoons are low due to a low percentage of shoot regeneration. After harvesting the first ratooned crop, farmers usually discard the stubble. In sugarcane is mainly this region, harvested from October to March and the land left fallow until the end of the rainy season (October). Pigeonpea can be planted at the beginning of the rainy season (May) and plowed down after four months in August (Figure 2), after which it is left for at least a month to decompose before the sowing of

sugarcane, which is considered the main crop.

The survey data from northeastern Thailand indicates that there were two categories of people who adopted pigeonpea: (1) large-holder farmers who allocated more than 10 ha for sugarcane production; and (2) small-holder farmers, who allocated less than 10 ha for sugarcane production. Large-holder farmers comprised 27% of cane farmers in northeast Thailand (Pramanee et al., 1997), and achieved a higher rate of adoption of pigeonpea as a green manure than small-holder farmers. Cane farmers who owned more than 200 ha of sugarcane land were usually found to grow pigeonpea for green manure as well as for seed multiplication.

Extra seed is sold mainly to nearby farmers. Small-holder farmers prefer to buy seed every year. Although some seed producers multiply pigeonpea mainly for seed sale, the seed has remained insufficient for the seed buyers. The Khon Kaen Field Crops Research Center produces and distributes foundation seeds on the average 1 tonne of seed a year.

The surveys also revealed that farmers of Chiang Mai intercrop pigeonpea with Leucaena spp. as a hedgerow to reduce soil erosion in sloping lands. The Land Development Department Region 6 (LDD6) produces 10 tonnes pigeonpea seed per year in an area of about 800 ha to supply farmers who grow it as hedgerow. The multiple cropping center Chiang Mai University, at after evaluating a number of different legume crops, also established that pigeonpea is the only legume that could grow well and improve the soil nutrients of the poor soils in the area (high acidity and low phosphorus levels). This finding is very significant considering that farmers in the highlands who cultivate upland rice obtain only 1.2 t ha-1 even after leaving their land fallow for a year.



Figure1. Map showing the survey area in northeastern Thailand.

Table 3. Area (ha) planted to the most important field crops in northeastern Thailand compar	red
with the national area, 1996.	

	Northeast	Country	
Cassava	752 531	1 228 144	
Corn	332 212	1 263 400	
Sugarcane	310 520	985 004	
Kenaf	67 104	70 047	
Source: Center for Agricultural Statistics, 199	06.		

Measuring Impact

Adoption

The analysis of adoption and impact of pigeonpeas in northeastern Thailand

focused on benefits derived from green manuring for sugarcane production. In this case, the 119 000 ha of sugarcane



Figure 2. Average rainfall and corresponding cropping patterns of sugarcane and pigeonpea in Khon Kaen, 1988-97.



Figure 3. Adoption of green manuring pigeonpea in Khon Kaen and Udon Thani, 1984-2008.

-8-

	Dry mass (125 days) (kg ha ⁻¹)	Ν	P (kg ha ⁻¹)	К
Stem	8256	33.02	8.26	42.11
Leaf	2862	89.87	8.01	30.34
Root	2606	14.85	2.34	13.81
Leaf (fall)	956	11.76	0.96	3.13
Total	14680	149.50	19.58	89.39
Source: Paiboon Sirisangtagoon, 1996.				

Table 4. Nutrient compositions (kg ha⁻¹) and dry matter masses of pigeonpea sown in early rainy season, Khon Kaen, Thailand, 1994.

which lies in Khon Kaen and Udon Thani was targeted for this analysis. The estimated area of adoption was 850 ha (0.7% of study area) in 1990. The adoption from 1996 was estimated based on two data sources; (1) seed distribution, assuming an average seed rate of 25 kg ha-1 and (2) the area of large-holder farmers producing seed, covering an area of 4400 ha which is 3.7% of the study area. Considering the seed use of 120 tonnes per year during 1996-1998 and the quantity of seed required, excess demand is clear. It is expected that the ceiling level of adoption will reach 15% of the study area in the year 2004; about 18 000 ha which requires 450 tonnes of seed (see Figure 3).

Table 5. Nutrient compositions (kg ha⁻¹) and dry matter masses (kg ha⁻¹) of pigeonpea sown in late rainy season, Khon Kaen, Thailand, 1994.

Dry mass (125 days)	IN	Р	K
(kg ha ⁻¹)		(kg ha ⁻¹)	
2332	32.46	0.86	35.9
471	19.07	0.26	7.15
592	8.33	0.38	8.98
3395	59.86	1.50	52.03
	(kg ha ⁻¹) 2332 471 592 3395	(kg ha ⁻¹) 2332 32.46 471 19.07 592 8.33 3395 59.86	(kg ha ⁻¹) (kg ha ⁻¹) 2332 32.46 0.86 471 19.07 0.26 592 8.33 0.38 3395 59.86 1.50

Table 6. Yield of sugarcane (t ha ⁻¹) at Khon Kaen, 1994.							
Treatment	Yield (t ha-1)	Adjusted yield (t ha-1)					
1. No fertilizer application	50.62 b ²	40.50					
 15-15-15 NPK¹ 	74.25 a	59.40					
Green manuring pigeonpea	77.25 a	61.80					
4. 2+3	85.93 a	68.74					

1. 15-15-15 NPK at a rate of 312 kg ha⁴.

Numbers followed by the same letter are not statistically significantly different at P<0.05.

Source: Paiboon Sirisangtagoon, 1996.

Cost Reduction

Nutrient compositions and biomass of long-duration pigeonpea varieties are shown in Tables 4 and 5. Data presented in Table 6 show that green manuring pigeonpea has the potential to reduce the use of chemical fertilizer in sugarcane production. Pigeonpea can substitute for 15-15-15 NPK fertilizer at the rate of 312.5 kg ha-1. With green manuring, sugarcane yields were 77.25 t ha-1, which was 53% higher than when no fertilizer was applied. Data (Table 6) derived from on-station trials indicated a yield adjustment rate of 20%; these adjusted yields may be used to reckon yield rates on-farm.

Tables 7 and 8 present a cost analysis sugarcane production using for pigeonpea green manuring based on input data from on-farm surveys and output data given in Table 6. A comparison was made of yield and input use among sugarcane farmers with and without pigeonpea green manuring. Data collected from the on-farm surveys show that all sugarcane farmers in the study area applied chemical fertilizer. Most of them applied 15-15-15 NPK chemical fertilizer (Pramanee 1997). Two cases were considered for the cost analysis.

Case 1: Comparison of cane farmers who used green manuring pigeonpea compared with cane farmers who did not use green manuring pigeonpea; both groups applied fertilizer (15-15-15 NPK) at the rate of 312.5 kg ha-1. Case 2: Comparison of cane farmers who used green manuring pigeonpea and did not apply fertilizer and cane farmers who did not use green manuring pigeonpea and applied fertilizer (15-15-15 NPK) at the rate of 312.5 kg ha-1.

The cost analysis indicated that using green manuring pigeonpea reduced the unit cost of sugarcane production by 4.3% or 20.65 baht per tonne of sugarcane (case 1) and by 8.4% or 39.12 baht per tonne of

Research and extension costs and returns

sugarcane (case 2).

Data on costs of pigeonpea research were derived based on salaries of members of the research team, and the proportion of each scientists time spent on pigeonpea research. The cost of workers was estimated from annual budgets spent on pigeonpea. Extension costs (covering seed multiplication and distribution) were also included (see Tables 9 and 10).

			Technology before research Fertilizer ¹		Technology after research Fertilizer + pigeonpea ²	
	Unit	Unit price (baht)	Quantity	Cost (baht)	Quantity	Cost (baht)
Fixed Costs ³ Land - rental costs				3375.00		3375.00
Variable Costs ³ Labor costs						
Sowing	ha	3172.00	1.00	3172.00	1.00	3172.00
Broadcast (pigeonpea)	days	100.00	0.00	0.00	1.56	156.00
Weeding	days	100.00	6.25	625.00	6.25	625.00
Pesticide application	days	180.00	2.08	374.40	2.08	374.40
Fertilizer application	days	100.00	3.13	312.50	3.13	312.50
Harvesting costs	tonne	86.70	59.39	5149.11	68.73	5958.89
Tractor						
Land preparation	no. times	750.00	2.00	1500.00	2.00	1500.00
Eradication of the old stool	no. times	750.00	1.00	750.00	1.00	750.00
Plow down (pigeonpea)	no. times	750.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	750.00
Seed costs (sugarcane)	tonne	600.00	7.18	4308.00	7.18	4308.00
Seed costs (pigeonpea)	kg	25.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	625.00
Fertilizer costs						
15-15-15	kg	9.20	312.50	2875.00	312.50	2875.00
Pesticides						
Post-emergence (2 times)	kg	117.50	12.50	1468.75	12.50	1468.75
Transportation	tonne	100.00	59.39	5939.00	68.73	6873.00
Total Cost ³				29848.76		33123.54
Total value of Output ⁴	tonne	600.00	59.39	35634.00	68.73	41238.00
Unit Cost	baht tonne ⁻	1		502.59		481.94
Unit Cost Reduction	baht tonne ⁻	1				20.65

Table 7. Cost analysis of research impact of pigeonpea as green manure in producing sugarcane (case 1).

Applied fertilizer 15-15-15 NPK with rate 312.5 kg ha⁴.
 Used green manuring pigeoppea and applied fertilizer 15-15-15 NPK with rate 312.5 kg ha⁴.
 Costs expressed in ha⁴ year ⁴.
 Output expressed in ha⁴ year ⁴.
 Source: On-farm survey by FCRI, DOA, 1998.

			Technology before research Fertilizer ⁱ		Tecl after Pige	nnology research conpea ²
	Unit	Unit price (baht)	Quantity	Cost (baht)	Quantity	Cost (baht)
Fixed Costs ³						
Land - rental costs				3375.00		3375.00
Variable Costs ³						
Labor costs						
Sowing	ha	3172.00	1.00	3172.00	1.00	3172.00
Broadcast (pigeonpea)	days	100.00	0.00	0.00	1.56	156.00
Weeding	days	100.00	6.25	625.00	6.25	625.00
Pesticides application	days	180.00	2.08	374.40	2.08	374.40
Fertilizer application	days	100.00	3.13	312.50	0.00	0.00
Harvesting costs	tonne	86.70	59.39	5149.11	61.80	5358.06
Tractor						
Land preparation	times	750.00	2.00	1500.00	2.00	1500.00
Eradication of the old stoo	l times	750.00	1.00	750.00	1.00	750.00
Plow down (pigeonpea)	times	750.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	750.00
Seed costs (sugarcane)	tonne	600.00	7.18	4308.00	7.18	4308.00
Seed costs (pigeonpea)	kg	25.00	0.00	0.00	25.00	625.00
Fertilizer costs						
15-15-15	kg	9.20	312.50	2875.00	0.00	0.00
Pesticides						
Post-emergence (2 times)	kg	117.50	12.50	1468.75	12.50	1468.75
Transportation	tonne	100.00	59.39	5939.00	61.80	6180.00
Total Cost ³				29848.76		28642.21
Total Value of Output ⁴	tonne	600.00	59.39	35634.00	61.80	37080.00
Unit Cost	baht tonne-l			502.59		463.47
Unit Cost Reduction	baht tonne ⁻¹					39.12

Table 8. Cost analysis of research impact of pigeonpea as green manure in producing sugarcane (case 2).

Notes: 1. Applied fertilizer 15-15-15 NPK with rate 312.5 kg ha⁴. 2. Used green manuring pigeoppea. 3. Costs expressed in ha⁴ year ⁴. 4. Output expressed in ha⁴ year ⁴. Source: On-farm survey by FCRI, DOA, 1998.

				Research c	ost (bab	ıt)			
Year	Salary for scientist	% time	Cost	Salary for research assistance	% time	Cost	Workers	Operating	Research cost
1984	120.000	40	48 000	40.000	60	24.000	80.000	32,000	184.000
1085	120 000	20	24 000	40 000	60	24 000	40.000	16 000	104 000
1986	120 000	20	24 000	40 000	60	24 000	40 000	16 000	104 000
1987	120 000	70	84 000	40 000	60	24 000	140 000	56 000	304 000
1988	180 000	50	90 000	60 000	60	36 000	100 000	40 000	266 000
1989	180 000	10	18 000	60 000	60	36 000	20 000	8 000	82.000
1990	180 000	10	18 000	60 000	60	36 000	20 000	8 000	82.000
1991	180 000	50	90 000	60 000	60	36 000	100 000	40 000	266 000
1992	180 000	20	36 000	60 000	60	36 000	40 000	16 000	128 000
1993	216 000	40	86 400	72 000	60	43 200	80 000	32 000	241 600
1994	216 000	40	86 400	72 000	60	43 200	80 000	32 000	241 600
1995	216 000	40	86 400	72 000	60	43 200	80 000	32 000	241 600
1996	216 000	20	43 200	72 000	60	43 200	40 000	16 000	142 400
1997	216 000	20	43 200	72 000	60	43 200	40 000	16 000	142 400
1998	216 000	20	43 200	72 000	60	43 200	40 000	16 000	142 400
Total	2 460 000	32	777 600	820 000	60	49 2000	900 000	360 000	2 672 000

T 1 1 0						1001 1000
Table 0	Solorr o	nd oneveting	contro of r	nigoonnoo	monomials	1094 1009
Laure y.	Salary a	no operating	COSIS OF I	Digeondea	research.	1204-1220.
		and open many	a server and	Acompony		

Table	10	Extension	(seed	multi	nlication)	and	total	costs	1984-19	800
Table	TO .	LAUGISION	laccu	man	pheauon	anu	totat	costs,	1204-12	//0.

Extension cost (baht)					To	Total cost (baht)		
	KKFCRC			SPCC5		Research Extension		
Year	Tonne^{1}	Baht	Tonne^2	Baht	Total	cost	cost	Total
1984						184 000	184 000	
1985						104 000	104 000	
1986						104 000	104 000	
1987	0.3	12 000			12 000	304 000	12 000	316 000
1988	0.3	12 000			12 000	266 000	12 000	278 000
1989	0.3	12 000			12 000	82 000	12 000	94 000
1990	0.3	12 000			12 000	82 000	12 000	94 000
1991	0.3	12 000			12 000	266 000	12 000	278 000
1992	0.3	12 000			12 000	128 000	12 000	140 000
1993	0.3	12 000			12 000	241 600	12 000	253 600
1994	1.0	40 000			40 000	241 600	4 000	281 600
1995	1.0	40 000			40 000	241 600	40 000	281 600
1996	1.0	40 000	4.0	100 000	140 000	142 400	140 000	282 400
1997	1.0	40 000	5.0	125 000	165 000	142 400	165 000	307 400
1998	1.0	40 000			40 000	142 400	40 000	182 400
Total	7.1	284 000	9.0	225 000	509 000	2 672 000	509 000	3 181 000
 Foundat Certifie 	tion seed. d seed							

Rates of return analysis

Applying the economic evaluation model developed by ACIAR, as described by Lubulwa and McMeniman (1998), the benefit from pigeonpea research projects in Thailand was estimated. Two simulations were made considering the unit cost savings reflected under the two cases (see Tables 7 and 8).

SAT eJournal | ejournal.icrisat.org

Case 1: Unit cost saving by 4.3%, assuming an expected ceiling of adoption of 15% of study area in 2004; Case 2: Unit cost saving by 8.4% and the expected ceiling of adoption of 15% of study area in 2004.

Furthermore, estimates of key parameters of the assessment model were made as follows:

-13-

Dec 2007 | Volume 5 | Issue

- Base price of sugarcane of 600 baht
 per tonne
- Discount rate of 8%
- Supply elasticity of 0.75
- Demand elasticity of 0.75.

Considering the estimated adoption rates depicted in Figure 3, and total research and extension costs presented in Table 10, the net present value of benefits from pigeonpea research in Thailand is approximately 60 million baht in case 1 and 115 million baht in case 2. These represent an internal rate of return of 65% for case 1 and 82% for case 2. Noting that sugarcane farmers continued to apply chemical fertilizer along with green manuring pigeonpea, case 1 is considered as a more realistic case than case 2. Simulation of case 2, however, indicates the potential for higher benefits of using green manuring pigeonpea even without chemical fertilizer application.

Constraints to adoption

The survey provided valuable insights regarding the uptake of new technologies by farmers in northeastern Thailand. Feedback from farmers indicated utilization of pigeonpea for grain production and green manuring. Specific feedback on constraints to adoption from sugarcane farmers of Khon Kaen and Udon Thani are as follows:

For grain production:

- Pigeonpea grain is not preferred for domestic consumption.
- Insect problems present difficulties in producing pigeonpea grain in Thailand. The data collected from three years of on-farm field testing showed that the cost of insect control is up to 34% of total cost.

For green manuring:

- Nonavailability of pigeonpea seed;
- Information on utilization of pigeonpea for green manuring and seed multiplication does not reach farmers.

SAT eJournal | ejournal.icrisat.org

Conclusions and lessons for the future

This study has shown that there is a high potential for improving the welfare of sugarcane farmers through utilization of green manuring pigeonpea. This technology has been shown to enrich soil fertility in Thailand, particularly in the northeastern regions characterized by infertile soils, where organic matter is less than 1% in an area of 7.42 million hectares, representing 80% of the total agricultural area in that region.

Soil improvement has been the first priority for research and development by the LDD. Research at LDD and CMU indicates that among many green manuring crops, pigeonpea is accepted as one of the most appropriate crops in the uplands of northeastern Thailand. In addition, cultivation of pigeonpea as hedgerows in the sloping highlands conservation. enhances soil The pigeonpea leaves can be used as forage for animal feed, and the stems for fuel wood.

Further upscaling of the utilization of pigeonpea as a green manure crop as well as a green vegetable for local consumption will require new institutional arrangements to expand the delivery of critical information and inputs of this technology to farmers. Seed multiplication initiatives by the Seed Center of the Department of Agricultural Extension and Land Development Department remain critical. Collaborative efforts with Chiang Mai University, Khon Kaen University, the Department of Agriculture, Land Development Department and Department of Agricultural Extension should ensure the delivery and uptake of research and development generated technologies to farmers of northeastern Thailand.

Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge N. Senanarong, project coordinator; C.L.L. Gowda, CLAN coordinator; W. Sukarin, P. Prammanee and P. Suriyapan for

-14-

Dec 2007 |Volume 5 | Issue

1

providing invaluable information on pigeonpea research; and G. Lubulwa and S. McMeniman for sharing the ACIAR model.98 which was used for the impact analysis of this project.

References

Amaruckachoke, S., and Gypmantasiri, P. 1995. Integrated approach to develop alternatives to slash and burn agriculture for Karen community in the highlands. Multiple Cropping Center. Chiang Mai University. Thailand.

Arn, K., Mesri, N., Prammanee, P., Sonsayawichai, T., and Srising, S. 1997. The survey of sugarcane soil and fertilizer management. Thai Journal of Cane and Sugar 4(3):34 - 48.

Center for Agricultural Information. 1996. Agricultural Statistics of Thailand Crop Year 1995/ 1996. Office of Agricultural Economics. Bangkok, Thailand.

Khon Kaen Field Crops Research Center. 1997. Cajanus cajan (L.) Millsp. Field Crops Research Institute. Bangkok. Thailand. 50 pp.

Khon Kaen Field Crops Research Center. FCRI., DOA . 1984. Annual Report.

Khon Kaen Field Crops Research Center. FCRI., DOA . 1985. Annual Report.

Khon Kaen Field Crops Research Center. FCRI., DOA . 1987. Annual Report.

Khon Kaen Field Crops Research Center. FCRI., DOA . 1988. Annual Report.

Khon Kaen Field Crops Research Center. FCRI., DOA . 1989. Annual Report.

Khon Kaen Field Crops Research Center. FCRI., DOA . 1990. Annual Report. Khon Kaen Field Crops Research Center. FCRI., DOA . 1991. Annual Report.

Khon Kaen Field Crops Research Center. FCRI., DOA . 1992. Annual Report.

Khon Kaen Field Crops Research Center. FCRI., DOA . 1993. Annual Report.

Khon Kaen Field Crops Research Center. FCRI., DOA . 1994. Annual Report.

Khon Kaen Field Crops Research Center. FCRI., DOA . 1995. Annual Report.

Khon Kaen Field Crops Research Center. FCRI., DOA . 1996. Annual Report.

Konsilapa, C., Hanibnee, B., and Srisupan A. 1993. A field trial on the use of some green manure crops for fertility improvement of upland soil. (Sheet)

Lubulwa, G., and McMeniman, S. 1998. ACIAR model.98 used in most project development (ex-ante) and completed project (ex-post) evaluations: a users manual. Economic Evaluation Unit ACIAR. (Draft)

Office of Land Development Region 5. 1996. Soil resource and green manuring for soil improvement in northeast Thailand. Department of Land Development, Bangkok. Thailand.

Office of Land Development Region 5. 1998. Research and result of plant production for soil and water conservation. Department of Land Development, Bangkok. Thailand.

Paudel, C.L. 1995. Effect of alley widths and pigeonpea pruning on the productivity of pigeonpea/maize intercrop. Graduate School. Chiang Mai University, Thailand.

Sirisangtagoon, P. 1996. Effect of green manuring pigeonpea (Cajanus cajan (L.)

Dec 2007| Volume 5 | Issue

Millsp.) on soil improvement for sugarcane. Graduate School, Khon Kaen University. Thailand.

Appendix

Abstract of pigeonpea research at Khon Kaen Field Crops Research Center from 1984 to 1990

1984

1. Genotypic evaluation

A study on genotypic evaluation of pigeonpea in northeastern Thailand was carried out at Khon Kaen in 1984, when 19 cultivars were determined and classified into 2 groups; early-maturing cultivars (76-86 days) and mediummaturing cultivars (115-128 days). Grain yields of 3.9 t ha-1 and 3.8 t ha-1 were obtained from QPL 58 and ICPL 155, 43% higher than the local variety (NORMAN). Maruca testulalis and fusarium wilt caused grain vield reductions of 25%.

2. Population density (early-maturing cultivars)

The highest grain yields of 1.96 t ha-1 and 3.1 t ha-1 were obtained from Hunt and 412 with a density 200 000 plants ha-1 (50 x 10 cm). The mean annual rainfall in Khon Kaen over 10 years was 1200 mm, starting in February and ending in November with the peak of 240 mm in September.

3. Population densitv (mediummaturing cultivars)

A study on optimum density of mediummaturing cultivars (145-155 days), cv ROYES and ICPL 295, was carried out at Khon Kaen. Grain yields of ROYES did not significantly differ with the population densities between 100 000 to 200 000 plants ha-1 with an average of 2.0 t ha-1 .The highest grain yield of ICPL 295 was 3.2 t ha-1 with the density of 100 000 plants ha-1 at the planting date of late August, and 2.2 t ha-1 with the density of 200 000 fitted for the planting date in early October.

4. Serial sowing trial

SAT eJournal | ejournal.icrisat.org 1

A study on pigeonpea climatic adaptation was carried out at Khon Kaen using 17 varieties sown at 2 week intervals from August 1983 to August 1984. Among those NORMAN, HUNT, QPL 42, 412, 1605, ICPL 1, ICPL 6, ICPL 26, PANT-A 3 and TC-F 6-2-7 were photoinsensitive varieties. Seven photosensitive varieties (ROYES, C 322, BDN 1, ICPL 227, ICPL 265, ICPL 270 and ICPL 304) sown during late August to early January reached 50% flowering 70-90 days after emergence, but when sown during late January to April it required 170 to 280 days from emergence to reach 50% flowering.

1985

1. Genotype X sowing time trial

This study was carried out at Khon Kaen (KK), Ubon Rachatanee (UB), Chiang Mai (CM) and Rayong (RY) in 1985, using pigeonpea varieties QPL 17, QPL 42, QPL 130, QPL 58 and HUNT. Three plantings were done at monthly intervals, beginning in mid June at KK, UB and in late May at CM and RY. Planting in mid July at KK and UB and planting in late June at CM and RY gave the highest grain yields. QPL 130 gave the highest grain yields of 2.3 and 3.7 t ha-1 at UB and CM, QPL 58 gave 1.6 t ha- 1 at KK and QPL17 gave 1.32 t ha-1 at RY. HUNT produced the lowest grain yield of 1.37 t ha-1. The mean of this experiment was 1.66 t ha-1.

2. Population density

Early-maturing cultivars, HUNT and QPL 42, were grown at Khon Kaen using three population densities: 160 000, 330 000 and 660 000. Days to flowering, maturities (113 days) and grain yields did not differ among densities. QPL 42 gave a higher grain yield than HUNT (1.2 t ha-1) compared with HUNT 0.96 t ha-1.

Medium-maturing cultivars, ROYES and ICPL 265, were grown at Khon Kaen using three population densities: 50 000, 100 000 and 200 000. The densities of 100 000 and 200 000 gave higher grain yields than that of 50 000. Days to flowering and maturity (167 days) did not differ among densities. ICPL 265 was less damaged by insects and diseases than ROYES.

<u>1987</u>

1. Preliminary yield trial (early cultivars), group I

Eighteen pigeonpea cultivars were sown in a field trial at Khon Kaen in the late rainy season of 1987. The highest yielding cultivars (>2 t ha-1) were ICPL 86008, ICPL 83009 and QPL 1070. The 100-seed masses ranged from 8.92 g (QPL 1086) to 13.30 g (QPL 1082). ICPL 83009 showed the outstanding determinate type and nonshattering characteristic. Days to maturities ranged from 125 to 138 days.

2. Preliminary yield trial (early cultivars), group II

Ten pigeonpea cultivars (135 days) were sown in a field trial at Khon Kaen in 1987. ICPL 8324 gave the highest grain yield of 2.4 t ha-1, 43% higher than HUNT (control). The 100 seed masses ranged from 5.8 g (ICPL 4) to 15.2 g (ICPL 8324).

3. Preliminary yield trial (pest resistance)

Nine medium-maturing pigeonpea cultivars (196 days) were evaluated without pest control at Khon Kaen in 1987. ICPL 332 (Heliothis-resistant line from ICRISAT) gave the highest grain yield (2.1 t ha-1), 86% higher than ICPX 79083-NDT 2 (a susceptible line). ICPL 265, which was the high-yielding cultivar under pest control, produced 1.5 t ha-1 of grain yield. However, the insect population that year was not serious.

4. Standard yield trial

Fourteen early pigeonpea cultivars were compared in field trials at four locations. Grain yields ranged from 1.2 t ha-1 in cv OPL 566 to 1.8 t ha-1 in cv QPL 17 at Khon Kaen, from 0.5 t ha-1 in cv QPL 734 to 1.4 t ha 1 in cv QPL 652 at Ubon, from 0.3 t ha-1 in cv QPL 42 to 0.9 t ha-1 in cv QPL 702 at Rayong, and from 0.7 t ha-1 in cv QPL 58 to 1.1 t ha-1 in cv QPL 827 at Chiang Mai. QPL 637 was the highest-yielding cultivar on average

SAT eJournal | ejournal.icrisat.org

of four locations (1.2 t ha-1) and was a well-adapted cultivar.

5. Standard yield trial (pest resistance)

Eight medium pigeonpea cultivars (188 days) were evaluated under pest control at the economic level. ICPL 265 which gave 1.9 t ha-1 of grain yield did not significantly differ from ICPL 332 (Heliothisresistant line), 1.85 t ha-1. ICPX 79083-NDT 2 (susceptible line) gave the lowest grain yield of 1.2 t ha-1.

6. Standard yield trial (vegetable pigeonpea)

Six pigeonpea cultivars (107-139 days) were tested in field trials at three locations. Fresh pod yields ranged from 5.5 t ha-1 in cv ICPL 211 to 8.25 t ha-1 in cv ICPL 8324 at Khon Kaen, from 1.22 t ha-1 in cv ICPL 7035 to 1.88 t ha-1 in cv ICPX 79083-NDT 2 at Rayong, and from 2.67 t ha-1 in cv ICPL 211 to 3.96 t ha-1 in cv ICPL 7035 was suitable for fresh pod consumption based on edible quality and high fresh pod yield on the mean of three locations (3.96 t ha-1).

7. Fertilizers

In a field trial at Khon Kaen in 1987, pigeonpea cv QPL 42 was grown on infertile soil (OM = 0.36%, available P = 13 mg kg-1 and exchangeable K = 20 mg kg-1). Nine treatment combinations of 3 rates of P and K (37, 56 and 75 kg ha-1) were applied. Grain yields increased with P applications from 1.56 t ha-1 up to 1.83 t ha-1. Increasing K increased seed size and grain yield. Both P and K had no effect on days to 50% bloom, plant height or plant dry weight at 100 days after sowing.

<u>1988</u>

1. Fertilizers

In a field trial at Khon Kaen in 1988, pigeonpea cv ICPL 83024 was grown on infertile soil (OM = 0.37%, available P = 7.5 mg kg-1 and exchangeable K = 10.0 mg kg-1). Sixteen treatment combinations of four rates of P and K (0, 37, 56 and 75 kg ha-1) were used. Grain yields increased from 1.18 t ha-1 with no

-17-

P application up to 1.57 t ha-1 when applied with P at 37 kg ha-1 and from 1.16 t ha-1 with no fertilizer application up to 1.62 t ha-1 when applied with K at 37 kg ha-1. Increasing K increased seed size, but both P and K had no effect on days to flowering, days to maturity and plant height.

2. Population density (green manure)

An indeterminate pigeonpea cv ICPL 270 (173 days) was sown with 3 densities (60 000, 80 000 and 100 000 plants ha-1) at Khon Kaen in 1988. Grain yields did not differ significantly among densities with a mean of 2.25 t ha-1. With the densities 60 000, 80 000 and 100 000 plants ha-1 produced 25.8, 27.0 and 24.7 tonnes dry matter ha-1 respectively. For seed cost saving, the optimum plant density for green manure was 60 000 plants ha-1.

3. Regional yield trial

Twelve pigeonpea cultivars were compared in field trials at four locations. The highest yielding cultivars were QPL 637 (1.86 t ha-1) at Rayong, QPL 702 (2.41 t ha-1) at Chiang Mai, QPL 652 (1.76 t ha-1) at Ubon and ICPL 86008 (2.67 t ha-1) at Khon Kaen. QPL 637 gave the highest grain yield of 2.0 t ha-1 as a mean of four locations.

4. Locational yield trial

Eight medium and four early pigeonpeas were sown at Khon Kaen in 1988. ICPL270 gave the highest yield of 1.85 t ha-1 (170 DAE), ICPL 151 harvested at 130 DAE was the highest yielding cultivar among early pigeonpea (1.40 t ha-1). Plant heights ranged from 1.41.7 m among medium cultivars and 0.901.20 m among early cultivars.

5. Field test

In a field test in 1988, QPL 42 was sown with a density of 300 000 at two locations in Khon Kaen. Grain yield on the average of two locations was very low (0.8 t ha-1) due to damage by Maruca (testulalis) vitrata (Geyer) and Helicoverpa (Heliothis) armigera (Hbner) in the flowering period. Farm costs were high, up to 15 708 baht ha-1, with 33% of total cost being insecticide applications.

<u>1989</u>

1. Field test

In a field test in 1989, QPL 42 was sown with a density of 400 000 plants ha-1 at two locations in Khon Kaen. Grain yield harvesting at 133 DAE for the mean of two locations was 1.97 t ha-1. Farm cost was 15 347 baht ha-1, 34% of total cost being insecticide applications.

<u>1990</u>

1. Field test

In a field test in 1990, ICPL 83009 was sown with densities of 300 000 and 400 000 plants ha-1 at two locations in Khon Kaen. Grain yield harvesting at 131 DAE for the mean of two locations was 1.33 t ha-1 and did not differ among densities. Farm cost was 14 580 baht ha-1, 30% of total cost being insecticide applications.

Cost of pigeonpea (bant ha ') in neid tests at Knon Kaen from 1988 to 1990.								
	1988	1989	1990					
Land Preparation	875.00 500.00	875.00 500.00	875.00 500.00					
Harrow	375.00	375.00	375.00					
Labor Cost	7 359.38	7 265.63	6937.50					
Sowing Herbicide application Fertilizer application Weeding Insecticide spraying Harvesting Threshing	1500.00 234.38 1 500.00 2 250.00 937.50 750.00 187.50	1 250.00 130.21 1 458.33 1 093.75 1 302.08 1 458.33 572.92	1 250.00 250.00 1 125.00 1 125.00 937.50 1 500.00 750.00					
Material Cost	7 474.00	7 207.00	6 768.00					
Seed Fertilizer N P K	250.00 350.00 1 187.00 437.00	468.00 350.00 1 187.00 437.00	250.00 350.00 1 187.00 437.00					
Herbicide Insecticide	1 063.00 4 187.00	885.00 3 880.00	1 063.00 3 481.00					
Total (baht ha'l)	15 708.38	15 347.63	14 580.50					