## Grain legumes and dryland cereals for enhancing carbon sequestration in semi-arid and sub-humid agroecologies of Africa and South Asia

Shem Kuyah, Tarirai Muoni, Jules Bayala, Pierre Chopin, A Sigrun Dahlin, Karl Hughes, Mattias Jonsson, Shalander Kumar, Weldesemayat Sileshi, Kangbeni Dimobe, Ingrid Öborn





RESEARCH PROGRAM ON Grain Legumes and Dryland Cereals



**Citation:** Shem Kuyah, Tarirai Muoni, Jules Bayala, Pierre Chopin, A Sigrun Dahlin, Karl Hughes, Mattias Jonsson, Shalander Kumar, Weldesemayat Sileshi, Kangbeni Dimobe, Ingrid Öborn. Grain legumes and dryland cereals for enhancing carbon sequestration in semi-arid and sub-humid agroecologies of Africa and South Asia. Working Paper Series No. 6. Hyderabad, India: CGIAR Research Program on Grain Legumes and Dryland Cereals. 64pp.

ISBN: 978-81-956619-0-9

Front cover image: Sorghum-Pigeon pea intercropping system

Credit: PS Rao

- **Title:** Grain legumes and dryland cereals for enhancing carbon sequestration in semi-arid and sub-humid agro-ecologies of Africa and South Asia.
- Author: Shem Kuyah, Tarirai Muoni, Jules Bayala, Pierre Chopin, A Sigrun Dahlin, Karl Hughes, Mattias Jonsson, Shalander Kumar, Weldesemayat Sileshi, Kangbeni Dimobe, Ingrid Öborn.
- Address: Patancheru-502324, Telangana, India

© International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), 2022. All rights reserved.

ICRISAT holds the copyright to its publications, but these can be shared and duplicated for noncommercial purposes. Permission to make digital or hard copies of part(s) or all of any publication for non-commercial use is hereby granted as long as ICRISAT is properly cited. ICRISAT's name and logo are registered trademarks and may not be used without permission. You may not alter or remove any trademark, copyright or other notice.

#### Publisher: Self Publish

Printed: ICRISAT, Patancheru-502324, Telangana, India

## Abstract

Sorghum, millets (pearl and finger millet) and grain legumes (chickpea, common bean, cowpea, lentils, pigeon pea and soybean), collectively referred to as GLDC under the CGIAR research program on Grain Legumes and Dryland Cereals, are commonly grown, eaten and traded by small holder farmers in Africa and South Asia. These crops contribute to food and nutritional security, environmental sustainability, and economic growth in the region. However, their possible contribution to carbon sequestration through biomass production and accumulation of soil organic carbon (SOC) is not known. To find out more about their contribution, and how to increase SOC, this study reviewed the evidence of carbon sequestration in farming systems that integrate GLDC in Africa and South Asia. A total of 437 publications reporting SOC and its proxies across 32 countries in Africa (N=250 studies) and South Asia (N=187) were identified as sources of evidence for carbon sequestration. Among these, 179 publications provided appropriate control groups for evaluating changes in aboveground carbon when GLDC were integrated under intercrop (n=38), crop rotation (n=8) or agroforestry (n=13), or when improved varieties of GLDC were compared with local varieties (n=14). A further 81 publications compared SOC content at the start and the end of the experiment while 43 publications compared SOC between farms growing GLDC and those which did not. Aboveground carbon of GLDC was found to be 1.51±0.05 Mg/ha in Africa and 2.29±0.10 Mg/ha in South Asia. Absolute SOC concentration in the topsoil (0-30 cm) was 0.96±0.06% in Africa and 0.58±0.04 in South Asia. It was observed that GLDC produced more aboveground carbon and significantly increased SOC when grown as intercrops and in crop rotations. The increase, however, depended on the species and whether the crop was a legume or a cereal. The largest amount of aboveground carbon (>2 Mg/ha) was found in cereals (and pigeon pea) while the largest increase in SOC was found in farming systems that included legumes. Aboveground carbon of improved varieties of GLDC was lower compared to local varieties. Soils which had low initial (<1%) SOC but high clay content (>32%) showed the greatest potential for carbon sequestration when GLDC were grown. Among the GLDC crops, pigeon pea which is a perennial grain legume showed the highest biomass production and carbon sequestration in the soil when integrated into farming systems in Africa and South Asia. Findings from this study underscore the importance of aboveground residues in regulating the addition of carbon to the soil, and the role of legumes in the enhancement of SOC.

## Acronyms

- CGIAR Consultative Group for International Agricultural Research
- CI Confidence interval
- CO<sub>2</sub> Carbon dioxide
- GHG Greenhouse gas
- GLDC Grain legumes and dryland cereals
- ICRAF The International Center for Research in Agroforestry
- ICRISAT The International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics
- IITA The International Institute of Tropical Agriculture
- IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
- NRM Natural resource management
- RR Response ratio
- SOC Soil organic carbon
- SOM Soil organic matter
- WUR Wageningen University and Research

## Acknowledgements

The report is an output from the project 'Natural resource management (NRM) evidence for inclusion of grain legumes and dryland cereals in farming systems', which was initiated and funded as part of GLDC CoA 1.4 and FP 3. The authors would like to express their thanks to the reference group for the project: Katrien Descheemaeker (WUR), Kai Mausch (ICRAF), Steve Boahen (IITA), Dixit Sreenath (ICRISAT), Gajanan L Sawargaonkar (ICRISAT), Kaushal Kishor Garg (ICRISAT), Singh Ramesh (ICRISAT), Tesfaye Woldeyohanes (ICRAF). The reference group provided inputs during the formulation of the research aims, defining the research questions, determination of indicators of natural resource management, development of search string, and review of the preliminary results.

## Contents

Abstract	3
Acronyms	4
Acknowledgements	5
1. Introduction	9
1.1 Grain legumes and dryland cereals in smallholder agriculture	9
1.2 Soil carbon sequestration	9
1.3 Objectives of this study	. 11
2 Methods	. 11
2.1 Search strategy	. 11
2.2 Selection and screening of publications	. 12
2.3 Elements of the database	. 13
2.4 Estimation of aboveground and soil organic carbon	. 16
2.5 Independent observations, subgroups and statistical analysis	. 16
3. Results	. 18
3.1 Distribution of the studies	. 18
3.2. Aboveground carbon stocks in farming systems with GLDC	. 19
3.3. Absolute SOC concentration in farming systems with GLDC	. 22
3.4 Changes in aboveground carbon due to presence of GLDC	. 23
3.5 Changes in SOC concentration due to presence of GLDC	. 24
3.5.1 Comparing SOC at the start and end of the experiment	.24
3.5.2 Comparing SOC in farming systems with and without GLDC	.26
4. Discussion	. 28
5. Conclusion	.31
References	. 32
Appendices	36

## List of figures

Figure 1.	Pathways through which carbon sequestered through photosynthesis contributes to build-up of soil organic carbon.	10
Figure 2.	Illustration of results from literature search and screening of records retrieved from bibliographic databases.	13
Figure 3.	Location of studies that reported aboveground carbon or soil organic carbon in farming systems that had sorghum, millets or any of the grain legumes in Africa and South Asia (b); and the number of publications that reported aboveground carbon or soil organic carbon in Africa and South Asia (b)	.18
Figure 4.	Aboveground carbon in farming systems including grain legumes and dryland cereals in Africa and South Asia.	19
Figure 5.	Aboveground carbon in farming systems associated with different crops by region	20
Figure 6.	Soil organic carbon concentration at 0-30 cm depth on farms or fields including grain legumes and dryland cereals in Africa and South Asia.	22
Figure 7.	Soil organic carbon concentration in farming systems associated with different crops by region.	23
Figure 8.	Variations in response ratios of aboveground carbon with cropping systems and crop combinations.	24
Figure 9.	Variations in response ratios of soil organic carbon concentration (SOC) to GLDC with soil type, soil texture group and initial SOC for studies comparing initial and final SOC or SOC at the start and end of the experiment.	25
Figure 10.	Variations in response ratios of soil organic carbon concentration (SOC) with soil cropping systems, the crop and the combination of crops for studies comparing initial and final SOC content or SOC at the start and end of the experiment.	26
Figure 11.	Variations in response ratio of soil organic carbon concentration with crop combinations for studies comparing farms or field with GLDC and those without these crops	s 27

## List of tables

Table 3.	Estimates (mean±standard error [SE], and 95% confidence interval [CI]) of aboveground carbon (Mg/ha) in sorghum, millets and grain legumes, the corresponding carbon in belowground parts, and potential carbon added to the soil when residues are retained or removed out of the field Error! Bookmark not defined	
Table 2.	Reclassification of farming systems reported by the studies including grain legumes and dryland cereals in African and South Asia1	5
Table 1.	List of search terms (Web of Science syntax) used to retrieve publications indexed in Web of Science: core collection, ProQuest and SCOPUS	1

## 1. Introduction

#### 1.1 Grain legumes and dryland cereals in smallholder agriculture

Subsistence agriculture is the main source of livelihood for millions of households in Africa and South Asia, where small farms (<2 ha) account for about 30% of the food produced (Herrero et al. 2017). Agriculture is also the sector expected to help people escape from poverty (Gassner et al. 2019) and spur economic growth in these regions (AGRA 2017). However, the impact of climate change has put smallholders at risk and reinforced poverty and vulnerability. Climate change alters rainfall patterns, resulting in potential changes in soil moisture balance (Knox et al. 2012). Carbon in soils affected this way mineralizes more quickly which is beneficial for yields but will degrade the soil in the long run, leading to smaller yields and dramatic impact on food production. High temperatures may affect soil carbon by limiting water availability, and reduce the rate of photosynthesis. Intensification of the regions' agriculture is among the efforts employed to increase agricultural production and incomes for smallholder farmers (Godfray and Garnett 2014; AGRA 2017). It is vital that this intensification focuses on crops and cropping systems that enhance soil organic carbon (SOC).

Cereals and legumes form an important component of crop production in Africa and South Asia (AGRA 2013), where they dominate the debate on sustainable intensification (Godfray and Garnett 2014; Franke et al. 2018a; Snapp et al. 2021). Their role in food security and economic growth is of critical importance, although farm yields in smallholder systems fall below their potential (Godfray and Garnett 2014). Low yields are generally attributed to low soil fertility and a host of factors that are aggravated by climate change. The CGIAR Research Program on Grain Legumes (chickpea, cowpea, pigeon pea, groundnut, lentil, soybean) and Dryland Cereals (sorghum, pearl and finger millet) has identified these crops as capable of transforming smallholder agriculture to become resilient, productive and sustainable (CGIAR 2017). When grown under common agro-ecological conditions, sorghum, millets and the grain legumes listed above (hereafter GLDC) create synergies that can help reduce poverty, improve food and nutritional security and enhance ecosystem services (CGIAR 2017).

The benefits of individual GLDC have been well-documented in literature. All GLDC crops are highly nutritious and diversify the diets of many families. They also provide fodder and feedstock in mixed crop-livestock farming systems. Existing systematic reviews document the importance of specific GLDC crops for sustainable intensification, suggesting improved soil health (Snapp et al. 2021), reduced weeds and increased productivity (Smith et al. 2016; Franke et al. 2018a), reduction of greenhouse gas (GHG) emission (Jensen et al. 2012) and enhancement of soil organic carbon (Powlson et al. 2011). The yields, profits and household welfare provided by GLDC crops have also been documented (Katovich et al. 2020). However, the potential for GLDC to increase carbon sequestration in African and South Asian farming systems has not been synthesized comprehensively. Further, it is not known under which climate, soil type or soil textures do the GLDC enhance carbon sequestration in farming systems in Africa and South Asia.

#### **1.2 Soil carbon sequestration**

One of the ways in which GLDC can transform and increase agricultural production is through enhanced carbon sequestration. Soil carbon sequestration is a process by which carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) is removed from the atmosphere and stored in the soil as SOC. There are several pathways through which carbon sequestered via photosynthesis contributes to the build-up of SOC in croplands (Figure 1). Atmospheric carbon that gets fixed into plant biomass through photosynthesis is transferred to the soil when the remains of roots and shoots decompose and form humus. It can also get transferred into the soil when living roots release organic substances into the rhizosphere in a process called rhizodeposition. Some of the carbon is released back to the atmosphere through root respiration and microbial decomposition of organic matter; and the balance between these processes determines the long-term SOC content. The amount of SOC in soils is therefore related to carbon input through residue retention or incorporation, below ground root biomass and rhizodeposition; with higher inputs producing higher SOC levels up to saturation level. This implies that farming approaches which increase biomass production may also increase carbon input in the soil, and eventually lead to larger SOC stocks.



Total carbon input when residues are retained: 1.95±0.20 Mg/ha

Figure 1. This figure denotes pathways through which carbon sequestered via photosynthesis contributes to build-up of soil organic carbon. Values of carbon are based on estimates of above- and belowground biomass determined for common bean in Africa in this study, assuming 47% carbon fraction in dry matter (IPCC 2019) and 65% carbon in root exudates (Bolinder et al. 2007).

The addition of SOC in the soil via plant residues may also be due to the fact that after harvesting agricultural crops, the residues are returned to the soil as mulch. Residue return is recommended as an effective means of improving overall soil health through accumulation of organic matter (Liu et al. 2014), and may increase crop yield, improve water use efficiency and prevent emission of GHG from burning residues (Lu 2020). On the other hand, residue removal reduces the amount of carbon entering the soil and can contribute to loss of carbon due to soil erosion. However, residues removed from cropland and fed to animals or used as animal bedding are indirectly returned to the field as manure or compost. Roots contribute much of the carbon added to the soil where little aboveground biomass is retained or returned to the cropland. The input of carbon from plant residues and rhizodeposition is greatly influenced by net primary productivity. This fact suggests that enhanced growth resulting from variety improvement, application of fertilizers, irrigation or addition of organic manure can increase carbon sequestration.

## 1.3 Objectives of this study

The aim of this study was to assess evidence of carbon sequestration in farming systems that include GLDC in Africa and South Asia. Specifically, the study (1) quantified the amount of aboveground carbon and SOC concentration in farming systems with GLDC, (2) determined changes in aboveground carbon or SOC when farmers adopted GLDC or moved from GLDC to other crops, and (3) determined changes in aboveground carbon when farmers changed from local to improved varieties of GLDC. The study also explored (4) variations of aboveground carbon and SOC under different crops types, regions, soil and climate conditions, and management practices. Major gaps in the evidence base are discussed.

## 2 Methods

#### 2.1 Search strategy

A comprehensive search was conducted on three bibliographic databases to obtain published literature on studies that investigated the presence of GLDC in farming systems in Africa and South Asia. The search string was wider (Table 1) and included evidence of GLDC on indicators of natural resource management (i.e., land and water management, environmental quality, biodiversity, and system resilience). The search string consisted of (1) ten priority crops, including their common names, scientific names, and synonyms, (2) indicators of outcomes of adopting GLDC, (3) scale at which the study is conducted i.e., farm or field scale (excluding greenhouse or pot experiment), and (4) the region/country where the study was conducted. Including the study area terms limited the number of search results returned yet captured data that does not explicitly refer to the region (Africa or South Asia) where the study was conducted.

# Table 1. List of search terms (Web of Science syntax) used to retrieve publications indexed in Web of Science: core collection, ProQuest and SCOPUS. Timespan = all years; language = English and French. Further refinements were applied to limit search results to countries in Africa and South Asia, exclude irrelevant subject area and exclude magazines and other grey literature.

Category	Terms for topic search (TS=)
Crops	(Ground\$nut* OR "Arachis hypogaea" OR pea\$nut* OR soy\$bean* OR soya\$bean* OR "soja bean*" OR "Glycine max" OR pigeon\$pea* OR "Cajanus cajan" OR "red gram" OR lentil* OR "Lens culinaris" OR "Lens esculenta" OR cowpea* OR "Vigna unguiculata" OR "black-eyed pea" OR "chick\$pea*" OR "Cicer arietinum" OR "common bean" OR "French bean" OR "Phaseolus vulgaris" OR sorghum OR "guinea corn" OR "great millet" OR "Indian millet" OR "Sorghum bicolor" OR "finger millet" OR "African millet" OR "Eleusine coracana" OR "spiked millet" OR "pearl millet" OR "Pennisetum glaucum" OR "Pennisetum typhoides")
AND	
Outcome	(Yield OR biomass OR "dry matter" OR grain OR "land equivalent ratio" OR "soil moisture" OR "soil water content" OR infiltration OR "soil erosion" OR "soil loss" OR "run off" OR "organic matter" OR "soil organic carbon" OR "soil carbon" OR "bulk density" OR "carbon sequestration" OR "aggregate stability" OR "soil respiration" OR "soil fertility" OR "green manure" OR "total nitrogen" OR "total soil nitrogen" OR "total phosphorus" OR "Olsen phosphorus" OR "extractable phosphorus" OR "available phosphorus" OR "rainfall use efficiency" OR "water use efficiency" OR "nutrient use efficiency" OR "nitrogen fixation" OR "greenhouse gas" OR "nitrous oxide emission" OR "carbon dioxide emission" OR "carbon emission" OR biodiversity OR "microbial biomass" OR macrofauna OR "macro organism" OR "arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi" OR "weed control" OR "pest control")
AND	·

Scale	(Agriculture* OR "agricultural system*" OR farm* OR field* OR plot*)
AND	
Location of study	(Africa OR Algeria OR Angola OR Benin OR Botswana OR "Burkina Faso" OR Burundi OR Cameroon OR "Cape Verde" OR "Central African Republic" OR Chad OR Comoros OR "Congo Brazzaville" OR "Democratic Republic of Congo" OR DRC OR "Côte d'Ivoire" OR Djibouti OR Egypt OR "Equatorial Guinea" OR Eritrea OR Ethiopia OR Gabon OR Gambia OR Ghana OR Guinea OR "Guinea-Bissau" OR Kenya OR Lesotho OR Liberia OR Libya OR Madagascar OR Malawi OR Mali OR Mauritania OR Mauritius OR Morocco OR Mozambique OR Namibia OR Niger OR Nigeria OR Rwanda OR Reunion OR "Sao Tome and Principe" OR Senegal OR Seychelles OR "Sierra Leone" OR Somalia OR South Africa OR South Sudan OR Sudan OR Swaziland OR Tanzania OR Togo OR Tunisia OR Uganda OR "Western Sahara" OR Zambia OR Zimbabwe OR "South Asia" OR Afghanistan OR India OR Pakistan OR Bangladesh OR "Sri Lanka" OR Nepal OR Bhutan OR Maldives OR Myanmar OR Burma)

## 2.2 Selection and screening of publications

The total number of publications found from each database or other searches, potential papers selected after reading the title and abstract and the number of relevant papers from which data was extracted are indicated in Figure 2. A three-step process was used to filter papers: (1) removal of duplicate references from the three databases and other sources; (2) examination of abstracts and titles of retrieved articles to remove irrelevant literature such as patents, periodicals, studies on medical topics, genetics, molecular biology, pollination, nutrition and biochemical analysis, (3) appraisal of the full text to examine studies that met the selection criteria and concurrently extract data. A pre-established criterion was used to select publications for inclusion in the study, selecting only publications that reported: (1) the outcome of growing any of the GLDC crops in Africa or South Asia, (2) original experimental or observational study conducted at field or farm scale, and (3) quantitative and qualitative data on carbon sequestration and its proxies. Pot and greenhouse experiments, laboratory studies and meta-analysis were excluded. Modeling studies and reviews were included when they presented eligible empirical data.

Double screening was conducted on a subset (919) of the publications obtained to check agreement (on selection criteria) between assessors. During the double screening, two assessors independently screened the title and abstract of each publication to identify potential papers. Any publication for which there was a doubt about its relevance was referred to another assessor for a second opinion. Publications that met the inclusion criteria based on screening the title and abstract were obtained and used in full text appraisal. Selected publications were given a unique identification number and data therein was extracted into a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. Care was taken to ensure that the same data was not extracted twice from multiple sources, for example, from a conference paper, thesis, and an article in a journal or a review paper. Publications that were excluded at each stage and the respective reasons are documented in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Illustration of results from literature search and screening of records retrieved from bibliographic databases. A total of 437 publications were used for an in-depth review of indicators aligned to sequestering carbon in farming systems that include grain legumes and dryland cereals in South Asia and Africa. Publications in journals that appear on Cabell's Blacklist (https://www2.cabells.com/) were excluded.

## 2.3 Elements of the database

The type of information extracted from selected publications includes bibliographic information (e.g. author, year), year of experiment, study location (continent, country, study site and geographical coordinates), site characteristics (elevation, clay content, soil texture class, initial SOC and the depth to which soil samples were collected). Climatic conditions like rainfall – annual, seasonal or - during the growing period were also considered. The type of trial (on a farm or on a station), soil type, scale of investigation (field or farm), farming systems (continuous sole crop, intercrop, crop rotation and agroforestry), or the GLDC crop, cropping system, and tillage practices were taken into account. As were fertilizer (N or P) levels, organic amendments and whether the crops were grown under rainfed conditions or irrigation, and residue management (whether it was retained or removed).

Quantitative information on means for treatment and control (when available) were recorded as reported in tables, within the text or extracted from figures using Web Plot Digitizer (Rohatgi 2020). Additionally, the sample size (i.e., number of replicates or any other sample size recorded) was extracted. Missing rainfall data was obtained through the SamSamWater Climate Tool (SamSamWater Foundation 2018).

Farming systems were categorized based on descriptions provided by the studies reviewed (Table 2). Soils reported under the USDA or other classification systems were re-classified following the international soil classification system for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps (IUSS Working Group WRB 2015). Inceptisols and Ultisols were, however, retained in the USDA soil taxonomy because: (1) Inceptisols were reported in many studies (45 publications with 81 datapoints) conducted across 50 sites, and (2) Ultisols were reported interchangeably as Nitisols (seven publications), Acrisols (13 publications), Alisols (one publication) and solely as Ultisols in five publications. The soils were further grouped into three broad texture categories i.e., sandy soil, loam soil and clay soil, based on the reported sand, silt and clay fractions. These categories represent coarse textured soils (<20% clay), medium textured soils (20-32% clay) and fine textured soils (>32% clay), respectively. Initial SOC was divided into three groups: >1.5%, 1.0–1.5%, and < 1.0%.

Table 2. This table denotes the reclassification of farming systems reported by the studies including grain legumes and dryland cereals in African and South Asia. The references are not exhaustive and refer to examples of studies that reported soil organic carbon or its proxies under agroforestry, crop rotation, intercrop and continuous sole crop.

Farming system	Description	Examples	Reference from database
Agroforestry	A land management system which incorporates trees and shrubs in the same land area used for crop or livestock production. Four types of agroforestry practices were referred	Parkland systems: Multipurpose trees scattered on farmlands, following farmer selection and protection. Farmers grow crops under the canopy of trees such as Faidherbia albida, Parkiabiglobosa, or Vitellaria paradoxa.	Kho et al. (2001), Bayala et al (2003), Sanou et al (2012)
	to in the studies reviewed.	Alley cropping (hedgerow intercropping): planting of annual crops between widely spaced rows of trees or shrubs.	Droppelmann et al. (2000), Forster et al. (2013)
		Improved fallow: Land is rested from cultivation, during which fast-growing legume species are planted, e.g., to replenish soil fertility and provide products such as wood or fodder.	Chirwa et al. (2004), Gathumbi et al. (2004)
		Windbreaks: Trees are planted in one or more rows to provide shelter or protection from wind	Leihner et al. (1993), Michels et al. (1998)
Crop rotation	The planting of different crops successively on the same land.	Cereal-legume rotation, cereal-cereal rotation legume-legume rotation, and cereal or legume rotated with other crops e.g., mustards.	(Waddington and Karigwindi 2001; Forster et al. 2013)
Intercrop	Growing two or more crops at the same time and on the same piece of land.	Row intercrops: Growing two or more crops grown in the same field simultaneously with one or more of the crops grown in a distinct row arrangement.	Ghosh et al. (2004), Ramesh et al. (2005)
Continuous cropping	Growing one crop only during the cropping season.	Continuous sole crop (monocropping): growing the same crop as a pure stand in successive seasons.	Ghosh et al. (2005), Laberge et al. (2011)

#### 2.4 Estimation of aboveground and soil organic carbon

The systematic literature search identified 437 publications (1319 observations) that reported the effect of GLDC on SOC and/or its proxies (carbon input from plant resides and rhizodeposition) in Africa and South Asia. These include 331 publications that reported carbon in aboveground residues and 144 publications that reported SOC in farming systems that included GLDC in Africa and South Asia. Aboveground carbon consists of all the carbon in the plant biomass i.e., straw, stover and other post-harvest residues (Appendix 1). Aboveground residues were considered dry matter when a publication explicitly reported that samples were oven-dried (65 or 75°C) to a constant weight. The term dry matter was used interchangeably with biomass to refer to the mass of the plant material in a dehydrated state. Consequently, 42 publications were excluded for their data of conversion of dry matter to aboveground carbon as they did not explicitly state how the weight of straw (27 publications), stover (11 publications), haulms (3 publications) and stubble (1 publication) was determined (Appendix 1). In addition, the biomass of grain was not included in aboveground carbon as this was reported separately and is taken off the field.

Data reported as or recalculated to dry matter was converted to aboveground carbon using the default fraction (47%) of carbon for crops documented by IPCC (2019). It is recommended to use crop specific data for these parameters where possible; because the actual percentage of carbon in residues varies for different crops. However, a quick review of the existing literature showed a general lack of data on carbon fractions for GLDC crops. Default values are recommended for converting dry matter to carbon content where crop specific data is not available (IPCC 2019).

The amount of biomass carbon potentially added to the soil was estimated as the sum of carbon in aboveground residues, carbon in root biomass and rhizo-deposited carbon (Bolinder et al. 2007). Carbon in roots was calculated from aboveground carbon using literature values of root-to-shoot ratios measured at maturity; lentils: 0.22 (Gan et al. 2009), chickpea: 0.22 (Gan et al. 2009), soybean: 0.15 (Ramesh et al. 2005), groundnut: 0.15 (Shridhar Rao et al. 2012), common bean: 0.23 (De Costa et al. 1997), pigeon pea: 0.21 (Rao and Itto 1998), cowpea: 0.27 (Laberge et al. 2011), pearl millet: 0.31 (Brück et al. 2003), finger millet: 0.21 (Krishna and Reddy 2021) and sorghum: mean (0.29) of 0.22 (Ghosh et al. 2004) and 0.36 (Ramesh et al. 2005). Rhizo-deposited carbon was estimated from carbon in root tissues assuming that 65% of carbon in roots is released through exudates and sloughing of root hairs and fine roots during growth (Bolinder et al. 2007).

Data on SOC concentration (%) was limited to studies that examined the parameter in the topsoil (0-30 cm depth). The 0-30 depth represents the zone where SOC is highly influenced by management practices and input from crop roots, and is acceptable for national carbon accounts (IPCC 2019). Data on the concentration of SOC in the top layer was extracted as reported in a study, then converted to percentage. Eight studies reported soil organic matter (SOM), which was converted to SOC by dividing it by 1.72, considering the units of SOM reported in the publication. However, very few studies reported SOC density (Mg/ha) or bulk density required to convert carbon concentration to carbon density and therefore the analyses in this study are based on SOC concentration (%).

#### 2.5 Independent observations, subgroups and statistical analysis

Observations from the same study were considered as independent datapoints and included separately if they were from different locations (sites), seasons (year) or crop species. Recommended rates or common farmer practices were selected to constitute independent observations (datapoints). This was specifically done when a study reported data from multiple results because of different fertilizer levels, manure, tillage practices, residue management, rainfall or irrigation conditions, row patterns/proportions, sowings rates and plant densities. When a study

reported multiple results for comparisons involving several varieties or cultivars, a mean was calculated and the number of varieties or cultivars was used as the new sample size. When a study reported both improved and local varieties, results for both types of varieties were selected and used to compare changes in aboveground carbon when farmers shifted from local to improved varieties. When multiple publications reported results on the same study (site) over different years, only data from the publication reporting the latest observation was extracted. When a study reported the data on the same crop grown in more than one season or year, the measurement at the start and end of the experiment was taken as the control and treatment mean (for SOC), respectively. Further adjustments were done during meta-analysis to evaluate under which conditions the crops affected aboveground carbon or SOC positively or negatively.

Subgroup analysis was conducted on soil type, soil texture group, initial SOC, cropping system, the crop and the combination of crops (i.e. grain legumes, dryland cereal, other cereals [maize, wheat, rice, tef] or other crops [cassava, cotton, green grams, Guinea grass, Isabgol, menthol mint, mustard, Napier grass, safflower and sunflower]), to assess whether outcomes of GLDC integration are different for different conditions.

The natural log-transformed response ratio (*InRR*) was used as a measure of effect size to determine changes in aboveground carbon or SOC following inclusion of GLDC in farming systems. The *In*RR was considered appropriate because it is scale-free and therefore allows comparison of the outcomes across studies that use different measurement procedures (Hedges et al. 1999). The *In*RR was calculated using equation (1), where  $\bar{x}_E$  and  $\bar{x}_C$  are the means of the treatment and the control group for aboveground carbon and SOC (Hedges et al. 1999).

$$lnRR = ln(\overline{x}_{E}/\overline{x}_{C}) = ln(\overline{x}_{E})-ln(\overline{x}_{C})$$
(1)

Bootstrapping methods were used to estimate 95% confidence intervals around means of aboveground carbon values, absolute SOC and *In*RR for different categorical variables through the application of 10,000 iterations using the boot package in the R programming language 3.4.2 (R Core Team 2018). The values (*In*RR) were back-transformed and presented as means and 95% CI of response ratios. The 95% CI shows the magnitude and direction of change in aboveground carbon and SOC under treatment compared to the control, where 95% CI is greater than one and suggests that SOC or its proxies significantly increased under GLDC compared to the control. The means of categories or subgroups are therefore significantly different from one another if their 95% CI does not overlap. The effects were significant when the 95% CI lies below (decrease) or above (increase) one. The percentage change was calculated from weighted *In*RR using equation 2.

Change (%) =  $100 \times (\exp^{\ln RR}) - 1$  (2)

Because different comparators (control groups) were reported, changes in SOC were evaluated in two ways for different subgroups that met the minimum number of three studies and 12 observations. The first method compared SOC values before and after the inclusion of GLDC in farming systems. This category evaluated publications that reported SOC values at the start of the experiment (based on initial site characteristics) and SOC values at the end of the experiment. The second compared SOC values in farming systems with or without GLDC. This category evaluated publications that reported a GLDC and a control group where no GLDC were grown.

## 3. Results

## 3.1 Distribution of the studies

A total of 437 publications reported data related to carbon sequestration. The studies were spread widely across 32 countries (Figure 3a) and covered large ranges of annual rainfall (10 to 3784 mm year<sup>-1</sup>), elevation (2 to 2740 ma.s.l.), 15 different soil types, four farming systems and other management practices. Three studies were reported in book chapters; the rest (434) were reported in peer reviewed journal articles. Most of the studies were conducted at a field scale (65%); few studies (5%) were conducted at a farm scale while 30% of the publications did not report the size of plots used. The number of publications reporting studies on aboveground carbon or SOC in different countries is shown in Figure 3b. The highest number of studies were reported in India; which had 38% of all studies reviewed (n= 451). In Africa, the other countries where a high number of studies were reported are Niger (11%), Nigeria (7%) and Ethiopia (7%). These countries represent 89% (n=188) and 44% (n=251) of the studies reported in South Asia and Africa, respectively. Fourteen countries in Africa and three countries in South Asia were reported in less than five publications.



Figure 3. Location of studies that reported aboveground carbon ( $\bullet$ ) or soil organic carbon ( $\circ$ ) in farming systems that had sorghum, millets or any of the grain legumes in Africa and South Asia (a); and the number of publications that reported aboveground carbon or soil organic carbon in Africa and South Asia (b).

Of the 142 publications reporting SOC, 80% were conducted on research stations while 20% were conducted on farmers' fields. Nearly all studies reported in India were conducted on research stations (91%, N=80). In Africa, 63% of the studies (N=62) were conducted on research stations while 37% were conducted on farmers' fields. Across the two regions, publications reviewed mostly reported GLDC under continuous sole crops (60%) and in fewer cases, in rotations (16%), as intercrops (19%) or in agroforestry systems (6%) (n= 484). A similar trend was observed in the regions where the crops were mainly integrated in farming systems as continuous sole crops in South Asia (63%) and in Africa (55%); and less in crop rotations (29%), and intercrops (15%) in South Asia; and crop rotations (17%) and intercrops (11%) in Africa. There was only one study in South Asia (India) where GLDC were integrated in agroforestry. Seventy-four percent of the publications referred to studies conducted on soils with low SOC (<1.0%), 16% on soils with medium SOC levels (1.0-1.5%) and 10% of studies were conducted on soils with high SOC (>1.5%).

Sorghum (21%) and pearl millet (19%) were the two leading GLDC reported for studies conducted in Africa. The next most mentioned GLDC in Africa were cowpea and soybean, reported in 17% and 13% of the studies reviewed. On the other hand, soybean and sorghum are the leading crops for studies conducted in South Asia, reported in 17% and 13% of the studies reviewed. There were also

studies where aboveground carbon or SOC resulted from of a combination of two GLDC or a GLDC and a non-GLDC. Six such combinations (maize/cowpea, maize/soybean, sorghum/cowpea, sorghum/groundnut, sorghum/soybean and wheat/lentil) showed results and were effective for studies conducted in Africa; while South Asia had 32 such combinations. It is important to note that this is what is reported in research and may not represent what farmers have on their farms.

## 3.2. Aboveground carbon stocks in farming systems with GLDC

Farming systems with GLDC stock an average of 1.76±0.05 Mg/ha of plant carbon in aboveground residues. However, this value varies significantly with regions. Studies reported significantly more aboveground carbon in South Asia (2.29± 0.05 Mg/ha) than in Africa (1.51±0.05 Mg/ha) (Figure 4). Estimates of carbon in aboveground residues and the respective 95% confidence interval (CI) for the different moderators are presented in Appendix 2 for data aggregated across regions. Aboveground carbon varied among soil types, with significantly higher amounts in Andosols, Inceptisols and Luvisols than in Arenosols, Plinthosols and Fluvisols (Figure 4). When cropping systems were compared, aboveground carbon was significantly higher in intercrops, followed by continuous sole crops (Figure 4). The lowest amount of aboveground carbon was found in agroforestry systems (0.94±0.10 Mg/ha); however, the biomass of trees was not included for agroforestry treatments because this was not reported. Differences between aboveground carbon in crop rotations and agroforestry systems were not significant.



Figure 4. Aboveground carbon in farming systems including grain legumes and dryland cereals in Africa and South Asia. The vertices represent the 95% confidence limits of the estimate. Numbers within brackets indicate the number of publications [N] and number of observations [NO]. Differences among subgroups in each category are significant when the CI does not overlap.

When GLDC were grown as main crops, much of the aboveground carbon was associated with farming systems that had finger millet, pigeon pea and sorghum (Figure 4). A similar trend was observed for data disaggregated across regions, except that pearl millet had the second largest

amount of aboveground carbon after sorghum in South Asia (Figure 5; Table 3). The lowest amount of aboveground carbon was found in lentils, which were also reported in only five publications with six datapoints (Figure 4). The amount of aboveground carbon that could be added to the soil ranged from 1.24±0.18 Mg/ha in cowpea to 5.36±0.83 Mg/ha in finger millet when residues were retained in the field; and from 0.45±0.19 Mg/ha in soybean to 2.18±0.79 Mg/ha in finger millet when residues were removed in Africa (Table 3). In South Asia, potential carbon added ranged from 0.99±0.22 Mg/ha in lentils to 4.60±0.42 Mg/ha in sorghum when residues were retained and from 0.41±0.13 Mg/ha in lentils to 2.11±0.65 Mg/ha in sorghum when residues were removed from the field (Table 3).



*Figure 5. Aboveground carbon in farming systems associated with different crops by region.* 

Table 2. Aboveground carbon (mean±standard error, and 95% confidence interval [CI]), belowground carbon (carbon in root biomass and rhizo-deposits) and total carbon (Mg/ha) potentially available for addition to the soil in Africa and South Asia. N refers to the number of publications that mentioned the crop while NO refers to the number of independent observations (datapoints) where the crop was mentioned.

					Carbon in	Potential carbon input <sup>d</sup>			
		Aboveground	95% CI,	Carbon in root	rhizo-	Residues	Residues		
Region	Priority crop	carbon <sup>b</sup>	[0.25, 0.725]	biomass	deposits <sup>c</sup>	retained	removed	Ν	NO
a) Africa	Chickpea	0.91±0.13	[0.69, 1.23]	0.20±0.03	0.12±0.02	1.24±0.18	0.51±0.19	7	21
	Common bean	1.42±0.15	[1.16, 1.73]	0.33±0.03	0.21±0.02	1.95±0.20	0.82±0.29	20	48
	Cowpea	0.98±0.08	[0.84, 1.15]	0.27±0.02	0.17±0.01	1.42±0.12	0.63±0.20	48	139
	Finger millet	3.98±0.62	[2.65, 5.06]	0.84±0.13	0.54±0.08	5.36±0.83	2.18±0.74	3	11
	Groundnut	1.36±0.16	[1.08, 1.71]	0.20±0.02	0.13±0.02	1.69±0.20	0.61±0.26	25	64
	Lentil	0.94±0.01	[0.93, 0.94]	0.21±0.00	0.13±0.00	1.28±0.01	0.53±0.19	1	2
	Pearl millet	1.33±0.09	[1.16, 1.54]	0.41±0.03	0.27±0.02	2.01±0.14	0.94±0.28	48	142
	Pigeon pea	2.21±0.38	[1.55, 3.06]	0.46±0.08	0.30±0.05	2.98±0.51	1.21±0.44	13	30
	Sorghum	2.10±0.15	[1.84, 2.42]	0.61±0.04	0.40±0.03	3.22±0.21	1.43±0.44	50	111
	Soybean	1.00±0.09	[0.86, 1.23]	0.15±0.01	0.10±0.01	1.25±0.11	0.45±0.19	56	77
b) South	Chickpea	1.20±0.15	[0.96, 1.57]	0.26±0.03	0.17±0.02	1.63±0.20	0.67±0.25	24	39
Asia	Common bean	2.46±0.58	[1.35, 3.62]	0.57±0.13	0.37±0.09	3.40±0.80	1.43±0.49	4	7
	Cowpea	1.88±0.37	[1.34, 2.91]	0.51±0.10	0.33±0.06	2.72±0.53	1.22±0.43	13	29
	Finger millet	1.18±0.32	[0.61, 1.86]	0.25±0.07	0.16±0.04	1.59±0.43	0.65±0.23	5	9
	Groundnut	1.64±0.16	[1.39, 2.05]	0.25±0.02	0.16±0.02	2.05±0.20	0.74±0.32	21	44
	Lentil	0.73±0.16	[0.33, 0.98]	0.16±0.04	0.10±0.02	0.99±0.22	0.41±0.13	4	5
	Pearl millet	2.91±0.25	[2.50, 3.49]	0.90±0.08	0.59±0.05	4.40±0.37	2.07±0.63	8	38
	Pigeon pea	2.75±0.34	[2.13, 3.47]	0.58±0.07	0.37±0.05	3.70±0.46	1.50±0.54	9	23
	Sorghum	3.11±0.28	[2.59, 3.71]	0.90±0.08	0.59±0.05	4.60±0.42	2.11±0.65	28	56
	Soybean	2.12±0.30	[1.68, 2.94]	0.32±0.05	0.21±0.03	2.65±0.38	0.95±0.41	26	46

<sup>a</sup>Aboveground carbon was estimated from post-harvest residues, assuming a carbon content of 47% in dry matter (IPCC 2019).

<sup>b</sup>Carbon in roots was estimated from aboveground resides using root-to-shoot ratios from literature (see section 2.4 for values and references).

<sup>c</sup>Carbon in rhizodeposits was estimated from carbon in roots, assuming that 65% of all carbon allocated in roots is released as sap exudates and during sloughing of root hairs and fine roots during the growing season (Bolinder et al. 2007).

<sup>d</sup>Carbon input was estimated as the sum of carbon in aboveground residues, belowground carbon and root extra carbon.

Across the two regions, there was a trend towards a decrease in aboveground carbon with time within field studies covering several years, although the differences were not significant. Average aboveground carbon decreased from  $1.76\pm0.06$  Mg/ha, 95% CI = [1.68, 1.92] in the first year to  $1.75\pm0.08$  Mg/ha, 95% CI = [1.60, 1.92]) and  $1.44\pm0.15$  Mg/ha, 95% CI = [1.19, 1.79] in the second and third year of the experiments, respectively. There was also a non-significant trend towards higher aboveground carbon when residues were retained ( $1.40\pm0.11$  Mg/ha, 95% CI = [1.21, 1.67]) compared to when residues were removed from the field ( $1.15\pm0.16$  Mg/ha, 95% CI = [0.88, 1.53]). When growing conditions (water regime: irrigated or rain fed) were considered, aboveground carbon was significantly higher under irrigated conditions ( $2.72\pm0.2$  Mg/ha, 95% CI = [2.37, 3.15]) compared to purely rain fed conditions ( $1.71\pm0.09$  Mg/ha, 95% CI = [1.55, 1.91]).

## 3.3. Absolute SOC concentration in farming systems with GLDC

The amount (mean ±SE) of SOC concentration under farming systems with GLDC across the regions was 0.76±0.04%. Unlike aboveground carbon (Figure 4), there was significantly higher SOC concentration in Africa (0.96±0.06%) than in South Asia (0.58±0.04) (Figure 6). Soil organic carbon in studies located on Ferralsols and Ultisols were significantly higher than the rest of the soil types (Figure 6). On average, initial SOC of sites located on Ferralsols (1.30%) and Ultisols (1.40%) was higher than that of sites located on Arenosols (0.39%), Cambisols (0.56%), Inceptisols (0.65%), Lixisols (0.58%), Luvisols (0.58%) and Vertisols (0.64%). When farming systems were compared, SOC was significantly higher in agroforestry and in intercrops than in continuous sole crop (Figure 6). Soils under common bean and pigeon pea had significantly higher SOC concentration than all other crops except lentil (Figure 6; Figure 7); soil under soybean had significantly higher SOC than sorghum, pearl and finger millet (Figure 6).



Figure 6.Soil organic carbon concentration at 0-30 cm depth on farms or fields including grain legumes and dryland cereals in Africa and South Asia. The vertices represent the 95% confidence limits of the estimate. Numbers within brackets indicate the number of publications [N] and number of observations [NO]. Differences among subgroups in each category are significant when the CI do not overlap.



*Figure 7. Soil organic carbon concentration in farming systems associated with different crops by region.* 

#### 3.4 Changes in aboveground carbon due to presence of GLDC

Fifty-nine publications with 182 paired observations fulfilled the selection criteria for evaluating changes in aboveground carbon due to the presence of GLDC. Inclusion of GLDC in farming systems significantly increased aboveground carbon, both in Africa: (RR: 1.02, 95% CI = [1.00, 1.05]) and in South Asia (RR: 1.27, 95% CI = [1.16, 1.40]). The increase was largest in South Asia (27%) and marginal in Africa (2%). Changes in aboveground carbon were positive and significant when GLDC were grown as intercrops or rotations but not in agroforestry (Figure 8). Intercropping GLDC increased aboveground carbon by 44% on average while growing them in rotation increased it by 4% (Figure 8).

Category	Subgroup				Change (%)	[N,	NO]
Cropping system	Agroforestry	F			12	[13	42]
	Crop rotation		•		4	[8	29]
	Intercrop		⊢		44	[38	130]
Intercroppping	DC with GL		<b></b>	I	39	[13	18]
	DC with trees		<b>⊢</b> •−-1		24	[10	29]
	GL with trees				-21	[4	9]
	GL with DC		· · · ·		65	[10	14]
	GL with Other Cereal				54	[8	18]
	Cereal with GL		⊢•		40	[21	59]
Rotation	DC with GL		<b>⊢</b> ∎−−−−1		27	[4	17]
Variety	Local to improved	<b>⊢</b> ∎––1			-40	[14	29]
		0.5	1 1.5	2			

Response ratio of aboveground carbon

Figure 8. Variations in response ratios (RR) of aboveground carbon with cropping systems and crop combinations. The different crop combinations are intercropping or rotating dryland cereal (DC: sorghum, finger millet, pearl millet) with grain legumes (GL: chickpea, common bean, cowpea, lentil pigeon pea or soybean), or trees or other cereals (maize, wheat, rice, oat or tef) or vice versa; and changing from local to improved varieties of GLDC. The vertices represent the 95% confidence limits of RR. The grey line indicates the RR = 1 and represents the same response in treatment and control. Significant changes are indicated when the 95% confidence intervals lie below (decrease) or above (increase) the grey line. Negative values under "change (%)" represent a decrease while positive values represent an increase relative to initials SOC content at the start of the experiment. Numbers within brackets indicate the number of publications [N] and number of observations [NO].

When different combinations were evaluated, planting dryland cereals or other cereals (maize, wheat, rice and tef) as companion crops for grain legumes resulted in a more than 50% increase in aboveground carbon. On the contrary, planting grain legumes as a companion crop in dryland cereals or other cereals resulted in a 40% increase in aboveground carbon. Surprisingly, growing dryland cereals (C4 plants) in agroforestry increased aboveground carbon by 24% (Figure 8). Growing dryland cereals in rotation with grain legumes resulted in a 27% increase in the aboveground biomass. There were no significant differences among different combinations. Fourteen publications with 29 observations reported the presence of aboveground carbon when farmers changed from traditional to improved varieties of chickpea, common bean, cowpea, soybean, pearl millet and sorghum. Aboveground carbon was depressed (-40%) when farmers shifted from local to improved varieties (Figure 8).

## 3.5 Changes in SOC concentration due to presence of GLDC

#### 3.5.1 Comparing SOC at the start and end of the experiment

Eighty-one publications with 144 paired observations reported data on SOC content at the start (initial SOC content) and at the end of the experiment (final SOC content), allowing for evaluation of changes in SOC due to inclusion of GLDC in farming systems. Across different climate and soil

conditions and agronomic practices, the inclusion of GLDC in farming systems showed a nonsignificant trend towards higher SOC concentration (RR: 1.04; 95% CI= [0.94, 1.12]). The proportion of observations that showed an increase for the combined data were more (59%; n=108) than those that showed a decrease (41%). Although the differences were not statistically significant when the two regions were compared, the trend towards increased SOC was generally stronger in South Asia (RR: 1.07, 95% CI = [0.95, 1.20]) than in Africa (RR: 1.02; 95% CI = [0.94, 0.10]).

Soil organic carbon significantly increased in response to GLDC under Cambisols and Ferralsols, but decreased under Lixisols and Luvisols (Figure 9). Inclusion of GLDC did not have a significant effect in Ultisols, Vertisols, Arenosols and Inceptisols. Inclusion of GLDC in farming systems increased SOC under clay soils but did not have a significant effect under loam and sandy soils (Figure 9). SOC significantly increased where the initial SOC was below 1% (RR=1.11) but decreased in soils than had more than 1.5% SOC (RR=0.73) at the start of experiments (Figure 9). Soils that had moderate SOC (between 1 and 1.5%) at the start of experiment only showed a non-significant trend towards higher SOC (RR=1.07) at the end of the experiment (Figure 9).



Response ratio of soil organic carbon

Figure 9.Variations in response ratios (RR) of soil organic carbon concentration (SOC) to GLDC with soil type, soil texture group and initial SOC for studies comparing initial and final SOC or SOC at the start and end of the experiment. The vertices represent the 95% confidence limits of RR. The grey line indicates the RR = 1 and represent same response in the treatment and control. Significant changes are indicated when the 95% confidence intervals lie below (decrease) or above (increase) the grey line. Negative values under "change (%)" represent a decrease while positive values represent an increase, relative to initial SOC content at the start of the experiment. Numbers within brackets indicate the number of publications [N] and number of observations [NO].

At the end of the experiment, SOC was significantly higher under intercropping, but did not show significant differences between initial and final SOC content when GLDC were included under crop rotation or monocrops (Figure 10). Differences between cropping systems were not significant. When individual GLDC were compared, SOC was significantly higher at the end of the experiment compared to initial values under pigeon pea, chickpea and soybean, but lower under sorghum and finger millet (Figure 10). There were no significant differences on SOC under different crops except between pigeon pea and sorghum (Figure 10).

Subgroup		Change (%)	[N	NO]
Agroforestry	+	90	[4	4]
Intercropping	<b>H</b>	11	[7	21]
Crop rotation	1- <b>a</b> -1	5	[24	42]
Monocropping	l <del>e</del> l	-1	[45	77]
Lentil	•	76	[5	7]
Pigeon pea		35	[8	10]
Chickpea	<b>→</b> →→1	17	[3	12]
Pearl millet	F	13	[12	16]
Soybean	i=i	12	[19	31]
Common bean	<b>⊢−</b> −1	3	[3	7]
Sorghum	-	-6	[21	46]
Groundnut	H	-8	[7	9]
Finger millet	<b>⊢</b> ⊷-	-13	[3	3]
Cowpea	<b>⊢_</b> ∎_1	-20	[8	9]
Grain legumes as monocrop	H=-1	16	[31	49]
Grain legumes rotated with other cereals	<b>⊢</b> •-1	7	[17	26]
Dryland cereals intercropped with grain legumes	•	2	[3	14]
Dryland cereal as monocrop	H=1	-7	[25	49]
	U 1 2 3 4 5 Response ratio of soil organic carb	on		

Figure 10.Variations in response ratios (RR) of soil organic carbon concentration (SOC) with soil cropping systems, the crop and the combination of crops for studies comparing initial and final SOC content or SOC at the start and end of the experiment. Other cereals are rice, maize, tef and wheat; other crops are cotton, green grams, menthol mint, safflower and sunflower. The vertices represent the 95% confidence limits of RR. The grey line indicates the RR = 1, i.e. where responses in the treatment and control are the same. Significant reductions or increases are indicated when the 95% confidence intervals lie below or above the grey line, respectively. Negative values under "change (%)" represent a decrease while positive values represent an increase, relative to SOC at the start of the experiment. Numbers within brackets indicate the number of publications [N] and number of observations [NO].

#### 3.5.2 Comparing SOC in farming systems with and without GLDC

Forty-two publications with 80 paired observations reported listed different combinations of GLDC that facilitated the evaluation of changes in SOC in farming systems which included GLDC compared to those that did not. The overall mean effect size (RR=1.54, 95% CI: [1.44, 1.63]) was greater than one, suggesting that SOC was higher in farming systems which included GLDC than in those that did not. The effect size and corresponding percentage change of different combinations are presented in Figure 11. Planting grain legumes as companion crops where other cereals (maize, wheat, tef or rice) or other crops (cassava, castor, Guinea grass, menthol mint, Napier grass or sacred basil) were the main crops that significantly increased SOC by 27% and 9% respectively, compared to monocrops of other cereals or other crops (Figure 11). Similarly, it was found that planting grain legumes on land that was previously under other cereals significantly increased SOC by 25% while planting other cereals in plants that had grain legumes increased SOC by 18% (Figure 11). On the contrary, intercropping other cereals as companion crops in farming systems where grain legumes were the main crops; or intercropping grain legumes as companion crops in farming systems with dryland cereals as main crops did not show significant differences compared to monocrops of the main crop (Figure 11). Planting dryland cereals in agroforestry systems significantly increased SOC (by 15%) compared to growing dryland cereals alone (Figure 11).



Response ratio of soil organic carbon

Figure 11. This figure shows variations in response ratios (RR) of soil organic carbon concentration with crop combinations for studies comparing farms or fields with GLDC and those without these crops. The cereals planted are rice, maize, tef and wheat; Other crops planted are cassava, castor, Guinea grass, menthol mint, Napier grass and sacred basil. The vertices represent the 95 % confidence limits of RR. The grey line indicates the RR = 1, i.e. where responses in the treatment and control are the same. Significant reductions or increases are indicated when the 95% confidence intervals lie below or above the grey line, respectively. Negative values under "change (%)" represent a decrease while positive values represent an increase, relative to the control group. Numbers within brackets indicate the number of publications [N] and number of observations [NO].

## 4. Discussion

The results show the potential of sorghum, millets and grain legumes to enhance carbon sequestration in farming systems in Africa and South Asia, and the opportunities to develop several pathways to increase carbon sequestration in a large number of countries. No studies were found in 32 out of the 64 countries admissible for selection. This difference represents a gap in research, considering that the studies included the period from 1975 to 2020. The fewer studies in some countries suggests moderate research effort. It is also possible that only few studies in these countries met the selection criteria, or publications on the subject are in languages other than English and French. Studies conducted in South Asia were concentrated in India, a country known for intensive cereal production, and one of the top five countries that account for over half the cereal production in the world.

The amount of plant carbon potentially available for input to the soil is large and varied depending on the crop (species), type of crop (legume or cereal) and cropping system. Differences in quantities between crops are attributed to differences in growth habits or climatic conditions, which limit the amount of residues that can be realized by the crop. Cereals and pigeon pea (woody perennial) had the largest amount of aboveground carbon (>2 Mg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Pigeon pea is a multipurpose crop with long growing periods that allows it to accumulate more carbon compared to other legumes (Bayala et al. 2018, 2020). Low aboveground carbon under cowpea could be associated to growing conditions. Cowpea and finger millet are tolerant to drought and are often grown in arid and semi-arid areas where biomass production is low (Odeny 2007). The results underscore the importance of considering the growth habits of the crop for rotation or intercropping to maximize carbon sequestration.

The quantity of aboveground residues available for return to the soil is large, although the actual amount of plant carbon that can be added to the soil depends on whether residues are returned or removed from the field. Residue retention increases carbon sequestration by raising the quantity of carbon added to the soil from post-harvest residues and can in turn improve crop productivity (Johnson et al. 2006; Liu et al. 2014). On the contrary, residue removal lowers carbon inputs into the soil, and tilts the equilibrium towards net loss (Raffa et al. 2015; Bolinder et al. 2020; Lu 2020). In terms of climate mitigation, *in situ* accumulation of plant residues represents a net gain since atmospheric carbon is added to the soil without reduction in soil carbon where residues are obtained. However, residue retention is not common in Africa, where crop residues are needed for feed and fuel. Land preparation in Africa also involves the burning of gathered residues every year at the onset of the rainy season. Such practices reduce the biomass to be returned to the soil and also kill the soil communities that contribute to decomposition and aggregate formation.

Aboveground carbon was greatly increased when GLDC were integrated as intercrops but depressed under agroforestry. Intercrops produce more biomass per unit area because of the combined harvest from the component crops, which accounted for about 50% increase in aboveground carbon in this study; and because of complementarity / facilitation among components (Brooker et al. 2015). The latter is due to reduced competition and increased resource use efficiency among crops that utilize resources at different times or at varied depths within the soil profile (Duchene et al. 2017). Crops grown under agroforestry had low aboveground carbon because of competition with trees or a smaller population of the companion crop compared to monoculture (Kuyah et al. 2019). Studies that compare carbon sequestration under improved and local varieties were few, and indicated that improved varieties of GLDC produced less biomass carbon compared to local varieties. This suggests that high yielding varieties which produce more grain may have fewer residues that remain after harvesting. This presents a tradeoff between productivity and agricultural carbon sequestration. Carbon input from such varieties may to a larger extent come from root biomass, especially where improved varieties are bred to withstand drought. Much of the comparison

between local and improved varieties has focused on yield, with indication that improved grain yields have low residue biomass. However, improved varieties produce large amounts of residues when the harvest index (ratio of grain to total plant mass aboveground) is not changed in the process of breeding (Johnson et al. 2006). The dearth of data makes it difficult to determine varieties (local or improved) with greater capacity for carbon sequestration.

Absolute SOC concentration in farms and fields where GLDC were grown in Africa ( $0.96\pm0.04$ ) and in South Asia ( $0.58\pm0.06\%$ ) is low, and corresponds to characteristic low SOC levels in the regions (Lal 2004, 2008). Low soil carbon content in these regions is attributed to continuous low input, extractive agriculture in Africa and severe soil degradation and excessive tillage in South Asia (Lal 2004). Degraded soils have low biomass production, low input use efficiency and therefore lower amounts of crop residue are returned to the soil. Estimates of SOC in both regions are lower than the general threshold (1.74% carbon or 3% organic matter) that is considered critical for supporting crop production in low input agriculture on tropical soils (Musinguzi et al. 2016). SOC concentrations close to 1.74% were only found in farming systems that included legumes i.e. common bean ( $1.71\pm0.16\%$ ) and pigeon pea ( $1.68\pm0.19\%$ ). Based on SOC concentration, Musinguzi et al. (2016) broadly classified soils (in Uganda, Africa) as low fertility (<1.2%), medium fertility (1.2-1.7%) and high fertility (>1.7%). GLDC are therefore grown on soils that are low in soil fertility, as revealed also by the majority (74%) of publications that referred to studies conducted on soils with <1% SOC.

GLDC increased SOC when grown under intercrops and crop rotation, relative to the initial SOC content. Intercropping and crop rotation are leading measures used to raise cropping intensity in smallholder systems in Africa and South Asia (Godfray and Garnett 2014; Duchene et al. 2017; Franke et al. 2018b; King and Blesh 2018). They can enhance soil carbon sequestration by increasing the amount of aboveground residues that can be returned to the soils (McDaniel et al. 2014; Tiemann et al. 2015). These measures can also increase carbon input from roots e.g. through production of more root biomass or exudates (Cong et al. 2015). Introduction of plants which may have carbon compounds that are more resistant to microbial metabolism, or by improving the ability of soil microbial communities to rapidly process plant residues and protect them in aggregates can also enhance soil carbon sequestration(Tiemann et al. 2015). The effects of cropping systems on carbon sequestration are modified by the type of crop, tillage and soil characteristics at the site (Blanco-Canqui and Lal 2004).

The greatest increase in SOC was found in systems including legumes (pigeon pea, chickpea and soybean) while systems including cereals showed a decline in SOC (sorghum) or no effect (pearl millet). The use of grain legumes as companion crops in cereals (dryland and other cereals) increased SOC relative to initial SOC content. It also increased SOC in the intercrop/subsequent crop relative to the cereal monoculture or continuous sole cropping. Similarly, SOC increased significantly under legume monocrops but decreased under cereal monocrops, when the final SOC was compared to the initial SOC content in respective monocultures. Primary studies attribute higher soil carbon in systems with legumes to high quality residues that promote microbial growth efficiency and aggregation (Drinkwater et al. 1998; Blanco-Canqui and Lal 2004; McDaniel et al. 2014). Other reasons for higher soil carbon include production of large quantities of biomass in some legumes e.g. pigeon pea (Abdurahman et al. 1998), improved biomass production of the subsequent crop in rotations (Franke et al. 2018b), increased release of carbon in exudates in the root zone (Tiemann et al. 2015) or increasing nitrogen and phosphorus use efficiency of cereal crops (Franke et al. 2018b; Ndayisaba et al. 2021). High SOC increase under pigeon pea is attributed to its capacity to take up more carbon and to transfer substantial amounts of it to the soil via roots and litter fall (Bayala et al. 2018, 2020). Pigeon pea has extensive and deep root systems which increase belowground biomass and carbon storage at deeper soil profiles where it is locked and protected from disturbance and weather fluctuation. Low SOC under some legumes can be attributed to limited biomass input from the legume, and probably the chemical composition of the inputs (Tiemann et al. 2015).

Soil organic carbon significantly increased on clay soils and soils with low initial SOC (<1%) but decreased in soils with high (>1.5%) SOC. This is consistent with existing literature: a positive relationship between SOC and clay content and a negative relationship between carbon sequestration and initial SOC (Blanco-Canqui and Lal 2004; Lal 2004; Jagadamma and Lal 2010). A recent meta-analysis reported comparable results: higher SOC increase in clay soils compared to sandy soils (Gross and Glaser 2021). Increasing SOC with increase in clay content suggest that soils with high clay content accrued SOC more rapidly than sandy soils. Sandy soil has limited capacity to stabilize organic compounds (Blanco-Canqui and Lal 2004), and low productivity (equal to low carbon inputs) due to limited capacity to retain nutrients or water (Nkurunziza et al. 2019). On the contrary, clay soil has the capacity to protect SOC from breakdown by soil microbes through the formation of aggregates or humification of SOC (Blanco-Canqui and Lal 2004; Lal 2004). Clay content can also affect accumulation of SOC indirectly by retaining soil moisture thereby increasing plant productivity (Franzluebbers et al. 1996). The negative relationship between carbon sequestration and initial SOC is explained thus: SOC accumulates rapidly where initial SOC content is far from its saturation level. A similar trend was found in a meta-analysis by Gross and Glaser (2021), where the large increase in SOC occurred on soils with initial SOC lower than 1%. This suggests that efforts aimed to increase soil carbon sequestration should prioritize regions with low SOC content.

## **5.** Conclusion

The results show the potential of sorghum, millets and grain legumes to enhance carbon sequestration in farming systems in Africa and South Asia, and therefore improve soil quality and mitigate climate change. The quantity of aboveground residues available for return to the soil is large. This is critical in Africa and South Asia, where low SOC is a key constraint for crop production. The large amount of carbon linked to above ground residues suggest that residue return can play an important role in maintaining or increasing carbon stocks in farming systems, especially where there is no addition of organic amendments. However, the volume of residues added to the soil might be less than the amount quantified in this study because of competing needs for livestock feed, fuel and other uses. The results suggest cropping intensity holds much promise for increasing the amount of soil carbon in farming systems with GLDC. It was also proven that soils which have low carbon concentration but high clay content have the greatest potential for carbon sequestration when cropped with GLDC. The type of crop has significant influence on the amount of carbon sequestered in agricultural systems. Finally, this study found differences in aboveground carbon and SOC concentration among dryland cereals and grain legumes. Comparing dry matter production revealed that cereals (finger and pearl millet, and sorghum) and pigeon pea had the largest potential for aboveground carbon (>2 Mg/ha); legumes on the other hand, had the greatest influence on SOC concentration. In conclusion, the integration of GLDC in farming systems has the potential to contribute to climate mitigation and increased productivity through increased carbon sequestration.

#### **Study limitations**

This working paper is based on carbon in aboveground residues and SOC concentration in publications that reported on GLDC crops in Africa and South Asia. The results reflect what has been published and may not exactly represent what farmers grow. Even though aboveground residues provide significant carbon input, there are a host of factors (e.g. tillage, organic amendment, elevation, slope, pH etc.) that influence the amount of carbon added to the soil. These factors were either rarely or not systematically reported. Other information not reported in most publications was bulk density, previous land uses, variance metrics, and in some studies, sample size. Methods for reporting SOC were also not standardized, with only a few studies providing data on SOC before the adoption of GLDC. In this study, initial SOC information is inferred from initial soil properties reported in the methods. These limitations make it difficult to evaluate conditions under which the GLDC offer the greatest carbon benefits. Identifying conditions under which GLDC can make positive contributions requires analyses that include these factors. There were a few publications that reported aboveground carbon under improved and local varieties, and no publication reported SOC under the two varieties. This makes it difficult to assess what happens to SOC when farmers change from local to improved varieties of GLDC. Evidence reported in grey literature was not captured in this review because of the difficulty of locating and reviewing scientific evidence in this area. This is literature that is often unpublished research or publications not available through normal channels; or publications such as mainstream databases. The brief period of this review did not have scope for a comprehensive appraisal of grey literature.

## References

Abdurahman MD, Seeling B, Rego TJ, Reddy BB (1998) Organic matter inputs by selected cropping systems on a Vertisol in the semi-arid tropics of India. Annals of Arid Zone 37:363–371

**AGRA** (2017) Africa Agriculture Status Report: The business of smallholder agriculture in sub-Saharan Africa. Alliance for a Green Revolution in Africa (AGRA), Nairobi, Kenya

**AGRA** (2013) Africa Agriculture Status Report: Focus on Staple Crops. Alliance for a Green Revolution in Africa (AGRA), Nairobi, Kenya

**Bayala J, Kalinganire A, Sileshi GW, Tondoh JE** (2018) Soil organic carbon and nitrogen in agroforestry systems in sub-Saharan Africa: a review. Improving the Profitability, Sustainability and Efficiency of Nutrients Through Site Specific Fertilizer Recommendations in West Africa Agro-Ecosystems 51–61

**Bayala J, Mando A, Ouedraogo SJ, Teklehaimanot Z** (2003) Managing *Parkia biglobosa* and *Vitellaria paradoxa* prunings for crop production and improved soil properties in the Sub-Sudanian zone of Burkina Faso. Arid Land Research and Management 17:283–296

**Bayala J, Sanou J, Bazié HR, et al** (2020) Regenerated trees in farmers' fields increase soil carbon across the Sahel. Agroforestry Systems 94:401–415

Blanco-Canqui H, Lal R (2004) Mechanisms of carbon sequestration in soil aggregates. Critical reviews in plant sciences 23:481–504

**Bolinder MA, Crotty F, Elsen A, et al** (2020) The effect of crop residues, cover crops, manures and nitrogen fertilization on soil organic carbon changes in agroecosystems: a synthesis of reviews. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change 25:929–952

**Bolinder MA, Janzen HH, Gregorich EG, et al** (2007) An approach for estimating net primary productivity and annual carbon inputs to soil for common agricultural crops in Canada. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 118:29–42

**Brooker RW, Bennett AE, Cong W-F, et al** (2015) Improving intercropping: a synthesis of research in agronomy, plant physiology and ecology. New Phytologist 206:107–117

Brück H, Sattelmacher B, Payne WA (2003) Varietal differences in shoot and rooting parameters of pearl millet on sandy soils in Niger. Plant and soil 251:175–185

**CGIAR** (2017) CGIAR Research Program. Grain Legumes and Dryland Cereals (GLDC) Agri-food Systems. Demand Driven Innovation for the Drylands. Full proposal. Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR), Hyderabad, India

**Chirwa TS, Mafongoya PL, Mbewe DNM, Chishala BH** (2004) Changes in soil properties and their effects on maize productivity following *Sesbania sesban* and *Cajanus cajan* improved fallow systems in eastern Zambia. Biology and Fertility of Soils 40:20–27

**Cong W-F, Hoffland E, Li L, et al** (2015) Intercropping enhances soil carbon and nitrogen. Global change biology 21:1715–1726

**De Costa W, Becher M, Schubert S** (1997) Effects of water stress on nitrogen fixation of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.). Journal of the National Science Foundation of Sri Lanka 25:

Drinkwater LE, Wagoner P, Sarrantonio M (1998) Legume-based cropping systems have reduced carbon and nitrogen losses. Nature 396:262–265

**Droppelmann KJ, Ephrath JE, Berliner PR** (2000) Tree/crop complementarity in an arid zone runoff agroforestry system in northern Kenya. Agroforestry Systems 50:1–16

**Duchene O, Vian J-F, Celette F** (2017) Intercropping with legume for agroecological cropping systems: Complementarity and facilitation processes and the importance of soil microorganisms. A review. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 240:148–161

Forster D, Andres C, Verma R, et al (2013) Yield and economic performance of organic and conventional cotton-based farming systems–results from a field trial in India. PloS One 8:e81039

**Franke AC, Van den Brand GJ, Vanlauwe B, Giller KE** (2018a) Sustainable intensification through rotations with grain legumes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A review. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 261:172–185

**Franke AC, Van den Brand GJ, Vanlauwe B, Giller KE** (2018b) Sustainable intensification through rotations with grain legumes in Sub-Saharan Africa: A review. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 261:172–185

**Franzluebbers AJ, Haney RL, Hons FM, Zuberer DA** (1996) Active fractions of organic matter in soils with different texture. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 28:1367–1372

**Gan YT, Campbell CA, Janzen HH, et al** (2009) Root mass for oilseed and pulse crops: growth and distribution in the soil profile. Canadian Journal of Plant Science 89:883–893

**Gassner A, Harris D, Mausch K, et al** (2019) Poverty eradication and food security through agriculture in Africa: Rethinking objectives and entry points. Outlook on Agriculture 48:309–315

**Gathumbi SM, Cadisch G, Giller KE** (2004) Improved fallows: effects of species interaction on growth and productivity in monoculture and mixed stands. Forest Ecology and management 187:267–280

**Ghosh PK, Ramesh P, Bandyopadhyay KK, et al** (2004) Comparative effectiveness of cattle manure, poultry manure, phosphocompost and fertilizer-NPK on three cropping systems in vertisols of semiarid tropics. I. Crop yields and system performance. Bioresource technology 95:77–83

**Godfray HCJ, Garnett T** (2014) Food security and sustainable intensification. Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society B: biological sciences 369:20120273

**Gross A, Glaser B** (2021) Meta-analysis on how manure application changes soil organic carbon storage. Scientific reports 11:1–13

Hedges LV, Gurevitch J, Curtis PS (1999) The meta-analysis of response ratios in experimental ecology. Ecology 80:1150–1156

**Herrero M, Thornton PK, Power B, et al** (2017) Farming and the geography of nutrient production for human use: a transdisciplinary analysis. The Lancet Planetary Health 1:e33–e42

**IPCC** (2019) 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), Geneva, Switzerland

Jagadamma S, Lal R (2010) Distribution of organic carbon in physical fractions of soils as affected by agricultural management. Biology and Fertility of Soils 46:543–554

**Jensen ES, Peoples MB, Boddey RM, et al** (2012) Legumes for mitigation of climate change and the provision of feedstock for biofuels and biorefineries. A review. Agronomy for sustainable development 32:329–364

**Johnson J-F, Allmaras RR, Reicosky DC** (2006) Estimating source carbon from crop residues, roots and rhizodeposits using the national grain-yield database. Agronomy journal 98:622–636

**Katovich E, Feist A, Hughes K, Mausch K** (2020) What do we really know about the impacts of improved grain legumes and dryland cereals? A critical review of 18 impact studies

**Kho RM, Yacouba B, Yayé M, et al** (2001) Separating the effects of trees on crops: the case of *Faidherbia albida* and millet in Niger. Agroforestry systems 52:219–238

**King AE, Blesh J** (2018) Crop rotations for increased soil carbon: perenniality as a guiding principle. Ecological applications 28:249–261

**Knox J, Hess T, Daccache A, Wheeler T** (2012) Climate change impacts on crop productivity in Africa and South Asia. Environmental research letters 7:034032

**Krishna SS, Reddy YN** (2021) Root growth pattern in finger millet under well-watered and drought stress condition: Its relation to shoot mass production. Plant Physiology Reports 1–9

**Kuyah S, Whitney CW, Jonsson M, et al** (2019) Agroforestry delivers a win-win solution for ecosystem services in sub-Saharan Africa. A meta-analysis. Agron Sustain Dev 39:47. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-019-0589-8

Laberge G, Haussmann BI, Ambus P, Høgh-Jensen H (2011) Cowpea N rhizodeposition and its below-ground transfer to a co-existing and to a subsequent millet crop on a sandy soil of the Sudano-Sahelian eco-zone. Plant and soil 340:369–382

Lal R (2004) Soil carbon sequestration in India. Climatic Change 65:277-296

**Lal R** (2008) Sequestration of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> in global carbon pools. Energy and Environmental Science 1:86–100

**Leihner DE, Buerkert A, Banzhaf J, Serafini PG** (1993) Soil tillage and windbreak effects on millet and cowpea: II. Dry matter and grain yield. Agronomy Journal 85:400–405

Liu C, Lu M, Cui J, et al (2014) Effects of straw carbon input on carbon dynamics in agricultural soils: a meta-analysis. Global change biology 20:1366–1381

Lu X (2020) A meta-analysis of the effects of crop residue return on crop yields and water use efficiency. PloS One 15:e0231740

**McDaniel MD, Tiemann LK, Grandy AS** (2014) Does agricultural crop diversity enhance soil microbial biomass and organic matter dynamics? A meta-analysis. Ecological Applications 24:560–570. https://doi.org/10.1890/13-0616.1

**Michels K, Lamers JPA, Buerkert A** (1998) Effects of windbreak species and mulching on wind erosion and millet yield in the Sahel. Experimental Agriculture 34:449–464

**Musinguzi P, Ebanyat P, Tenywa JS, et al** (2016) Critical soil organic carbon range for optimal crop response to mineral fertiliser nitrogen on a Ferralsol. Experimental Agriculture 52:635–653

Ndayisaba PC, Kuyah S, Midega CAO, et al (2021) Intercropping desmodium and maize improves nitrogen and phosphorus availability and performance of maize in Kenya. Field Crops Research 263:108067

**Nkurunziza L, Chirinda N, Lana M, et al** (2019) The potential benefits and trade-offs of using subsurface water retention technology on coarse-textured soils: Impacts of water and nutrient saving on maize production and soil carbon sequestration. Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems 3:71

**Odeny DA** (2007) The potential of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.) in Africa. Natural Resources Forum 31:297–305. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-8947.2007.00157.x

**Powlson DS, Glendining MJ, Coleman K, Whitmore AP** (2011) Implications for Soil Properties of Removing Cereal Straw: Results from Long-Term Studies 1. Wiley Online Library

**Raffa DW, Bogdanski A, Tittonell P** (2015) How does crop residue removal affect soil organic carbon and yield? A hierarchical analysis of management and environmental factors. Biomass and Bioenergy 81:345–355

**Ramesh P, Ghosh PK, Reddy KS, et al** (2005) Assessment of biomass, productivity and sustainability of soybean based cropping systems at three levels of nitrogen in deep Vertisols of semi-arid tropical India. Journal of sustainable agriculture 26:43–59

Rohatgi A (2020) WebPlotDigitizer, Version 4.2. URL https://automeris io/WebPlotDigitizer

**SamSamWater Foundation** (2018) SamSamWater - Climate Tool (precipitation and evaporation). https://www.samsamwater.com/climate/. Accessed 15 Sep 2021

Sanou J, Bayala J, Teklehaimanot Z, Bazié P (2012) Effect of shading by baobab (*Adansonia digitata*) and néré (*Parkia biglobosa*) on yields of millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) and taro (*Colocasia esculenta*) in parkland systems in Burkina Faso, West Africa. Agroforestry Systems 85:431–441

**Shridhar Rao J, Vadez V, Bhatnagar-Mathur P, et al** (2012) Better root: shoot ratio conferred enhanced harvest index in transgenic groundnut overexpressing the rd29A: DREB1A gene under intermittent drought stress in an outdoor lysimetric dry-down trial. Journal of SAT Agricultural Research 10:1–7

Smith A, Snapp S, Dimes J, et al (2016) Doubled-up legume rotations improve soil fertility and maintain productivity under variable conditions in maize-based cropping systems in Malawi. Agricultural Systems 145:139–149. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2016.03.008

**Snapp S, Kebede Y, Wollenberg L, et al** (2021) Agroecology and climate change rapid evidence review: Performance of agroecological approaches in low-and middle-income countries. CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS), Wageningen, the Netherlands

**Tiemann LK, Grandy AS, Atkinson EE, et al** (2015) Crop rotational diversity enhances belowground communities and functions in an agroecosystem. Ecology letters 18:761–771

**Waddington SR, Karigwindi J** (2001) Productivity and profitability of maize+ groundnut rotations compared with continuous maize on smallholder farms in Zimbabwe. Experimental Agriculture 37:83–98

## Appendices

Appendix 1. Aboveground carbon and soil carbon groups reported in publications reviewed for studies conducted in Africa and South Asia.

Carbon pool used for	Reported carbon class or proxy for soil organic				
analysis	carbon	No. of studies			
	Dry matter/aboveground biomass	193			
	Fodder (dry)	19			
Aboveground carbon	Aboveground residues (stalk, stover, straw, stubble, haulm) yield	165*			
	Green manure (dry)	2			
	Annual carbon input from residues	6			
Coil organic carbon	Soil organic carbon	132			
Soli organic carbon	Soil organic matter	9			
	Soil carbon stocks	10			
*42 publications did not specify how the weight of aboveground residues reported were determined, and therefore not considered as dry matter for estimation of aboveground carbon in this review.					

Appendix 2. Estimates (mean ± standard error [SE} and 95% confidence interval [CI]) of aboveground carbon (Mg/ha) in farming systems with grain legumes and dryland cereals in Africa and South Asia. N is the number of publications while NO is the number of observations.

Category	Subgroup	Mean±SE	0.25 CI	0.975 CI	Ν	NO
Overall mean	Overall mean	1.76±0.05	1.66	1.85	330	1036
<b>.</b> .	Africa	1.51±0.05	1.42	1.62	210	708
Region	South Asia	2.29±0.10	2.10	2.49	120	328
True - of twick	On farm	1.56±0.07	1.43	1.71	98	354
Type of trial	On station	1.83±0.06	1.72	1.96	238	682
	Andosols	2.41±0.51	1.55	3.62	6	19
	Arenosols	0.96±0.06	0.85	1.10	50	189
	Cambisols	2.53±0.28	2.00	3.10	12	47
	Ferralsols	1.79±0.21	1.43	2.28	12	33
	Fluvisols	1.06±0.23	0.67	1.61	4	10
Soil type	Inceptisols	2.25±0.20	1.89	2.67	33	58
	Lixisols	1.58±0.12	1.37	1.83	47	128
	Luvisols	2.14±0.24	1.73	2.71	28	77
	Plinthosols	1.19±0.11	1.00	1.42	4	34
	Ultisols	1.26±0.18	1.00	1.73	22	46
	Vertisols	1.76±0.21	1.44	2.28	41	391
	Agroforestry	0.94±0.10	0.78	1.22	23	70
Cropping	Crop rotation	1.24±0.10	1.07	1.45	41	121
system	Intercrop	2.23±0.12	2.01	2.48	57	233
	Monocrop	1.77±0.06	1.66	1.90	245	612
	Chickpea	1.10±0.11	0.91	1.37	31	60
	Common bean	1.55±0.15	1.28	1.88	24	55
	Cowpea	1.14±0.10	0.98	1.37	61	168
	Finger millet	2.72±0.48	1.86	3.75	9	20
Crop type	Groundnut	1.47±0.12	1.27	1.74	46	108
crop type	Lentil	0.80±0.12	0.48	0.97	5	6
	Pearl millet	1.66±0.10	1.48	1.89	56	180
	Pigeon pea	2.48±0.26	2.02	3.03	22	53
	Sorghum	2.44±0.14	2.19	2.74	78	164
	Soybean	1.42±0.13	1.21	1.77	62	124
Veeref	One	1.79±0.06	1.68	1.92	323	626
experiment	Тwo	1.75±0.08	1.60	1.92	161	309
experiment	Three	1.44±0.15	1.19	1.79	54	81
Water regime	Irrigated	2.72±0.20	2.37	3.15	68	157
	Rain fed	1.71±0.09	1.55	1.91	99	306
Residue	Removed	1.15±0.16	0.88	1.53	25	61
management	Retained	1.40±0.11	1.21	1.67	48	128

Appendix 3. Estimates (mean ± standard error [SE} and 95% confidence interval [CI]) of absolute soil organic carbon in farming systems with grain legumes and dryland cereals in Africa and South Asia. N is the number of publication while NO is the number of observations.

Category	Subgroup	Mean±SE	0.25 CI	0.975 CI	Ν	NO
Overall mean	Overall mean	0.76±0.04	0.71	0.81	142	278
Pagion	Africa	0.96±0.06	0.84	1.08	62	129
Region	South Asia	0.58±0.04	0.52	0.68	80	149
	On farm	0.84±0.07	0.71	0.98	30	76
Type of that	On station	0.72±0.04	0.64	0.81	113	202
	Arenosols	0.43±0.12	0.25	0.75	14	20
	Cambisols	0.56±0.06	0.47	0.71	12	25
	Ferralsols	1.90±0.15	1.51	2.13	6	10
Soil type	Inceptisols	0.48±0.07	0.39	0.70	17	22
Son type	Lixisols	0.57±0.05	0.48	0.67	39	58
	Luvisols	0.53±0.08	0.38	0.67	6	14
	Ultisols	1.41±0.19	1.05	1.80	10	19
	Vertisols	0.81±0.11	0.63	1.06	27	50
	Agroforestry	1.17±0.22	0.83	1.73	8	13
Cronning system	Crop rotation	0.74±0.05	0.65	0.85	57	90
Cropping system	Intercrop	0.94±0.08	0.79	1.11	26	71
	Sole cropping	0.60±0.06	0.50	0.73	59	104
	Chickpea	0.57±0.165	0.40	1.23	10	19
	Common bean	1.71±0.16	1.36	1.99	3	7
	Cowpea	0.65±0.12	0.43	0.91	15	28
	Finger millet	0.40±0.06	0.32	0.58	9	11
Create trunce	Groundnut	0.51±0.12	0.34	0.86	12	18
Crop type	Lentil	1.27±0.41	0.69	2.22	7	10
	Pearl millet	0.35±0.04	0.29	0.48	23	35
	Pigeon pea	1.68±0.19	1.31	2.05	12	17
	Sorghum	0.59±0.04	0.52	0.67	28	63
	Soybean	0.99±0.06	0.87	1.12	41	70
_	One	0.74±0.04	0.67	0.83	135	217
Year of	Тwo	0.79±0.08	0.64	0.97	7	7
experiment	Three	0.77±0.22	0.42	1.36	28	47
	Irrigated	0.68±0.11	0.45	0.94	21	46
water regime	Rain fed	0.68±0.06	0.57	0.82	47	98
Residue	Removed	0.70±0.12	0.49	0.98	14	28
management	Retained	0.69±0.07	0.57	0.85	42	54

#### Appendix 4 References of the systematic review

The list denotes publications from which data on soil organic carbon (SOC) or its proxies (aboveground biomass, soil organic matter or carbon stocks) was extracted for the review. The review yielded 437 publications spread across 32 countries in Africa and South Asia. The publications reported absolute quantities and/or changes aboveground carbon/SOC when dryland cereals (sorghum, finger or pearl millets) or grain legumes (chickpea, common bean, cowpea, lentils, pigeon pea or soybean) were integrated in farming systems in Africa and South Asia.

Abate M, Hussien T, Bayu W, Reda F (2017) Diversity in root traits of sorghum genotypes in response to *Striga hermonthica* infestation. Weed Research 57 (5):303-313.

**Abbasi MK, Manzoor M, Tahir MM** (2010) Efficiency of Rhizobium inoculation and P fertilization in enhancing nodulation, seed yield, and phosphorus use efficiency by field grown soybean under hilly region of Rawalakot Azad Jammu and Kashmir, Pakistan. Journal of Plant Nutrition 33 (5-8):1080-1102

Abbasi MK, Tahir MM, Azam W, Abbas Z, Rahim N (2012) Soybean Yield and Chemical Composition in Response to Phosphorus–Potassium Nutrition in Kashmir. Agronomy journal 104 (5):1476-1484.

**Abdalla MA, Salih NO, Hassabo AA, Mahala AG** (2007) Effect of application of organic amendments on quality of forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) in the semi-arid tropics. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 53 (5):529-538.

Abdourahamane Issa MN, Addam Kiari S, Warouma A, Abdoulaye AO, Aune JB (2020) Intensification of Pearl Millet Production in Niger through Mechanized Sowing and Weeding, Seed Priming, Seed Treatment, and Microdosing. Agronomy 10 (5):629.

Abdurahman MD, Seeling B, Rego TJ, Reddy BB (1998) Organic matter inputs by selected cropping systems on a Vertisol in the Semi-Arid Tropics of India. Annals of Arid Zone 37 (4):363-371

**Abebe Z, Deressa H** (2017) The Effect of Organic and Inorganic Fertilizers on the Yield of Two Contrasting Soybean Varieties and Residual Nutrient Effects on a Subsequent Finger Millet Crop. Agronomy 7 (2).

Abera G, Gerkabo H (2020) Effects of green manure legumes and their termination time on yield of maize and soil chemical properties. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science. 0:0, 1-14.

**Abera Y, Masso C, Assefa F** (2019) Inoculation with indigenous rhizobial isolates enhanced nodulation, growth, yield and protein content of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) at different agro-climatic regions in Ethiopia. Journal of Plant Nutrition 42 (16):1900-1912.

**Abunyewa AA, Karbo KN** (2005) Improved fallow with pigeon pea for soil fertility improvement and to increase maize production in a smallholder crop-livestock farming system in the subhumid zone of Ghana. Land Degradation & Development 16 (5):447-454.

Adamou A, Bationo A, Tabo R, Koala S (2007) Improving soil fertility through the use of organic and inorganic plant nutrient and crop rotation in Niger. In: Bationo A., Waswa B., Kihara J., Kimetu J. (eds) Advances in Integrated Soil Fertility Management in sub-Saharan Africa: Challenges and Opportunities. Springer, Dordrecht.

Adeboye OB, Schultz B, Adekalu KO, Prasad KC (2019) Performance evaluation of AquaCrop in simulating soil water storage, yield, and water productivity of rainfed soybeans (*Glycine max* L. merr) in Ile-Ife, Nigeria. Agricultural Water Management 213:1130-1146.

Adediran JA, Akande MO, Banjoko VA, Oluwatosin GA, Taiwo LB (2001) Influence of legume fallow on soil properties and yield of maize in South Western Nigeria. Journal of Agriculture in the Tropics and Subtropics 102 (2):109-117

Adetunji MT, Okeleye KA (2001) Effect of incorporating legume hedgerow prunings on properties of an oxic paleudult in south western Nigeria. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 32 (3/4):441-451

Adhikary PP, Hombegowda HC, Barman D, Madhu M (2018) Soil and onsite nutrient conservation potential of aromatic grasses at field scale under a shifting cultivated, degraded catchment in Eastern Ghats, India. International Journal of Sediment Research 33 (3):340-350.

Adhikary S, Bagchi DK, Ghosal P, Banerjee RN, Chatterjee BN (1991) Studies on maize-legume intercropping and their residual effects on soil fertility status and succeeding crop in upland situation. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science-Zeitschrift Fur Acker Und Pflanzenbau 167 (5):289-293.

Adiku SGK, Jones JW, Kumaga FK, Tonyigah A (2009) Effects of crop rotation and fallow residue management on maize growth, yield and soil carbon in a savannah-forest transition zone of Ghana. The Journal of Agricultural Science 147 (3):313-322.

Adjei-Nsiah S, Ahiakpa JK, Asamoah-Asante G (2018) Productivity of pigeonpea genotypes as influenced by palm bunch ash and NPK fertiliser application and their residual effects on maize yield. Annals of Agricultural Science 63 (1):83-89.

Adjei-Nsiah S, Kumah JF, Owusu-Bennoah E, Kanampiu F (2019) Influence of P Sources and Rhizobium Inoculation on Growth and Yield of Soybean Genotypes on Ferric Lixisols of Northern Guinea Savanna Zone of Ghana. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 50 (7):853-868. Agbede TM, Ojeniyi SO (2009) Tillage and poultry manure effects on soil fertility and sorghum yield in southwestern Nigeria. Soil & Tillage Research 104 (1):74-81.

**Agbede TM, Ojeniyi SO, Adeyemo AJ** (2008) Effect of Poultry Manure on Soil Physical and Chemical Properties, Growth and Grain Yield of Sorghum in Southwest, Nigeria. American-Eurasian Journal of Sustainable Agriculture 2 (1):72-77

**Agegnehu G, Sinebo W** (2012) Drainage, sowing date and variety effects on chickpea grown on a Vertisol in Ethiopia. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 58 (1):101-113.

Aher SB, Lakaria BL, Singh AB, Kaleshananda S, Ramana S, Ramesh K, Thakur JK, Rajput PS, Yashona DS (2019) Effect of organic sources of nutrients on performance of soybean (*Glycine max*). Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 89 (11):35-39

**Ajeigbe HA, Folorunso Mathew A, Kunihya A, Jonah J** (2018) Productivity and Water Use Efficiency of Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) Moench] Grown under Different Nitrogen Applications in Sudan Savanna Zone, Nigeria. International Journal of Agronomy 2018:11.

Akhtar S, Bashir S, Khan S, Iqbal J, Gulshan AB, Irshad S, Batool S, Ahmad N, Rizwan MS (2020) Integrated usage of synthetic and bio-fertilizers: an environment friendly approach to improve the productivity of sorghum. Cereal Research Communications 48 (2):247-253.

Alemu G, Bayu W (2005) Effects of farmyard manure and combined N and P fertilizer on sorghum and soil characteristics in northeastern Ethiopia. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture 26 (2):23-41.

**Alghali AM** (1992) On-farm evaluation of control strategies for insect pests in cowpea with emphasis on flower thrips, *Megalurothrips sjostedti* Trybom (Thysanoptera: thripidae). Tropical Pest Management 38 (4):420-424

**Ali M** (2018) Efficiency of Elemental Sulfur and Phosphorus Fertilizer in Enhancing Soybean (*Glycine max* L.) Growth and Yield in a Clayey Soil. Egyptian Journal of Soil Science 58 (2):233-244.

Amara DS, Sanginga N, Danso SKA, Suale DS (1996) Nitrogen contribution by multipurpose trees to rice and cowpea in an alley cropping system in Sierra Leone. Agroforestry Systems 34 (2):119-128.

**Amodu JT, Kallah MS, Onifade OS, Omokanye AT, Adeyinka IA** (2001) The effect of harvesting at different growth stages on yield and quality of three late-maturing pearl millet accessions in northern Nigeria. Tropical Grasslands 35 (3):175-179

**Amrani M, Westfall DG, Moughli L** (2001) Phosphorus management in continuous wheat and wheat-legume rotations. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 59 (1):19-27.

**Ansari MA, Prakash N, Singh IM, Sharma PK, Punitha P** (2013) Efficacy of boron sources on productivity, profitability and energy use efficiency of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) under North East Hill Regions. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 83 (9):959-963

Anyanzwa H, Okalebo JR, Othieno CO, Bationo A, Waswa BS, Kihara J (2010) Effects of conservation tillage, crop residue and cropping systems on changes in soil organic matter and maize-legume production: a case study in Teso District. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 88 (1):39-47.

**Argaw A** (2018) Integrating inorganic NP application and Bradyrhizobium inoculation to minimize production cost of peanut (Arachis hypogea L.) in eastern Ethiopia. Agriculture and Food security 7 (1):20-p. 20.

**Argaw A, Akuma A** (2015) *Rhizobium leguminosarum* bv. Viciae sp. inoculation improves the agronomic efficiency of N of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Environmental Systems Research 4 (1):1-13.

**Arif M, Jalal F, Jan MT, Muhammad D, Quilliam RS** (2015) Incorporation of Biochar and Legumes into the Summer Gap: Improving Productivity of Cereal-Based Cropping Systems in Pakistan. Agroecology and Sustainable Food Systems 39 (4):391-398.

**Arya RL, Varshney JG, Kumar L** (2007) Effect of Integrated Nutrient Application in Chickpea Mustard Intercropping System in the Semi-arid Tropics of North India. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 38 (1-2):229-240

**Asante M, Benjamin Dzorgbenyui Kofi A, Atakora WK** (2020) Growth, Nodulation, and Yield Responses of Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) as Influenced by Combined Application of Rhizobium Inoculant and Phosphorus in the Guinea Savanna Zone of Ghana. International Journal of Agronomy 2020:7.

Aserse AA, Markos D, Genet G, Yli-Halla M, Lindström K (2020) Rhizobial inoculation improves drought tolerance, biomass and grain yields of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) and soybean (*Glycine max* L.) at Halaba and Boricha in Southern Ethiopia. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 66 (4):488-501.

Aulakh MS, Khera TS, Doran JW, Kuldip S, Bijay S (2000) Yields and nitrogen dynamics in a rice-wheat system using green manure and inorganic fertilizer. Soil Science Society of America journal 64 (5):1867-1876.

Azam-Ali SN, Matthews RB, Williams JH, Peacock JM (1990) Light use, water uptake and performance of individual components of a sorghum/groundnut intercrop. Experimental Agriculture. 26 (4):413-427

**Babiker SA, Khair MAM, Tahir ISA** (2014) Exploitation of forage attribute-based variations in Sudan pearl millet [Pennisetum glaucum (L.) R. Br.] collections. Plant Genetic Resources 12 (1):83-90.

**Bagayoko M, Buerkert A, Lung G, Bationo A, Romheld V** (2000) Cereal/legume rotation effects on cereal growth in Sudano-Sahelian West Africa: soil mineral nitrogen, mycorrhizae and nematodes. Plant and Soil 218 (1/2):103-116

**Bana RS, Pooniya V, Choudhary AK, Rana KS, Tyagi VK** (2016) Influence of organic nutrient sources and moisture management on productivity, biofortification and soil health in pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) plus clusterbean (*Cyamopsis tetragonaloba*) intercropping system of semi-arid India. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 86 (11):1418-1425

**Barthès BG, Penche A, Hien E, Deleporte P, Clermont-dauphin C, Cournac L, Manlay RJ (**2015) Effect of ramial wood amendment on sorghum production and topsoil quality in a Sudano-Sahelian ecosystem (central Burkina Faso). Agroforestry Systems 89 (1):81-93.

Bashagaluke JB, Logah V, Opoku A, Quansah C, Sarkodie-Addo J, Tuffour HO (2019) Soil loss and run-off characteristics under different soil amendments and cropping systems in the semi-deciduous forest zone of Ghana. Soil Use and Management 35 (4):617-629.

**Bationo A, Christianson CB, Klaij MC** (1993) the effect of crop residue and fertilizer use on pearl-millet yields in Niger. Fertilizer Research 34 (3):251-258.

**Bayala J, Mando A, Ouedraogo SJ, Teklehaimanot Z** (2003) Managing *Parkia biglobosa* and *Vitellaria paradoxa* Prunings for Crop Production and Improved Soil Properties in the Sub-Sudanian Zone of Burkina Faso. Arid Land Research and Management 17 (3):283-296.

**Bayu W, Rethman N, Hammes P, Alemu G** (2006) Effects of Farmyard Manure and Inorganic Fertilizers on Sorghum Growth, Yield, and Nitrogen Use in a Semi-Arid Area of Ethiopia. Journal of Plant Nutrition 29 (2):391-407.

**Bayu W, Rethman NFG, Hammes PS** (2012) Effects of tied-ridge, nitrogen fertilizer and cultivar on the yield and nitrogen use efficiency of sorghum in semi-arid Ethiopia. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 58 (5):547-560.

**Bdliya BS, Muhammad AS** (2006) Effect of inter-cropping millet with groundnut on the control of Cercospora leaf spot of groundnut in the Sudan savanna of north-eastern Nigeria. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture 29 (2):19-41.

**Bell RW, Haque ME, Islam MA, Johansen C, Kabir ME, Mia MNN, Musa MA, Neogi MG, Vance W** (2018) Mechanised minimum soil disturbance establishment and yield of diverse crops in paddy fields using a twowheel tractor-mounted planter suitable for smallholder cropping. Experimental Agriculture 54 (5):755-773.

**Berhanu T, Beshir W, Lakew A** (2020) Effect of Integrated Technologies on Production and Productivity of Pearl Millet in the Dryland Areas of Wag Himira Administrative Zone, Eastern Amhara, Ethiopia. International Journal of Agronomy 2020:5.

**Bhattacharyya R, Prakash V, Kundu S, Srivastva AK, Gupta HS** (2009) Soil aggregation and organic matter in a sandy clay loam soil of the Indian Himalayas under different tillage and crop regimes. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 132 (1-2):126-134.

**Biswas DR** (2011) Nutrient recycling potential of rock phosphate and waste mica enriched compost on crop productivity and changes in soil fertility under potato–soybean cropping sequence in an Inceptisol of Indo-Gangetic Plains of India. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 89 (1):15-30.

**Bitew Y, Alemayehu G, Adego E, Assefa A** (2019) Boosting land use efficiency, profitability and productivity of finger millet by intercropping with grain legumes. Cogent Food and Agriculture 5 (1).

**Bitew Y, Alemayehu G, Adego E, Assefa A** (2020a) Impact of precursor crop and nitrogen fertilizer on the productivity of finger millet based cropping system and soil fertility in Lake Tana basin of Ethiopia. Journal of Plant Nutrition 43 (12):1824-1839.

**Bitew Y, Alemayehu G, Adego E, Assefa A** (2020b) Nutrient competition and requirement in finger milletharicot bean intercropping system. Journal of Plant Nutrition 43 (4):578-593.

**Boddey RM, Ahiabor BDK, Atakora WK, Boddey LH, Fosu M, Guimaraes AP, Miranda CHB** (2017) Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) crops in Africa can respond to inoculation with rhizobium. Experimental Agriculture 53 (4):578-587.

**Boffa JM, Taonda S-B, Dickey JB, Knudson DM** (2000) Field-scale influence of karite (*Vitellaria paradoxa*) on sorghum production in the Sudan zone of Burkina Faso. Agroforestry Systems 49 (2):153-175.

**Bostick WM, Bado VB, Bationo A, Soler CT, Hoogenboom G, Jones JW** (2007) Soil carbon dynamics and crop residue yields of cropping systems in the Northern Guinea Savanna of Burkina Faso. Soil and Tillage Research 93 (1):138-151

Brhane G, Wortmann CS, Mamo M, Gebrekidan H, Belay A (2006) Micro-Basin Tillage for Grain Sorghum Production in Semiarid Areas of Northern Ethiopia. Agronomy journal 98 (1):124-128.

**Bright MBH, Diedhiou I, Assigbetse K, Chapuis-Lardy L, Dick RP, Bayala R, Ndour Y** (2017) Long-term *Piliostigma reticulatum* intercropping in the Sahel: Crop productivity, carbon sequestration, nutrient cycling, and soil quality. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 242:9-22.

**Buah SJ, Hashim I, Segtaa JV, Bayala J, Kuzie M, Ouedraogo M, Derigubah M, Zougmore R** (2017) Tillage and fertilizer effect on maize and soybean yields in the Guinea savanna zone of Ghana. Agriculture and Food Security 6 (1):17-p. 17.

**Buah SSJ, Kombiok JM, Abatania LN** (2012) Grain Sorghum Response to NPK Fertilizer in the Guinea Savanna of Ghana. Journal of crop improvement 26 (1):101-115.

**Buerkert A, Lamers JPA, Schmelzer GH, Becker K, Marschner H** (1997) Phosphorus and millet crop residue application affect the quantity and quality of millet leaves and fodder weeds for ruminants in agro-pastoral systems of the Sahel. Experimental Agriculture 33 (3):253-263

**Buerkert A, Stern RD** (1995) effects of crop residue and phosphorus application on the spatial variability of nondestructively measured millet growth in the Sahel. Experimental Agriculture 31 (4):429-449.

**Buerkert A, Stern RD, Marschner H** (1995) Post stratification clarifies treatment effects on pearl millet growth in the Sahel. Agronomy Journal 87 (4):752-761

**Chauhan YS, Johansen C, Singh L** (1993) Adaptation of extra short duration pigeonpea to rainfed semi-arid environments. Experimental Agriculture 29 (2):233-243

**Chekanai V, Chikowo R, Vanlauwe B** (2018) Response of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris L.) to nitrogen, phosphorus and rhizobia inoculation across variable soils in Zimbabwe. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 266:167-173.

**Chibarabada TP, Modi AT, Mabhaudhi** T (2018) Adaptation and Productivity of Selected Grain Legumes in Contrasting Environments of Kwazulu-Natal, South Africa. International Journal of Plant Production 12 (3):169-180.

**Chibarabada TP, Modi AT, Mabhaudhi** T (2019) Water use of selected grain legumes in response to varying irrigation regimes. Water SA 45 (1):110-120.

**Chikowo R, Mapfumo P, Nyamugafata P, Giller KE** (2004) Maize productivity and mineral N dynamics following different soil fertility management practices on a depleted sandy soil in Zimbabwe. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 102 (2):119-131.

**Chimonyo VGP, Modi AT, Mabhaudhi T** (2016) Water use and productivity of a sorghum–cowpea–bottle gourd intercrop system. Agricultural Water Management 165:82-96.

**Chiroma AM, Alhassan AB, Khan B** (2008) Yield and water use efficiency of millet as affected by land configuration treatments. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture 32 (2):321-333.

**Chirwa TS, Mafongoya PL, Mbewe DNM, Chishala BH** (2004) Changes in soil properties and their effect of maize productivity following *Sesbania sesban* and *Cajanus cajan* improved fallow systems in eastern Zambia. Biology and fertility of soils 40 (1):20-27.

**Choudhary VK, Choudhury BU** (2018) A staggered maize–legume intercrop arrangement influences yield, weed smothering and nutrient balance in the eastern Himalayan region of India. Experimental Agriculture 54 (2):181-200.

**Choudhary VK, Dixit A, Kumar PS, Chauhan BS** (2014) Productivity, weed dynamics, nutrient mining, and monetary advantage of maize-legume intercropping in the Eastern Himalayan Region of India. Plant Production Science 17 (4):342-352.

**Choudhary VK, Kumar PS** (2015) Amelioration of Acidic Soil and Production Performance of Cowpea by the Application of Different Organic Manures in Eastern Himalayan Region, India. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 46 (20):2523-2533.

**Coulibaly YN, Mulia R, Sanou J, Zombre G, Bayala J, Kalinganire A, Noordwijk M** (2014) Crop production under different rainfall and management conditions in agroforestry parkland systems in Burkina Faso: observations and simulation with WaNuLCAS model. Agroforestry Systems 88 (1):13-28.

**Craufurd PQ** (2000) Effect of plant density on the yield of sorghum-cowpea and pearl millet-cowpea intercrops in northern Nigeria. Experimental Agriculture 36 (3):379-395

**Craufurd PQ, Wheeler TR** (1999) Effect of drought and plant density on radiation interception, radiation-use efficiency and partitioning of dry matter to seeds in cowpea. Experimental Agriculture 35 (3):309-325

**Danga BO, Ouma JP, Wakindiki IIC, Bar-Tal A** (2010) Chickpea-Wheat Rotation for Higher Production in a Humid Tropical Region. Agronomy journal 102 (2):363-371.

**Dare MO, Soremekun JA, Inana FO, Adenuga OS, Ajiboye GA** (2014) Microbial Biomass Carbon and Nitrogen Under Different Maize Cropping Systems. In: Hartemink AE, McSweeney K (eds) Soil Carbon. Progress in Soil Science. pp 305-311.

**Das A, Lyngdoh D, Ghosh PK, Lal R, Layek J, Idapuganti RG** (2018) Tillage and cropping sequence effect on physico-chemical and biological properties of soil in Eastern Himalayas, India. Soil and tillage research 180:182-193.

**Das A, Patel DP, Munda GC, Hazarika UK, Bordoloi J** (2008) Nutrient recycling potential in rice-vegetable cropping sequences under in situ residue management at mid-altitude subtropical Meghalaya. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 82 (3):251-258.

**Das A, Patel DP, Ramkrushna GI, Munda GC, Ngachan SV, Kumar M, Buragohain J, Naropongla** (2014) Crop diversification, crop and energy productivity under raised and sunken beds: results from a seven-year study in a high rainfall organic production system. Biological Agriculture and Horticulture 30 (2):73-87.

**Das BB, Dkhar MS** (2012) Organic Amendment Effects on Microbial Population and Microbial Biomass Carbon in the Rhizosphere Soil of Soybean. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 43 (14):1938-1948.

**Das M, Singhandhupe RB, Muduli SD, Chakraborty H, Kumar A** (2009) Yield and nutrient uptake by groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) - rice (*Oryza sativa*) sequence to distillery effluent irrigation at various concentrations in red and lateritic soil of eastern India. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 79 (6):433-437

**Dass A, Sudhishri S, Lenka NK** (2013) Integrated Nutrient Management to Improve Finger Millet Productivity and Soil Conditions in Hilly Region of Eastern India. Journal of Crop Improvement 27 (5):528-546.

Datta M, Yadav GS, Chakraborty S (2016) Performances of groundnut varieties under sub-tropical climate of North East Hilly Agro-Ecological Region of India. Legume Research 39 (2):297-300.

**Deng B, Tammeorg P, Luukkanen O, Helenius J, Starr M** (2017) Effects of *Acacia seyal* and biochar on soil properties and sorghum yield in agroforestry systems in South Sudan. Agroforestry Systems 91 (1):137-148.

**Dey R, Pal KK, Bhatt DM, Chauhan SM** (2004) Growth promotion and yield enhancement of peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) by application of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. Microbiological Research 159 (4):371-394.

Diakhaté S, Masse D, Abadie J, Assigbetse K, Chapuis-Lardy L, Diouf M, Gueye M, Sembène M, Diallo NH, Dick RP, Chevallier T, Ndour YB (2016) Soil microbial functional capacity and diversity in a millet-shrub intercropping system of semi-arid Senegal. Journal of Arid Environments 129:71-79.

**Dixit AK, Kumar S, Rai AK, Palsaniya DR** (2014) Productivity and profitability of fodder sorghum plus cowpea - chickpea cropping system as influenced by organic manure, phosphorus and sulphur application in central India. Range Management and Agroforestry 35 (1):66-72

**Dossa EL, Diedhiou I, Khouma M, Sene M, Lufafa A, Kizito F, Samba SAN, Badiane AN, Diedhiou S, Dick RP** (2012) Crop Productivity and Nutrient Dynamics in a Shrub (*Guiera senegalensis*)–Based Farming System of the Sahel. Agronomy Journal 104 (5):1255-1264.

**Droppelmann KJ, Ephrath JE, Berliner PR** (2000) Tree/crop complementarity in an arid zone runoff agroforestry system in northern Kenya. Agroforestry Systems 50 (1):1-16.

**Dubey RK, Abhilash PC, Chaurasia R, Dubey PK, Singh HB** (2020) Sustainable agronomic practices for enhancing the soil quality and yield of *Cicer arietinum* L. under diverse agroecosystems. Journal of Environmental Management 262.

**Dutta D, Bandyopadhyay P** (2009) Performance of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) to application of phosphorus and bio-fertilizer in laterite soil. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 55 (2):147-155

**Dwivedi BS, Shukla AK, Singh VK, Yadav RL** (2003) Improving nitrogen and phosphorus use efficiencies through inclusion of forage cowpea in the rice-wheat systems in the Indo-Gangetic Plains of India. Field Crops Research 80 (3):167-193.

**Eagleton GE, Mohamed AA, Odowa AA, Muse HA** (1991) A comparison of moisture-conserving practices for the traditional sorghum-based cropping system of the Bay Region, in Somalia. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 36 (1-2):87-99

**Ebanyat P, de Ridder N, de Jager A, Delve RJ, Bekunda MA, Giller KE** (2010) Impacts of heterogeneity in soil fertility on legume-finger millet productivity, farmers' targeting and economic benefits. Nutrient cycling in agroecosystems 87 (2):209-231.

**Ellis-Jones J, Schulz S, Douthwaite B, Hussaini MA, Oyewole BD, Olanrewaju AS, White R** (2004) An assessment of integrated *Striga hermonthica* control and early adoption by farmers in northern Nigeria. Experimental Agriculture 40 (3):353-368.

**Fadl KEM** (2009) Growth and yield of groundnut, Sesame and roselle under Acacia Senegal agroforestry system in North Kordofan, Sudan. Forests, Trees and Livelihoods 19 (1):27-46

**Fadl KEM** (2013) Influence of *Acacia senegal* agroforestry system on growth and yield of sorghum, sesame, roselle and gum in north Kordofan State, Sudan. Journal of Forestry Research 24 (1):173-177.

Farah SM, Salih AA, Taha AM, Ali ZI, Ali IA (1997) Grain sorghum response to supplementary irrigations under post-rainy season conditions. Agricultural Water Management 33 (1):31-41.

**Fatondji D, Ibrahim A** (2018) Improving nutrient use efficiency from decomposing manure and millet yield under Plinthosols in Niger. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 110 (3):485-499.

**Fatondji D, Martius C, Bielders CL, Vlek PLG, Bationo A, Gerard B** (2006) Effect of planting technique and amendment type on pearl millet yield, nutrient uptake, and water use on degraded land in Niger. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 76 (2-3):203-217.

**Fofana B, Wopereis MCS, Bationo A, Breman H, Mando A** (2008) Millet nutrient use efficiency as affected by natural soil fertility, mineral fertilizer use and rainfall in the West African Sahel. Nutrient cycling in agroecosystems 81 (1):25-36.

Forster D, Andres C, Verma R, Zundel C, Messmer MM, Mäder P (2013) Yield and Economic Performance of Organic and Conventional Cotton-Based Farming Systems – Results from a Field Trial in India. PLoS One 8 (12).

**Fox P, Rockstrom J** (2003) Supplemental irrigation for dry-spell mitigation of rainfed agriculture in the Sahel. Agricultural Water Management 61 (1):29-50

**Franke A, Laberge G, Oyewole B, Schulz S** (2008) A comparison between legume technologies and fallow, and their effects on maize and soil traits, in two distinct environments of the West African savannah. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 82 (2):117-135

Franke AC, Schulz S, Oyewole BD, Bako S (2004) Incorporating short-season legumes and green manure crops into maize-based systems in the moist Guinea savanna of West Africa. Experimental Agriculture 40 (4):463-479

**Franzluebbers K, Juo ASR, Manu A** (1994) Decomposition of cowpea and millet amendments to a sandy Alfisol in Niger. Plant and Soil 167 (2):255-265

**Gaafar AM, Salih AA, Luukkanen O, El Fadl MA, Kaarakka V** (2006) Improving the Traditional Acacia Senegal-Crop System in Sudan: The Effect of Tree Density on Water Use, Gum Production and Crop Yields. Agroforestry Systems 66 (1):1-11.

Ganyo KK, Muller B, Ndiaye M, Gaglo EK, Guissé A, Adam M (2019) Defining Fertilization Strategies for Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) Production Under Sudano-Sahelian Conditions: Options for Late Basal Fertilizer Application. Agronomy 9 (11).

**Gathumbi SM, Cadisch G, Giller KE** (2004) Improved fallows: effects of species interaction on growth and productivity in monoculture and mixed stands. Forest ecology and management 187 (2-3):267-280

**Geiger SC, Bationo A, Manu A** (1992) Changes in a Sandy Sahelian Soil Following Crop Residue and Fertilizer Additions. Soil Science Society of America Journal 56 (1):172-177.

**Ghosh A** (2007) Farmyard Manuring, Tillage, and Irrigation Management Impacts on Forage Sorghum Grown in Rice Fallow Systems. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture 30 (4):119-131.

**Ghosh BN, Khola OP, Singh, Bhattacharyya R, Dadhwal KS, Mishra PK** (2016) Effect of potassium on soil conservation and productivity of maize/cowpea-based crop rotations in the north-west Indian Himalayas. Journal of Mountain Science 13 (4):754-762.

**Ghosh PK** (2004) Growth, yield, competition and economics of groundnut/cereal fodder intercropping systems in the semi-arid tropics of India. Field Crops Research 88 (2-3):227-237.

**Ghosh PK, Dayal D** (2005) Optimization of date of sowing in a new groundnut-wheat relay cropping system in semi-arid tropics of India. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture 26 (3):83-94.

**Ghosh PK, Dayal D, Bandyopadhyay KK, Mohanty M** (2006) Evaluation of straw and polythene mulch for enhancing productivity of irrigated summer groundnut. Field Crops Research 99 (2-3):76-86.

**Ghosh PK, Dayal D, Mandal KG, Wanjari RH, Hati KM** (2003) Optimization of fertilizer schedules in fallow and groundnut-based cropping systems and an assessment of system sustainability. Field Crops Research 80 (2):83-98.

**Gichuru MP** (1991) residual effects of natural bush, *Cajanus cajan* and *Tephrosia candida* on the productivity of an acid soil in southeastern Nigeria. Plant and Soil 134 (1):31-36. doi:10.1007/bf00010714

**Gowthamchand NJ, Ganapathi, Soumya TM** (2019) Effect of Bulky Manures and Fermented Liquid Organics on Growth, Yield, Nutrient Uptake and Economics of French Bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) Under Rainfed Condition. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology 12 (4):361-368.

**Gyogluu C, Boahen SK, Dakora FD** (2016) Response of promiscuous-nodulating soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr.) genotypes to Bradyrhizobium inoculation at three field sites in Mozambique. Symbiosis 69 (2):81-88.

**Hadebe ST, Mabhaudhi T, Modi AT** (2020) Water Productivity of Selected Sorghum Genotypes Under Rainfed Conditions. International Journal of Plant Production 14 (2):259-272.

Hafner H, Bley J, Bationo A, Martin P, Marschner H (1993) Long-term nitrogen balance for pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum* L.) in an acid sandy soil of Niger. Zeitschrift für Pflanzenernährung und Bodenkunde 156 (2):169-176

Hafner H, Ndunguru B, Bationo A, Marschner H (1992) Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and molybdenum application on growth and symbiotic N<sub>2</sub>-fixation of groundnut in an acid sandy soil in Niger. Fertilizer Research 31 (1):69-77

**Hardter R, Horst WJ** (1991) Nitrogen and phosphorus use in maize sole cropping and maize cowpea mixed cropping systems on an Alfisol in the northern guinea savanna of Ghana. Biology and Fertility of Soils 10 (4):267-275.

Harika JV, Maitra S, Shankar T, Bera M, Manasa P (2019) Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Productivity, Nutrient Uptake and Economics of Finger Millet (*Eleusine coracana* L. Gaertn). International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology 12 (3):273-279.

Harris D, Rashid A, Miraj G, Arif M, Yunas M (2008) 'On-farm' seed priming with zinc in chickpea and wheat in Pakistan. Plant and Soil 306 (1-2):3-10.

Hassan A, Ijaz SS, Lal R, Barker D, Ansar M, Ali S, Jiang S (2016) Tillage effect on partial budget analysis of cropping intensification under dryland farming in Punjab, Pakistan. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 62 (2):151-162.

Hassan M, Mahmood A, Chattha MU, Shahbaz Talib S (2018) Performance of sorghum cultivars for biomass quality and biomethane yield grown in semi-arid area of Pakistan. Environmental Science and Pollution Research International 25 (13):12800-12807.

Hassan MU, Chattha MU, Barbanti L, Muhammad Bilal C, Mahmood A, Khan I, Nawaz M (2019) Combined cultivar and harvest time to enhance biomass and methane yield in sorghum under warm dry conditions in Pakistan. Industrial crops and products.

Hati KM, Biswas AK, Bandyopadhyay KK, Misra AK (2007a) Soil properties and crop yields on a Vertisol in India with application of distillery effluent. Soil and Tillage Research 92 (1-2):60-68.

Hati KM, Chaudhary RS, Mandal KG, Bandyopadhyay KK, Singh RK, Sinha NK, Mohanty M, Somasundaram J, Saha R (2015) Effects of Tillage, Residue and Fertilizer Nitrogen on Crop Yields, and Soil Physical Properties Under Soybean-Wheat Rotation in Vertisols of Central India. Agricultural Research 4 (1):48-56.

Hati KM, Mandal KG, Misra AK, Ghosh PK, Bandyopadhyay KK (2006) Effect of inorganic fertilizer and farmyard manure on soil physical properties, root distribution, and water-use efficiency of soybean in Vertisols of central India. Bioresource Technology 97 (16):2182-2188.

Hati KM, Swarup A, Dwivedi AK, Misra AK, Bandyopadhyay KK (2007b) Changes in soil physical properties and organic carbon status at the topsoil horizon of a Vertisol of central India after 28 years of continuous cropping, fertilization and manuring. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 119 (1-2):127-134.

Hati KM, Swarup A, Mishra B, Manna MC, Waniari RH, Mandal KG, Misra AK (2008) Impact of long-term application of fertilizer, manure and lime under intensive cropping on physical properties and organic carbon content of an Alfisol. Geoderma 148 (2):173-179.

**Hauser S** (2008) Groundnut/cassava/maize intercrop yields over three cycles of planted tree fallow/crop rotations on Ultisol in southern Cameroon. Biological agriculture and horticulture 25 (4):379-399.

**Hayashi K, Abdoulaye T, Gerard B, Bationo A** (2008) Evaluation of application timing in fertilizer micro-dosing technology on millet production in Niger, West Africa. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 80 (3):257-265.

**Hindoriya PS, Meena RK, Kumar R, Singh M, Ram H, Meena VK, Ginwal D, Dutta S** (2019) Productivity and Profitability of Cereal-Legume Forages Vis-a-Vis Their Effect on Soil Nutrient Status in Indo-Gangetic Plains. Legume Research 42 (6):812-817.

Hoffmann MP, Soler AS, Zhao G, Jude JOO, Ayisi KK, Koch M, Reimund PRt (2018) Exploring adaptations of groundnut cropping to prevailing climate variability and extremes in Limpopo Province, South Africa. Field Crops Research 219:1-13.

**Ibrahim A, Abaidoo RC, Fatondji D, Opoku A** (2015a) Hill placement of manure and fertilizer micro-dosing improves yield and water use efficiency in the Sahelian low input millet-based cropping system. Field Crops Research 180:29-36.

**Ibrahim A, Abaidoo RC, Fatondji D, Opoku A** (2015b) Integrated use of fertilizer micro-dosing and Acacia tumida mulching increases millet yield and water use efficiency in Sahelian semi-arid environment. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 103 (3):375-388.

**Ibrahim A, Abaidoo RC, Fatondji D, Opoku A** (2016) Fertilizer micro-dosing increases crop yield in the Sahelian low-input cropping system: A success with a shadow. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 62 (3):277-288.

**Ibrahim A, Abaidoo RC, Iliasso ADT, Fatondji D** (2018) Nutrient release dynamics from decomposing organic materials and their mulching-effect on pearl millet yields in a low-input Sahelian cropping system. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 112 (1):45-59.

**Ibrahim A, Pasternak D, Fatondji D** (2015c) Impact of depth of placement of mineral fertilizer micro-dosing on growth, yield and partial nutrient balance in pearl millet cropping system in the Sahel. Journal of Agricultural Science 153 (8):1412-1421.

**Ibrahim M, Ayub M, Maqbool MM, Nadeem SM, Haq Tu, Hussain S, Ali A, Lauriault LM** (2014) Forage yield components of irrigated maize-legume mixtures at varied seed ratios. Field Crops Research 169:140-144.

**Ikazaki K, Nagumo F, Simporé S, Barro A** (2018) Are all three components of conservation agriculture necessary for soil conservation in the Sudan Savanna? Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 64 (2):230-237.

**Ikpe FN, Powell JM** (2002) Nutrient cycling practices and changes in soil properties in the crop-livestock farming systems of western Niger Republic of West Africa. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 62 (1):37-45.

**Iqbal MA, Iqbal A, Siddiqui MH, Maqbool** Z (2018) Bio-agronomic evaluation of forage sorghum-legumes binary crops on haplic yermosol soil of Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Botany 50 (5):1991-1997

Islam M, Ali S, Mohsan S, Khalid R, Fayyaz ul H, Mahmood A, Afzal S (2012) Relative Efficiency of Two Sulfur Sources Regarding Nitrogen Fixation and Yield of Chickpea. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 43 (5):811-820.

Islam MM, Karim MR, Md Moinul Hosain O, Tahmina Akter U, Md Ashraf H, Haque MM (2018) Impacts of Trace Element Addition on Lentil (*Lens culinaris* L.) Agronomy. Agronomy 8 (7).

**Issaka RN, Dennis EA, Buri MM** (2003) Management of phosphate rock in maize-cowpea cropping system. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 49 (4):481-484. doi:10.1080/00380768.2003.10410036

**Issoufa BB, Ibrahim A, Abaidoo RC, Ewusi-Mensah N** (2019) Combined use of millet glume-derived compost and mineral fertilizer enhances soil microbial biomass and pearl millet yields in a low-input millet cropping system in Niger. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 65 (8):1107-1119.

**Itulya FM, Aguyoh JN** (1998) The effects of intercropping kale with beans on yield and suppression of redroot pigweed under high altitude conditions in Kenya. Experimental Agriculture 34 (2):171-176

Jakhar P, Dass A, Adhikary PP, Sudhishri S, Naik BS, Hombegowda HC, Madhu M, Lenka NK, Chaudhary PR, Panda RK (2017) Multitier agroforestry system for integrated resource conservation on uplands of Eastern Ghats region in India. Agroforestry Systems 91 (4):697-712.

Jamil M, Van Mourik T, Charnikhova T, Bouwmeester H (2013) Effect of diammonium phosphate application on strigolactone production and *Striga hermonthica* infection in three sorghum cultivars. Weed Research 53 (2):121-130

Jemo M, Nolte C, Tchienkoua M, Abaidoo RC (2010) Biological nitrogen fixation potential by soybeans in two low-P soils of southern Cameroon. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 88 (1):49-58.

Jensen JR, Bernhard RH, Hansen S, McDonagh J, Moberg JP, Nielsen NE, Nordbo E (2003) Productivity in maize based cropping systems under various soil-water-nutrient management strategies in a semi-arid, Alfisol environment in East Africa. Agricultural Water Management 59 (3):217-237

Jeranyama P, Hesterman OB, Waddington R, Harwood RR (2000) Relay-intercropping of sunnhemp and cowpea into a smallholder maize system in Zimbabwe. Agronomy Journal 92 (2):239-244

**Kanda EK, Senzanje A, Mabhaudhi T** (2020) Effect of Moistube and subsurface drip irrigation on cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (I.) Walp) production in South Africa. Water SA 46 (2):197-204.

Kannan P, Swaminathan C, Ponmani S (2017) Sulfur nutrition for enhancing rainfed groundnut productivity in typical alfisol of semi-arid regions in India. Journal of Plant Nutrition 40 (6):828-840.

**Kanonge-Mafaune G, Mazvita SC, Chikwari E, Pisa C** (2018) Evaluating the effect of increased rates of rhizobial inoculation on grain legume productivity. Symbiosis 75 (3):217-227.

Kanton RAL, Buah SSJ, Larbi A, Mohammed AM, Bidzakin JK, Yakubu EA (2017) Soil Amendments and Rotation Effects on Soybean and Maize Growths and Soil Chemical Changes in Northern Ghana. International Journal of Agronomy 2017:9.

**Karachi M, Lefofe BM** (2004) Variation in native cowpea for forage production in semi-arid Botswana. Tropical Grasslands 38 (1):56-61

**Karunakaran V, Behera UK** (2016) Tillage and residue management for improving productivity and resourceuse efficiency in soybean (glycine max)—wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) cropping system. Experimental Agriculture 52 (4):617-634.

**Kassam AH, Andrews DJ** (1975) Effects of sowing date on growth, development and yield of photosensitive sorghum at Samaru, Northern Nigeria. Experimental Agriculture 11 (3):227-240

Kaur J, Ram H, Gill BS, Kaur J (2015) Agronomic performance and economic analysis of soybean (Glycine max) in relation to growth regulating substances in Punjab, India. Legume Research 38 (5):603-608

Kawaka F, Dida M, Opala P, Ombori O, Maingi J, Amoding A, Muoma J (2018) Effect of nitrogen sources on the yield of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) in western Kenya. Journal of Plant Nutrition 41 (13):1652-1661.

Kermah M, Franke AC, Ahiabor BDK, Giller KE, Abaidoo RC, Adjei-Nsiah S (2018) N2-fixation and N contribution by grain legumes under different soil fertility status and cropping systems in the Guinea savanna of northern Ghana. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 261:201-210.

**Kermah M, Franke AC, Benjamin DKA, Giller KE, Abaidoo RC, Adjei-Nsiah S** (2017) Maize-grain legume intercropping for enhanced resource use efficiency and crop productivity in the Guinea savanna of northern Ghana. Field Crops Research 213:38-50.

Khaliq A, Abbasi MK (2015) Soybean Response to Single or Mixed Soil Amendments in Kashmir, Pakistan. Agronomy Journal 107 (3):887-895.

**Khannachopra R, Kumari S** (1995) Influence of variable amounts of irrigation water and nitrogen-fertilizer on growth, yield and water-use of grain-sorghum. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science-Zeitschrift Fur Acker Und Pflanzenbau 174 (3):151-161.

Khaswan SL, Dubey RK, Tiwari RC, Dubey SK, Chaudhary RK (2016) Total chlorophyll, growth and productivity of soybean Glycine Max (L) Merril under different levels and sources of phosphorus and plant growth regulators in south Rajasthan, India. Legume Research 39 (2):228-232.

Kho RM, Yacouba B, Yaye M, Katkore B, Moussa A, Iktam A, Mayaki A (2001) Separating the effects of trees on crops: the case of Faidherbia albida and millet in Niger. Agroforestry Systems 52 (3):219-238

Kihara J, Bationo A, Waswa B, Kimetu JM, Vanlauwe B, Okeyo J, Mukalama J, Martius C (2012) Effect of reduced tillage and mineral fertilizer application on maize and soybean productivity. Experimental Agriculture 48 (2):159-175.

**Kihara J, Martius C, Bationo A** (2015) Crop residue disappearance and macrofauna activity in sub-humid western Kenya. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 102 (1):101-111.

**Kihara J, Vanlauwe B, Waswa B, Kimetu JM, Chianu J, Bationo A** (2010) Strategic phosphorus application in legume-cereal rotations increases land productivity and profitability in Western Kenya. Experimental Agriculture 46 (1):35-52.

**Kimaro AA, Timmer VR, Chamshama SAO, Ngaga YN, Kimaro DA** (2009) Competition between maize and pigeonpea in semi-arid Tanzania: Effect on yields and nutrition of crops. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 134 (1-2):115-125.

**Kinama JM, Stigter CJ, Ong CK, Ng'ang'a JK, Gichuki FN** (2007) Contour Hedgerows and Grass Strips in Erosion and Runoff Control on Sloping Land in Semi-Arid Kenya. Arid Land Research and Management 21 (1):1-19.

**Klaij MC, Ntare BR** (1995) Rotation and tillage effects on yield of pearl-millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) and cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*), and aspects of crop water-balance and soil fertility in a semiarid tropical environment. Journal of Agricultural Science 124:39-44.

**Kolawole GO** (2012) Effect of phosphorus fertilizer application on the performance of maize/soybean intercrop in the southern Guinea savanna of Nigeria. Archiv für Acker- und Pflanzenbau und Bodenkunde 58 (2):189-198.

**Kolawole GO** (2013) Effects of leguminous plant residues and NPK fertilizer application on the performance of yam (*Dioscorea rotundata* 'c.v.' ewuru) in south-western Nigeria. Archiv für Acker- und Pflanzenbau und Bodenkunde 59 (3):423-434.

Kone AW, Edoukou EF, Tondoh JE, Gonnety JT, Angui PKT, Masse D (2012) Comparative study of earthworm communities, microbial biomass, and plant nutrient availability under 1-year *Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp and *Lablab purpureus* (L.) Sweet cultivations versus natural regrowths in a guinea savanna zone. Biology and Fertility of Soils 48 (3):337-347.

**Korwar GR, Radder GD** (1997) Alley cropping of sorghum with Leucaena during the post-rainy season on Vertisols in semi-arid India. Agroforestry Systems 37 (3):265-277.

Kouamé CN, Powell JM, Renard CA, Quesenberry KH (1993) Plant Yields and Fodder Quality Related Characteristics of Millet-Stylo Intercropping Systems in the Sahel. Agronomy Journal 85 (3):601-605.

Krishnamurthy L, Kashiwagi J, Gaur PM, Upadhyaya HD, Vadez V (2010) Sources of tolerance to terminal drought in the chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) minicore germplasm. Field Crops Research 119 (2-3):322-330.

**Kumar KA, Swain DK, Bhadoria PBS** (2018) Split application of organic nutrient improved productivity, nutritional quality and economics of rice-chickpea cropping system in lateritic soil. Field Crops Research 223:125-136. doi:10.1016/j.fcr.2018.04.007

**Kumar Rao JVDK, Dart PJ, Sastry PVSS** (1983) Residual effect of pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*) on yield and nitrogen response of maize. Experimental Agriculture 19 (2):131-141

**Kumar V, Ghosh BC, Bhat R** (1999) Recycling of crop wastes and green manure and their impact on yield and nutrient uptake of wetland rice. Journal of agricultural science 132 (pt.2):149-154

**Kundu M, Sarkar S** (2009) Growth and evapotranspiration pattern of rajmash (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) under varying irrigation schedules and phosphate levels in a hot sub-humid climate. Agricultural Water Management 96 (8):1268-1274.

**Kundu S, Bhattacharyya R, Prakash V, Gupta HS, Pathak H, Ladha JK** (2007) Long-term yield trend and sustainability of rainfed soybean-wheat system through farmyard manure application in a sandy loam soil of the Indian Himalayas. Biology and Fertility of Soils 43 (3):271-280.

**Kuotsu K, Das A, Munda GC, Ghosh PK, Lal R, Ngachan SV** (2014) Land forming and tillage effects on soil properties and productivity of rainfed groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)–rapeseed (*Brassica campestris* L.) cropping system in northeastern India. Soil and tillage research 142:15-24.

**Kyei-Boahen S, Savala CEN, Chikoye D, Abaidoo R** (2017) Growth and yield responses of cowpea to inoculation and phosphorus fertilization in different environments. Frontiers in Plant Science 8.

Laberge G, Franke AC, Ambus P, Høgh-Jensen H (2009) Nitrogen rhizodeposition from soybean (*Glycine max*) and its impact on nutrient budgets in two contrasting environments of the Guinean savannah zone of Nigeria. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 84 (1):49-58.

**Laberge G, Haussmann BIG, Ambus P, Høgh-Jensen H** (2011) Cowpea N rhizodeposition and its below-ground transfer to a co-existing and to a subsequent millet crop on a sandy soil of the Sudano-Sahelian eco-zone. Plant and Soil 340 (1):369-382.

Laddha KC, Totawat KL (1997) Effects of deep tillage under rainfed agriculture on production of sorghum (Sorghum biocolor L. Moench) intercropped with green gram (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) in western India. Soil and Tillage Research 43 (3-4):241-250.

Leihner DE, Buerkert A, Banzhaf J, Serafini PG (1993) Soil Tillage and Windbreak Effects on Millet and Cowpea: II. Dry Matter and Grain Yield. Agronomy journal 85 (2):400-405.

**Leiser WL, Rattunde HW, Weltzien E, Haussmann BIG** (2014) Phosphorus uptake and use efficiency of diverse West and Central African sorghum genotypes under field conditions in Mali. Plant and Soil 377 (1-2):383-394.

Lenka S, Lenka NK, Singh RC, Manna MC, Misra AK, Rautaray SK (2015) Tillage and Manure Induced Changes in Carbon Storage and Carbon Management Index in Soybean-Wheat Cropping System in the Vertisols of Central India. National Academy Science Letters-India 38 (6):461-464.

**Liben FM, Hassen SJ, Weyesa BT, Wortmann CS, Kim HK, Kidane MS, Yeda GG, Beshir B** (2017) Conservation Agriculture for Maize and Bean Production in the Central Rift Valley of Ethiopia. Agronomy Journal 109 (6):2988-2997.

Lusiba S, Odhiambo J, Ogola J (2017) Effect of biochar and phosphorus fertilizer application on soil fertility: soil physical and chemical properties. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 63 (4):477-490.

Lusiba S, Odhiambo J, Ogola J (2018) Growth, yield and water use efficiency of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*): response to biochar and phosphorus fertilizer application. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 64 (6):819-833.

Mahinda A, Shinjo H, Kilasara M, Funakawa S (2018) Interactive effects of in situ rainwater harvesting techniques and fertilizer sources on mitigation of soil moisture stress for sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) in dryland areas of Tanzania. Soil science and plant nutrition 64 (6):710-718.

**Makinde E, Oluwatoyinbo F, Ayoola O** (2006) Intercropping and crop residue incorporation: Effects on soil nutrient status. Journal of Plant Nutrition 29 (2):235-244.

**Mamuye M, Nebiyu A, Elias E, Berecha G** Short-term improved fallows of *Tephrosia vogelii* and *Cajanus cajan* enhanced maize productivity and soil chemical properties of a degraded fallow land in Southwestern Ethiopia. Agroforestry Systems 94: 1681–1691

**Mandal N, Dwivedi BS, Meena MC, Tomar RK, Datta SP** (2019) Soil hydrophysical properties under different nutrient management practices, their relationship with soil organic carbon fractions and crop yield under pigeonpea-wheat sequence. Journal of Plant Nutrition 42 (4):384-400.

Mandal N, Dwivedi BS, Sharma BM, Singh D, Meena MC, Tomar RK, Datta SP (2013) Effect of induced defoliation in pigeonpea, farmyard manure and sulphitation pressmud on soil organic carbon fractions, mineral nitrogen and crop yields in a pigeonpea–wheat cropping system. Field Crops Research 154:178-187.

Mando A, Ouattara B, Somado AE, Wopereis MCS, Stroosnijder L, Breman H (2005) Long-term effects of fallow, tillage and manure application on soil organic matter and nitrogen fractions and on sorghum yield under Sudano-Sahelian conditions. Soil use and management 21 (1):25-31

Manna MC, Swarup A, Wanjari RH, Ravankar HN, Mishra B, Saha MN, Singh YV, Sahi DK, Sarap PA (2005) Long-term effect of fertilizer and manure application on soil organic carbon storage, soil quality and yield sustainability under sub-humid and semi-arid tropical India. Field Crops Research 93 (2-3):264-280.

Mapfumo P, Giller KE, Mpepereki S, Mafongoya PL (1999) Dinitrogen fixation by pigeonpea of different maturity types on granitic sandy soils in Zimbabwe. Symbiosis 27 (3-4):305-318

Mashingaidze N, Madakadze C, Twomlow S, Nyamangara J, Hove L (2012) Crop yield and weed growth under conservation agriculture in semi-arid Zimbabwe. Soil and Tillage Research 124:102-110.

**Masvaya EN, Nyamangara J, Descheemaeker K, Giller KE** (2017) Is maize-cowpea intercropping a viable option for smallholder farms in the risky environments of semi-arid southern Africa? Field Crops Research 209:73-87.

**Meena BP, Singh AB, Biswas AK, Patra AK, Das H, Singh M, Chaudhary RS** (2019) Long-term sustaining crop productivity and soil health in maize–chickpea system through integrated nutrient management practices in Vertisols of central India. Field Crops Research 232:62-76.

**Mekbib F** (2006) Farmer and formal breeding of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench) and the implications for integrated plant breeding. Euphytica 152 (2):163-176.

Melaku ND, Klik A, Baye A, Zucca C, Ziadat F, Meron Lakew T, Strohmeier S, Wondimu B (2018) Effect of nitrogen fertilizer rate and timing on sorghum productivity in Ethiopian highland Vertisols. Archiv für Ackerund Pflanzenbau und Bodenkunde 64 (4):480-491.

**Mengistu MG, Steyn JM, Kunz RP, Doidge I, Hlophe HB, Everson CS, Jewitt GPW, Clulow AD** (2016) A preliminary investigation of the water use efficiency of sweet sorghum for biofuel in South Africa. Water SA 42 (1):152-160.

Mesfin T, Gebreyesus Brhane T, Wortmann CS, Nikus O, Mamo M (2009) Tied-ridging and fertilizer use for sorghum production in semi-arid Ethiopia. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 85 (1):87-94.

**Michels K, Bielders CL** (2006) Pearl milet growth on an erosion-affected soil in the Sahel. Experimental Agriculture 42 (1):1-17

**Michels K, Lamers JPA, Buerkert A** (1998) Effects of windbreak species and mulching on wind erosion and millet yield in the Sahel. Experimental Agriculture 34 (4):449-464

**Miriti JM, Esilaba AO, Bationo A, Cheruiyot H, Kihumba J, Thuranira EG** (2007) Tied-ridging and integrated nutrient management options for sustainable crop production in semi-arid eastern Kenya. In: Bationo A., Waswa B., Kihara J., Kimetu J. (eds) Advances in Integrated Soil Fertility Management in sub-Saharan Africa: Challenges and Opportunities. Springer, Dordrecht.

**Mligo JK, Craufurd PQ** (2005) Adaptation and yield of pigeonpea in different environments in Tanzania. Field Crops Research 94 (1):43-53

Mndzebele B, Ncube B, Fessehazion M, Mabhaudhi T, Amoo S, du Plooy C, Venter S, Modi A (2020) Effects of cowpea-amaranth intercropping and fertiliser application on soil phosphatase activities, available soil phosphorus, and crop growth response. Agronomy 10 (1).

Modak K, Ghosh A, Bhattacharyya R, Biswas DR, Das TK, Das S, Singh G (2019) Response of oxidative stability of aggregate-associated soil organic carbon and deep soil carbon sequestration to zero-tillage in subtropical India. Soil and Tillage Research 195.

**Moharana PC, Sharma BM, Biswas DR, Dwivedi BS, Singh RV** (2012) Long-term effect of nutrient management on soil fertility and soil organic carbon pools under a 6-year-old pearl millet-wheat cropping system in an Inceptisol of subtropical India. Field Crops Research 136:32-41.

Moharana PC, Tailor BL, Naitam RK, Meena RL, Singh RS, Samal SK, Singh SK, Kumar S, Verma TP (2017) Effect of long-term cropping systems on soil organic carbon pools and soil quality in western plain of hot arid India. Archiv für Acker- und Pflanzenbau und Bodenkunde 63 (12):1661-1675.

Moinuddin, Khanna-Chopra R (2004) Osmotic adjustment in chickpea in relation to seed yield and yield parameters. Crop Science 44 (2):449-455

**Mondal SS, Sarkar S, Pramanik CK** (2004) Effect of K on soil fertility and productivity under intercropped soya bean (Glycine max L. Merrill) and sesame (Sesamum indicum L.). Tropical agriculture 81 (2):66-72

Moussadek R, Mrabet R, Dahan R, Zouahri A, Mourid ME, Ranst EV (2014) Tillage System Affects Soil Organic Carbon Storage and Quality in Central Morocco. Applied and Environmental Soil Science

**Msongaleli BM, Tumbo SD, Kihupi NI, Rwehumbiza FB** (2017) Performance of Sorghum Varieties under Variable Rainfall in Central Tanzania. International Scholarly Research Notices 2017.

**Mubarak AR, Abdalla MH, Nortcliff S** (2012) Millet (*Pennisetum typhoides*) yield and selected soil attributes as influenced by some tree types of the semi-arid tropics of Sudan. Journal of Arid Environments 77:96-102.

**Muehlig-Versen B, Buerkert A, Bationo A, Roemheld V** (2003) Phosphorus placement on acid Arenosols of the West African Sahel. Experimental Agriculture 39 (3):307-325.

**Munera-Echeverri JL, Martinsen V, Strand LT, Cornelissen G, Mulder J** (2020) Effect of conservation farming and biochar addition on soil organic carbon quality, nitrogen mineralization, and crop productivity in a light textured Acrisol in the sub-humid tropics. PLoS One 15 (2).

**Muoni T, Koomson E, Oborn I, Marohn C, Watson CA, Bergkvist G, Barnes A, Cadisch G, Duncan A** (2020) Reducing soil erosion in smallholder farming systems in east Africa through the introduction of different crop types. Experimental Agriculture 56 (2):183-195.

**Mupangwa W, Ngwira A, Thierfelder C** (2017) Fertilization strategies in conservation agriculture systems with maize–legume cover crop rotations in southern africa. Experimental Agriculture 53 (2):288-307.

**Murata MR, Hammes PS, Zharare GE** (2002) Soil amelioration effects on nutrient availability and productivity of groundnut on acid sandy soils of Zimbabwe. Experimental Agriculture 38 (3):317-331.

Myaka FM, Sakala WD, Adu-Gyamfi JJ, Kamalongo D, Ngwira A, Odgaard R, Nielsen NE, Høgh-Jensen H (2006) Yields and accumulations of N and P in farmer-managed intercrops of maize-pigeonpea in semi-arid Africa. Plant and Soil 285 (1-2):207-220.

Naab JB, Seini SS, Gyasi KO, Mahama GY, Prasad PVV, Boote KJ, Jones JW (2009) Groundnut yield response and economic benefits of fungicide and phosphorus application in farmer-managed trials in northern Ghana. Experimental Agriculture 45 (4):385-399.

Nageswara Rao RC, Simmonds LP, Azam-Ali SN, Williams JH (1989) Population, growth and water use of groundnut maintained on stored water. I. Root and shoot growth. Experimental Agriculture 25 (1):51-61

**Nageswara Rao RCal, Wadia KDR, Williams JH** (1990) Intercropping short and long duration groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) genotypes to increase productivity in environments prone to end-of-season droughts. Experimental Agriculture 26 (1):63-72

**Naikwade PV** (2019) Soil Organic Carbon Sequestration by Long-Term Application of Manures Prepared from *Trianthema portulacastrurm* Linn. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 50 (20):2579-2592.

Nam NH, Chauhan YS, Johansen C (1993) Comparison of extra-short-duration pigeonpea with short-season legumes under rainfed conditions on Alfisols. Experimental Agriculture 29 (3):307-316

**Namugwanya M, Tenywa JS, Erasmus O** (2018) Response of Common Bean Genotypes Grown in Soil with Normal or Limited Moisture, with Special Reference to the Nutrient Phosphorus. Agronomy 8 (8).

**Nassary EK, Baijukya F, Ndakidemi PA** (2020a) Assessing the Productivity of Common Bean in Intercrop with Maize across Agro-Ecological Zones of Smallholder Farms in the Northern Highlands of Tanzania. Agriculture 10 (4):117.

**Nassary EK, Baijukya F, Ndakidemi PA** (2020b) Productivity of intercropping with maize and common bean over five cropping seasons on smallholder farms of Tanzania. European Journal of Agronomy 113.

**Naudin K, Gozé E, Balarabe O, Giller KE, Scopel E** (2010) Impact of no tillage and mulching practices on cotton production in North Cameroon: A multi-locational on-farm assessment. Soil and tillage research 108 (1-2):68-76.

**Nautiyal PC, Ravindra V, Zala PV, Joshi YC** (1999) Enhancement of yield in groundnut following the imposition of transient soil-moisture-deficit stress during the vegetative phase. Experimental Agriculture 35 (3):371-385

**Ncube B, Dimes JP, van Wijk MT, Twomlow SJ, Giller KE** (2009) Productivity and residual benefits of grain legumes to sorghum under semi-arid conditions in south-western Zimbabwe: Unravelling the effects of water and nitrogen using a simulation model. Field Crops Research 110 (2):173-184.

**Ncube B, Twomlow SJ, van Wijk MT, Dimes JP, Giller KE** (2007) Productivity and residual benefits of grain legumes to sorghum under semi-arid conditions in southwestern Zimbabwe. Plant and soil 299 (1-2):1-15.

Ndakidemi PA, Dakora FD, Nkonya EM, Ringo D, Mansoor H (2006) Yield and economic benefits of common bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) and soybean (*Glycine max*) inoculation in northern Tanzania. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture 46 (4):571-577. doi:10.1071/ea03157

Nolte C, Tiki-Manga T, Badjel-Badjel S, Gockowski J, Hauser S (2005) Groundnut, maize and cassava yields in mixed-food crop fields after calliandra tree fallow in southern Cameroon. Experimental Agriculture 41 (1):21-37

**Noor MA, Nawaz A, Muhammad Mohsin N, Sajid F** (2018) The effects of cutting interval on agro-qualitative traits of different millet (*Pennisetum americanum* L.) cultivars. Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences 17 (3):317-322.

Noubissietchiagam JB, Bell JM, Birwe SG, Gonne S, Youmbi E (2010) Varietal Response of Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp.) to *Striga gesnerioides* (Willd.) Vatke Race SG5 Infestation. Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca 38 (2):33-41

Ntamwira J, Pypers P, van Asten PJA, Vanlauwe B, Ruhigwa B, Lepoint P, Blomme G (2013) Effect of Banana Leaf Pruning on Legume Yield in Banana-Legume Intercropping Systems in Eastern Democratic Republic of Congo. Banana Systems in the Humid Highlands of Sub-Saharan Africa: Enhancing Resilience and Productivity.

**Ntare BR, Bationo A** (1992) effects of phosphorus on yield of cowpea cultivars intercropped with pearl-millet on psammentic paleustalf in Niger. Fertilizer Research 32 (2):143-147.

**Ntare BR, Williams JH** (1992) Response of cowpea cultivars to planting pattern and date of sowing in intercrops with pearl millet in Niger. Experimental Agriculture 28 (1):41-48

**Ntare BR, Williams JH** (1993) Selection of cowpea cultivars for cool-season production in the Sahel. Field Crops Research 32 (1-2):27-39.

**Nurudeen Abdul R, Asamoah L, Bekele K, Tetteh FM, Hoeschle-Zeledon I** (2018) Does Nitrogen Matter for Legumes? Starter Nitrogen Effects on Biological and Economic Benefits of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) in Guinea and Sudan Savanna of West Africa. Agronomy 8 (7).

**Nwofia GE, Ene-Obong EE, Okocha PI** (2006) Genotypic and phenotypic variability in cowpea grown in a humid environment in Nigeria. Tropical science 46 (2):82-86.

**Nyakatawa EZ** (1996) Rain water and soil fertility management for sustainable cropping on sandy soils in semiarid south-east lowveld of Zimbabwe. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture 7 (4):19-34.

**Nyoki D, Ndakidemi PA** (2018a) Selected Chemical Properties of Soybean Rhizosphere Soil as Influenced by Cropping Systems, (Rhizobium) Inoculation, and the Supply of Phosphorus and Potassium after Two Consecutive Cropping Seasons. International Journal of Agronomy 2018:8.

**Nyoki D, Ndakidemi PA** (2018b) Yield Response of Intercropped Soybean and Maize Under Rhizobia (*Bradyrhizobium japonicum*) Inoculation and P and K Fertilization. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 49 (10):1168-1185.

**Obalum SE, Obi ME** (2010) Physical properties of a sandy loam Ultisol as affected by tillage-mulch management practices and cropping systems. Soil and tillage research 108 (1-2):30-36.

**Ogunlela VB, Oyejola BA** (1991) comparative response of photoperiod sensitive and insensitive sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* I moench) varieties to delayed sowing in a semiarid tropical environment. Tropenlandwirt 92:103-114

**Oikeh SO, Chude VO, Carsky RJ, Weber GK, Horst WJ** (1998) Legume rotation in the moist tropical savanna: managing soil nitrogen dynamics and cereal yields in farmers' fields. Experimental Agriculture 34 (1):73-83

**Oikeh SO, Houngnandan P, Abaidoo RC, Rahimou I, Touré A, Niang A, Akintayo I** (2010) Integrated soil fertility management involving promiscuous dual-purpose soybean and upland NERICA enhanced rice productivity in the savannas. Nutrient cycling in agroecosystems 88 (1):29-38.

**Okereke GU, Onochie C, Onunkwo A, Onyeagba E** (2001) Effectiveness of foreign bradyrhizobia strains in enhancing nodulation, dry matter and seed yield of soybean (*Glycine max* L.) cultivars in Nigeria. Biology and Fertility of Soils 33 (1):3-9

**Olasantan FO** (1998) Effects of preceding maize (*Zea mays*) and cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) in sole cropping and intercropping on growth, yield and nitrogen requirement of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*). Journal of Agricultural Science 131:293-298.

**Oosterom EJv, Bidinger FR, Weltzien ER** (2003) A yield architecture framework to explain adaptation of pearl millet to environmental stress. Field Crops Research 80 (1):33-56

**Oteng-Frimpong R, Konlan SP, Denwar NN** (2017) Evaluation of Selected Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) Lines for Yield and Haulm Nutritive Quality Traits. International Journal of Agronomy 2017:9.

**Ouédraogo E, Brussaard L, Stroosnijder L** (2007) Soil fauna and organic amendment interactions affect soil carbon and crop performance in semi-arid West Africa. Biology and fertility of soils 44 (2):343-351.

**Ouedraogo E, Mando A, Zombre NP** (2001) Use of compost to improve soil properties and crop productivity under low input agricultural system in West Africa. Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment 84 (3):259-266.

**Ouedraogo E, Stroosnijder L, Mando A, Brussaard L, Zougmore R** (2007) Agroecological analysis and economic benefit of organic resources and fertiliser in till and no-till sorghum production after a 6-year fallow in semiarid West Africa. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 77 (3):245-256.

**Pal UR, Shehu Y** (2001) Direct and residual contributions of symbiotic nitrogen fixation by legumes to the yield and nitrogen uptake of maize (*Zea mays* L.) in the Nigerian Savannah. Journal of agronomy and crop science 187 (1):53-58.

**Panwar NR, Ramesh P, Singh AB, Ramana S** (2010) Influence of Organic, Chemical, and Integrated Management Practices on Soil Organic Carbon and Soil Nutrient Status under Semi-arid Tropical Conditions in Central India. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 41 (9-11):1073-1083.

**Pareek NK, Poonia BL** (2011) Effect of FYM, nitrogen and foliar spray of iron on productivity and economics of irrigated groundnut in an arid region of India. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 57 (5):523-531.

Parihar CM, Yadav MR, Jat SL, Singh AK, Kumar B, Pradhan S, Chakraborty D, Jat ML, Jat RK, Saharawat YS, Yadav OP (2016) Long term effect of conservation agriculture in maize rotations on total organic carbon, physical and biological properties of a sandy loam soil in north-western Indo-Gangetic Plains. Soil and Tillage Research 161:116-128.

**Parihar SS, Tripathi RS** (1989) Dry matter, nodulation and nutrient uptake in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) as influenced by irrigation and phosphorus. Experimental Agriculture 25 (3):349-355

Patel NR, Mehta AN, Shekh AM (2000) Radiation absorption, growth and yield of pigeonpea cultivars as influenced by sowing dates. Experimental Agriculture 36 (3):291-301

Patil SK, Singh U, Singh VP, Mishra VN, Das RO, Henao J (2001) Nitrogen dynamics and crop growth on an Alfisol and a Vertisol under a direct-seeded rainfed lowland rice-based system. Field Crops Research 70 (3):185-199

**Patil SL** (2013) Winter sorghum (Sorghum bicolor) productivity as influenced by tillage practices and nitrogen management in Vertisols of SAT, India. Soil and tillage research 126:183-192.

Patil SL, Mishra PK, Loganandhan N, Math SKN, Manikatti SM, Seshadri BN (2015) Suitable chickpea cultivars for rainfed situations in black soils of South India. Legume Research 38 (2):229-234.

**Patil SL, Sheelavantar MN** (2004) Effect of cultural practices on soil properties, moisture conservation and grain yield of winter sorghum (*Sorghum bicolar* L. Moench) in semi-arid tropics of India. Agricultural Water Management 64 (1):49-67.

**Patil SL, Sheelavantar MN** (2006) Soil water conservation and yield of winter sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) as influenced by tillage, organic materials and nitrogen fertilizer in semi-arid tropical India. Soil and Tillage Research 89 (2):246-257.

Paul BK, Vanlauwe B, Ayuke F, Gassner A, Hoogmoed M, Hurisso TT, Koala S, Lelei D, Ndabamenye T, Six J, Pulleman MM (2013) Medium-term impact of tillage and residue management on soil aggregate stability, soil carbon and crop productivity. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 164:14-22.

Paul BK, Vanlauwe B, Ayuke FO, Six J, Hoogmoed M, Pulleman MM, Ndabamenye T, Hurisso TT, Terano Y (2015) Exclusion of soil macrofauna did not affect soil quality but increased crop yields in a sub-humid tropical maize-based system. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 208:75-85.

**Payne WA** (1997) Managing Yield and Water Use of Pearl Millet in the Sahel. Agronomy Journal 89 (3):481-490.

**Payne WA** (1999) Shallow tillage with a traditional West African hoe to conserve soil water. Soil Science Society of America Journal 63 (4):972-976

**Pingoliya KK, Mathur AK, Dotaniya ML, Jajoria DK, Narolia GP** (2014) Effect of phosphorus and iron levels on growth and yield attributes of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* I.) Under agroclimatic zone IV a of Rajasthan, India. Legume Research 37 (5):537-541.

**Powell JM, Fussell LK** (1993) Nutrient and Structural Carbohydrate Partitioning in Pearl Millet. Agronomy journal 85 (4):862-866.

**Prakash V, Bhattacharyya R, Selvakumar G, Kundu S, Gupta HS** (2007) Long-term effects of fertilization on some soil properties under rainfed soybean-wheat cropping in the Indian Himalayas. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science 170 (2):224-233.

Prasad JVNS, Venkateswarlu B, Ramachandrappa BK, Ch. Naga J, Rao CS, Dhanapal GN, Ravichandra K, Srinivas K, Mishra PK (2016) Effect of ten years of reduced tillage and recycling of organic matter on crop yields, soil organic carbon and its fractions in Alfisols of semi-arid tropics of southern India. Soil and tillage research 156:131-139.

**Prasad PVV, Satyanarayana V, Murthy VRK, Boote KJ** (2002) Maximizing yields in rice-groundnut cropping sequence through integrated nutrient management. Field Crops Research 75 (1):9-21

**Prasad RB, Brook RM** (2005) Effect of varying maize densities on intercropped maize and soybean in Nepal. Experimental Agriculture 41 (3):365-382

**Prashanth DV, Krishnamurthy R, Naveen DV** (2020) Long-term Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on Soil Nutrient Status, Content and Uptake by Finger Millet Crop in a Typic Kandiustalf of Eastern Dry Zone of Karnataka. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 51 (2):161-174.

**Pypers P, Sanginga JM, Kasereka B, Walangululu M, Vanlauwe B** (2011) Increased productivity through integrated soil fertility management in cassava-legume intercropping systems in the highlands of Sud-Kivu, DR Congo. Field Crops Research 120 (1):76-85.

**Queiroz MAd, Galwey NW** (1987) The effects of sorghum and cowpea genotype and sorghum sowing density in an intercrop system. Experimental Agriculture 23 (4):387-394

**Rahima N, Abbasia MK, Hameed S** (2016) Nodulation, nutrient accumulation and yield of rainfed soybean in response to indigenous soybean-nodulating Bradyrhizobia in the Himalayan region of Kashmir-Pakistan. International Journal of Plant Production 10 (4):491-507

**Rajashekhara Rao BK, Krishnappa K, Srinivasarao C, Wani SP, Sahrawat KL, Pardhasaradhi G** (2012) Alleviation of Multinutrient Deficiency for Productivity Enhancement of Rain-Fed Soybean and Finger Millet in the Semi-arid Region of India. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 43 (10):1427-1435.

Ramachandrappa BK, Maruthi Sankar GR, Sathish A, Dhanapal GN, Shankar MA, Raju BMK, Sharma KL, Osman M, Chary GR, Rao CS, Kumar RN (2016) Statistical Assessment of Sustainability of Finger Millet Yield through Rainfall and Soil Fertility Variables using Regression and Principal Component Models in Rainfed Semi-Arid Alfisol. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 47 (12):1476-1492.

**Ramesh P, Ghosh PK, Reddy KS, Ajay, Ramana S, Choudhary RS** (2005) Assessment of biomass, productivity and sustainability of soybean based cropping systems at three levels of nitrogen in deep Vertisols of semi-arid tropical India. Journal of Sustainable Agriculture 26 (2):43-59.

**Ramesh P, Panwar NR, Singh AB, Ramana S, Rao AS** (2009) Impact of organic-manure combinations on the productivity and soil quality in different cropping systems in central India. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science 172 (4):577-585.

Rana DS, Dass A, Rajanna GA, Choudhary AK (2018) Fertilizer Phosphorus Solubility Effects on Indian Mustard-Maize and Wheat-Soybean Cropping Systems Productivity. Agronomy Journal 110 (6):2608-2618.

**Randall PJ, Abaidoo RC, Hocking PJ, Sanginga N** (2006) Mineral nutrient uptake and removal by cowpea, soybean and maize cultivars in west Africa, and implications for carbon cycle effects on soil acidification. Experimental Agriculture 42 (4):475-494.

**Rao AV, Tarafdar JC, Sharma SK, Praveen K, Aggarwal RK** (1995a) Influence of cropping systems on soil biochemical properties in an arid rain-fed environment. [Erratum: Jan 1996, v. 32 (1), p. 91.]. Journal of Arid Environments 31 (2):237-244

Rao DG, Khanna-Chopra R, Sinha SK (1999) Comparative performance of sorghum hybrids and their parents under extreme water stress. Journal of Agricultural Science 133 (pt. 1):53-59

**Rao J, Johansen C, Kiran MU** (1995b) Nitrogen requirements at different growth-stages of short-duration pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan* | Millsp). Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science-Zeitschrift Fur Acker Und Pflanzenbau 175 (1):15-28

Rao MR, Mathuva MN (2000) Legumes for improving maize yields and income in semi-arid Kenya. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 78 (2):123-137

**Rathore VS, Nathawat NS, Meel B, Yadav BM, Singh JP** (2014) Relative productivity, profitability and water use efficiency of cropping systems in hot arid India. Experimental Agriculture 50 (4):549-572.

Ratnayake RR, Perera BMACA, Ekanayake EMHGS, Gunaratne HMAC, Kumara RKGK, Rajapaksha RPSK (2017) Soil carbon sequestration and nutrient status of tropical rice based cropping systems: Rice-Rice, Rice-Soya, Rice-Onion and Rice-Tobacco in Sri Lanka. Catena 150:17-23.

Rattunde HFW, Weltzien E, Diallo B, Diallo AG, Sidibe M, Touré AO, Rathore A, Das RR, Leiser WL, Touré A (2013) Yield of Photoperiod-sensitive Sorghum Hybrids Based on Guinea-race Germplasm under Farmers' Field Conditions in Mali. Crop Science 53 (6):2454-2461

**Rawat AK, Rao DLN, Sahu RK** (2013) Effect of soybean inoculation with Bradyrhizobium and wheat inoculation with Azotobacter on their productivity and N turnover in a Vertisol. Archiv für Acker- und Pflanzenbau und Bodenkunde 59 (11):1559-1571.

**Rebafka FP, Ndunguru BJ, Marschner H** (1993) Crop residue application increases nitrogen fixation and dry matter production in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) grown on an acid sandy soil in Niger, West Africa. Plant and Soil 150 (2):213-222

**Reda F, Verkleij JAC, Ernst WHO** (2005) Intercropping for the improvement of sorghum yield, soil fertility and Striga control in the subsistence agriculture region of Tigray (northern Ethiopia). Journal of Agronomy and crop science 191 (1):10-19.

**Reddy KC, Visser P, Buckner P** (1992) Pearl-millet and cowpea yields in sole and intercrop systems, and their after-effects on soil and crop productivity. Field Crops Research 28 (4):315-326. doi:10.1016/0378-4290(92)90017-4

**Reddy KC, Visser PL** (1993) Late planting effects on early versus late pearl millet genotypes in Niger. Experimental Agriculture 29 (1):121-129

**Reddy KC, Visser PL, Klaij MC, Renard C** (1994) The effects of sole and traditional intercropping of millet and cowpea on soil and crop productivity. Experimental Agriculture 30 (1):83-88

**Rees DJ** (1986) Crop growth, development and yield in semi-arid conditions in Botswana. II. The effects of intercropping Sorghum bicolor with *Vigna unguiculata*. Experimental Agriculture 22 (2):169-177

**Rouw Ad** (2004) Improving yields and reducing risks in pearl millet farming in the African Sahel. Agricultural Systems 81 (1):73-93

**Rouw Ad, Rajot JL** (2004) Soil organic matter, surface crusting and erosion in Sahelian farming systems based on manuring or fallowing. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 104 (2):263-276

**Rurangwa E, Vanlauwe B, Giller KE** (2018) Benefits of inoculation, P fertilizer and manure on yields of common bean and soybean also increase yield of subsequent maize. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 261:219-229.

**Rusinamhodzi L, Corbeels M, Justice N, Giller KE** (2012) Maize-grain legume intercropping is an attractive option for ecological intensification that reduces climatic risk for smallholder farmers in central Mozambique. Field Crops Research 136:12-22.

**Rusinamhodzi L, Murwira HK, Nyamangara J** (2006) Cotton-cowpea intercropping and its N<sub>2</sub> fixation capacity improves yield of a subsequent maize crop under Zimbabwean rain-fed conditions. Plant and Soil 287 (1-2):327-336.

Saber N, Mrabet R (2002) Impact of no tillage and crop sequence on selected soil quality attributes of a vertic calcixeroll soil in Morocco. Agronomy for Sustainable Development 22 (5):451-459.

Saha JK, Srivastava A, Kundu S, Rao AS (2016) Comparison between fertilizers and untreated sewage effluent as sources for nutrient recovery by wheat (*Triticum aestivum*)-soybean (*Glycine max*) cropping system. Journal of Plant Nutrition 39 (4):470-478.

Saha S, Prakash V, Kundu S, Kumar N, Mina BL (2008) Soil enzymatic activity as affected by long term application of farm yard manure and mineral fertilizer under a rainfed soybean-wheat system in N-W Himalaya. European Journal of Soil Biology 44 (3):309-315.

**Sahrawat KL** (2000) Residual phosphorus and management strategy for grain sorghum on a vertisol. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 31 (19-20):3103-3112.

Sahrawat KL, Rego TJ, Burford JR, Rahman MH, Rao JK, Adam A (1995) response of sorghum to fertilizer phosphorus and its residual value in a Vertisol. Fertilizer Research 41 (1):41-47.

Sahrawat KL, Rego TJ, Natarajan M, Burford JR (1996) Effects of fallow and pigeon pea on yield and nitrogen response of the succeeding sorghum on a Vertisol. Tropical agriculture 73 (2):90-93

Samake O, Smaling EMA, Kropff MJ, Stomph TJ, Kodio A (2005) Effects of cultivation practices on spatial variation of soil fertility and millet yields in the Sahel of Mali. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 109 (3-4):335-345.

Sankar GRM, Sharma KL, Dhanapal GN, Shankar MA, Mishra PK, Venkateswarlu B, Grace JK (2011) Influence of Soil and Fertilizer Nutrients on Sustainability of Rainfed Finger Millet Yield and Soil Fertility in Semi-arid Alfisols. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 42 (12):1462-1483. Sankar GRM, Subramanian V, Sharma KL, Mishra PK, Jyothimani S, Bhaskar K, Jawahar D, Rajeswari M, Taghavan T, Chary GR, Devi AR, Gopinath KA, Venkateswarlu B, Grace JK (2012) Modeling of Interactive Effects of Rainfall, Evaporation, Soil Temperature, and Soil Fertility for Sustainable Productivity of Sorghum plus Cowpea and Cotton plus Black Gram Intercrops under Rotation Trials in a Rain-Fed Semi-arid Vertisol. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 43 (5):756-787.

**Sankaran VM, Kuppuswamy G** (1992) Intercropping studies in peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science-Zeitschrift Fur Acker Und Pflanzenbau 168 (1):34-36.

**Sanou J, Bayala J, Bazie P, Teklehaimanot Z** (2012) Photosynthesis and biomass production by millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) and taro (Colocasia esculenta) grown under baobab (*Adansonia digitata*) and nere (*Parkia biglobosa*) in an agroforestry parkland system of Burkina Faso (west Africa). Experimental Agriculture 48 (2):283-300.

**Sarangi SK, Lama TD** (2013) Straw composting using earthworm (*Eudrilus eugeniae*) and fungal inoculant (*Trichoderma viridae*) and its utilization in rice (*Oryza sativa*)-groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) cropping system. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 83 (4):420-425

Sarr PS, Khouma M, Sene M, Guisse A, Badiane AN, Yamakawa T (2008) Effect of pearl millet-cowpea cropping systems on nitrogen recovery, nitrogen use efficiency and biological fixation using the <sup>15</sup>N tracer technique. Soil science and plant nutrition 54 (1):142-147.

Sathish A, Ramachandrappa BK, Sankar GRM, Ashoka HK (2018) Effect of long-term fertilizer application on C-sequestration and sustainable yields of finger millet and groundnut grown under rotation in semi-arid Alfisols. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 49 (16):2074-2090.

Sathish A, Ramachandrappa BK, Shankar MA, Babu PNS, Srinivasarao C, Sharma KL (2016) Long-term effects of organic manure and manufactured fertilizer additions on soil quality and sustainable productivity of finger millet under a finger millet-groundnut cropping system in southern India. Soil Use and Management 32 (3):311-321.

**Saudy HS, El–Metwally IM** (2019) Nutrient Utilization Indices of NPK and Drought Management in Groundnut under Sandy Soil Conditions. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 50 (15):1821-1828.

**Saxena DC, Abbas S, Sairam RK** (2000) Chemical manipulation of reproductive efficiency of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) by triadimefon in relation to changes in ethylene. Journal of agronomy and crop science 185 (1):27-32.

Sebnie W, Mengesha M, Girmay G, Feyisa T, Asgedom B, Beza G, Dejene D (2020) Evaluation of micro-dosing fertilizer application on sorghum (*Sorghum bicholor* L) production at Wag-Lasta Areas of Amhara Region, Ethiopia. Scientific Reports 10 (1). doi:10.1038/s41598-020-63851-6

**Seleiman MF, Abdelaal MS** (2018) Effect of Organic, Inorganic and Bio-fertilization on Growth, Yield and Quality Traits of Some Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Varieties. Egyptian Journal of Agronomy 40 (1):105-117.

Sennhenn A, Njarui DMG, Maass BL, Whitbread AM (2017) Understanding growth and development of three short-season grain legumes for improved adaptation in semi-arid Eastern Kenya. Crop and pasture science 68 (5):442-456.

Sepat S, Verma A, Ajit Ram S, Thierfelder C, Kumar D, Pavuluri K, Mir Asif I (2017) Effects of weed control strategy on weed dynamics, soybean productivity and profitability under conservation agriculture in India. Field Crops Research 210:61-70.

**Shafi M, Bakht J, Jan MT, Shah Z** (2007) Soil C and N dynamics and maize (*Zea may* L.) yield as affected by croping systems and residue management in North-western Pakistan. Soil and Tillage Research 94 (2):520-529.

Shanka D, Dechassa N, Gebeyehu S, Elias E (2018) Dry matter Yield and Nodulation of Common Bean as Influenced by Phosphorus, Lime and Compost Application at Southern Ethiopia. Open Agriculture 3 (1):500-509.

**Sharma DP, Swarup A** (1989) Response of pearl millet (*Pennisetum americanum*) to short-term flooding in a moderately sodic soil under field conditions. Journal of agricultural science 113 (pt.3):331-337

Sharma KL, Grace J, Chandrika M, Vittal KPR, Singh SP, Nema AK, Chauhan SPS, Maruthi Sankar G, Mandal UK, Korwar GR, Venkateswarlu B, Ramesh G, Chary G, Madhavi M, Gajbhiye PG, Lal M, Kumar T, Rani K (2014a) Effects of Soil Management Practices on Key Soil Quality Indicators and Indices in Pearl Millet (*Pennisetum americanum* (L.) Leeke)-Based System in Hot Semi-arid Inceptisols. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 45 (6):785-809.

Sharma KL, Grace JK, Raj M, Mittal SB, Singh J, Sharma SK, Sangwan PS, Sidhpuria MS, Vittal KPR, Mishra PK, Sankar GM, Mandal UK, Ravindrachary G, Korwar GR, Venkateswarlu B, Madhavi M, Gajbhiye PN, Chandrika DS, Rani KU (2013) Improvement and Assessment of Soil Quality under Long-Term Conservation Agricultural Practices in Hot, Arid Tropical Aridisol. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 44 (6):1033-1055.

Sharma KL, Grace JK, Srinivas K, Venkateswarlu B, Korwar GR, Sankar GM, Mandal UK, Ramesh V, Bindu VH, Madhavi M, Gajbhiye PN (2009) Influence of Tillage and Nutrient Sources on Yield Sustainability and Soil Quality under Sorghum-Mung Bean System in Rainfed Semi-arid Tropics. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 40 (15-16):2579-2602.

Sharma KL, Indoria AK, Ch S, Srinivas DK, Chandrika DS, Kathmale DK, Ravindrachary G, Reddy KS, Srinivas K, Gopinath KA, Lal M, More NB, Amrutsagar V (2018) Effect of Predominant Integrated Nutrient Management Practices on Soil Quality Indicators and Soil Quality Indices under Post Monsoon (Rabi) Sorghum (Sorghum Bicolor) in Rainfed Black Soils (Vertisols) of Western India. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 49 (13):1629-1637.

Sharma KL, Mandal UK, Srinivas K, Vittal KPR, Mandal B, Grace JK, Ramesh V (2005) Long-term soil management effects on crop yields and soil quality in a dryland Alfisol. Soil and Tillage Research 83 (2):246-259.

Sharma KL, Padmaja KV, Srinivas K, Grace JK, Korwar GR, Venkateswarlu B, Mandal UK (2012) Determination of optimum phosphorus level for grain sorghum using external and internal response indicators in rainfed semi-arid tropical alfisol. Journal of Plant Nutrition 35 (6):854-873.

Sharma KL, Ramachandrappa BK, Chandrika DS, Sathish A, Dhanpal GN, Rao CS, Shankar MA, Grace JK, Maruthi Sankar GR, Chary GR, Munnalal, Kumar TS, Rani KU, Venkateswarlu B (2016a) Effect of Organic Manure and Crop Residue Based Long-Term Nutrient Management Systems on Soil Quality Changes under Sole Finger Millet (*Eleusine coracana* (L.) Gaertn.) and Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) - Finger Millet Rotation in Rainfed Alfisol. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 47 (7):899-914.

Sharma KL, Shankar GRM, Chandrika DS, Grace JK, Sharma SK, Thakur HS, Jain MP, Sharma RA, Chary GR, Srinivas K, Gajbhiye P, Venkatravamma K, Munnalal, Kumar TS, Rani KU, Reddy KS, Shinde R, Korwar GR, Venkateswarlu B (2014b) Effects of Conjunctive Use of Organic and Inorganic Sources of Nutrients on Soil Quality Indicators and Soil Quality Index in Sole Maize, Maize plus Soybean, and Sole Soybean Cropping Systems in Hot Semi-arid Tropical Vertisol. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 45 (16):2118-2140. Sharma KL, Srinivasarao C, Chandrika DS, Lal M, Indoria AK, Thakur PB, Srinivas K, Reddy KS, Rani KU (2017) Effect of Graded Levels of Surface Crop Residue Application Under Minimum Tillage on Carbon Pools and Carbon Lability Index in Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench)-Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata*) System in Rainfed Alfisols. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 48 (21):2506-2513.

**Sharma RC, Banik P** (2013) Baby Corn-Legumes Intercropping System: II Weed Dynamics and Community Structure. Netherlands journal of agricultural science 67:11-18.

Sharma V, Sharma S, Sharma S, Kumar V (2019) Synergistic effect of bio-inoculants on yield, nodulation and nutrient uptake of chickpea (Cicer arietinum L) under rainfed conditions. Journal of Plant Nutrition 42 (4):374-383.

Sharma VK, Pandey RN, Kumar S, Chobhe KA, Chandra S (2016b) Soil test crop response-based fertilizer recommendations under integrated nutrient management for higher productivity of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) and (*Triticum aestivum*) wheat under long term experiment. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 86 (8):1076-1081

Sherington ATJ, Mohamed-Saleen MA (1994) Integration of forage and food crops grown sequentially on vertisols under rainfed conditions in the mid-altitude Ethiopian highlands. Experimental Agriculture 30 (3):291-298

Shirale AO, Vilas Kashinath K, Wakode RR, Meena BP, Das H, Gore RP (2018) Influence of Gypsum and Organic Amendments on Soil Properties and Crop Productivity in Degraded Black Soils of Central India. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 49 (19):2418-2428.

**Sidibé D, Haby S, Bayala J, Teklehaimanot Z** (2017) Yield and biomass production by African eggplant (*Solanum aethiopicum*) and sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) intercropped with planted Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana*) in Mali (West Africa). Agroforestry systems 91 (6):1031-1042.

**Sikka R, Singh D, Deol JS, Kaur J** (2016) Productivity enhancement of soybean as influenced by integrated nutrient and other agronomic interventions in sub - humid Punjab, India. Legume Research 39 (5):768-773.

Singh AL, Jat RS, Zala A, Bariya H, Kumar S, Ramakrishna YS, Rangaraju G, Masih MR, Bhanusali TB, Vijaykumar S, Somasundaram E, Singh IM (2017) Scaling-up of boron sources for yield and quality of large seeded peanut cultivars under varied agro-ecological conditions in India. Journal of Plant Nutrition 40 (19):2756-2767.

Singh G, Bhattacharyya R, Das TK, Sharma AR, Ghosh A, Das S, Jha P (2018a) Crop rotation and residue management effects on soil enzyme activities, glomalin and aggregate stability under zero tillage in the Indo-Gangetic Plains. Soil and tillage research 184:291-300.

**Singh H, Singh KP** (1994) Nitrogen and phosphorus availability and mineralization in dryland reduced tillage cultivation: effects of residue placement and chemical fertilizer. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 26 (6):695-702

**Singh KK, Srinivasarao C, Ali M** (2008) Phosphorous and Mung Bean Residue Incorporation Improve Soil Fertility and Crop Productivity in Sorghum and Mungbean-Lentil Cropping System. Journal of Plant Nutrition 31 (1-3):459-471

**Singh M, Singh A, Singh S, Tripathi RS, Singh AK, Patra DD** (2010a) Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp.) as a green manure to improve the productivity of a menthol mint (*Mentha arvensis* L.) intercropping system. Industrial crops and products 31 (2):289-293.

Singh P, Alagarswamy G, Pathak P, Wani SP, Hoogenboom G, Virmani SM (1999) Soybean-chickpea rotation on Vertic Inceptisols. I. Effect of soil depth and landform on light interception, water balance and crop yields. Field Crops Research 63 (3):211-224

**Singh RJ, Ahlawat IPS** (2011) Productivity, competition indices and soil fertility changes of Bt cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) - groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) intercropping system using different fertility levels. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences 81 (7):606-611

Singh SK, Kumar M, Pandey CB, Ghosh A, Mukhopadhyay S, Sarkar D (2013) Differences in Soil Properties Between Irrigation and Cropping Sequences in the Thar Desert of India. Arid Land Research and Management 27 (1):17-31. **Singh SP, Singh R, Mukesh Prasad S, Vijay Prasad S** (2014) Impact of sulfur fertilization on different forms and balance of soil sulfur and the nutrition of wheat in wheat-soybean cropping sequence in Tarai soil. Journal of Plant Nutrition 37 (4):618-632.

**Singh SR, Kundu DK, Dey P, Singh P, Mahapatra BS** (2018b) Effect of balanced fertilizers on soil quality and lentil yield in Gangetic alluvial soils of India. The Journal of Agricultural Science 156 (2):225-240.

**Singh VK, Dwivedi BS, Shukla AK, Mishra RP** (2010b) Permanent raised bed planting of the pigeonpea-wheat system on a Typic Ustochrept: Effects on soil fertility, yield, and water and nutrient use efficiencies. Field Crops Research 116 (1-2):127-139.

**Singh YP, Singh S, Nanda P, Singh AK** (2018c) Impact of Establishment Techniques and Maturity Duration of Pigeon Pea Cultivars on Yield, Water Productivity and Properties of Soil. Agricultural Research 7 (3):271-279.

**Sivakumar MVK** (1993) Growth and yield of millet and cowpea in relay and intercrop systems in the Sahelian zone in years when the onset of the rainy season is early. Experimental Agriculture 29 (4):417-427

**Sivakumar MVK, Salaam SA** (1994) A wet excavation method for root-shoot studies of pearl millet on the sandy soils of the Sahel. Experimental Agriculture 30 (3):329-336

**Sivakumar MVK, Singh P** (1987) Response of chickpea cultivars to water stress in a semi-arid environment. Experimental Agriculture 23 (1):53-61

Smitha GR, Saha A, Basak BB, Thondaiman V (2019) Nutrient management through organics, bio-fertilizers and crop residues improves growth, yield and quality of sacred basil (*Ocimum sanctum* Linn). Industrial crops and products 128:599-606.

Sogoba B, Traoré B, Abdelmounaime S, Oumar Baba S, Gilbert D, Diallo S, Kaboré R, Goze Bertin B, Zougmoré RB, Goïta K (2020) On-Farm Evaluation on Yield and Economic Performance of Cereal-Cowpea Intercropping to Support the Smallholder Farming System in the Soudano-Sahelian Zone of Mali. Agriculture 10 (6):214.

**Soma DM, Delwendá, Innocent K, François L, Sedogo MP, Ewusi-Mensah N, Robert Clement A, Zacharia G** (2018a) Changes in sorghum production, soil P forms and P use efficiency following long-term application of manure, compost and straw in a Ferric Lixisol. Acta agriculturæ Scandinavica 68 (5):401-411.

Soma DM, Kiba DI, Gnankambary Z, Ewusi-Mensah N, Sanou M, Nacro HB, Lompo F, Sedogo MP, Abaidoo RC (2018b) Effectiveness of combined application of Kodjari phosphate rock, water soluble phosphorus fertilizer and manure in a Ferric Lixisol in the centre west of Burkina Faso. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science 64 (3):384-397.

Somasundaram J, Biswas AK, Patra AK, Blaise D, Thakur JK, Hati KM, Mohanty M, Salikram M, Coumar MV, Mitra NG, Sinha NK, Dalal RC, Chaudhary RS, Chaudhari SK, Neenu S (2019) Conservation agriculture effects on soil properties and crop productivity in a semiarid region of India. Soil Research 57 (2):187-199.

**Sridevi G, Surendran U, Srinivasamurthy CA** (2016) Influence of human urine combined with farm yard manure and chemical fertilizers on french bean and maize cropping sequence in lateritic soils of Karnataka, India. International Journal of Plant Production 10 (3):335-346

Srinivasarao C, Deshpande AN, Venkateswarlu B, Lal R, Singh AK, Kundu S, Vittal KPR, Mishra PK, Prasad J, Mandal UK, Sharma KL (2012a) Grain yield and carbon sequestration potential of post monsoon sorghum cultivation in Vertisols in the semi-arid tropics of central India. Geoderma 175-176:90-97.

Srinivasarao C, Kundu S, Ramachandrappa BK, Reddy S, Lal R, Venkateswarlu B, Sahrawat KL, Naik R (2014) Potassium release characteristics, potassium balance, and fingermillet (*Eleusine coracana* G.) yield sustainability in a 27- year long experiment on an Alfisol in the semi-arid tropical India. Plant and Soil 374 (1-2):315-330.

Srinivasarao C, Kundu S, Sharma KL, Reddy S, Pharande AL, Vijayasankarbabu M, Satish A, Singh RP, Singh SR, Chary GR (2015) Magnesium balance in four permanent manurial experiments under rainfed agroecosystems of India. Crop and Pasture Science 66 (12):1230-1240.

Srinivasarao C, Kundu S, Venkateswarlu B, Lal R, Singh AK, Balaguravaiah G, Vijayasankarbabu M, Vittal KPR, Reddy S, Manideep VR (2013) Long-term effects of fertilization and manuring on groundnut yield and nutrient balance of Alfisols under rainfed farming in India. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 96 (1):29-46.

Srinivasarao C, Venkateswarlu B, Lal R, Singh AK, Kundu S, Vittal KPR, Ramachandrappa BK, Gajanan GN (2012b) Long-term effects of crop residues and fertility management on carbon sequestration and agronomic productivity of groundnut-finger millet rotation on an Alfisol in southern India. International Journal of Agricultural Sustainability 10 (3):230-244

Srinivasarao C, Venkateswarlu B, Lal R, Singh AK, Kundu S, Vittal KPR, Sharma SK, Sharma RA, Jain MP, Ravindra CG (2012c) Sustaining agronomic productivity and quality of a Vertisolic soil (Vertisol) under soybeansafflower cropping system in semi-arid central India. Canadian journal of soil science 92 (5):771-785

Srinivasarao C, Venkateswarlu B, Lal R, Singh AK, Vittal KPR, Kundu S, Singh SR, Singh SP (2012d) Long-Term Effects of Soil Fertility Management on Carbon Sequestration in a Rice–Lentil Cropping System of the Indo-Gangetic Plains. Soil Science Society of America journal 76 (1):168-178.

Srinivasarao C, Vittal KPR, Venkateswarlu B, Wani SP, Sahrawat KL, Marimuthu S, Kundu S (2009) Carbon Stocks in Different Soil Types under Diverse Rainfed Production Systems in Tropical India. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 40 (15-16):2338-2356.

Srinivasarao C, Wani SP, Sahrawat KL, Rego TJ, Pardhasaradhi G (2008) Zinc, boron and sulphur deficiencies are holding back the potential of rainfed crops in semi-arid India: Experiences from participatory watershed management. International Journal of Plant Production 2 (1):89-99

**Subrahmanyam P, Hassane H** (1990) Response of six groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) cultivars to fungicidal control of leaf spots in Niger. Tropical Agriculture 67 (4):331-336

**Suresh G, Rao JV** (2000) The influence of nitrogen-fixing trees and fertilizer nitrogen levels on the growth, yield and nitrogen uptake of cowpea on a rainfed Alfisol. Experimental Agriculture 36 (1):41-50

Swain DK, Rautaray SK, Ghosh BC (2007) Alkaline coal fly ash amendments are recommended for improving rice-peanut crops. Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica Section B-Soil and Plant Science 57 (3):201-211.

**Tadele D, Teketay D** (2014) Growth and yield of two grain crops on sites former covered with eucalypt plantations in Koga Watershed, northwestern Ethiopia. Journal of forestry research 25 (4):935-940.

Tanwar SPS, Jodha BS, Santra P, Regar PL, Praveen K, Kumar R, Ram R, Rao SS, Datt S (2014) Improving water and land use efficiency of fallow-wheat system in shallow Lithic Calciorthid soils of arid region: Introduction of bed planting and rainy season sorghum–legume intercropping. Soil and tillage research 138:44-55.

**Tedla A, Mamo T, Klaij MC, Diedhiou ML** (1999) Effects of cropping system, seed bed management and fertility interactions on biomass of crops grown on a Vertisol in the central highlands of Ethiopia. Journal of agronomy and crop science 183 (3):205-211.

**Tefera H, Kamara AY, Asafo-Adjei B, Dashiell KE** (2009) Improvement in Grain and Fodder Yields of Early-Maturing Promiscuous Soybean Varieties in the Guinea Savanna of Nigeria. Crop science 49 (6):2037-2042.

**TerAvest D, Carpenter-Boggs L, Thierfelder C, Reganold JP** (2015) Crop production and soil water management in conservation agriculture, no-till, and conventional tillage systems in Malawi. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 212:285-296.

**Tesfahunegn GB, Wortmann CS** (2008) Tie-Ridge Tillage for High Altitude Pulse Production in Northern Ethiopia. Agronomy Journal 100 (2):447-453.

**Thierfelder C, Cheesman S, Rusinamhodzi L** (2012) A comparative analysis of conservation agriculture systems: Benefits and challenges of rotations and intercropping in Zimbabwe. Field Crops Research 137:237-250.

**Tian G, Kolawole GO, Kang BT, Kirchhof G** (2000) Nitrogen fertilizer replacement indexes of legume cover crops in the derived savanna of West Africa. Plant and Soil 224 (2):287-296.

**Tilander Y, Bonzi M** (1997) Water and nutrient conservation through the use of agroforestry mulches, and sorghum yield response. Plant and soil 197 (2):219-232.

**Tobita S, Ito O, Matsunaga R, Rao TP, Rego TJ, Johansen C, Yoneyama T** (1994) Field evaluation of nitrogen fixation and use of nitrogen fertilizer by sorghum/pigeonpea intercropping on an Alfisol in the Indian semi-arid tropics. Biology and fertility of soils 17 (4):241-248.

**Tsozué D, Nghonda JP, Mekem DL** (2015) Impact of land management system on crop yields and soil fertility in Cameroon. Solid Earth 6 (3):1087-1101.

**Tyagi PK** (2014) Thermal requirements, heat use efficiency and plant responses of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) cultivars under different environment. Journal of Agrometeorology 16 (2):195-198

**Ulzen J, Abaidoo RC, Ewusi-Mensah N, Masso C** Combined application of inoculant, phosphorus and organic manure improves grain yield of cowpea. Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science. doi:10.1080/03650340.2019.1669786

**Ulzen J, Abaidoo RC, Mensah NE, Masso C, AbdelGadir AH** (2016) Bradyrhizobium Inoculants Enhance Grain Yields of Soybean and Cowpea in Northern Ghana. Frontiers in Plant Science 7.

**Uppal RK, Alagarswamy G, Garg KK, Wani SP** (2015) Balanced nutrition increases yield of pearl millet under drought. Field Crops Research 177:86-97.

van Vugt D, Franke AC, Giller KE (2018) Understanding variability in the benefits of N2-fixation in soybeanmaize rotations on smallholder farmers' fields in Malawi. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment 261:241-250.

Vance WH, Bell RW, Johansen C, Haque ME, Musa AM, Shahidullah AKM, Mia MNN (2014) Optimum time of sowing for rainfed winter chickpea with one-pass mechanised row-sowing: an example for small-holder farms in north-west Bangladesh. Crop and Pasture Science 65 (7):602-613.

Venkatesh MS, Hazra KK, Ghosh PK, Praharaj CS, Kumar N (2013) Long-term effect of pulses and nutrient management on soil carbon sequestration in Indo-Gangetic plains of India. Canadian Journal of Soil Science 93 (1):127-136.

**Venkateswarlu B, Srinivasarao C, Ramesh G, Venkateswarlu S, Katyal JC** (2007) Effects of long-term legume cover crop incorporation on soil organic carbon, microbial biomass, nutrient build-up and grain yields of sorghum/sunflower under rain-fed conditions. Soil Use and Management 23 (1):100-107.

**Verma JP, Kumar A, Yadav J, Tiwari KN** (2013) Effect of indigenous Mesorhizobium spp. and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on yields and nutrients uptake of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) under sustainable agriculture. Ecological engineering 51:282-286.

**Verma JP, Yadav J, Tiwari KN** (2012) Enhancement of Nodulation and Yield of Chickpea by Co-inoculation of Indigenous Mesorhizobium spp. and Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria in Eastern Uttar Pradesh. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 43 (3):605-621.

**Virk HK, Singh G, Sharma P** (2017) Effect of Tillage, Crop Residues of Preceding Wheat Crop and Nitrogen Levels on Biological and Chemical Properties of Soil in the Soybean-Wheat Cropping System. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 48 (15):1764-1771.

**Waddington, Mekuria M, Siziba S, Karigwindi J** (2007) Long-Term Yield Sustainability and Financial Returns from Grain Legume-Maize Intercrops On a Sandy Soil in Sub-humid North Central Zimbabwe. Experimental Agriculture 43 (4):489-503.

Wahid A, Mehmood Ali N, Afzal I, Muhammad Amir B, Muhammad Mohsin N, Sun X, Zhou B, Ma W, Zhao M (2016) Improvement of Sorghum Crop through Exogenous Application of Natural Growth-Promoting Substances under a Changing Climate. Sustainability 8 (12):1330.

Wani SP, Chandrapalaiah S, Dart PJ (1985) Response of pearl millet cultivars to inoculation with nitrogenfixing bacteria. Experimental Agriculture 21 (2):175-182

Warren GP, Atwal SS, Irungu JW (1997) Soil nitrate variations under grass, sorghum and bare fallow in semiarid Kenya. Experimental Agriculture 33 (3):321-333

**Wezel A** (2000) Scattered shrubs in pearl millet fields in semiarid Niger: Effect on millet production. Agroforestry Systems 48 (3):219-228.

Winkel T, Payne W, Renno JF (2001) Ontogeny modifies the effects of water stress on stomatal control, leaf area duration and biomass partitioning of *Pennisetum glaucum*. New phytologist 149 (1):71-82.

**Worku M, Astatkie T** (2011) Row and Plant Spacing Effects on Yield and Yield Components of Soya Bean Varieties Under Hot Humid Tropical Environment of Ethiopia. Journal of Agronomy and Crop Science 197 (1):67-74.

Wortmann CS, McIntyre BD, Kaizzi CK (2000) Annual soil improving legumes: agronomic effectiveness, nutrient uptake, nitrogen fixation and water use. Field Crops Research 68 (1):75-83

Yadav RL, Singh VK, Dwivedi BS, Shukla AK (2003a) Wheat productivity and N use-efficiency as influenced by inclusion of cowpea as a grain legume in a rice-wheat system. Journal of Agricultural Science 141:213-220

Yadav RS, Bidinger FR, Hash CT, Yadav YP, Yadav OP, Bhatnagar SK, Howarth CJ (2003b) Mapping and characterisation of QTL x E interactions for traits determining grain and stover yield in pearl millet. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 106 (3):512-520

Yamoah CF, Bationo A, Shapiro B, Koala S (2002) Trend and stability analyses of millet yields treated with fertilizer and crop residues in the Sahel. Field Crops Research 75 (1):53-62

**Yemataw Z, Tawle K, Bolton M, Kebede R, Blomme G** (2018) Integration of shade-tolerant forage legumes under enset [*Ensete ventricosum* (Welw.) Cheesman] plants in southwestern Ethiopia. Fruits 73 (6):365-375.

**Yusuf AA, Abaidoo RC, Iwuafor ENO, Olufajo OO, Sanginga N** (2009) Rotation effects of grain legumes and fallow on maize yield, microbial biomass and chemical properties of an Alfisol in the Nigerian savanna. Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment 129 (1-3):325-331.

Zengeni R, Giller KE (2007) Effectiveness of indigenous soyabean rhizobial isolates to fix nitrogen under field conditions of Zimbabwe. Symbiosis 43 (3):129-135

Zougmore R, Mando A, Ringersma J, Stroosnijder L (2003) Effect of combined water and nutrient management on runoff and sorghum yield in semiarid Burkina Faso. Soil Use and Management 19 (3):257-264.

**Zougmore R, Mando A, Stroosnijder L** (2004) Effect of soil and water conservation and nutrient management on the soil-plant water balance in semi-arid Burkina Faso. Agricultural Water Management 65 (2):103-120.

This work was undertaken as part of, and funded by the CGIAR Research Program on Grain Legumes and Dryland Cereals (CRP-GLDC) and supported by CGIAR Fund Donors. <u>https://www.cgiar.org/funders/</u>

## About CRP-GLDC

The CGIAR Research Program on Grain Legumes and Dryland Cereals (CRP-GLDC) brings together research on seven legumes (chickpea, cowpea, pigeon pea, groundnut, lentil, soybean and common bean) and three cereals (pearl millet, finger millet and sorghum) to deliver improved livelihoods and nutrition by prioritizing demand driven innovations to increase production and market opportunities along value chains.

http://gldc.cgiar.org

## About the CGIAR

CGIAR is a global research partnership for a food-secure future. CGIAR science is dedicated to reducing poverty, enhancing food and nutrition security, and improving natural resources and ecosystem services. Fifteen CGIAR Ccenters in close collaboration with hundreds of partners, including national and regional research institutes, civil society organizations, academia, development organizations, and the private sector carry out its research. http://www.cgiar.org









Alliance



csibo



