

Policy options for enhancing quality groundnut seed production and delivery systems in Tanzania

Essegbemon Akpo^{1,2}; Serapius Mwalongo^{1,3}; Gerald Alex Lukurugu³; Happy Daudi³, Geoffrey Muricho¹; Athanas Minja³, Joseph Nzunda³, Christopher Ojiewo¹; Rajeev Varshney¹

Overview of the groundnut seed sector in Tanzania

A stable and well-functioning groundnut seed sector is a major step for farmers to achieve the potential of groundnut productivity and production (Bezkorowajnyj *et al.*, 2007). Availing quality groundnut seed is a key component of the groundnut value chain for farmers in particular (NAP, 2013). Quality seed helps cope with the recent increase in drought due to climate change, market shift, and other biotic and abiotic stresses that groundnut production is facing (Zurich, 2014). To make sure that quality and superior variety seeds are produced, the Tanzanian seed sector operations are guided by policy and regulatory frameworks under the Seeds Acts No 29 of 1973 and the No 18 of 2003. The two Seed Acts detail the roles and responsibilities of each seed actor in making sure that quality seed is produced and made available to farmers across the country. The Tanzanian seed sector has been able to release a total of 17 groundnut varieties since the 1960s, which complement one another through their agronomic, genetic and market attributes (Table 1). The released groundnut varieties can be divided into two categories, namely old varieties and recent varieties. The groundnut varieties released before 2009 have been categorized as old varieties (older than 10 years), while those released in 2009 to date are considered new or recent varieties.

Recent varieties are much superior in agronomic, genetic and market attributes than old ones. New varieties are expected to have higher adoption rates than old ones because they are less susceptible to abiotic and biotic stress, more productive and possess more attractive market attributes (Photo 1). However, the recent varieties



Photo 1. The Jipe Moyo farmer group believes improved groundnut varieties send more children to school, Kinaga, Kahama district.



Table 1. Improved varieties released - their attributes and yield potential URT (2016; 2017; 2018). Expected yield (kg/ha) Under Year of Max. on Min. on farmer release Top 3-5 agronomic, genetic, market attributes station Variety station management Mtwaranut Medium maturity (110-115 days), tolerant to groundnut 1300 1100 1040 2018 2016 rosette disease, tan in color, large in size, drought tolerant. Tanzanut 2016 2018 Medium maturity (110-115 days), tolerant to groundnut 1500 1200 1095 rosette disease, large in size, tan in color, drought tolerant Naliendele 2018 Medium maturity (115 days), tolerant to diseases and 1500 1200 1050 2016 drought, bold size, red color, high oil content (40.10%) Narinut 2015 2015 Medium maturity (110-115 days), large, brown, groundnut 2000 1300 1000 rosette disease resistant, best for confectionery market, oil content (46.20%) 1000 Kuchele 2015 2015 Medium maturity (110-120 days), large in size, brown in color, 2000 1200 groundnut rosette disease resistant, best for confectionery market Nachi 2015 2015 Medium maturity (110-115 days), tolerant to groundnut 2000 1500 1300 rosette disease, large size, tan color, oil content (43.70%) Mangaka 2009 2009 Early maturity (90-100days), tan color, tolerant to diseases 1500 1000 1000 and drought, oil content (41.10%) 2009 Naliendele Early maturity (90-100 days), tan color, tolerant to diseases 1100 1000 900 2009 and drought Mnanje 2009 2009 Medium maturity (110-120days), tolerant to diseases and 1500 1300 1100 drought, large size, red color, high oil content (51.5 %) 2009 Medium maturity (90-100 days), resistant to rosette disease, 1050 950 Nachingwea 1250 2009 large size, tan color, oil content (44.50%) Masasi 2009 2009 Medium maturity (90-100days), tolerant to groundnut rosette 1600 1100 1000 disease, large size, red color, oil content (46.70 %). Pendo 1998 1998 Short duration (90-100 days), tolerant to disease and drought, 1500 1400 1100 medium size, tan color, good shelling percentage, medium kernel size, soft pod, oil content (44.50%). Light tan and small kernels. Light green plant, early maturing 950 Sawia 1998 1998 1500 1200 (90-100day) with an oil content of 58%. Sprouting at maturity if harvesting is delayed. Tolerant to early and late leaf spots, rosette and leaf rust Johari 1985 1985 Virginia bunch type, medium-sized tan kernels, dark green 1200 1000 850 plant, medium maturing (110-120 days), semi-spreading Nyota1983 1983 Light pink and small kernels. Light green plant, early maturing 1500 1000 800 (90 - 100days) with an oil content of 58%. Sprouting at maturity if harvesting is delayed. Tolerant to early and late leaf spots, rosette and leaf rust Red mwitunde 1976 Virginia bunch type, small in size, red in color, two to three 1000 800 600 1976 kernels has medium-term maturity (110-120) Dodoma bold Spanish bunch type, tan in color, small in size, has two to 800 600 1960s 1000

three kernels, has early maturity (90-100)

1960s

have low adoption rates among groundnut farmers in the country compared to the old ones (Table 2). Among old varieties, Pendo 1998 is highly popular, because after its release it benefitted from intensive promotion activities in the early 2000s. As Pendo 1998 is highly susceptible to rosette disease, Mangaka 2009 was released in 2009 with less susceptibility to rosette disease. The adoption of the recently released varieties varied with the gender of the farmer. Female famers adopted less than males due to lack of resource ownership. Recent findings also indicate that non-group membership, seed unavailability, and high seed cost hinder the adoption of recent varieties of groundnut in Tanzania.

Table 2. Adoption rate per variety among farmers in intervention districts.

| Variety | Year of release | Adoption rate (%) by respondents in intervention districts (n=300) |
|------------|-----------------|---|
| Nachi | 2015 | 0.42 |
| Naliendele | 2009 | 0.83 |
| Mnanje | 2009 | 5.42 |
| Mangaka | 2009 | 5.42 |
| Pendo | 1998 | 17.08 |
| Johari | 1985 | 5.82 |
| Total | | 35 |

Variety business case, 2019; Figure in parenthesis is sample size

Moreover, the majority (62%) of the farmers recycle grain as seed, applying an average of 62.5 kg/ha seed rate which is 17.5 kg/ha to 37.5 kg/ha less than the recommended practice.

Main actors involved in groundnut seed production and marketing in Tanzania

To ensure that quality groundnut seed is produced in sufficient quantity and well-marketed to farmers and offtakers, the involvement of multi-actors and institutions is necessary. The multiple actors and institutions can be categorized into public, private and civil society organizations. These players work in a value chain, which starts with groundnut variety breeding to seed production and marketing. The three major categories are composed of nine main actors in the seed chain, namely Tanzania Agricultural Research Institute -Naliendele (TARI-Naliendele), Tanzania Official Seed Certification Institute (TOSCI), Agricultural Seed Agency (ASA), Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs), the Local Government Authorities (LGAs), individual seed enterprises, agro-dealers, farmer groups or cooperatives, and individual farmers (Figure 1). At every stage of the



Figure 1: Main actors involved in groundnut seed production and marketing in Tanzania.

seed production, TOSCI is involved to ensure quality requirements are met by all actors before marketing to farmers and other buyers.

Different seed classes and delivery systems of groundnut

The above-mentioned actors work to produce and market four seed classes, namely Pre-basic or Breeder seed, Basic or Foundation seed, Certified seed, and Quality Declared Seed (QDS). The four seed classes are delivered through various seed supply mechanisms. TARI-Naliendele is the sole producer of pre-basic seeds as a national center mandated for groundnut breeding in Tanzania. The total seed production has been increasing over the years and reached a maximum of 4611.5 tons in 2018, all seed classes inclusive. The QDS producers have high seed contribution in all the years, e.g., 84% in 2015, 90.1% in 2016, 89.5% in 2017, and 87.7% in 2018. Conversely, certified seed producers (private seed companies) are the least contributors of groundnut seed to farmers with only 0.04% in 2017 and 0.05% in 2018 (Table 3).

The low contribution of seed companies is because there are few of them compared to other seed categories. In addition, investors are challenged by limited capital to start and expand seed production. This observation may delay a timely spread of seeds across the country since QDS cannot be transacted beyond the local districts (ASARECA, 2014).

| Table 3. Seed production (tons) and a percentage contribution per seed category from the year 2015 to 2018. | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------|------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|------|--|
| | | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | 2018 | |
| Seed Classes | Producer categories | Tons | % | Tons | % | Tons | % | Tons | % | |
| Breeder | Research Institute | 23 | 5.6 | 21.2 | 0.6 | 40.1 | 0.9 | 30 | 0.6 | |
| Basic | Public seed company and Farmer Research Groups | 43.6 | 10.6 | 329 | 9.3 | 413.1 | 9.5 | 533.7 | 11.6 | |
| Certified | Private seed company | - | - | - | - | 1.8 | 0.04 | 2.5 | 0.05 | |
| QDS | Farmer Research Groups, NGOs, Individual seed enterprises, Faith-Based Organizations (FBOs), Farmer association, Primary and Secondary schools | 345.8 | 84 | 3170 | 90.1 | 3897.7 | 89.5 | 4045.3 | 87.7 | |
| | Total | 412.4 | 100 | 3520.2 | 100 | 4352.7 | 100 | 4611.5 | 100 | |
| Source: Variety husiness case 2019 | | | | | | | | | | |

Moreover, there has been a yearly increase in the number of seed producers from 134 in 2015 to 858 in 2018 (Table 4). Farmer organization and groups lead the seed producer categories in the country with a total of 530 groups (Photo 2). However, they are limited because their seed marketing requirement does not go beyond their local districts of production, as opposed to seed companies (ASARECA, 2014).

| Table 4. Number and type of seed producers engaged in seed production and marketing. | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|--|--|--|
| Seed producer | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | | | |
| Seed company | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | | | |
| Farmer organization/groups | 100 | 240 | 420 | 530 | | | |
| Public seed enterprise | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | |
| Individual entrepreneurs | 33 | 94 | 201 | 316 | | | |
| NGOs | 0 | 1 | 5 | 7 | | | |
| Total | 134 | 338 | 631 | 859 | | | |

Characterizing the main groundnut seed systems actors

Every main actor in the groundnut seed system has roles as expected by the Seed Act No 29, 1973 and Seed Act No 18, 2003 (Table 5). Findings show that TARI-Naliendele, individual enterprises, district agricultural extension agents and TOSCI seem to perform their respective functions. However, challenges associated with a limited number of TOSCI centers (there are only five countrywide) hinder easy access to TOSCI services by seed sector stakeholders. NGOs and farmer organizations are to some extent involved in extension work often within projects, but largely in a facilitation role for QDS production. ASA has been experiencing an improvement in seed production and marketing over the years. As a public agency, it is now taking a lead to ensure that enough basic seed is produced and disseminated to private seed companies.



Photo 2. A farmer amazed to see yield of improved variety at TARI, Naliendele.

| Table 5. Seed actors and their roles in the groundnut seed system. | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Actors | Expected roles | Actual roles | | | | | | |
| TOSCI | Conducting seed inspections | It conducts seed inspections | | | | | | |
| | Effect sampling and testing | It effects sampling and testing | | | | | | |
| | Authorizes seed sampling and seed testing laboratories | It authorizes seed sampling and seed testing laboratories | | | | | | |
| | Training seed producers, seed inspectors and seed analysts | Trains seed producers, seed inspectors and seed analysts | | | | | | |
| | Liaising with other International Organizations such as the International Seed Testing Association (ISTA) | Liaises with other International Organizations such as the International Seed Testing Association (ISTA) | | | | | | |
| | Carrying out variety performance tests | Carries out a variety performance tests | | | | | | |
| | Carrying out pre- and post- control tests. | Carries out pre- and post- control tests with limited staff and centers. | | | | | | |
| ASA | Producing, processing and marketing basic and certified seeds | Yearly improvement in production and marketing of groundnut basic and certified seeds | | | | | | |
| | Promoting private sector participation in seed production | Promotes private sector participation in seed production | | | | | | |
| | Promoting the use of improved seeds | Promotes the use of improved groundnut seeds | | | | | | |
| | Collaborating with research institute on matters related to the availability of new varieties. | Collaborates with research institute on matters related to the availability of new varieties. | | | | | | |
| TARI- | Variety development and maintenance | 17 varieties developed and their maintenance | | | | | | |
| Naliendele | Producing and marketing basic and certified seed | Produces and markets basic seed | | | | | | |
| | Promoting private sector participation in seed production | It promotes companies for seed production | | | | | | |
| | Promoting the use of improved seeds. | It promotes the use of improved seeds. | | | | | | |
| NGOs | Promoting the use of improved seeds. | They promote improved seeds. | | | | | | |
| Individual | Producing and marketing Quality Declared Seeds | Producing and marketing Quality Declared Seeds | | | | | | |
| enterprises | Producing and marketing basic and certified seed. | Producing and marketing basic and certified seed. | | | | | | |
| Local | Conducting seed inspections on behalf of TOSCI | Conduct seed inspections on behalf of TOSCI | | | | | | |
| Government Authorities (LGAs) | Performs extension services to seed producers and farmers. | Performs extension services to seed producers and farmers. | | | | | | |
| Farmers | Buying either QDS, certified seed to produce grain. | Purchases QDS, certified seeds to produce grain. | | | | | | |
| Source: Variety business case survey, 2019 | | | | | | | | |

Matching groundnut seed and grain market: Existing gaps

Numerous market gaps have been recorded along the commodity value chain, from the seed to the grain market. About 28% of the grain off-takers interviewed reported of the challenge in finding grain quality, quantity and attributes that the end-users want. The missing desirable variety traits in the grain market include bold and tan, bold and red with high oil content. The challenges to have desired grain traits imply an existing gap between the seed sector and the grain market. This existing gap has further resulted in large deficit in quantity demanded by traders in various districts in the country. About 12% of traders in Nanyumbu missed the grain with tan traits (Table 6).

| Table 6. Grain amount (tons) and percentage of traders in various districts ($n= 123$). | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|---------|------|--------|--------|-------|----------|-------|-------|
| District | Nanyumbu | Singida | Bahi | Dodoma | Kongwa | Gairo | Morogoro | Ilala | Total |
| % of traders | 12 | 20 | 6 | 18 | 20 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 100 |
| Amount(tons) | 90 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 10 | 190 |
| Variety husiness case, 2019: Figure in parenthesis is the sample size for traders | | | | | | | | | |

Overall, in the Tanzania seed system, old varieties are still more dominant than new varieties. The majority of the farmers recycle grain as seed and do not apply the recommended seed rates per hectare. Also, TOSCI capacity is limited due to low number of centers and staff. Likewise, seed companies are few and are the least contributors to seed supply to farmers. Finally, the offtakers are short of grain supply in terms of varieties traits, quantity and quality.

Policy recommendations for effective groundnut seed delivery systems in Tanzania

The potential of groundnut productivity and production cannot be met unless the following issues within the seed sector are addressed through:

- Engaging multi-media promotion of the recently released varieties along with their complementary agronomic practices simultaneously to incentivize farmers. In this endeavor, the private sector alongside NGOs and government entities will have a major role to play.
- Mobilizing farmers into groups and incentivizing them through benefits from shared experiences, economies of scale in seed purchases facilitating purchase of more seed at low cost.
- Establishing a comprehensive seed subsidy mechanism that will attract more seed companies to invest in groundnut seed production and ensure wide and timely distribution of seed. Groundnut being a bulky seed and self-pollinated crop, such mechanism may bring some change. The subsidy can take the form of small grants to interested seed companies.
- Brokering public-private partnerships in the seed inspection area mainly between TOSCI and inspecting companies. This will enhance the current capacity of TOSCI as the private companies can be guided and trained to assist TOSCI in inspecting seed production activities.
- Developing network for groundnut grain off-takers at national, regional and district levels to disclose new seed technologies released by TARI, and provide wide information on the attributes of newly released varieties in terms of their key market traits and further enhance their market linkages.

References

ASARECA. 2014. Tanzania Seed Sector Assessment: A Participatory National Seed Sector Assessment for the Development of an Integrated Seed Sector Development (ISSD) Programme in Tanzania. April 2014, Entebbe, Uganda.

Bezkorowajnyj P, Navi S, Ravinder R, Seetharama N, and **Tonapi V**. 2007. Seed System Innovations in the Semi-Arid Tropics of Andhra Pradesh, International Livestock Research Institute (ILRI), ICRISAT, Patancheru, Andhra Pradesh, 502 324, India. ISBN 978-92-9066-502-1. 224 pp

Daudi H, Hussein S, Okori P and **Mark D**. 2018. "Groundnut production constraints, farming systems, and farmer-preferred traits in Tanzania", Journal of Crop development.32 (6):812 – 828.

United Republic of Tanzania. 2016. Press release report, Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries on improved seeds released in Tanzania, accessed on 1January 2020.

United Republic of Tanzania. 2017. Annual report, Ministry of Agriculture Food Security and Cooperative on improved agricultural research technologies recommended in Tanzania, accessed on 1 January 2020.

United Republic of Tanzania. 2018. Press release report, Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries on improved released varieties in Tanzania, visited on 1 January 2020.

About the authors

^{1,2}Essegbemon Akpo, Scientist, Seed systems (<u>akpo.essegbemon@gmail.com;</u> <u>E.Akpo@cgiar.org</u>)

^{1,3}Serapius Mwalongo, Research Fellow, (<u>mwalongoserapius1986@gmail.com</u>)
³Gerald Alex Lukurugu, Scientist, Socio-economist (<u>sumbanya@yahoo.com</u>)
³Happy Daudi, Groundnut breeder, (<u>daudihappy@gmail.com</u>)

¹Geoffrey Muricho, Scientist, Development Economist, (<u>G.Muricho@cgiar.org</u>) ³Joseph Nzunda, Crop scientist, Crop protection, (Nzundajose@yahoo.com)

³Athanas Minja, Scientist, Groundnut breeder, (athanasminja2016@gmail.com)

¹Christopher O Ojiewo, Principal Scientist, Legume breeder & Project Coordinator (<u>C.Ojiewo@cgiar.org</u>)

 1 Rajeev K Varshney, Research Program Director, Accelerated Crop Improvement, (R.K.Varshney@cgiar.org)

¹International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics, Patancheru 502 324, Telangana, India

²Ecole de Gestion et de Production Végétale et Semencière, Université Nationale d'Agriculture, BP 43 Kétou, Benin

³Tanzania Agricultural Research Institute, P.O. Box 1571, Dodoma, Tanzania



ICRISAT appreciates the support of CGIAR investors to help overcome poverty, malnutrition and environmental degradation in the harshest dryland regions of the world. See http://www.icrisat.org/icrisat-donors.htm for full list of donors.

