

Heterosis and Combining Ability Studies and Relationship Among Fruit and Seed Characters in Peanut¹

S. L. Dwivedi*, K. Thendapani and S. N. Nigam²

ABSTRACT

F₁ progenies from an 8 x 8 full diallel cross were studied for the inheritance of fruit yield and fruit and seed characters which are important in peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) quality breeding. Substantial genetic variation was observed for most characters among parents and crosses. Presence of significant heterotic crosses for several characters was an indication of genetic diversity present among the parental lines. Whereas fruit and seed traits were controlled largely by additive genetic effects and fruits/plant and fruit weight/plant by nonadditive genetic effects, for shelling percentage both were equally important. Estimates of general combining ability (gca) for ICGV 86564 was best for various fruit and seed traits. Other genotypes with good gca were ICG 2379 and ICG 3043 for fruit weight/plant and ICGV 87123 for shelling percentage. ICG 4906, ICG 7360, and ICGV 86564 showed significant maternal effects for various traits. Significant maternal interaction effect was evident for more than six traits in six crosses. A positive association among fruit and seed traits, and of fruit weight/plant with fruit number and with fruit/seed length and width should result in progenies with larger fruit/seed size coupled with increased yields.

Key Words: Groundnut, maternal effects, diallel, correlation, hybrid vigor.

Desirable traits in India for confectionery peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) are large elongated seed with tapering ends, pink/tan seed coat, ease in blanching, high sugar content (sucrose), and less than 1% free fatty acids. Development of high yielding cultivars with these traits is the main objective in the confectionery peanut breeding program at ICRISAT.

Several researchers have studied the genetic variation of quantitative traits including fruit yield, and fruit and seed characters in peanut (3, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18). However in most of these studies, with the exception of Godoy and Norden (8) and Isleib and Wynne (11), the parental lines did not represent the large genetic variation available for confectionery traits. Study of

the inheritance of fruit and seed traits in crosses involving genotypes with larger variation for confectionery traits was considered desirable to gain a better understanding of the genetic systems controlling inheritance of these traits.

The objectives of present investigation involving germplasm and stable breeding lines with large variation in fruit and seed traits were to (i) characterize the nature and magnitude of gene action controlling fruit yield and fruit and seed characters, (ii) determine the potential of individual parents in producing superior lines, and (iii) determine the genetic relationship among these traits.

Materials and Methods

Eight genotypes, ICG 4906, ICG 2379, ICG 3043, ICG 6150, ICG 7360, ICGV 86564, ICGV 87123, and ICGV 86857 with large phenotypic variation for fruit and seed traits were used as parents in the study (Table 1). Of these, ICG 4906, ICG 2379, ICG 3043, and ICG 6150 are land races, ICG 7360 a high protein line originally selected from a population of PI 262020, ICGV 86564 a high yielding breeding line with jumbo pods, ICGV 87123 a recently released cultivar in India, and ICGV 86857 an interspecific tetraploid derivative possessing a high degree of resistance to rust (*Puccinia arachidis*) and moderate late leafspot (*Phaeoisariopsis personata*) resistance.

These parents were crossed in a complete diallel generating 56 F₁ crosses. The crosses in F₁ generation and eight parents were grown in Alfisols in a randomized complete block design with three replications at ICRISAT Center in the 1985-86 post-rainy season. Plot size was one 4-meter long row with 60 x 15 cm inter- and intra-row spacing. Recommended agronomic practices to produce good quality peanuts were followed which includes irrigation, basal dose of 26.2 kg P ha⁻¹, application of gypsum 400 kg ha⁻¹ at the time of full flowering, and protection against insect pests.

Observations on mature fruit weight (fruit weight/plant) and number (fruits/plant) were recorded on ten randomly selected competitive plants/plot after drying to an 8-10% moisture level. Subsequently, fruits were bulked, and a random sample of 20 fruits were drawn to record fruit weight (g), and fruit length and width (mm). These 20 fruits were further shelled to record seed weight (g), and shelling percentage. Twenty randomly selected seeds were taken to record observations of seed length and width (mm).

Statistical Analysis:

Statistical analysis was performed on plot means. Diallel analysis to estimate various effects and interactions followed the model described by Topham (19) which allows the estimation of maternal effects and their interaction besides estimating general (gca) and specific combining ability (sca) effects. The model is based on method I, model 1 of Griffing (10). The model is fixed and based on a p² combinations in-

¹International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), ICRISAT Patancheru P. O. 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India. ICRISAT Journal Article No. 825.

²Plant Breeder, former Research Associate, and Principal Groundnut Breeder, ICRISAT, respectively.

*Corresponding Author.

Table 1. Description of eight peanut genotypes used in diallel crosses.

Genotypes	Other identity	Origin	Botanical var.	Fruit ¹ weight (g)	Fruit ¹ length (mm)	Fruit ¹ width (mm)	Seed ¹ weight (g)	Seed ² length (mm)	Seed ² width (mm)	Fruits/plant	Fruit weight/plant (g)	Shelling ¹ (%)
ICG 4906	Ah Erecta	Sri Lanka	Var. <u>hypogaea</u>	9	336	198	6	178	138	33	11	69
ICG 2379	NC Ac 15989	Bolivia	Var. <u>hypogaea</u>	44	598	301	32	294	220	24	41	73
ICG 3043	MHOW	India	Var. <u>hypogaea</u>	43	767	328	29	370	189	26	39	86
ICG 8150	NC Ac 2813	Argentina	Var. <u>hypogaea</u>	48	760	335	32	401	207	27	48	87
ICG 7380	101/86/1	Zimbabwe	Var. <u>fastigiata</u>	33	509	321	22	264	200	16	32	68
ICGV 86564	Ah 114 x NC Ac 117	India	Var. <u>hypogaea</u>	57	816	344	41	404	231	15	31	73
ICGV 87123	Robut 33-1- 1B-B-81	India	Var. <u>vulgeria</u>	32	500	238	23	271	199	26	26	70
ICGV 86857	A ₁ <u>hypogaea</u> x A ₂ <u>cardenasii</u>	India	Var. <u>hypogaea</u>	35	660	289	26	300	198	31	36	73
	SE (±)			3	25	10	3	14	9	11	16	4
	CV (%)			8.2	4.0	3.3	13.0	5.0	5.0	26.0	29.0	6.0

1. Recorded on 20 randomly selected mature fruits from the pod bulk of the 10 randomly selected plants/plot.
2. Recorded on 20 randomly selected sound mature seeds obtained from the pods used for recording fruit traits.

volving 'p' parents and is described as follows:

$$Y_{ij} = u + g_i + g_j + m_i + s_{ij} + n_{ij} + e_{ij}$$

where Y_{ij} represents the total for the cross between the i th female and the j th male,

- u = general mean,
- g_i and g_j = the i th and the j th parental effects,
- m_i = the i th maternal effects,
- s_{ij} = the genic interaction (sca) between the i th and j th parental effects,
- n_{ij} = the interaction between the i th maternal effect and the j th paternal effect and,
- e_{ij} = an error associated with i th and j th cross.

The parental and maternal effects in this model are the main effects with a condition that $\sum_1^p g_i = 0$ and $\sum_1^p m_i = 0$, whereas the other two parameters, s_{ij} and n_{ij} , are components of the first order interaction. Further, the condition imposed in this model is that maternal interaction ' n_{ij} ' between the ' i 'th maternal parent and the ' j 'th paternal parent and ' n_{ji} ' the interaction between the ' j 'th maternal parent and the ' i 'th paternal parent is equal in size but in opposite sign to give $n_{ij} + n_{ji} = 0$, i.e. $s_{ij} = s_{ji}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^p s_{ij} = \sum_{j=1}^p s_{ij} = 0$.

The various parameters for each variety were estimated as follows:

$$u = \bar{y} \dots / p^2$$

$$m_i = (Y_{i.} - Y_{.i}) / p$$

$$g_i = (Y_{i.} + Y_{.i}) / 2p - m_i / 2 - u$$

$$s_{ij} = (Y_{ij} + Y_{ji}) / 2 - g_i - g_j - m_i / 2 - m_j / 2 - u$$

$$n_{ij} = Y_{ij} - s_{ij} - g_i - g_j - m_i - u$$

The genic interaction effect equals to sca effect as described in Griffing's (10) model. Maternal and parental effects thus estimated are used to derive gca effects to determine the potential of the parent cultivar in a breeding program following the formulae: $g_i + m_i/2$, which is the same as the gca effects estimated by Griffing's method (10).

Following Al-Jibouri *et al.* (1) the genotypic and phenotypic correlations were calculated as follows.

$$\text{Genotypic 'r'} = \frac{\sigma P_{1,2}}{(\sigma P_1^2)(\sigma P_2^2)}$$

where $\sigma P_{1,2}$ is the genetic covariance between two traits, σP_1^2 is the genetic variance of the first trait, and σP_2^2 is the genetic variance of the second trait; and

$$\text{Phenotypic 'r'} = \frac{\sigma Ph_{1,2}}{(\sigma Ph_1^2)(\sigma Ph_2^2)}$$

where $\sigma Ph_{1,2}$ is the phenotypic covariance between two traits, and σPh_1^2 and σPh_2^2 are their respective phenotypic variances. Percent heterotic effects were estimated by the mean deviations of F_1 progenies over the better parent in each cross.

Results and Discussion

Highly significant differences among the crosses and parents were observed for all nine traits recorded. While the F_1 progenies differed significantly for all traits, the differences among the parents for fruits/plant, fruit weight/plant, and shelling percentage were not significant.

The estimates of mean squares of main effects and their interactions are presented in Table 2. Both main effects (parental and maternal) and their interaction effects (genic and maternal) were highly significant for fruit weight, fruit length, fruit width, seed length, and seed width. While for seed weight and shelling percentage, genic and maternal interaction effects and parental effects were significant, for fruits/plant and fruit weight/plant, maternal effects as well as the interactions due to genic and maternal effects were significant. Partitioning of genetic variance into components of gca and sca re-

Table 2. Analysis of variance of various effects and components of general (gca) and specific (sca) combining ability variance for nine characters in 8 x 8 full diallel cross F_1 progenies in peanut.

Source of variance	d.f.	Mean squares								
		Fruit ¹ weight	Fruit ¹ length	Fruit ¹ width	Seed ¹ weight	Seed ² length	Seed ² width	Fruits/ plant	Fruit weight/ plant	Shelling ¹ (%)
Parental effects [g]	7	1079**	115982**	10989**	537**	25396**	3712**	402	1287	178**
Genic interaction [s_{ij}]	28	26**	1368**	374**	20**	316**	116**	208**	281**	21**
Maternal effect [m]	7	17**	1182**	412**	7	354**	83**	453**	348**	10
Maternal interaction [n_{ij}]	21	15**	1802**	179**	11**	221**	124**	155**	167*	18**
Residual	128	4	219	34	3	83	29	45	83	5
Var. [gca]		67	7234	665	33	1583	230	22	75	11
Var. [sca]		22	1150	340	18	255	87	163	187	18

1 and 2 - refer as described in Table 1. *, ** - significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability, respectively.

vealed largely additive genetic effects for all six fruit and seed characters. Nonadditive genetic effects were more important in the cases of fruits/plant and fruit weight/plant. For shelling percentage, both additive and non additive genetic effects were equally important.

Several studies reported primarily additive genetic effects for fruits/plant, fruit length and width, fruit yield, and shelling percentage (12, 13, 16, 17, 21). In other studies both additive and nonadditive genetic effects were reported as controlling the expression of fruit length, fruit and seed weight, fruits number, and fruit yield (9, 15, 16). From a study of 6 x 6 diallel cross based on random model, Wynne *et al.* (20) reported largely additive variance for fruit length and nonadditive variance for fruit number and fruit yield. Fruit yield was controlled by nonadditive genetic effects in the studies conducted by Sangha and Labana (16). Such reported variation in the inheritance of these traits may be due to differences in the mating system, in the method of analysis (random or fixed model) and/or in the parental material that was used in these studies.

The results obtained from the present study indicated the strong influence of maternal and maternal interaction effects as well as genic effects in the expression of various fruit and seed traits. The traits in the present study represent two separate generations. The expression of fruit traits is controlled by F_1 genotype and its interaction with the cytoplasm of the female parent of the cross. Whereas the seed traits are governed by F_2 genotypes and their interactions with the female cytoplasm. However, the expression of F_2 genotypes of seed is further conditioned by the mother plant (F_1 plant) which provides nutrients for the development of embryo (seed).

The parental and maternal effects were estimated for each genotype in order to determine their relative importance (Table 3) along with the gca effects of the

genotypes. Significant maternal effects were observed for seven characters in ICG 4906, for five in ICG 7360, and for four in ICGV 86564. Other genotypes showed maternal effects significant for one or two characters only. Similarly ICG 4906 and ICGV 86564 had significant parental effects for eight and seven traits, respectively, followed by ICG 7360 and ICG 3043 which had parental effects significant for six traits. It is interesting to note that in case of ICG 4906, maternal and parental effects were significant for fruits/plant, fruit weight/plant, fruit length and width, and seed length and width, followed by ICGV 86564 for fruit weight, fruit width, and seed weight, and ICG 7360 for fruit length, fruit width, and shelling percentage.

Prominent crosses with significant maternal interaction effects were ICG 7360 x ICG 4906 and ICG 6150 x ICGV 86564 for eight characters; ICGV 86564 x ICGV 86857 for seven characters; ICG 4906 x ICG 2379, ICG 4906 x ICG 3043, and ICG 4906 x ICGV 86564 for six characters (Table 4). Presence of significant maternal interaction effects for several characters in many crosses revealed the importance of a combination of a nuclear, cytoplasm, or physiological interplay between the pollen parent and the seed parent as suggested by Topham (19). Earlier report on the significance of non-reciprocal maternal effects were reported for fruit length and fruit weight by Layrisee *et al.* (12).

Heterosis, expressed as percent increase over the better parent, was significant for most characters in several crosses (Table 5). Significant negative heterotic effects were observed for fruit/seed weight and for fruit/seed length and width. Additive genetic effects were predominant for these traits. For fruits/plant and fruit weight/plant, several crosses showed significant positive heterotic effects, probably due to predominance of non-additive genetic effects. Presence of appreciable amount of heterotic effects for most of the characters in these

Table 3. Parental (g) and maternal (m) effects and general combining ability effects (gca) for nine characters in 8 x 8 full diallel cross F₁ progenies in peanut.

Genotype	Effects	Fruit ¹ weight	Fruit ¹ length	Fruit ¹ width	Seed ¹ weight	Seed ² length	Seed ² width	Fruits/ plant	Fruit weight/plant	Shelling ¹ [%]
ICG 7360	Parental effect	- 0.9	- 27.5**	22.0**	- 2.0*	- 9.3**	- 4.2*	- 0.7	- 8.0*	- 4.5**
	Maternal effect	3.0*	25.1**	10.4**	0.3	4.4	4.8	- 8.6*	2.8	- 2.6*
	gca effect	0.5	- 14.9	27.2**	- 1.8**	- 7.1**	- 1.8	- 5.1**	- 8.7**	- 5.8**
ICG 4906	Parental effect	-18.9**	-181.3**	-47.8**	-11.4**	-73.4**	-29.7**	- 4.6*	-23.8**	0.6
	Maternal effect	- 3.1*	- 22.2*	-15.2**	- 2.1	- 6.8*	- 8.6*	20.1**	10.1*	0.0
	gca effect	-18.4**	-172.3**	-55.4**	-12.4**	-77.8**	-34.1**	5.4**	-18.7**	0.6
ICG 2379	Parental effect	5.1**	- 3.8	10.8**	4.2**	- 2.7	10.8**	2.8	9.5*	1.8*
	Maternal effect	0.8	- 4.8	- 7.8*	0.9	- 4.9	5.1	- 5.2	- 0.8	0.7
	gca effect	5.4**	- 8.4	8.8**	4.6**	- 5.2**	13.4**	0.3	9.2**	2.2**
ICG 3043	Parental effect	1.7*	52.9**	13.4**	0.5	15.7**	- 1.8	5.7*	12.3**	- 1.4
	Maternal effect	- 0.1	18.8*	- 2.4	- 0.1	17.3**	- 2.7	- 8.7	- 8.4	- 0.8
	gca effect	1.8**	82.3**	12.2**	0.5	24.3**	- 3.2**	2.4	8.1**	- 1.7**
ICG 6150	Parental effect	3.2**	71.7**	13.9**	1.4	41.6**	1.2	3.8	8.9	2.2*
	Maternal effect	- 1.23	- 8.20	- 2.8	- 1.3	- 0.7	- 0.8	-11.9**	-10.8*	- 1.1
	gca effect	2.5**	88.5**	12.6**	0.7	41.3**	0.8	- 2.0	1.5	- 2.7**
ICGV 86564	Parental effect	8.1**	92.8**	8.3**	6.0**	44.8**	12.8**	10.9**	- 5.8	1.0
	Maternal effect	2.7*	13.4	16.5**	2.2*	5.5	2.7	4.7	15.8**	0.6
	gca effect	9.4**	99.6**	16.8**	7.2**	47.5**	13.9**	- 8.5**	1.9	1.3**
ICGV 87123	Parental effect	0.0	- 37.8**	-21.3**	1.1	-20.1**	8.5**	2.1	5.7	3.8**
	Maternal effect	- 0.9	- 17.4*	4.8	0.7	- 0.1	1.7	- 1.0	- 7.8	2.7*
	gca effect	- 0.4	- 48.5**	-18.8**	1.4**	-20.0**	7.4**	1.6	1.7	4.9**
ICGV 86857	Parental effect	- 0.3	13.0*	0.5	0.1	3.5	4.7*	1.6	3.1	1.0
	Maternal effect	- 1.0	- 8.7	- 3.5	- 0.7	-12.8*	- 2.1	8.8	- 0.4	0.4
	gca effect	- 0.8	9.7**	- 1.2	- 0.2	- 2.9	3.8**	5.9**	2.9	1.2**
	SE parental (g)	0.6	4.9	1.9	0.6	2.6	1.8	2.2	3.0	0.8
	SE maternal (m)	0.9	6.9	2.7	0.9	3.7	2.5	3.1	4.3	1.1
	SE gca effects (g _i)	0.5	3.4	1.3	0.4	1.8	1.2	1.6	2.1	0.5
	SE gca effects (g _i -g _j)	0.7	5.2	2.0	0.7	2.8	1.8	2.4	3.2	0.8

1 and 2 - refer as described in Table 1. *, ** - significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability, respectively.

crosses was an indication of the fact that the parental lines involved in this study were genetically diverse. Further, significant differences in heterotic effects between straight and reciprocal crosses indicated the influence of cytoplasm and its interaction with nuclear genes in the manifestation of hybrid vigor. Heterosis for yield and its components in peanut was also reported by earlier workers (2, 3, 4, 7, 11, 18).

General combining ability effects were estimated to determine the breeding potential of each parent (Table 3). A breeding line, ICGV 86564, had the best gca for length, width, and weight of the seed and fruit. ICG 2379 and ICG 3043 had the best gca for fruit weight/plant. ICGV 87123 had the best gca for shelling percentage. The best combiners for fruits/plant were ICG 4906 and ICGV 86857.

Character association among fruit and seed traits and with fruit yield *per se* were determined (Table 6). Fruit length and width and seed length and width were positively associated (P=0.01) with each other and also with

fruit and seed weight which revealed that selection for large fruit size should result in progenies with higher fruit and seed weight. Fruits/plant and fruit weight/plant were positively associated (P=0.01). Similar associations between fruit and seed size and number of fruits and fruit weight/plant were also reported from other studies (6, 12, 14). The positive association between fruit weight/plant and fruits/plant, and of fruit weight/plant with all fruit and seed characters (P=0.01), which was also reported by other workers (5, 13), indicated that selection for higher fruit weight or seed weight should lead to progenies with higher number of fruits and fruit yield. Fruits/plant on the other hand was negatively associated with all fruit and seed characters. Shelling percentage was negatively associated with fruit length (P=0.05) and width (P=0.01) and positively (P=0.01) with seed weight and seed width indicating that selection of progenies with higher seed weight and width may improve the shelling percentage. However, it is difficult to explain why fruit width was negatively correlated with shelling percen-

Table 4. Maternal interaction effects (n_{ij}) for nine characters in 8 x 8 full diallel cross F_1 progenies in peanut.

Crosses	Fruit ¹ weight	Fruit ¹ length	Fruit ¹ width	Seed ¹ weight	Seed ² length	Seed ² width	Fruits/ plant	Fruit weight/plant	Shelling ¹ (%)
ICG 7380 x ICG 4908	11.01**	71.16**	34.84**	8.05**	28.33**	37.18**	26.06**	9.89	7.83**
ICG 7380 x ICG 2378	2.38	32.96**	17.41**	-1.35	11.66*	-0.14	1.52	2.41	-5.84**
ICG 7380 x ICG 3043	-0.58	-84.71**	17.71**	-2.32	-35.29**	8.27*	-7.95	10.39	-3.88*
ICG 7380 x ICG 6150	1.12	29.31**	5.58	-0.82	1.87	0.35	6.64	7.31	-3.41*
ICG 7380 x ICGV 88584	-1.37	28.98**	-4.08	-2.19	4.48	-1.14	-6.58	-10.38	-2.23
ICG 7380 x ICGV 87123	9.98**	101.89**	-3.39	2.07	9.98*	-0.48	-0.91	11.89*	-8.26**
ICG 7380 x ICGV 88857	1.32	21.06*	15.10**	-1.20	14.31**	-5.71	-35.80**	9.54	-4.71**
ICG 4908 x ICG 2378	-3.90**	-19.04*	-14.89**	-2.70*	-2.00	14.00**	28.79**	11.48	0.85
ICG 4908 x ICG 3043	-2.89*	-37.21*	-15.44**	-1.37	-20.95**	-4.41	33.96**	21.43**	2.38
ICG 4908 x ICG 6150	-0.42	-13.52	-9.39*	0.51	-2.12	4.50	20.16**	8.71	3.55*
ICG 4908 x ICGV 88584	-4.10**	-29.18**	-25.54**	-2.58*	-11.54*	-4.83	11.42*	-1.77	1.53
ICG 4908 x ICGV 87123	-1.80	-5.94	-11.71**	-1.75	-6.52	-8.33*	24.82**	19.82**	-0.58
ICG 4908 x ICGV 88857	-0.94	-1.94	-10.37**	-0.54	2.14	-4.39	18.00**	10.80	0.18
ICG 2378 x ICG 3043	-0.37	-4.83	-1.21	-0.78	-8.12	1.92	-0.55	7.81	-1.02
ICG 2378 x ICG 6150	-0.38	-20.48**	2.00	0.90	-10.29*	4.50	-1.84	-5.80	2.38
ICG 2378 x ICGV 88584	0.22	-40.98**	-58.98**	0.70	-15.87**	9.66**	-1.50	-2.08	0.84
ICG 2378 x ICGV 87123	0.88	30.27**	-4.81	-0.25	-0.88	0.83	-1.78	5.98	-2.08
ICG 2378 x ICGV 88857	3.13*	11.27	1.18	2.84*	5.31	9.80**	-7.55	1.12	0.49
ICG 3043 x ICG 6150	-1.91	4.35	3.04	-2.21	14.00**	-12.41**	4.54	10.41	-3.08*
ICG 3043 x ICGV 88584	-1.85	11.52	-9.77*	-1.53	29.58**	-2.58	-0.28	-13.39*	-0.98
ICG 3043 x ICGV 87123	0.28	8.44	-10.44**	-0.38	3.44	-1.75	-15.54**	-11.18	-1.38
ICG 3043 x ICGV 88857	-1.02	-0.39	-0.77	-1.05	27.27**	0.52	-17.31**	-13.85*	-1.78
ICG 6150 x ICGV 88584	-8.31**	-21.33*	-9.81*	-5.98**	-7.92	-7.00*	-29.36**	-49.81**	-3.22**
ICG 6150 x ICGV 87123	-4.34**	-4.58	-7.64	-5.90**	3.27	-7.00*	-22.58**	-7.75	-6.70**
ICG 6150 x ICGV 88857	-0.78	-24.06*	-3.84	-0.17	2.77	3.80	-13.88**	-8.27	0.54
ICGV 88584 x ICGV 87123	3.53**	8.91	8.48*	2.48*	2.35	6.50	8.34	25.89**	0.51
ICGV 88584 x ICGV 88857	4.77**	47.75**	17.33**	4.09**	40.35**	9.80**	3.28	22.04**	1.08
ICGV 87123 x ICGV 88857	1.51	0.00	9.17*	1.70	10.83*	3.77	-18.17**	-17.85**	0.89
SE (n_{ij})	± 1.23	± 9.07	± 3.57	± 1.18	± 4.87	± 3.27	± 4.12	± 5.59	± 1.43

1 and 2 - refer as described in Table 1. *, ** - significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability, respectively.

Table 5. Percent heterosis over better parent for nine characters in 8 x 8 full diallel cross F_1 progenies in peanut.

Crosses	Fruit ¹ weight	Fruit ¹ length	Fruit ¹ width	Seed ¹ weight	Seed ² length	Seed ² width	Fruits/ plant	Fruit weight/plant	Shelling ¹ (%)
ICG 7380 x ICG 4908	-58.4**	-22.7**	-17.7**	-87.8**	-24.7**	-38.0**	82.4**	7.1	-23.5**
ICG 7380 x ICG 2378	-4.5	-1.1	9.9**	-18.3**	1.1	-11.0**	50.7*	50.0**	-14.5**
ICG 7380 x ICG 3043	-0.4	0.7	2.8	-0.2	-1.1	-4.8**	70.7**	45.8*	-3.8**
ICG 7380 x ICG 6150	-19.1**	-3.8**	0.0	-27.8**	-3.4*	-10.6**	19.5	0.7	-12.5**
ICG 7380 x ICGV 88584	-17.2**	-12.5**	-1.8	-25.9**	-14.7**	-13.5**	77.1*	40.8	-10.8**
ICG 7380 x ICGV 87123	8.4	7.2**	-0.1	10.8	3.4	2.8	29.8	20.4	1.1
ICG 7380 x ICGV 88857	17.7**	-2.8	6.7**	5.1	5.2*	8.3**	220.4**	28.5	-9.9**
ICG 4908 x ICG 7380	-8.9	-4.1	-4.1**	-8.5	-8.3**	-5.5*	-8.9	-30.8	2.5
ICG 4908 x ICG 2378	-47.4**	-20.2**	-13.5**	-50.1**	-21.8**	-20.2**	15.8	-17.7	-5.2**
ICG 4908 x ICG 3043	-49.4**	-29.7**	-20.6**	-49.5**	-27.5**	-14.9**	23.7	-8.5	-4.5**
ICG 4908 x ICG 6150	-84.3**	-33.2**	-25.3**	87.2**	-35.6**	-29.4**	34.8*	-31.4	-10.8**
ICG 4908 x ICGV 88584	-52.6**	-30.8**	-18.4**	-54.6**	-29.7**	-25.9**	18.8	28.1	-4.8**
ICG 4908 x ICGV 87123	-38.8**	-8.9**	4.5*	-33.7**	-11.4**	-14.0**	31.7	23.7	8.1**

Continued on next page

Crosses	Fruit ¹ weight	Fruit ¹ length	Fruit ¹ width	Seed ¹ weight	Seed ² length	Seed ² width	Fruits/ plant	Fruit weight/plant	Shelling ¹ [%]
ICG 4908 x ICGV 86857	-44.2**	-29.1**	-12.9**	-46.7**	-18.7**	-16.9**	14.8	- 9.2	- 3.7**
ICG 2379 x ICG 7380	0.84	4.9*	15.1**	-24.7**	5.8*	-11.0**	76.7**	53.2**	-23.3**
ICG 2379 x ICG 4908	-56.6**	-23.6**	-20.9**	-57.6**	-21.9**	-26.7**	89.9**	11.3	- 2.4
ICG 2379 x ICG 3043	15.7**	- 8.2**	3.5*	14.8**	- 8.7**	0.4	114.7**	83.7**	- 0.7
ICG 2379 x ICG 8150	3.4	- 1.7	0.0	3.1	- 8.5**	- 3.8	86.6**	80.3**	- 8.1**
ICG 2379 x ICGV 86564	- 1.23	- 5.8**	1.1	- 1.8	- 8.1**	- 6.2**	12.3	25.8	- 1.1
ICG 2379 x ICGV 87123	-12.3**	- 3.8	- 1.8	- 8.5*	1.6	- 5.3**	88.1**	63.7**	3.7**
ICG 2379 x ICGV 86857	- 9.5*	- 7.6**	- 2.2	- 8.8*	- 1.4	- 7.5**	75.2**	78.6**	0.6
ICG 3043 x ICG 7380	-10.1**	-22.2**	9.8**	-17.6**	-16.8**	- 0.3	14.7	70.3**	-12.0**
ICG 3043 x ICG 4908	-54.8**	-34.0**	-28.2**	-52.2**	-31.7**	-16.8**	145.5**	53.4**	1.5
ICG 3043 x ICG 2379	12.4**	- 6.3**	4.4**	6.9	- 7.1**	1.3	104.0**	112.8**	- 5.2**
ICG 3043 x ICG 8150	- 7.1*	3.7*	- 0.9	-11.1*	- 7.4**	- 5.1*	80.5**	14.6	- 4.0**
ICG 3043 x ICGV 86564	-21.8**	- 3.8*	- 2.3	-26.9**	- 8.2**	-15.8**	69.6**	116.1**	- 9.2**
ICG 3043 x ICGV 87123	-15.2**	-15.0**	- 8.3**	- 4.8	-15.3**	- 2.2	115.3**	58.3**	6.3**
ICG 3043 x ICGV 86857	-12.4**	- 5.4**	- 4.8**	-12.3*	-13.0**	- 3.7	87.1**	85.6**	-10.4**
ICG 8150 x ICG 7380	-23.2**	- 0.17	- 0.8	-36.5**	- 3.7*	- 3.0**	92.7**	2.8	-20.2**
ICG 8150 x ICG 4908	-62.1**	-34.6**	-27.2**	-61.7**	-34.6**	21.4**	59.4**	-35.0*	- 2.1
ICG 8150 x ICG 2379	- 2.1	- 7.2**	2.7	1.7	-12.6**	- 2.4	50.0*	33.6*	- 4.1**
ICG 8150 x ICG 3043	-17.5**	1.6	0.8	-28.7**	- 4.9**	-16.2**	93.9**	54.7**	-14.0**
ICG 8150 x ICGV 86564	- 2.1	- 0.3	0.8	- 6.8	- 0.4	- 8.1**	117.1**	124.0**	- 4.9**
ICG 8150 x ICGV 87123	-13.5**	-15.2**	-13.1**	- 1.4	-18.3**	1.6	109.5**	58.4**	- 9.3**
ICG 8150 x ICGV 86857	-13.1**	- 3.1	- 5.7**	-12.4**	-12.9**	- 2.1	53.6**	22.6	- 8.2**
ICGV 86564 x ICG 7380	-22.5**	- 6.6**	- 2.2	-31.8**	-12.3**	-15.4**	79.2*	17.3	-12.5**
ICGV 86564 x ICG 4908	-56.6**	-33.7**	-25.0**	-56.7**	-31.8**	-25.2**	41.6*	32.6	0.14
ICGV 86564 x ICG 2379	3.2	-13.6**	-25.0**	5.1	-13.3**	1.1	41.1	55.6**	1.0
ICGV 86564 x ICG 3043	-22.9**	- 1.8	- 2.5	-30.8**	3.4*	-15.7**	113.3**	109.3**	-10.3**
ICGV 86564 x ICG 8150	-17.4**	- 3.1*	0.7	-26.8**	- 1.8	-12.5**	-37.8	-35.6*	-11.4**
ICGV 86564 x ICGV 87123	- 9.1**	-13.3**	-10.3**	- 2.3	-15.1**	- 2.6	21.4	72.8**	7.4**
ICGV 86564 x ICGV 86857	-29.2**	- 9.5**	- 8.2**	-38.5**	-14.9**	-14.5**	9.7	21.1	-12.5**
ICGV 87123 x ICG 7380	57.4**	38.9**	- 3.8**	30.8**	9.2**	0.8	42.8*	61.2**	-17.7**
ICGV 87123 x ICG 4908	-43.0**	-11.4**	3.1	-37.1**	-14.4**	-17.2**	114.8**	107.8**	10.3**
ICGV 87123 x ICG 2379	-11.7**	4.2*	- 0.8	-11.1*	2.6	- 6.0**	80.5**	75.6**	0.7
ICGV 87123 x ICG 3043	-15.8**	-17.5**	-12.5**	- 4.8	-18.1**	- 1.7	23.8	4.2	7.1**
ICGV 87123 x ICG 8150	-30.8**	-17.9**	-15.4**	-31.9**	-16.5**	- 3.8	-11.9	31.4	- 4.5**
ICGV 87123 x ICGV 86564	- 2.8	-14.9**	- 8.7**	5.7	-15.2**	2.6	60.7**	165.2**	8.9**
ICGV 87123 x ICGV 86857	2.5	-10.2**	0.5	7.2	- 5.0*	0.8	125.8**	144.9**	5.1**
ICGV 86857 x ICG 7380	13.9**	- 1.2	11.8**	- 7.9	9.0**	- 0.8	46.2	72.5**	-18.6**
ICGV 86857 x ICG 4908	-43.8**	-27.3**	-16.0**	-45.6**	-18.5**	17.7**	87.1**	20.2	- 2.7
ICGV 86857 x ICG 2379	0.9	- 4.5*	0.0	2.8	- 0.5	- 2.1	72.0**	83.1*	1.5
ICGV 86857 x ICG 3043	-18.2**	- 8.6**	- 5.4**	-21.6**	- 6.5**	- 2.8	26.8	35.8	-13.8**
ICGV 86857 x ICG 8150	-15.9**	- 9.5**	- 8.1**	-11.5*	-14.6**	- 0.8	31.2	9.5	- 4.7**
ICGV 86857 x ICGV 86564	-18.8**	- 0.3	- 4.0**	-25.9**	0.41	- 8.3**	44.1	98.2**	- 8.8**
ICGV 86857 x ICGV 87123	10.7*	- 8.6**	3.6*	15.0**	- 2.11	2.7	52.7**	67.0**	4.5**

1 and 2 - refer as described in Table 1. *, ** - significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability, respectively.

tage when seed width was positively associated with it and with the fruit width.

The present study and those reported earlier indicated considerable genetic variation for most of the fruit and seed characters. The largely additive genetic effects for fruit and seed characters and a positive association among these traits and with seed weight suggest that

selection for large fruit and higher seed weight should be effective in early generation. Selection for higher fruit weight and fruit number per plant may not be effective in early generation due to the predominantly nonadditive genetic effects and should be deferred to later generations. In the present material, maternal effects and their interaction with genic effects were also

Table 6. Genotypic (below diagonal) and phenotypic (above diagonal) correlations among nine characters in 8 x 8 full diallel cross F₁ progenies in peanut.

Character	Fruit ¹ weight	Fruit ¹ length	Fruit ¹ width	Seed ¹ weight	Seed ² length	Seed ² width	Fruits/ plant	Fruit weight/plant	Shelling ¹ (%)
Fruit weight	-	0.82**	0.75**	0.95**	0.78**	0.88**	- 0.18*	0.46**	0.09
Fruit length	0.88	-	0.76**	0.71**	0.95**	0.59**	- 1.15	0.40**	- 0.18*
Fruit width	0.78	0.78	-	0.59**	0.74**	0.57**	- 0.18*	0.36**	- 0.32**
Seed weight	0.98	0.78	0.85	-	0.88**	0.92**	- 0.14	0.45**	0.37**
Seed length	0.83	0.98	0.77	0.74	-	0.80**	- 0.17*	0.39**	- 0.15**
Seed width	0.91	0.87	0.84	0.95	0.84	-	- 0.15	0.45**	0.37**
Fruits/plant	- 0.29	- 0.20	- 0.22	- 0.24	- 0.24	- 0.25	-	0.52**	0.09
Fruit weight/ plant	0.80	0.55	0.50	0.82	0.51	0.54	0.33	-	0.07
Shelling (%)	0.01	- 0.20	- 0.37	0.27	- 0.21	0.32	0.13	0.15	-

1 and 2 - refer as described in Table 1. *, ** - significant at 0.05 and 0.01 probability, respectively.

important in several crosses. Among the genotypes studied, ICGV 86564, ICG 3043, ICG 2379, and ICGV 87123 have good breeding potential, and populations derived between these genotypes should result in superior progenies with desirable traits. Crosses with the higher heterotic effects may produce desirable transgressive segregants in later generations.

Literature Cited

- Al-Jibouri, H. A., P. A. Miller and H. F. Robinson. 1958. Genotypic and environmental variances and covariances in an upland cotton cross of interspecific origin. *Agron. J.* 50:633-636.
- Arunachalam, V., A. Bandyopadhyay, S. N. Nigam and R. W. Gibbons. 1982. Heterotic potential of single crosses in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Oleagineux* 37:415-418.
- Arunachalam, V., A. Bandyopadhyay, S. N. Nigam and R. W. Gibbons. 1984. Heterosis in relation to genetic divergence and specific combining ability in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Euphytica* 33:33-39.
- Arunachalam, V., A. Bandyopadhyay and M. V. Koteswara Rao. 1985. Performance of three-way crosses in groundnut. *Indian J. Agril. Sci.* 55:75-81.
- Chiu, H. Y. and J. C. Wynne. 1983. Heritabilities and genetic correlations for yield and quality traits of advanced generations in a cross of peanut. *Peanut Sci.* 10:13-17.
- Coffelt, T. A. and R. O. Hammons. 1974. Correlations and heritability studies of nine characters in parental and intraspecific-cross populations of *Arachis hypogaea*. *Oleagineux* 29:23-27.
- Garet, B. 1976. Heterosis and combining abilities in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Oleagineux* 31:435-442.
- Godoy, I. J. D. and A. J. Norden. 1981. Shell and seed size relationship in peanuts. *Peanut Sci.* 8:21-24.
- Green, C. C., J. C. Wynne and M. K. Beute. 1983. Genetic variability and heritability estimates based on F₂ generation from crosses of large-seeded virginia-type peanuts with lines resistant to *Cylindrocladium Black Rot*. *Peanut Sci.* 10:47-51.
- Griffing, B. 1956. Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. *Austr. J. Biol. Sci.* 9:463-493.
- Isleib, T. G. and J. C. Wynne. 1983. Heterosis in testcrosses of 27 exotic peanut cultivars. *Crop Sci.* 23:832-841.
- Layrisse, A., J. C. Wynne and T. G. Isleib. 1980. Combining ability for yield, protein and oil of peanut lines from South American Centers of diversity. *Euphytica* 29:561-570.
- Mohammed, J., J. C. Wynne and J. O. Rawlings. 1978. Early generation variability and heritability estimates in crosses of virginia and spanish peanuts. *Oleagineux* 33:81-86.
- Nigam, S. N., S. L. Dwivedi, T. S. N. Sigamani and R. W. Gibbons. 1984. Character association among vegetative and reproductive traits in advanced generation of intersubspecific and intrasubspecific crosses in peanut. *Peanut Sci.* 11:95-98.
- Sandhu, B. S. and A. S. Khera. 1976. The role of epistasis in the inheritance of yield and its components in groundnut. *Crop Improvement* 3:9-17.
- Sangha, A. S. and K. S. Labana. 1982. Diallel analysis in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) Part II. Pod number and pod yield. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 64:59-63.
- Singh, M. and K. S. Labana. 1980. Combining ability in groundnut. *Crop Improvement.* 7:123-128.
- Swe, S. T. and W. D. Branch. 1986. Estimates of combining ability and heterosis among peanut cultivars. *Peanut Sci.* 13:70-74.
- Topham, P. B. 1966. Diallel analysis involving maternal and maternal interaction effects. *Heredity* 21:665-674.
- Wynne, J. C., D. A. Emery and P. W. Rice. 1970. Combining ability estimates in *Arachis hypogaea* L. II. Field performance of F₁ hybrids. *Crop Sci.* 10:713-715.
- Wynne, J. C., J. O. Rawlings and D. A. Emery. 1975. Combining ability estimates in *Arachis hypogaea* L. III. F₂ generations of intra- and inter-subspecific crosses. *Peanut Sci.* 2:50-54.

Accepted April 18, 1989