Check for updates

Genome-wide association mapping for identification of sheath blight resistance loci from wild rice *Oryza rufipogon*

Sumit K. Aggarwal · Palvi Malik · Kumari Neelam[®] · Kishor Kumar · Rupinder Kaur · Jagjeet S. Lore · Kuldeep Singh

Received: 3 June 2022 / Accepted: 25 August 2022 / Published online: 15 September 2022 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature B.V. 2022

Abstract Sheath blight (ShB) caused by *Rhizoctonia solani* is one of the serious constraints that hamper global rice production. It causes severe yield loss of up to 69% under favorable environmental conditions. The application of chemical fungicides remains the primary approach for the management of this disease. But, overuse of chemical fungicides causes potential health and environmental risk. The use of host plant resistance is a very effective, economic, and sustainable strategy to control sheath blight disease in rice. In this report, we have evaluated 405 accessions of *Oryza rufipogon* for four ShB-related traits i.e. lesion height (LH), plant height (PH), relative lesion length (RLH), and disease score (DS) during the years 2015,

Sumit K. Aggarwal and Palvi Malik contributed equally to this work.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-022-03091-z.

S. K. Aggarwal Department of Plant Pathology, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana 141004, India

S. K. Aggarwal ICAR-Indian Institute of Maize Research, PAU Campus, Ludhiana 141004, India

P. Malik · K. Neelam (⊠) · K. Kumar · K. Singh School of Agricultural Biotechnology, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana 141004, India e-mail: kneelam@pau.edu 2016, and 2017. A total of 44,109 high-quality SNP markers on 301 accessions were employed to identify significant marker-trait associations using a genomewide association study (GWAS). The GWAS analysis revealed a total of 22 significant SNPs associated with the ShB-related traits distributed on all the rice chromosomes except 10 and 12. Among them, eleven were associated with RLH, seven with PH, and one each with LH and DS. The SNPs on chromosomes 3 and 9 were associated with multiple traits. Furthermore, seven ShB resistance loci were also found to be co-localized with previously reported ShB resistance genes/QTLs. These findings provide valuable information to identify key SNPs associated with ShB resistance. Significantly associated SNPs could be used for introgression of ShB resistance traits into rice cultivars using marker-assisted selection.

K. Kumar

Faculty Centre for Integrated Rural Development and Management, Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda Educational and Research Institute, Narendrapur, Kolkata 700103, India

R. Kaur \cdot J. S. Lore (\boxtimes)

Department of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana 141004, India e-mail: jagjeetsingh-pbg@pau.edu

K. Singh International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Patancheru, Telangana, India **Keywords** Sheath Blight · GWAS · *Oryza rufipogon* · Marker-trait associations · Minimum Bayes factor · *Rhizoctonia solani*

Introduction

Rice sheath blight (ShB) disease, caused by a soilborne, necrotrophic fungus Rhizoctonia solani Kühn (R. solani, teleomorph: Thanatephorus cucumeris), is one of the most destructive diseases of rice (Oryza sativa L.). Worldwide, the yield losses have been estimated up to 69% (Lee and Rush 1983; Rush and Lee 1992; Sivalingam et al. 2006) and in India alone, it causes approximately 20% yield loss (Ghosh et al. 2016; Yuan et al. 2019). The widespread adaptation of high-yielding semi-dwarf cultivars combined with the application of high levels of nitrogenous fertilizers has led to increased severity of this pathogen (Savary et al. 2000). The disease initially occurs in the leaf sheath and spreads upwards, creating lesions in the leaf and leaf sheath (Goad et al. 2020). The disease is highly destructive when it reaches the panicle and reduces the grain quality by infecting the grains.

Application of fungicides and cultural practices remain the primary method to manage this disease (Chin and Bhandhufalck 1990; Damicone et al. 1993). However, the use of chemical fungicides is costly, ineffective, and potentially harmful to the environment, and human health (Groth et al. 1990). Furthermore, overuse of these chemical fungicides has resulted in the resurgence of new resistant pathotypes. Breeding resistant varieties are believed to be one of the most economical, safe, and effective strategies to manage this disease. So far, no germplasm with complete resistance to sheath blight is known in O. sativa and its wild relatives (Chen et al. 2000; Eizenga et al. 2002; Liu et al. 2006; Zhang et al. 2006). However, significant variation in resistance to sheath blight has been observed among rice cultivars in many reports (Pinson et al. 2005; Jia et al. 2007; Channamallikarjuna et al., 2010; Zuo et al., 2013, 2014; Yadav et al. 2015; Goad et al. 2020). To date, only a few varieties and lines have been reported as promising resistance sources that exhibit stable resistance to sheath blight, such as Tetep (Channamallikarjuna et al. 2010), Teqing (Li et al. 1995; Pinson et al. 2005), and Jasmine 85 (Liu et al. 2009; Zou et al. 2000), etc. Thus, exploring new resistant germplasm has become an international mandate (Xie et al. 1992; Zuo et al. 2009; Jia et al. 2007).

Rice sheath blight resistance loci have been reported to be quantitative in nature and controlled by many quantitative traits loci (QTLs) or polygenes (Li et al. 1995; Jia et al. 2007). More than 110 QTLs for sheath blight have been detected on all the 12 chromosomes of rice using different bi-parental mapping populations including F2, backcross, doubled haploid, recombinant inbred lines, etc. (Li et al. 2021; Molla et al. 2020; Zhang et al. 2019). Among them, the majority of the QTLs exhibit minor effects on the trait. Only a few loci such as qShB9-2 (Liu et al. 2009) and *qSBR11-1* (Channamallikarjuna et al. 2010) have been reported as major QTLs. In addition, qSB9TQ (Zuo et al. 2014) and qSB-11LE (Zuo et al. 2013) have been fine mapped but none of the ShB resistance QTLs have been cloned yet. Despite the rapid progress in the mapping of ShB resistance QTLs, very few QTLs are being exploited in rice breeding programs. Traditional QTL mapping is very time-consuming and requires a large bi-parental population segregating for the trait of interest. Moreover, limited recombination in the bi-parental population reduces the resolution of QTL analysis. In contrast, genome-wide association study (GWAS) allows the identification of genes at higher resolution as GWAS captures ancient recombination by employing diverse and natural populations. In rice, very few reports have utilized the GWAS approach for the identification of sheath blight resistance genes by employing cultivated varieties or lines (Chen et al. 2019; Jia et al. 2012; Sun et al. 2014; Zhang et al. 2019).

Wild relatives of rice are valuable sources of important agronomic traits as well as genes for abiotic and biotic stress tolerance (Brar et al. 1991). So far, wild species of rice have not been widely utilized for the identification and mapping of ShB resistance QTLs despite their potential to carry unique resistance alleles.

Earlier studies have identified a few wild relatives of rice such as *O. minuta* acc. IRGC101089 and *O. rufipogon* acc. IRGC100907 to be potential sources of resistance to ShB (Amante et al. 1990). Few accessions of *O. nivara* were also shown to be resistant to sheath blight (Eizenga et al. 2009; Prasad and Eizenga 2008). Out of them, two moderately resistant accessions of *O. nivara* (IRGC100898 and IRGC104705) were used to map sheath blight and blast resistance genes (Eizenga et al. 2013). Recently, Aggarwal et al (2019) reported novel sources of sheath blight resistance in *O. nivara*. More recently, mapping the population derived from weedy rice was employed to map new genes conferring resistance to sheath blight disease (Goad et al. 2020).

In this study, O. rufipogon diversity panel comprising 405 accessions was evaluated for sheath blight resistance for three years. Among them, 301 accessions were employed for GWAS analysis revealed a total of 22 strong associations for the sheath blight resistance. Candidate genes were predicted underlying significant SNP markers identified 13 genic SNPs. The functional annotation revealed several novel genes involved in various disease resistance such as protease inhibitors, ATPases, cyclins, F-box domaincontaining proteins, patatins. Some of the known genes such as thaumatin, Ser/Thr protein kinase, receptor-like protein kinase, and Lucine-rich repeat receptor kinase have also been identified in this study which showed the reliability of GWAS method. These genes could be used for cloning and functional characterization to elucidate the resistance mechanism to sheath blight disease. Our report catalogues some novel genes against sheath blight disease. SNP markers linked to resistance loci would serve as potential genomic resources for developing sheath blightresistant elite cultivars with broadened genetic bases.

Materials and methods

O. rufipogon diversity panel

The diversity panel consisting of 405 accessions of *O. rufipogon* was originally procured from International Rice Research Institute (IRRI, Manila, Philippines) and National Rice Research Institute (NRRI), Cuttack, India. The detailed information on *O. rufipogon* accessions is listed in Supplementary Table 1. The diversity panel was screened for sheath blight resistance under field conditions during the years 2015, 2016, and 2017. Seeds of each accession along with susceptible check PR114 were sown in the nursery and 30-days old seedlings were transplanted in the experimental field according to randomized block design (RBD) with three replications. The plant material was raised as per the package of practices (POP) for rice recommended by Punjab Agricultural University (PAU), Ludhiana, India. Each row (30 cm x 60 cm spacing) comprised of five plants. Of these, three central plants were inoculated with highly pathogenic local Ludhiana isolate to screen the wild accessions.

Evaluation of sheath blight resistance

The fungal strain was isolated from the susceptible cultivar PR121 showing sheath blight symptoms. The infected leaf sheaths were sliced into 1 cm pieces and washed in running tap water followed by surface sterilization with 0.1 percent aqueous solution of mercuric chloride for one minute in a Petri plate and washed thrice with autoclaved distilled water to remove remnants of the chemical. The surface-sterilized sheath pieces were plated into the sterilized Petri-plates containing potato dextrose agar medium (PDA) followed by their incubation at 26 ± 2 °C for 5–7 under a BOD incubator. The pathogen was mass multiplied on maize meal-sand (1:3) medium supplemented with 20 g sucrose. The one-week-old culture of R. solani was transferred to a maize meal-sand medium and incubated at 27 ± 2 °C. The inoculum was ready to use for inoculation within 10 days (Lore et al. 2012). Disease evaluation was done by placing the inoculum prepared on maize meal-sand medium at the rate of 5 gm in the central region of plant hills at maximum tillering stage (Lore et al. 2012). Disease assessment was made 21 days after inoculation (DAI) under field conditions. The lesion height (LH) was recorded from the base of the plant to the tip of the topmost lesions on the stem. Plant height (PH) was also measured from the bottom of the plant to the top leaf. Relative lesion height (RLH) was calculated using the following formula given by Sharma et al (1990).

$$RLH (\%) = \frac{\text{Lesion height}}{\text{Plant height}} \times 100$$

Disease score (DS) was measured based on the Standard Evaluation System (SES) for rice sheath on a scale of 0–9 (IRRI, 2014). The screening for disease reaction was also done during the years 2016 and 2017.

Statistical analysis

Data were analysed using the general linear model procedure (PROC GLM) in the SAS system (SAS, Cary, NC). Correlation coefficients for sheath blight and its component traits recorded across different seasons were determined by the correlation procedure (PROC CORR) in SAS. The least significant difference (LSD) values were calculated using PROC GLM. The cluster is reported as an unweighted pair group method using arithmetic means (UPGMA) based on the Mahalanobis distance algorithm using PAST 3.0 software (Hammer et al. 2001).

Genome-wide association mapping

The genome-wide association mapping was performed in a panel of 301 accessions using Genomic Association and Prediction Integrated Tool version 3.0 (GAPIT 3.0) program (Lipka et al. 2012; Wang and Zhang 2019). A total of 44,109 SNPs comprised the working SNP set for the association study. Of the 405 accessions, genotypic data of 301 accessions was procured from Malik et al. (2022). The working set of SNP markers from 301 accessions together with pooled phenotypic data was used for GWAS analysis The fixed and Random Model Circulating Unification (FarmCPU) model was used to determine the associations between each SNP and traits related to ShB resistance (LH, PH, RLH, and DS). Principal components (PC) and FaSTLMM algorithm-based kinship computed from SNP data describing the genetic structure of the panel were iteratively added to the FarmCPU model to avoid false positives.

Prediction of putative candidate gene

The SNP markers associated with ShB-related traits were used to predict putative candidate genes using the MSU Rice Genome Annotation Project database (RGAP) V.7 (https://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/). LD was calculated using the parameter of r2. LD was calculated using popLDdecay program in unix. The distance where the r2 value dropped to half of the maximum value was designated to be the LD region and that value was calculated to be 10 Kb. Thus, 20 kb region pertaining to 10 kb upstream and 10 Kb downstream of the significant SNP was scanned to find out loci/genes that could be associated with the trait

of interest. This was followed by *in-silico* functional annotation of the loci to establish their relationship with ShB resistance.

Results

Evaluation of wild accessions of *Oryza rufipogon* and statistical analysis

A total of 405 accessions of O. rufipogon with susceptible check PR114 were screened under natural field conditions. Significant variations were observed for three ShB-related traits during three years of screening. Based on the standard evaluation system of rice, 22 accessions (5.43%) were moderately resistant (MR), 297 accessions (73.33%) were moderately susceptible (MS), and 86 accessions (21.23%) were susceptible (Fig. 1). The PH ranged from 53 to 200 cm, LH ranged from 18 to 103 cm, relative lesion height (RLH) ranged from 13.15% to 93.18% and DS ranged from 1 to 9. The distance-based hierarchical clustering was performed using the Mahalanobis method based on RLH and DS. All the accessions were clustered into 4 groups (namely G1, G2, G3, and G4). Group G4 comprised accessions that showed the MR reaction with the minimum values of LH, RLH, and DS i.e., 37.52 cm, 27.38%, and 3 respectively. This was followed by the G2 group which showed MS reaction with moderate LH (49.03 cm), RLH (35.86%), and DS (5.01), respectively. Group G1 had the highest values of LH, RLH and DS i.e. 65.10 cm, 70.12%, and 8.23 respectively (Table 1). Further, cluster analysis performed on twenty-two



Fig. 1 Pie chart describing the proportion of disease response in *Oryza rufipogon* panel during 2015

Groups	Acc no.	РН	Range of PH	LH	Range of LH	Mean RLH	Range of RLH	DS	Range of DS
G1	25	93.38	56-123.33	65.10	39.67-86.67	70.12	59.42-86.90	8.23	5–9
G2	296	137.65	68-193.33	49.03	22.33-73.67	35.86	30.26-51.47	5.01	5–7
G3	62	113.21	65.33-158	55.75	31.33-90.67	49.58	36.16-57.40	6.94	5–7
G4	22	138.41	101.33-179.33	37.52	28.67-52	27.38	22.14-29.69	3	3–3

Table 1 Grouping of 405 accessions of O. rufipogon during 2015

moderately resistant accessions which exhibit consistent MR response over 2 seasons of screening showed clear grouping of accessions in 4 groups (G1, G2, G3, and G4). The first group G1 had susceptible check PR114 that exhibits the highest LH (62.67 cm), RLH (62.40%), and DS (7.0) respectively. The group G2 also had one accession which showed MR with LH (36.83 cm), RLH (29.51), and DS (3.0) respectively. Group G4 had 3 accessions with the susceptible level of disease reaction. However, group G3 had 19 accessions that showed MR reaction with the lowest values of LH (31.44 cm), RLH (25.26%), and DS (3.0) respectively (Fig. 2).

Effect of *Oryza rufipogon* genotypes on disease variables

Based on evaluation during the year 2015, 22 moderately resistant accessions of *O. rufipogon* were further evaluated during the years 2016 and 2017.



Fig. 2 Dendrogram representation among Oryza rufipogon accessions during 2016 and 2017

Table 2 Analysis of variance for effects of <i>O</i> . <i>rufipogon</i> genotypes on different disease variables of rice sheath blight	Disease variables	Effect	Df	F ratio	$P > F^*$	LSD
	Plant height	Exp	1	105.45	<.0001	7.89
		Genotypes	22	9.05	<.0001	25.81
		Exp x Genotypes	22	6.38	<.0001	33.17
	Lesion height	Exp	1	2.43	0.1226	NS
	C C	Genotypes	22	16.62	<.0001	7.67
		Exp x Genotypes	22	3.55	<.0001	12.39
	Relative lesion height	Exp	1	24.48	0.0003	2.85
		Genotypes	22	27.88	<.0001	4.85
		Exp x Genotypes	22	1.09	0.3727	NS
	Disease score	Exp	1	22.37	<.0001	0.43
NS Non significant		Genotypes	22	11.87	<.0001	1.01
*Significant at 5% level of confidence		Exp x Genotypes	22	1.26	0.2187	NS

All the genotypes had a significant (P < 0.001) effect on all the disease variables. The experiment interaction had a significant (P < 0.001) effect on all the disease variables except LH (P > 0.12). The O. rufipogon genotype x experiment interaction had a significant (P < 0.001) effect on all ShB components traits except RLH (P=0.37) and disease score (P=0.21) (Table 2). Of these, 19 accessions namely CR100004, CR100472B, CR100469, CR100465, CR100462A, CR100461B, CR100438, CR100436, CR100309, CR100036, CR100018A, IRGC104404D, IRGC104404A, IRGC103404, IRGC80762, IRGC80660, IRGC80610, IRGC80600, IRGC805629 were found moderately resistant, 3 accessions namely CR100002, CR100006A, IRGC106512 were moderately susceptible during the year 2016 (Table 3). No accession showed a completely resistant reaction. PH ranged from 82 to 189 cm, LH ranged from 19 to 66 cm, RLH ranged from 12.96 to 66%, and DS ranged from 1 to 9 for both years (Table 3).

Disease variables and their correlation with genotypes

The different disease variables measured were significantly (P < 0.05) correlated. The RLH had a positive correlation with LH (0.76) and DS (0.89). The LH also showed a positive correlation of 0.68 with the disease score. There was a negative correlation between PH and DS with a correlation coefficient of -0.24 (Table 4). Genome-wide association mapping

GWAS performed on a set of 44,109 SNPs identified 22 strong associations for the four traits LH, PH, RLH, and DS (Table 5). The SNP density has been presented chromosome-wise in Fig. 3. The issue of false positives was controlled by modelling kinship and 2 PCs as identified by the BIC criterion. P-value based on the minimum Bayes Factor calculated to be 4.21838E-05 was used as a threshold for determining the significance level in the current study. Significant associations were obtained on all the chromosomes except the 10th and 12th as evident from Manhattan plots (Fig. 4). Only two genomic regions on chromosomes 3 and 9 were strongly associated with multiple traits, PH and RLH. Besides these regions, 7 and 11 MTAs were obtained for PH and RLH, respectively, in addition to one strong association obtained each for LH and DS. It has also been observed that 81.8% of the genomic regions significantly associated with RLH were also associated with PH at p < 0.05. Similarly, SNPs significantly related to PH were also associated with DS and LH. The genomic regions strongly associated with LH showed association with DS and vice-versa at *p*-value < 0.05, indicating these two traits to be correlated. The association trends seen at the genomic level were also observed at the phenotypic level as evident from significant positive values of the Pearson correlation coefficient observed between the genotypically-associated traits as evident in Table 4.

Table 3 Evaluation of promising O. rufipogon

promising <i>O. rufipogon</i>	5.INO	Acc. No	Year 2016				Year 2017			
accessions for sheath blight			PH	LH	RLH	DS	PH	LH	RLH	DS
2017 2016 and	1	IRGC80562	133.33	29.00	22.08	3	110.67	24.67	24.4	3
	2	IRGC80600	137.33	34.3	24.97	3	97.67	23.67	24.19	3
	3	IRGC80610	126.33	30.33	24.91	3	116.33	28.67	24.63	3
	4	IRGC80660	119.0	26.33	23.26	3	96.33	24.33	25.21	3
	5	IRGC80762	105.33	26.00	24.79	3	111.67	25.33	22.68	3
	6	IRGC103404	138.67	29.67	22.49	3	106.17	30.33	28.5	3
	7	IRGC104404A	181	39.67	22.1	3	120.67	28.67	23.73	3
	8	IRGC104404D	145.33	36.00	24.77	3	94.33	23.67	25.09	3
	9	CR100018A	124.67	31.33	25.31	3	108.67	30.67	28.21	3
	10	CR100036	135.67	34.33	25.25	3	95	28	29.44	3
	11	CR100309	128.67	32.00	25.05	3	163.33	43.67	26.77	3
	12	CR100436	147.33	34.33	22.86	3	86.33	25.67	29.72	3
	13	CR100438	154.67	34.67	22.71	3	102.33	25	24.43	3
	14	CR100461B	167	40.67	24.07	3	109.67	30.67	27.95	3
	15	CR100462A	134	34.33	25.69	3	113.67	30.67	26.75	3
	16	CR100465	141	35.00	24.70	3	99.33	27.67	27.84	3
	17	CR100469	175.33	41.67	23.85	3	156	46	29.47	3
	18	CR100472B	151	37.17	24.96	3	104.33	27.67	26.53	3
	19	CR100002	160	45.67	29.15	3	152.67	59.33	38.84	5
	20	CR100004	111.67	32.33	29.06	3	137.67	41.33	29.95	3
	21	CR100006A	144.33	42.33	29.34	3	141.67	51.67	36.36	5
	22	IRGC106512	116	33.67	29.46	3	121.67	45.33	37.52	5
	23	PR114	99.67	60	60.15	7	101	65.33	64.71	7
	Standard error		3.04	1.12	1.03	0.15	2.58	1.44	1.01	0.15
	LSD(C.D) 5%		3.18	5.67	3.25	1.10	9.80	6.33	4.22	1.18

Disease variables	Plant height (cm)	Lesion height (cm)	Relative height (%)	Lesion	Disease score
Plant Height(cm)	1	0.41*	-0.24**		- 0.24 **
Lesion Height(cm)		1	0.76 *		0.68 *
Relative Lesion Height (%)			1		0.89 *
Disease score					1

Table 4 Pearson
correlation coefficients
between the different
disease variables of rice
sheath blight among O.
rufipogon genotypes

*Significant level at 5% ** at 1%)

The present study revealed only a single genomic region on chromosome 1 to be strongly associated with LH which altered the trait over its mean value by 18%. However, for RLH, 11 genomic regions on chromosomes 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 11 showed significant association with effects ranging from 3.12% to 8.66% over the mean value of the trait. Most of these regions also showed an association with LH and PH at a *p*-value < 0.05. Of the 9 SNPs significantly associated with PH, two SNPs with the highest effect on chromosomes 3 and 6 altered the trait by a value of 9% over the mean value. The MTA that altered the trait RLH maximally was found on chromosome 2. A single genomic region on chromosome 6 was found to be strongly associated with DS and altered the trait over its mean value by 15.84%.

Table 5 GWAS analysis identified significant marker- trait associations in a panel of O. rufipogon accessions

Significant SNPs	Traits	Chromosome	Physical position (Mb)	Locus Id	Encoded protein	Reported gene/QTL
S1_2885944	LH, DS	1	2.87–2.89	LOC_Os01g06020	Aspartyl tRNA synthetase	
\$3_15,307,919	PH, RLH	3	15.29–15.31	LOC_Os03g26800	LTPL51—Protease inhibitor/seed storage/LTP fam- ily protein	
				LOC_0s03g26820	LTPL52—Pro- tease inhibitor/ seed storage/LTP family protein precursor	
				LOC_Os03g26840	OsFBX93—F-box domain contain- ing protein	
S6_4,029,679	РН	6	4.01-4.03	LOC_Os06g08300	FAD dependent oxidoreductase domain contain- ing protein	
				LOC_Os06g08310	Plasma membrane ATPase	
				LOC_Os06g08320	60S ribosomal protein L39	
S3_8,444,174	PH, DS	3	8.43-8.45	LOC_Os03g15420	Dynamin family protein	
				LOC_Os03g15430	Ser/Thr protein phosphatase fam- ily protein	
S7_7,314,458	PH, DS	7	7.30–7.32	LOC_Os07g12770	Transmembrane amino acid trans- porter protein	<i>qSB-7</i> (Zou et al. 2000)
				LOC_Os07g12780	Cyclin, putative, expressed	
\$5_26,958,980	PH, RLH	5	26.94–26.96	LOC_Os05g46530	Invertase/pectin methylesterase inhibitor	<i>qSB-5</i> (Han et al. 2003)
				LOC_Os05g46550	Adaptor complexes medium subunit family domain containing protein	
				LOC_Os05g46560	RAN GTPase-acti- vating protein 1	
				LOC_Os05g46570	WD domain, G-beta repeat domain contain- ing protein	
				LOC_Os05g46580	Polyprenyl syn- thetase	
89_21,632,022	PH, RLH	9	21.62–21.64	LOC_0s09g37520	DUF630/DUF632 domains contain- ing protein	
S3_16736722	РН	3	16.72–16.74	LOC_Os03g29389		

 Table 5 (continued)

Significant SNPs	Traits	Chromosome	Physical position (Mb)	Locus Id	Encoded protein	Reported gene/QTL
S4_35068903	РН	4	35.05-35.07	LOC_Os04g58940		
				LOC_Os04g58960	Regulator of chromosome condensation	
\$2_29,199,388	PH, RLH	2	29.18–29.20	LOC_Os02g47744	MYB family tran- scription factor	<i>qSB-2</i> (Pinson et al. 2005)
				LOC_0s02g47760	AAA-type ATPase family protein	,
				LOC_Os02g47770	ZF-HD protein dimerisation region containing protein	
S7_3,920,076	RLH, LH	7	39.10–39.30	LOC_Os07g07790	LTPL75—Pro- tease inhibitor/ seed storage/LTP family protein precursor	
				LOC_Os07g07800	PHA synthase	
S4_25,149,326	RLH, LH	4	25.13–25.15	LOC_Os04g42480	Receptor-like protein kinase At3g46290 pre- cursor	
				LOC_0s04g42490	DIE2/ALG10 family, putative, expressed	
				LOC_Os04g42500	Trafficking protein particle complex subunit, putative, expressed	
S7_20,921,579	RLH , PH	7	20.91–20.93	LOC_ Os07g034900	Aspartic proteinase nepenthesin pre- cursor, putative, expressed	<i>qshb7.2</i> (Yadav et al. 2015)
				LOC_ Os07g034910	Aspartic proteinase nepenthesin pre- cursor, putative, expressed	
				LOC_ Os07g034920	Aspartic proteinase nepenthesin pre- cursor, putative, expressed	
\$5_29,097,966	RLH	5	29.08–29.10	LOC_0s05g50750	AAA family ATPase, putative, expressed	
S2_25,483,277	RLH , PH	2	25.47–25.49	LOC_Os02g42370	Receptor-like protein kinase 2 precursor, puta- tive, expressed	<i>qSB-2</i> (Pinson et al. 2005)
				LOC_Os02g42380	e2f-associated phosphopro- tein, putative, expressed	

Significant SNPs	Traits	Chromosome	Physical position (Mb)	Locus Id	Encoded protein	Reported gene/QTL
\$8_5,347,068	RLH, PH	8	53.37–53.57	LOC_Os08g09210	Phosphoribo- sylamine–glycine ligase, putative, expressed	<i>qshb8.1</i> (Yadav et al. 2015)
				LOC_0s08g09220	OsFBX262—F-box domain contain- ing protein, expressed	
				LOC_Os08g09230	Starch synthase III	
S2_11,343,884	RLH, LH	2	11.33–11.35	LOC_Os02g19440	Sirohydrochlorin ferrochelatase	<i>qSBR-2</i> (Kunihiro et al. 2002)
S3_15,836,747	RLH, PH	3	15.82–15.84	LOC_Os03g27590	OsSCP18—Puta- tive Serine Carboxypeptidase homologue	
				<u>LOC_Os03g27610</u>	Patatin, putative, expressed	
S2_7,170,057	RLH , PH	2	71.60–71.80	LOC_Os02g13420	Leucine-rich repeat receptor protein kinase	
				LOC_Os02g13430	Receptor-like pro- tein kinase 5	
				LOC_Os02g13450	MEGL7—Mater- nally expressed gene	
S6_28,836,919	RLH	6	28.82–28.84	LOC_Os06g47600	Thaumatin family domain contain- ing protein	
				LOC_0s06g47620	Peptidase	
				LOC_Os06g47630	Expressed protein	
\$11_19,435,536	RLH , PH	11	19.42–19.44	LOC_Os11g32880	DEAD-box ATP- dependent RNA helicase	<i>qSBR-11</i> (Kunihiro et al. 2002
				<u>LOC_0s11g32900</u>	Retinoblastoma- related protein- like	
S6_9975427	DS, LH	6	9.96–9.98	LOC_0s06g17220	UDP-glycosyltrans- ferase	

Table 5 (continued)

^{*}The traits marked in bold indicate primary traits (significant at p-value < 4.21838E-05) and remaining are secondary traits (p-value < 0.05). The italicized and underlined loci harbor significant SNPs

Candidate genes prediction

Candidate genes were predicted by searching the physical position of significant SNP markers in a 20-Kb window in the rice genome annotation project database. A list of predicted candidate genes is given in Table 5. Of the 22 significant MTAs, 13 were genic SNPs. The functional annotation revealed their

roles as protease inhibitors, ATPases, cyclins, F-box domain-containing proteins, patatins. Apart from these, functional annotation of 36 more loci in the LD region was also done. Moreover, 6 strongly associated SNPs were found to be in the LD region/ overlapped previously reported 5 genes/QTLs associated with ShB resistance, providing analytical proof of our study.



Fig. 3 Number of SNPs within 1 Mb window for all the 12 chromosomes

Discussion

Variations in O. rufipogon for ShB resistance

Developing sheath blight-resistant rice cultivars is likely the most effective, economic, and environmentfriendly approach for managing sheath blight disease compared to the overall usage of fungicides (Molla et al. 2020).

Several germplasm resources showing sheath blight resistance have been reported (Aggarwal et al. 2019; Bashyal et al. 2017; Eizenga et al. 2002; Pavani et al. 2020; Prasad and Eizenga 2008; Srinivasachary et al. 2013). But being a quantitative trait, sheath blight resistance is controlled by multiple minor genes, thus, highly influenced by environmental conditions which limit the accuracy of phenotypic data. However, most of these studies have been conducted in cultivated germplasm. A very few reports have been published that have shown the evaluation of wild species against sheath blight (Aggarwal et al. 2019; Bashyal et al. 2017; Ram et al. 2008). So far, wild species of rice have not been extensively exploited in the breeding program for developing sheath blightresistant cultivars. In the present study, 405 accessions of O. rufipogon showed a variable reaction to sheath blight indicating the existence of genetic variability among them. Based on disease reaction over three years of screening, 19 accessions showing moderately resistant reactions have been identified.

Wild rice O. rufipogon is a progenitor of present day rice cultivars. It carries several novel genetic variations for various agronomically important traits including resistance to pathogens, insect pests, and abiotic stresses. Several wild accessions of O. rufipogon have been identified as potential donors for diseases and insect pest resistance genes/QTL such as bacterial blight (Wang et al. 2015a, b; Xing et al. 2021), Blast (Huang et al. 2008), brown planthopper (Huang et al. 2013; Kaur et al. 2022; Li et al. 2019a, b; Wang et al. 2015a, b). Neelam et al. (2017) utilized wild accessions of O. rufipogon for assessment of the phosphorus uptake efficiency. Wild species O. rufipogon also acts as a rich pool for yield and yield component traits (Reddy et al. 2005). It has been exploited for the identification of yield-enhancing traits such as grain size (Septiningsih et al. 2003; Xie et al. 2006), grain number (Fu et al. 2010; Xiao et al. 1998; Xie et al. 2008), spikelet per panicle (Gaikwad et al. 2014; Luo et al. 2013) and grain weight (Bhatia et al. 2018). In this study, we found that large diversity (14 accessions out of 22 accessions) for sheath blight resistance was reflected that were collected from pan India (CR series) and 8 accessions (IRGC series) were from other countries, suggesting that India and the Asian continent could be a possible hot spot zone for finding sheath blight resistance genes. In our previous report, we found that several accessions of O. nivara were found as promising donors against sheath blight (Aggarwal et al.

Fig. 4 Manhattan plots depicting significant marker-trait associations for various traits LH, PH, RLH, and DS from top to bottom where red dotted line marks p-value threshold of 4.21838E-5



2019). These accessions can be utilized for the genetic enhancement of elite cultivars.

ShB resistance loci

GWAS is frequently used to dissect complex traits governed by multiple QTLs at the molecular level. In contrast to the few recombinations observed in a structured biparental population, GWAS takes advantage of numerous historical recombination in a large, diverse panel, leading to a higher mapping resolution. Moreover, with the availability of high-density SNP markers, the causative OTNs underlying the trait of interest are easily captured. Zhu et al 2015 identified six QTLs for cold tolerance at the booting stage by employing the technique of GWAS. By utilizing a high-density SNP marker set along with NILs, the researchers fine mapped the stably expressed QTL, qCT-3-2 to a 192.9 Kb region. Moreover, GWAS coupled with DNA sequence alignments and haplotype analysis helps breeders identify haplotypes associated with the desirable phenotypic value of the trait under study, as has been documented in Malik et al (2022) and Hussain et al. (2022) for productivity-related traits and grain length, respectively. Genetic basis of ionomic variations was revealed through GWAS in rice (Yang et al. 2018). GWAS was employed for identifying candidate gene underlying grain quality traits rice (Verma et al. 2022). Thus, GWAS coupled with post-analysis not only helps dissect the genetic region at a short interval but also leads to the identification of causal SNPs associated with functional variation of the trait ultimately leading to quick utilization of genes/QTLs in breeding programs. Moreover, with the availability of high-density SNP markers, the causative QTNs underlying the trait of interest are easily captured (Malik et al 2022). The present GWAS study identified fifteen genomic locations to be associated with sheath blight resistance in O. rufipogon accessions. These genomic regions were distributed across all the rice chromosomes except 10 and 12. Besides these regions, 7 significant MTAs on chromosomes 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 were obtained for PH. In the present study, the utilization of dense marker coverage allowed the identification of highly significant SNP markers associated with the ShB resistance at a higher resolution that could accelerate the marker-assisted breeding of ShB resistance rice cultivars. The majority of the loci identified in this study are co-localized with the previously mapped ShB resistance QTLS which authenticates our finding. In the present report, out of 22 genomic regions associated with the ShB traits, seven regions overlapped with previously reported genes/QTLs for ShB namely, *qSB2* (Pinson et al. 2005), *qSBR2* (Kunihiro et al. 2002), *qSB-5* (Han et al. 2003), *qSB-7* (Zou et al. 2000), *qshb7.2* (Yadav et al. 2015), *qshb8.1* is at 5.1 Mb (Yadav et al. 2015) and *qSBR11* (Kunihiro et al. 2002). This information indicates the reliability of GWAS methods for the detection of significant SNPs.

Apart from the correlation of the level of infection with the resistance response of germplasm, the biggest complication is its correlation with plant growth, particularly plant height (PH) and heading date (HD) (Channamallikarjuna et al. 2010; Zou et al. 2000). The standard evaluation system for disease scoring is based on a 0-9 scale which measures lesion length above the waterline (Zeng et al. 2015). According to this system, greater PH is correlated with higher resistance. Many reports showed that plant height is frequently correlated with ShB resistance (Channamallikarjuna et al. 2010; Eizenga et al. 2013; Kunihiro et al. 2002; Li et al. 1995; Wen et al. 2015; Zou et al. 2000), and loci for plant height and ShB resistance often co-localized at the same region (Channamallikarjuna et al. 2010; Li et al. 1995; Wen et al. 2015; Zou et al. 2000). Similarly, our study also determined seven regions significantly associated with RLH to be also associated with PH. Moreover, two SNPs on chromosomes 3 and 9 showed strong associations with both PH and RLH.

Functional annotation of candidate genes

In this report, GWAS was conducted to identify the significant association of SNP markers to sheath blight resistance in the accessions of wild rice *O. rufipogon*. Physical scanning of 20 Kb regions around the GWAS identified 22 significant SNP markers identified 69 predicted open reading frames (ORFs). Among them, 46 ORFs encode proteins with a known functional domain while the remaining encodes either a hypothetical or an expressed protein with unknown functions. Out of these, 13 were genic SNPs. The majority of the functional domain-containing genes are involved in the rice immune response against sheath blight and other fungal and bacterial pathogens. The

functional annotation of candidate genomic regions helped establish the roles of genic and LD-region loci in conferring ShB resistance. Functional annotation of loci in the LD region of significant SNPs followed by a detailed literature survey helped establish the role of genomic regions in providing ShB resistance. The role of aspartyl tRNA synthetases in conferring disease resistance has been demonstrated by Luna et al. (2014). Similarly, the role of ATPases linked to S6_4,029,679 in conferring defense response has been functionally analyzed in response to blast fungus Magnaporthe oryzae by Liu et al. (2020). Likewise, the role of Dynamin-related proteins in conferring immunity against bacterial and fungal pathogens has been reported by Tang et al. (2006); Smith et al. (2006); Smith et al. (2014) and Chaparro-Garcia et al. (2015). Durian et al. (2016) have highlighted the role of protein phosphatases in defense response against biotic stresses. The role of cyclins in the detection and adaptation to stress by altering their level and altering the cell cycle in plants has been documented in several studies (Qi and Zhang 2020). Likewise, Liu et al. (2018) established the defense role of pectin methylesterase-inhibiting proteins in response to a fungal infection caused by Verticillium dahliae in cotton where the enzyme interacts with Pectin Methylesterases to regulate the expression of specific fungal polygalacturonase which enhanced resistance. Similarly, the role of adaptor protein complexes in conferring resistance to bacterial blight and rice blast has been determined by Qiao et al (2010). Tameling et al. (2007) have determined Ran GTPase-Activating proteins to physically associate with NB-LRR resistance proteins to confer resistance to Potato virus X in potato. Apart from this, SNP S4 25,149,326 on chromosome 4 was found to be closely linked to LOC Os04g42480 which encodes a receptor-like protein kinase. Earlier, fine-mapping of sheath blight resistance locus qSB11-LE revealed a receptor-like protein kinase in the QTL region on chromosome 11(Zuo et al. 2013). Other than chromosome 4, we have also determined the receptor-like protein kinase genes on chromosome 2 affecting relative lesion height closely linked to the SNPs S2 7,170,057 and S2 25,483,277. Previously, the sheath blight resistance QTL, qSB-2, was identified on chromosome 2 which overlapped these two SNPs (Pinson et al. 2005). Recently, comparative transcriptome analysis showed up-regulation of receptor-like protein kinase with other

pathogenesis-related genes when challenged with R. solani (Yuan et al. 2018). For successful pathogenesis, host-pathogen interaction is very crucial for producing disease symptoms under a favorable environment. Several small molecular weight proteins act as receptors to mediate the interaction of pathogen effectors on the cell surface. The lipid transfers proteins (LTP) belong to a large family of pathogenesisrelated 14 (PR-14) proteins (Finkina et al. 2016). The functional characterization of LTP genes showed that upregulation of these proteins confers the resistance response to the major fungal and bacterial pathogens in plants (Ge et al. 2003; Kim et al. 2006; Maldonado et al. 2002). The inducible expression of several LTP protease inhibitors was also seen during pollen development in the male-sterile plants (Khlaimongkhon et al. 2021; Zhang et al. 2010). In the present report, identification of LTPL51, LTPL52, and LTPL75 linked to S3_15,307,919 and S7_3,920,076 on chromosomes 3 and 7 suggested the possible role of lipid-mediated long-distance signalling of a systemic immune response upon R. solani infection. Several studies suggested that LTP genes are co-expressed with other transcription factors. To date, numerous transcription factors have been reported that are critical for elicitation of resistance response upon pathogen infection. Among them, WRKY30 and WRKY80 are known to enhance the sheath blight resistance by up-regulation of the jasmonic acid biosynthesis pathway (Peng et al. 2012, 2016). Another locus in the LD region of S3_15,307,919 encoded F-box proteins which have been reported by Li et al (2019a, b) to provide resistance to banded leaf and sheath blight in maize, making this genomic region a strong candidate for conferring SHB resistance. Both the genomic regions encoding LTP proteins showed association with RLH. LOC_Os06g47600 in LD region of significant SNP on chromosome 6, encoded thaumatin-like proteins which belong to an important class of pathogenesisrelated protein family (PR-5). The antifungal role of thaumatin-like proteins is well documented in rice against sheath blight disease by overexpression studies (Datta et al. 1999; Kalpana et al. 2006; Velazhahan et al. 1998; Xue et al. 2016). Thaumatin-like proteins associated with the S6_28,836,919 in the GWAS analysis could be a novel gene resource that could be deployed in the breeding elite ShB resistant cultivars. Another significant association obtained on chromosome 6, S6_9975427, harbored in locus encoding

UDP-glycosyltransferases whose over-expression has been reported to confer root tolerance to the mycotoxin and spike resistance to the fungus *Fusarium graminearum* by Pasquet et al. (2016).

The function of retinoblastoma-related genes in conferring powdery mildew resistance in grapes has been documented by Wen et al. (2012). Li et al (2008) have demonstrated the role of an OsBIRH1 coding for DEADbox RNA helicase protein in blast resistance in rice and over-expression studies in Arabidopsis confirmed its role in both abiotic and biotic stresses. However, identification of loci regions coding for MYB transcription factors, Sirohydrochlorin ferrochelatase could not establish a relationship with defense response, indicating that regulation of sheath blight resistance in *O. rufipogon* might have a diverse mechanism that is poorly understood and might require further investigation.

The breeding implication of GWAS for developing ShB resistance cultivars

The development of rice cultivars resistant to ShB is challenging due to the non-availability of promising donors and effective resistance genes, a wide range of host compatibility, and dynamic variability in resistance pathotypes (Molla et al. 2020). So far, no source of competing resistance has been identified in wild and cultivated rice. This report promises identification of a total of 22 accessions of O. rufipogon which showed consistent resistant response across three years of screening to R. solani. These accessions could be used as potential donors for breeding elite ShB-resistant cultivars. Sheath blight resistance in rice is mostly quantitative and controlled by multiple genes. However, due to poor mapping resolution and the use of few SSR markers, most of the loci were mapped to large genomic regions that limit the suitability of QTLs in gene pyramiding through marker-assisted breeding. Fine-mapping of ShB resistance loci allowed the pyramiding of multiple genes in the same genetic background. Numerous studies showed pyramiding of ShB resistance QTLs for developing resistance cultivars (Chen et al. 2014; Ramalingam et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2012; Zuo et al. 2014, 2011). Pyramiding of two ShB resistance QTL $qSB-7^{TQ}$ and $qSB-9^{TQ}$ from Teqing (TQ) was demonstrated to enhance the resistance to sheath blight disease (Chen et al. 2014). Rice lines carrying a single gene separately reduce the disease severity by a 1.0 score in the 0–9 scoring system. While rice lines carrying both genes reduce the disease severity by 1.7. Altering plant architecture plays a pivotal role in disease resistance. Fine mapping of qSB-9TQ showed its co-localization in the genomic region of tiller angle gene $TAC1^{TQ}$ (Zuo et al. 2014). Pyramiding of tiller angle gene TAC1^{TQ} and ShB resistance QTL $qSB-9^{TQ}$ showed the equal effect of resistance as its donor parent Teqing (TQ) with no undesirable effect on phenotypes. It is reasonable that a large tiller angle reduces the compactness of tillers thereby increasing the unfavorable micro-climate for disease development. An equal effect of resistance was also achieved by pyramiding *qSBR7-1*, *qSBR11-1*, and *qSBR11-2* genes from Tetep without inheritance of undesirable loci from the donor parent (Ramalingam et al. 2020). Presently, the use of dense SNP markers using GWAS allows the identification of the resistance locus at a higher resolution. In this report, a total of 22 significant associations harboring 79 genes in LD regions contributed to moderate resistance in O. rufipogon accessions using GWAS. The significant SNPs could be converted into PCR-based markers or KASP markers for introgression of resistance gene into cultivated rice through MAS.

In conclusion, many accessions of *O. rufipogon* display significant variations in resistance to sheath blight. Most of them are collected from a specific zone of India i.e. National Rice Research Institute (NRRI), Cuttack. To transfer the resistance genes into cultivars, the promising resistance accessions were crossed with susceptible cultivars. Of which, few of them are now at advanced yield trials for varietal development. GWAS identified significant SNP markers linked to ShB resistance. These markers could be used for cloning and characterization of the resistance genes. Further, Significant SNPs can be converted to PCR-based markers for marker-assisted selection.

Acknowledgements The authors are grateful to International Rice Research Institute (IRRI), Philippines, and National Rice Research Institute (NRRI), Cuttack, India for sharing wild species germplasm. The authors are also thankful to the Indian Council for Agricultural Research (ICAR), New Delhi for providing funding under the Project "Incentivizing research in agriculture project-IV- Molecular genetic analysis of resistance/tolerance to different stress (Rice)" CS/F. No. 16-8/17-IA IV.

Author contributions KN, KS, JSL- Conceived the idea, proofread the manuscript; SA, JSL- Screening of the germplasm and phenotypic data analysis; PM- Performed GWAS and analysed the results; RK, KN- Handling and maintenance of the germplasm; PM, SA, KK, KN- Writing the manuscript. All the authors read the manuscript carefully and approved it.

Funding The authors are thankful to the Indian Council for Agricultural Research (ICAR), New Delhi for providing funding under the Project "Incentivizing research in agriculture project-IV- Molecular genetic analysis of resistance/tolerance to different stress (Rice)" CS/F. No. 16-8/17-IA IV.

Data availability The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Competing interests The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

References

- Aggarwal SK, Neelam K, Jain J, Kaur R, Pannu PPS, Lenka SK, Lore JS, Singh K (2019) Identification of promising resistance sources against sheath blight from the annual wild species of rice *Oryza nivara* (Sharma et Shastry). Plant Genet Resour 17:554–558
- Amante A, De la Pena R, Stich L, Leung H, Mew T (1990) Sheath blight (ShB) resistance in wild rices. Int Rice Res Newsl 15:1
- Bala R, Goel RK (2007) Detection of sources of multiple disease resistance in different *Oryza* Species. J Res Punjab Agric Univ 44:110–112
- Bashyal BM, Rawat K, Singh D, Gopala Krishnan S, Singh AK, Singh NK, Aggarwal R (2017) Evaluation and identification of new sources of resistance to sheath blight in wild rice accessions. Indian J Genet 77:341–347
- Bhatia D, Wing RA, Yu Y, Chougule K, Kudrna D, Lee S, Rang A, Singh K (2018) Genotyping by sequencing of rice interspecific backcross inbred lines identifies QTLs for grain weight and grain length. Euphytica. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10681-018-2119-1
- Brar DS, Elloran R, Khush GS (1991) Interspecific hybrids produced through embryo rescue between cultivated and eight wild species of rice. Rice Genet Newsl 8:91–93
- Channamallikarjuna V, Sonah H, Prasad M, Rao GJN, Chand S, Upreti HC, Singh NK, Sharma TR (2010) Identification of major quantitative trait loci *qSBR11-1* for sheath blight resistance in rice. Mol Breed 25:155–166
- Chen Z, Feng Z, Kang H, Zhao J, Chen T, Li Q, Gong H, Zhang Y, Chen X, Pan X, Liu W, Wang G, Zuo S (2019) Identification of new resistance loci against sheath blight disease in rice through genome-wide association study. Rice Sci 26:21–31
- Chen ZX, Zou JH, Xu JY, Tong YH, Tang SZ, Wang ZB, Jiang RM, Ning B, Tang J, Pan XB (2000) Preliminary study

on resources of resistance to rice sheath blight. Chin J Rice Sci 14:15–18

- Chen ZX, Zhang YF, Feng F, Feng MH, Jiang W, Ma YY, Pan CH, Hua HL, Li GS, Pan XB, Zuo SM (2014) Improvement of japonica rice resistance to sheath blight by pyramiding *qSB-9TQ* and *qSB-7TQ*. Field Crop Res 161:118–127
- Chin KM, Bhandhufalck A (1990) The importance of crop growth stages for determining the application timing of disease control agents on rice. In: Grayson BT, Green MB, Copping LG (eds) Pest management in rice. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 131–142. https://doi.org/10. 1007/978-94-009-0775-1_9
- Damicone JP, Patel MV, Moore WF (1993) Density of sclerotia of *Rhizoctonia solani* and incidence of sheath blight in rice field in Mississippi. Plant Dis 77:257–260
- Datta K, Velazhahan R, Oliva N, Ona I, Mew T, Khush GS, Muthukrishnan S, Datta SK (1999) Over-expression of the cloned rice thaumatin-like protein (PR-5) gene in transgenic rice plants enhances environmental friendly resistance to *Rhizoctonia solani* causing sheath blight disease. Theor Appl Genet 98:1138–1145
- Durian G, Rahikainen M, Alegre S, Brosché M, Kangasjärvi S (2016) Protein phosphatase 2A in the regulatory network underlying biotic stress resistance in plants. Front Plant Sci 7:812
- Eizenga GC, Lee FN, Rutger JN (2002) Screening of *Oryza* species plants for rice sheath blight. Plant Dis 68:808–812
- Eizenga GC, Agrama HA, Lee FN, Jia Y (2009) Exploring genetic diversity and potential novel disease resistance genes in a collection of rice (*Oryza* spp.) wild relatives. Genet Resour Crop Evol 56:65–76
- Eizenga GC, Prasad B, Jackson AK, Jia MH (2013) Identification of rice sheath blight and blast quantitative trait loci in two different *O. sativa/O. nivara* advanced backcross populations. Mol Breed 31:889–907
- Finkina EI, Melnikova DN, Bogdanov IV (2016) Lipid transfer proteins as components of the plant innate immune system: structure, functions, and applications. Acta Nat 8:47–61
- Fu Q, Zhang P, Tan L, Zhu Z, Ma D, Fu Y, Zhan X, Cai H, Sun C (2010) Analysis of QTLs for yield-related traits in Yuanjiang common wild rice (*Oryza rufipogon* Griff.). J Genet Genom 37(2):147–157. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S1673-8527(09)60033-3
- Gaikwad KB, Singh N, Bhatia D, Kaur R, Bains NS, Bharaj TS, Singh K (2014) Yield-enhancing heterotic QTL transferred from wild species to cultivated rice *Oryza sativa* L. PLoS One. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0096939
- Ge X, Chen J, Li N, Lin Y, Sun C, Cao K (2003) Resistance function of rice lipid transfer protein LTP110. BMB Rep 36:603–607
- Ghosh P, Sen S, Chakraborty J, Das S (2016) Monitoring the efficacy of mutated Allium sativum leaf lectin in transgenic rice against *Rhizoctonia solani*. BMC Biotechnol 16:1–10
- Goad DM, Jia Y, Gibbons A, Liu Y, Gealy D, Caicedo AL, Olsen KM (2020) Identification of novel QTL conferring

sheath blight resistance in two weedy rice mapping populations. Rice 13:1–10

- Groth DE, Rush MC, Lindberg GD (1990) Foliar fungicides for control of rice diseases in the United States. In: Grayson BT, Green MB, Copping LG (eds) Pest management in rice, Springer, Dordrecht. Pp 31–52
- Hammer Ø, Harper DAT, Ryan PD (2001) PAST: paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. Palaeontol Electron 4:9
- Han YP, Xing YZ, Gu SL, Chen ZX, Pan XB, Chen XL (2003) Effect of morphological traits on sheath blight resistance in rice. Acta Bot Cin 45:825–883
- Huang CL, Hwang SY, Chiang YC, Lin TP (2008) Molecular evolution of the Pi-ta gene resistant to rice blast in wild rice (*Oryza rufipogon*). Genetics 179(3):1527–1538. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.108.089805
- Huang D, Qiu Y, Zhang Y, Huang F, Meng J, Wei S, Li R, Chen B (2013) Fine mapping and characterization of BPH27, a brown planthopper resistance gene from wild rice (*Oryza rufipogon* Griff.). Theor Appl Genet 126(1):219–229. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-012-1975-7
- Hussain K, Yingxing Z, Anley W, Riaz A, Abbas A, Rani MH, Hong W, Xihong S, Liyong C, Shihua C (2022) Association mapping of quantitative trait loci for grain size in introgression line derived from *Oryza rufipogon*. Rice Sci 27(3):246–254
- IRRI (2014) Standard evaluation system for rice. 5th Edition, International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos
- Jia Y, Correa-Victoria F, McClung A, Zhu L, Liu G, Wamishe Y, Xie J, Marchetti MA, Pinson SRM, Rutger JN, Correll JC (2007) Rapid determination of rice cultivar responses to the sheath blight pathogen *Rhizoctonia solani* using a micro-chamber screening method. Plant Dis 91:485–489
- Jia L, Yan W, Zhu C, Agrama HA, Jackson A, Yeater K, Li X, Huang B, Hu B, McClung A, Wu D (2012) Allelic analysis of sheath blight resistance with association mapping in rice. PLoS One 7:32703
- Kalpana K, Maruthasalam S, Rajesh T, Poovannan K, Kumar KK, Kokiladevi E, Raja JA, Sudhakar D, Velazhahan R, Samiyappan R, Balasubramanian P (2006) Engineering sheath blight resistance in elite indica rice cultivars using genes encoding defense proteins. Plant Sci 170:203–215
- Chaparro-Garcia A, Schwizer S, Sklenar J, Yoshida K, Petre B, Bos JI, Schornack S, Jones AM, Bozkurt TO, Kamoun S (2015) *Phytophthora infestans* RXLR-WY effector AVR3a associates with dynamin-relatedprotein 2 required for endocytosis of the plant pattern recognition receptor FLS2. PLoS One 10: e0137071
- Kaur P, Neelam K, Sarao PS, Babbar A, Kumar K, Vikal Y, Khanna R, Kaur R, Mangat GS, Singh K (2022) Molecular mapping and transfer of a novel brown planthopper resistance gene bph42 from *Oryza rufipogon* (Griff.) To cultivated rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Mol Biol Rep. https:// doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1287740/v2
- Khlaimongkhon S, Chakhonkaen S, Tongmark K, Sangarwut N, Panyawut N, Wasinanon T, Sikaewtung K, Wanchana S, Mongkolsiriwatana C, Chunwonges J, Muangprom A (2021) RNA sequencing reveals rice genes involved in male reproductive development under temperature alteration. Plants 10: 663.

- Kim TH, Kim MC, Park JH, Han SS, Kim BR, Moon BY, Suh MC, Cho SH (2006) Differential expression of rice lipid transfer protein gene (LTP) classes in response to abscisic acid, salt, salicylic acid, and the fungal pathogen *Magnaporthe grisea*. J Plant Biol 49(5):371–375
- Kumar S, Dwivedi SK, Kumar R, Bhakta N, Prakash V, Rao KK, Kumar R, Yadav S, Choubey AK, Mishra JS (2017) Screening of different rice germplasm against multiple disease under submergence condition in middle indo gangetic plain. Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci 6:335–339
- Kunihiro Y, Qian Q, Sato H, Teng S, Zeng DL, Fujimoto K, Zhu LH (2002) QTL analysis of sheath blight resistance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Acta Genet Sin 29(1):50–55
- Lee FN, Rush MC (1983) Rice sheath blight: a major rice disease. Plant Dis 67:829–832
- Li Z, Pinson SRM, Marchetti MA, Stansel JW, Park WD (1995) Characterization of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) in cultivated rice contributing to field resistance to sheath blight (*Rhizoctonia solani*). Theor Appl Genet 91:382–388
- Li D, Liu H, Zhang H, Wang X, Song F (2008) OsBIRH1, a DEAD-box RNA helicase with functions in modulating defence responses against pathogen infection and oxidative stress. J Exp Bot 59:2133–2146
- Li N, Lin B, Wang H, Li X, Yang F, Ding X, Yan J, Chu Z (2019a) Natural variation in ZmFBL41 confers banded leaf and sheath blight resistance in maize. Nat Genet 51:1540–1548
- Li Z, Xue Y, Zhou H, Li Y, Usman B, Jiao X, Wang X, Liu F, Qin B, Li R, Qiu Y (2019) High-resolution mapping and breeding application of a novel brown planthopper resistance gene derived from wild rice (*Oryza rufipogon* Griff.). Rice. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12284-019-0289-7
- Li D, Li S, Wei S, Sun W (2021) Strategies to Manage Rice Sheath Blight: Lessons from Interactions between Rice and *Rhizoctonia solani*. Rice 14:1–15
- Linh LH, Hang NT, Jin FX, Kang KH, Lee YT, Kwon SJ, Ahn SN (2008) Introgression of a quantitative trait locus for spikelets per panicle from *Oryza minuta* to the *O. sativa* cultivar Hwaseongbyeo. Plant Breed 127:262–267
- Lipka AE, Tian F, Wang Q, Peiffer J, Li M, Bradbury PJ, Gore MA, Buckler ES, Zhang Z (2012) GAPIT: genome association and prediction integrated tool. Bioinformatics 28:2397–2399
- Liu YF, Chen ZY, Ji JA, Liu YZ (2006) Analysis of resistance to sheath blight on the commercial cultivars and new potential breeding lines of Jiangsu Province. Jiangsu J Agric Sci 1:27–28
- Liu G, Jia Y, Correa-Victoria FJ, Prado GA, Yeater KM, McClung A, Correll JC (2009) Mapping quantitative trait loci responsible for resistance to sheath blight in rice. Phytopathology 99:1078–1084
- Liu N, Sun Y, Pei Y, Zhang X, Wang P, Li X, Li F, Hou Y (2018) A pectin methylesterase inhibitor enhances resistance to Verticillium wilt. Plant Physiol 176:2202–2220
- Liu X, Inoue H, Tang X, Tan Y, Xu X, Wang C, Jiang CJ (2020) Rice OsAAA-ATPase1 is induced during blast infection in a salicylic acid-dependent manner, and promotes blast fungus resistance. Int J Mol Sci 21:1443
- Lore JS, Hunjan MS, Thind TS (2012) Standardization of inoculum amount for sheath blight development in rice under field conditions. Pl Dis Res 27:99–101

- Luna E, Van Hulten M, Zhang Y, Berkowitz O, López A, Pétriacq P, Sellwood MA, Chen B, Burrell M, van de Meene A, Pieterse CM (2014) Plant perception of β -aminobutyric acid is mediated by an aspartyltRNA synthetase. Nat chem biol 10: 450–456
- Luo X, Ji SD, Yuan PR, Lee HS, Kim DM, Balkunde S, Kang JW, Ahn SN (2013) QTL mapping reveals a tight linkage between QTLs for grain weight and panicle spikelet number in rice. Rice 6(1):1–10. https://doi.org/10.1186/ 1939-8433-6-33
- Maldonado AM, Doerner P, Dixon RA, Lamb CJ, Cameron RK (2002) A putative lipid transfer protein involved in systemic resistance signalling in Arabidopsis. Nature 419:399–403
- Malik P, Huang M, Neelam K, Bhatia D, Kaur R, Yadav B, Singh J, Sneller C, Singh K (2022) Genotyping-bysequencing based investigation of population structure and genome wide association studies for seven agronomically important traits in a set of 346 Oryza rufipogon accessions. Rice 15:37
- Molla KA, Karmakar S, Molla J, Bajaj P, Varshney RK, Datta SK, Datta K (2020) Understanding sheath blight resistance in rice: The road behind and the road ahead. Plant Biotechnol 18:895–915
- Neelam K, Thakur S, Neha Yadav IS, Kumar K, Dhaliwal SS, Singh K (2017) Novel alleles of phosphorus-starvation tolerance 1 gene (PSTOL1) from *Oryza rufipogon* confers high phosphorus uptake efficiency. Front Plant Sci. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00509
- Pasquet JC, Changenet V, Macadré C, Boex-Fontvieille E, Soulhat C, Bouchabké-Coussa O, Dalmais M,Atanasova-Pénichon V, Bendahmane A, Saindrenan P, Dufresne M (2016) A bachypodium UDP glycosyltransferaseconfers root tolerance to deoxynivalenol and resistance to Fusarium infection. Plant Physiol 172(1):559–574
- Pavani SL, Singh V, Goswami SK, Singh PK (2020) Screening for novel rice sheath blight resistant germplasm and their biochemical characterization. Indian Phytopathol 73:689–694
- Peng X, Hu Y, Tang X, Zhou P, Deng X, Wang H, Guo Z (2012) Constitutive expression of rice WRKY30 gene increases the endogenous jasmonic acid accumulation, PR gene expression and resistance to fungal pathogens in rice. Planta 236:1485–1498
- Peng X, Wang H, Jang JC, Xiao T, He H, Jiang D, Tang X (2016) OsWRKY80-OsWRKY4 module as a positive regulatory circuit in rice resistance against *Rhizoctonia solani*. Rice 9:1–14
- Pinson RMS, Capdevielle MF, Oard HJ (2005) Confirming QTLs and finding additional loci conditioning sheath blight in rice using recombinant inbred lines. Crop Sci 45:503–510
- POP (2015) Package of practices for the *kharif* crops. Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, p 21
- Prasad B, Eizenga GC (2008) Rice sheath blight disease resistance identified in *Oryza* spp. accessions. Plant Dis 92:1503–1509
- Qi F, Zhang F (2020) Cell cycle regulation in the plant response to stress. Front Plant Sci 10:1765
- Qiao Y, Jiang W, Lee J, Park B, Choi MS, Piao R, Woo MO, Roh JH, Han L, Paek NC, Seo HS (2010) SPL28 encodes

a clathrin associated adaptor protein complex 1, medium subunit $\mu 1$ (AP1M1) and is responsible for spotted leaf and early senescence in rice (Oryza sativa). New Phytol 185:258–274

- Ram T, Majumdar ND, Laha GS, Ansari MM, Kar CS, Mishra B (2008) Identification of donors for sheath blight resistance in wild rice. Indian J Gent 68:317–319
- Ramalingam J, Raveendra C, Savitha P, Vidya V, Chaithra TL, Velprabakaran S, Saraswathi R, Ramanathan A, Arumugam Pillai MP, Arumugachamy S, Vanniarajan C (2020) Gene pyramiding for achieving enhanced resistance to bacterial blight, blast, and sheath blight diseases in rice. Front Plant Sci 11:1662
- Rangel PN, Brondani RPV, Rangel PHN, Brondani C (2008) Agronomic and molecular characterization of introgression lines from the interspecific cross *Oryza sativa* (BG90-2) × *Oryza glumaepatula* (RS-16). Genet Mol Res 7:184–195
- Reddy MP, Sarla N, Reddy LV, Siddiq EA (2005) Identification and mapping of yield and yield related QTLs from an Indian accession of *Oryza rufipogon*. BMC Genet. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-6-33
- Rush MC, Lee FN (1992) Sheath blight. In: Webster, RK and Gunnell, PS (eds) Compendium of Rice Diseases. St. Paul, MN: American Phytopathological Society, pp. 22–23
- Savary S, Willocquet L, Elazegui FA, Castilla NP, Teng PS (2000) Rice pest constraints in tropical Asia: quantification of yield losses due to rice pests in a range of production situations. Plant Dis 84:357–369
- Septiningsih EM, Trijatmiko KR, Moeljopawiro S, McCouch SR (2003) Identification of quantitative trait loci for grain quality in an advanced backcross population derived from the Oryza sativa variety IR64 and the wild relative O. rufipogon. Theor Appl Genet 107(8):1433–1441. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-003-1376-z
- Sharma NR, Teng PS, Olivares PM (1990) Comparison of assessment methods for rice sheath blight disease. Philippines Phytopathol 26:20–24
- Sivalingam PN, Vishwakarma SN, Singh US (2006) Role of seed-borne inoculum of Rhizoctonia solani in sheath blight of rice. Indian Phytopathol 59:445–452
- Smith DA, Enjalbert B, Cornell MJ, Alam I, Nicholls S, Brown AJ, Quinn J (2006) Role of the Hog1 stressactivated protein kinase in the global transcriptional response to stress in the fungal pathogen Candida albicans. Mol Biol Cell 17:1018–1032
- Smith JM, Leslie ME, Robinson SJ, Korasick DA, Zhang T, Backues SK, Cornish PV, Koo AJ, Bednarek SY, Heese A (2014) Loss of arabidopsis thaliana dynamin-related protein 2B reveals separation of innate immune signaling pathways. PLoS Pathog 10:e1004578
- Srinivasachary BG, Willocquet L, Savary, (2013) A strategy to identify sources of quantitative resistance in pathosystems involving disease escape and physiological resistance: the case study of rice sheath blight. Plant Pathol 62:888–899
- Sun XT, Lu DD, Ou-Yang LJ, Hu LF, Bian JM, Peng XS, Chen XR, Fu JR, He XP, He HH, Zhu CL (2014) Association mapping and resistant alleles analysis for sheath blight resistance in rice. Acta Agron Sin 40:779–787

- Tameling WI, Takken FL (2007) Resistance proteins: scouts of the plant innate immune system. In: Collinge DB, Munk L, Cooke BM (eds) Sustainable disease management in a European context. Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/978-1-4020-8780-6_4
- Tang D, Ade J, Frye CA, Innes RW (2006) A mutation in the GTP hydrolysis site of Arabidopsis dynamin related protein 1E confers enhanced cell death in response to powdery mildew infection. Plant J 47:75–84
- Velazhahan R, Chen Cole K, Anuratha CS, Muthukrishnan S (1998) Induction of thaumatin like proteins (TLPs) in *Rhizoctonia solani* infected rice and characterization of two new cDNA clones. Physiol Plant 102:21–28
- Verma RK, Chetia SK, Sharma V, Baishya S, Sharma H, Modi MK (2022) GWAS to spot candidate genes associated with grain quality traits in diverse rice accessions of North East India. Mole Biol Rep. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11033-021-07113-2
- Wanchana S, Mongkolsiriwatana C, Chunwonges J, Muangprom A (2021) RNA sequencing reveals rice genes involved in male reproductive development under temperature alteration. Plants 10:663
- Wang J, Zhang Z (2019) GAPIT version 3: an interative analytical tool for genomic association and prediction. Bioinformatics 32:784–790
- Wang Y, Pinson SRM, Fjellstrom RG, Tabien RE (2012) Phenotypic gain from introgression of two QTL, *qSB92* and *qSB12-1*, for rice sheath blight resistance. Mol Breed 30:293–303
- Wang C, Zhang X, Fan Y, Gao Y, Zhu Q, Zheng C, Qin T, Li Y, Che J, Zhang M, Yang B, Liu Y, Zhao K (2015a) XA23 Is an executor r protein and confers broad-spectrum disease resistance in rice. Mol Plant 8(2):290–302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2014.10.010
- Wang Y, Cao L, Zhang Y, Cao C, Liu F, Huang F (2015b) Map-based cloning and characterization of BPH29, a B3 domain-containing recessive gene conferring brown planthopper resistance in rice. J Exp Bot 66(19):6035– 6045. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv318
- Wen Z, Gao M, Jiao C, Wang Q, Xu H, Walter M, Xu W, Bassett C, Wang X (2012) Characterization and expression analysis of a retinoblastoma-related gene from chinese wild vitis pseudoreticulata. Plant Mol Biol Report 30:983–991
- Wen ZH, Zeng YX, Ji ZJ, Yang CD (2015) Mapping quantitative trait loci for sheath blight disease resistance in Yangdao 4 rice. Genet Mol Res 14:1636–1649
- Xiao J, Li J, Grandillo S, Ahn SN, Yuan L, Tanksley SD, McCouch SR (1998) Identification of trait-improving quantitative trait loci alleles from a wild rice relative, *Oryza Rufipogon*. Genetics 150(2):899–909. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.tplants.2012.11.001
- Xie QJ, Linscombe SD, Rush MC, Jodari-Karimi F (1992) Registration of LSBR-33 and LSBR-5, sheath blightresistant germplasm lines of rice. Crop Sci 32:507
- Xie X, Song MH, Jin F, Ahn SN, Suh JP, Hwang HG, McCouch SR (2006) Fine mapping of a grain weight quantitative trait locus on rice chromosome 8 using nearisogenic lines derived from a cross between Oryza sativa

and *Oryza rufipogon*. Theor Appl Genet 113(5):885–894. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-006-0348-5

- Xie X, Jin F, Song MH, Suh JP, Hwang HG, Kim YG, McCouch SR, Ahn SN (2008) Fine mapping of a yieldenhancing QTL cluster associated with transgressive variation in an Oryza sativa x O. rufipogon cross. Theor Appl Genet 116(5):613–622. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00122-007-0695-x
- Xing J, Zhang D, Yin F, Zhong Q, Wang B, Xiao S, Ke X, Wang L, Zhang Y, Zhao C, Lu Y, Chen L, Cheng Z, Chen L (2021) Identification and fine-mapping of a new bacterial blight resistance gene, Xa47(t), in G252, an introgression line of Yuanjiang common wild rice (Oryza rufipogon). Plant Dis. https://doi.org/10.1094/ PDIS-05-21-0939-RE
- Xue X, Cao ZX, Zhang XT, Wang Y, Zhang YF, Chen ZX, Pan XB, Zuo SM (2016) Overexpression of *OsOSM1* enhances resistance to rice sheath blight. Plant Dis 100:1634–1642
- Yadav S, Anuradha G, Kumar RR, Vemireddy LR, Sudhakar R, Donempudi K, Venkata D, Jabeen F, Narasimhan YK, Marathi B, Siddiq EA (2015) Identification of QTLs and possible candidate genes conferring sheath blight resistance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Springerplus 4:1–12
- Yang M, Lu K, Zhao FJ, Xie W, Ramakrishna P, Wang G, Du Q, Liang L, Sun C, Zhao H, Zhang Z, Liu Z, Tian J, Huang XY, Wang W, Dong H, Hu J, Ming L, Xing Y, Wang G, Xiao J et al (2018) Genome-wide association studies reveal the genetic basis of ionomic variation in rice. Plant Cell 30(11):2720–2740. https://doi.org/10. 1105/tpc.18.00375
- Yuan DP, Zhang C, Wang ZY, Zhu XF, Xuan YH (2018) *RAVL1* activates brassinosteroids and ethylene signaling to modulate response to sheath blight disease in rice. Phytopathology 108:1104–1113
- Yuan C, Yuxiang Z, Zhijuan J, Yan L, Zhihua W, Changdeng Y (2019) Identification of stable quantitative trait loci for sheath blight resistance using recombinant inbred line. Rice Sci 26:331–338
- Zeng Y, Ji Z, Yang C (2015) The way to a more precise sheath blight resistance QTL in rice. Euphytica 203:33–45
- Zhang S, Chen QZ, Lu L, Yang XL, Yu DZ (2006) Assessment of the variety resistance to *Pyricularia grisea* and *Rhizoctonia solani* induced under the natural condition in Hubei province. J. Huazhong Agric Univ 25:236–240
- Zhang D, Liang W, Yin C, Zong J, Gu F, Zhang D (2010) OsC6, encoding a lipid transfer protein, is required for postmeiotic anther development in rice. Plant Physiol 154:149–162
- Zhang F, Zeng D, Zhang CS, Lu JL, Chen TJ, Xie JP, Zhou YL (2019) Genome-wide association analysis of the genetic basis for sheath blight resistance in rice. Rice 12:1–13
- Zhang F, Xie J (2014) Genes and QTLs resistant to biotic and abiotic stresses from wild rice and their applications in cultivar improvements. In Yan W, Bao J (eds), Rice -Germplasm, Genetics and Improvement, IntechOpen, London. 10.5772/56825 Pp 61–63
- Zhu Y, Chen K, Mi X, Chen T, Ali J, Ye G, Xu J, Li Z (2015) Identification and fine mapping of a stably expressed QTL for cold tolerance at the booting stage using an

interconnected breeding population in Rice. PLoS One 10(12):e0145704

- Zou JH, Pan XB, Chen ZX, Xu JY, Lu JF, Zhai WX, Zhu LH (2000) Mapping quantitative trait loci controlling sheath blight resistance in two rice cultivars (*Oryza sativa* L.). Theor Appl Genet 101:569–573
- Zuo SM, Wang ZB, Chen XJ, Gu F, Zhang YF, Chen ZX, Pan XB, Pan CH (2009) Evaluation of resistance of a novel rice line YSBR1 to sheath blight. Acta Agron Sin 35:608–614
- Zuo S, Yin Y, Zhang L, Zhang Y, Chen Z, Gu S, Zhu L, Pan X (2011) Effect and breeding potential of *qSB11^{LE}*, a sheath blight resistance quantitative trait loci from a susceptible rice cultivar. Can J Plant Sci 91:191–198
- Zuo S, Yin Y, Pan C, Chen Z, Zhang Y, Gu S, Zhu L, Pan X (2013) Fine mapping of *qSB-11^{LE}*, the QTL that confers partial resistance to rice sheath blight. Theor Appl Genet 126:1257–1272

Zuo S, Zhang Y, Yin Y, Li G, Zhang G, Wang H, Chen Z, Pan X (2014) Fine-mapping of qSB-9 TQ , a gene conferring major quantitative resistance to rice sheath blight. Mol Breed 34:2191–2203

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.