

system, and losses due to the disease revealed that farmers take one or more of the following actions to avoid the disease: 1. Select only healthy panicles for food, and feed ergot-infected panicles and stover to animals; 2. Allow infected plants to dry in the field for future use as fodder, or 3. Allow cattle to graze without harvesting or drying the stover.

The possible sources of infection for sorghum ergot spread from an epidemic area of Maachinenipalli in 1999 to other administrative zones in the district could be either postharvest-infected panicles stored or dumped in pits, or ergot-contaminated seed movement from one village to another. Therefore, based on this information it is assumed that pathogen, might have moved from an area of ergot epidemic in Maachinenipalli to other locations in the district. It appears that the pathogen development was favored by the cloudy weather and high rainfall during flowering (Anahosur and Patil 1982; McLaren and Wehner 1990) and subsequently spread by wind currents (Frederickson et al. 1993). On the contrary, in Amistapur village of Bhootpur administrative zone about 80 km from Maachinenipalli the crop was absolutely free from ergot probably because there was no rain during flowering in 1999 and 2000. Even though the farmers in this village observed ergot in 1996, there was no further spread in the subsequent cropping seasons.

## Acknowledgments

This publication is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, under Special Agreement No. 58-1920-9-F110. Any opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations are those of authors and do not necessarily reflect the view of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Authors sincerely acknowledge the cooperation of farmers, non-government organization (CARE based at Mahbubnagar) members of the milk-chilling centre, Kalwakurthy, and staff of the agricultural research station, Palem, Acharya NG Ranga Agricultural University, Andhra Pradesh.

## References

- Anahosur, K.H. and Patil, H.S. 1982. Effect of date of sowing on the incidence of ergot of sorghum. *Indian Phytopathology* 35: 507-509.
- Bandyopadhyay, R. 1992. Sorghum ergot. Pages 235-244 in *Sorghum and millets diseases: a second world review*. (de Milliano, W.A.J., Frederickson, R.A., and Bengston, G.D. eds). Patancheru, A.P. 502 324, India: International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (CP 740).

- Frederickson, D.E., Mantle, P.G. and de Milliano, W.A.J. 1993. Windborne spread of ergot disease (*Claviceps africana*) in sorghum A-lines in Zimbabwe. *Plant Pathology* 42: 368-377.
- McLaren, N.W. and Wehner, F.C. 1990. Relationship between climatic variables during early flowering of sorghum and the incidence of sugary disease caused by *Sphacelia sorghi*. *Phytopathology* 130: 82-88.

## Prevalence of Ergot of Sorghum in India

- S S Navi<sup>2</sup>, R Bandyopadhyay<sup>3</sup>, V A Tonapi<sup>1</sup>, T G Nageshwar Rao<sup>4</sup>, P W Tooley<sup>5</sup>, R K Reddy<sup>1</sup>, S Indira<sup>4</sup>, and S Pande<sup>1</sup> (1. International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India; 2. Department of Plant Pathology, College of Agriculture, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011-1020, USA; 3. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), PMB 5320, Ibadan, Nigeria; 4. National Research Centre for Sorghum (NRCS), Rajendranagar, Hyderabad 500 030, Andhra Pradesh, India; 5. United States Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Service (USDA-ARS), Foreign Disease-Weed Science Research Unit, 1301 Ditto Avenue Fort Detrick, MD 21702, USA)

## Introduction

Ergot (*Claviceps sorghi* P. Kulkarni et al. and *C. africana* Frederickson, Mantle, & de Milliano) is a serious limiting factor, in the production of sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor*(L.) Moench] hybrid seeds. Ergot can also cause widespread damage to cultivars in farmers' fields when environmental conditions favorable to the pathogen prevail at flowering (Kukedia et al. 1982). In this article we report the incidence and severity of ergot, in sorghum-growing areas in the states of Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Rajasthan and Uttar Pradesh in India.

## Materials and methods

On-farm sorghum ergot surveys were conducted from August 1999 to February 2000 (Year 1), August 2000 to March 2001 (Year 2) and November 2001 to April 2002 (Year 3). A total of 250 farms in Andhra Pradesh, one in Gujarat, 413 in Maharashtra, 451 in Karnataka, 127 in Tamil Nadu, 3 in Rajasthan, and 10 in Uttar Pradesh were

**Table 1. Mean incidence and severity range (%) of sorghum ergot in India from August 1999 to April 2002**

Indian states	Fields		Mean ergot range <sup>1</sup>	
	Surveyed	With ergot	Incidence (%)	Severity (%)
Andhra Pradesh	250	92	13-61	23-93
Kamataka	451	54	27-60	36-100
Maharashtra	413	40	2-30	5-83
Tamil Nadu	127	13	0-22	0-52
Rajasthan	3	3	Trace <sup>2</sup>	Trace
Uttar Pradesh	10	10	52.0	45.5
Gujarat	1	1	Trace	Trace

1. Mean of 3 years' survey from August 1999 to April 2002 except for Rajasthan, Uttar Pradesh and Gujarat wherein survey was conducted only during cropping season 1999

2. < 1% incidence and severity

surveyed. The latitude, and longitude, of each of the field surveyed was recorded using a hand-held global positioning system instrument (©1993 Magellan System Corporation, San Dimas, California, USA).

The cropping patterns in Andhra Pradesh, Kamataka, Maharashtra and Tamil Nadu included predominantly local cultivars followed by improved varieties and hybrids of sorghum. The crops were surveyed at vegetative to physiological maturity stages. Ergot incidence and severity from each field were recorded in approximately 12-m<sup>2</sup> areas randomly selected at three different places. Disease incidence was recorded based on the number of plants infected out of the total plants counted, and the severity on a 0-100% scale based on the number of infected florets in individual panicles. A total of 152 ergot samples (10-15 infected panicles from each field) were collected from all the three survey. The samples were placed separately in brown paper bags, air-dried and stored under laboratory conditions (25± 1 °C) for further studies at the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT) and the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) laboratories.

## Results and discussion

The most obvious external symptom of ergot observed in panicles was honeydew exudation from infected florets (Frederiksen and Odvody 2000). Honeydew was either uniformly yellow-brown to pink or superficially white matt. Sclerotial formation was observed in only a few panicles.

In Kamataka, (Table 1) ergot was observed during the first week of October (late-sown rainy-season crop) and the second week of December 1999 (early-sown post-rainy-season crop). A farmer of Chitradurga, Kamataka, mentioned the occurrence of severe ergot on

MSH 51 every year since 1998 in both seasons. On another farm in Talaku village (14° 27' N and 76° 40' E), in the same district, sorghum hybrid MSH 51 was heavily affected by ergot (80-90% incidence and 90-100% severity) resulting in about 70% loss in grain yield during the 1999 post-rainy season. It became essential to clean grains by washing them in water to remove honeydew and to separate black structures prior to sale in the local market.

## Acknowledgments

This publication is based upon work supported by the USDA, under special agreement No.58-1920-9-F110. Any opinions, findings, conclusions or recommendations are those of authors and do not necessarily reflect the view of the USDA. Authors sincerely acknowledge the cooperation of farmers, non-government organizations (NGOs), staff of agricultural universities, research stations and departments of agriculture.

## References

- Frederiksen, R.A. and Odvody, G.N. 2000.** Compendium of sorghum diseases. Second Edition. St. Paul, Minnesota, USA: American Phytopathological Society (APS) Press.
- Kukedia, M.V., Desai, K.B., Desai, M.S., Patel, R.H., and Raja, K.R.V. 1982.** Natural screening of advanced sorghum varieties to sugary disease. Sorghum Newsletter. 25: 117.