Chapter 7 Grain Yield Responses of Selected Crop Varieties at Two Pairs of Temperature Analogue Sites in Sub-humid and Semi-arid Areas of Zimbabwe

Justice Nyamangara, Esther N. Masvaya, Ronald D. Tirivavi and Adelaide Munodawafa

Abstract Climate analogues, based on 30 years meteorological data, were identified in smallholder areas of Zimbabwe. The sites were Kadoma (722 mm annual mean rainfall; 21.8 °C annual mean temperature) which was the higher temperature analogue site for Mazowe (842 mm annual mean rainfall; 18.2 °C annual mean temperature) for wetter areas, and Chiredzi (541 mm annual mean rainfall; 21.3 °C annual mean temperature) which was the higher temperature analogue site for Matobo (567 mm annual mean rainfall: 18.4 °C annual mean temperature) for drier areas. At each site and for each crop, three varieties were laid out in a randomized complete block design with three replications. The trials were conducted for two seasons (2011/2012 and 2012/2013). Maize and groundnut yields were higher at the cooler and wet sites and decreased significantly at the warmer and dry sites. In case of sorghum and cowpea, yields at the hotter site remained high implying that these crops are more tolerant to warmer temperatures predicted for 2050. At the drier sites, yields for all crops were significantly lower at the hotter site implying that crop production in the 2050s climate of the cooler site will be more difficult. The hypothesis that with increasing surface temperatures in a climate change scenario short duration genotypes can perform better compared with long duration was not confirmed.

Keywords Climate change · Crop varieties · Food security · Rainfall pattern · Temperature

A. Munodawafa

J. Nyamangara (🖂) · E.N. Masvaya · R.D. Tirivavi

International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), Matopos Research Station, P.O. Box 776, Bulawayo, Zimbabwe e-mail: j.nyamangara@cgiar.org

Department of Land and Water Resources Management, Faculty of Natural Resources Management and Agriculture, Midlands State University, Private Bag 9055, Gweru, Zimbabwe

[©] Springer International Publishing Switzerland 2015 W. Leal Filho et al. (eds.), *Adapting African Agriculture to Climate Change*, Climate Change Management, DOI 10.1007/978-3-319-13000-2_7

Introduction

Crop productivity and food systems are predicted to be affected by changing climate which is likely to affect crop variety preferences by farmers across varying agro-ecological regions in future (Gregory et al. 2005). In Zimbabwe, conditions for growing early maturing and relatively lower yielding maize varieties are projected to shift more into currently wetter regions experiencing changing conditions suitable for growing long duration and relatively higher yielding varieties (Nyabako and Manzungu 2012). Reduction of crop yields is likely to result in a fall in crop revenue by as much as 90 % (Carter et al. 2007). The changes in crop production patterns are more likely to affect the marginalized smallholder farmers, who already experience low productivity due to current socio-economic and biophysical challenges characterizing the drier areas of sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) thereby impacting negatively on food security (Matarira et al. 1995). These predicted changes call for a focus on adaptive cropping strategies that will serve as mitigation measures against drastic changes in smallholder farmers' livelihoods (Eriksen et al. 2011).

Exposing smallholder farming communities to various crop variety options than those they traditionally grow might be a way forward in preparing them for the future. Days to maturity vary from crop to crop and are influenced by crop genotype, climatic and environmental factors (Bruns 2009). As the conditions in the wetter areas get drier and warmer, it is important for farmers to realize that they can no longer continue to grow high yielding crop varieties that take long to mature but rather should move to shorter duration varieties to ensure food security. Although crop yields are predicted to fall by adoption of shorter season varieties, the quantum is expected to be lower compared with that from continuing to grow longer season varieties which can completely fail to mature if the rainy season ends prematurely as predicted to happen more frequently in much of SSA in future.

It is against this background that trials to assess the grain yield of selected varieties of four crops were established at two pairs of analogue sites (wetter and drier) differing in temperature (2–4 °C) but with similar rainfall patterns. The crops were selected based on farmer preferences and suitability to different climatic conditions whilst the varieties were selected based on the number of days to maturity. It was hypothesized that as temperature increases in climate change scenario, shorter duration crop varieties will substitute longer duration varieties.

Materials and Methods

Site Description

The trials were conducted at two climate analogue sites. The wetter pair was Mazowe (cool/wet, 842 mm annual rainfall; 18.2 °C annual mean temperature) and Kadoma (hot/wet, 722 mm annual rainfall; 21.8 °C annual mean temperature), and



Fig. 7.1 Long-term temperature variability at the wetter and drier analogue pairs used for the study

the drier pair was Matobo (cool/dry, 567 mm annual rainfall: 18.4 °C annual mean temperature) and Chiredzi (hot/dry, 541 mm annual rainfall; 21.3 °C annual mean temperature). Inter-annual mean temperature variability at the four sites is presented in Fig. 7.1. The soils at Mazowe and Kadoma sites are red clays derived from dolerite and are relatively more weathered and leached. The soils at the Matobo site are loamy sands derived from granite rocks and those from Chiredzi are sandy loams derived from a mixture of siliceous gneiss and mafic rocks.

Experimental Design, Management and Data Collection

A completely randomized block design is employed with each of the crop varieties replicated three times. The trials were implemented for two seasons (2011/2012 and 2012/2013). The crop varieties used in the trials are given in Table 7.1. Soil samples (0–0.15 m) were collected at the beginning of the first season (2011/2012) from three sites for laboratory testing and the results are presented in Table 7.2. The experimental sites were tilled using a tractor drawn plough before planting and red clay soils at Mazowe and Kadoma were also disked to break large clods. Maize was planted at a spacing of 0.9 m × 0.3 m (37,037 plants ha⁻¹), sorghum 0.75 m × 0.2 m (66,667 plants ha⁻¹), and groundnut and cowpea 0.45 m × 0.15 m (148,148 plants ha⁻¹). Plot sizes were 6.5 m by 6.5 m and yield was determined from 4 m by 4 m

Crop	Varieties		
	Early maturing	Medium maturing	Late maturing
Maize	SC403	SC513	SC727
Sorghum	Macia	SDSL89473	Pato
Groundnut	Nyanda	Natal common	Makhulu red
Cowpea	CBC1	CBC2	Landrace

Table 7.1 Crop varieties

 Table 7.2
 Soil characterization on analogue and reference sites

Site	pH (1 M	Olsen-P	Total P	Mineral N	Total N	Organic
	CaCl ₂)	$(mg kg^{-1})$	(%)	$(mg kg^{-1})$	(%)	C (%)
Matobo	5.3	0.1	0.01	3.7	0.04	0.8
Mazowe	5.6	0.5	0.1	2.4	0.1	1.6
Kadoma	6.1	0.5	0.04	3.7	0.1	1.3

net plots. Maize and sorghum were fertilized with 300 and 286 kg ha⁻¹ basal fertilizer (7 %N:6 %P:6 %K) for the wetter and drier sites respectively and a top dressing fertilizer rate of 150 kg ha⁻¹ (34.5 %N) was applied at 4–6 weeks after planting depending on rainfall pattern. Groundnut and cowpea received similar basal rates to maize and sorghum but were not top-dressed with N fertilizer; gyp-sum was applied to groundnut at flowering at 250 kg ha⁻¹.

All the plots were weeded three times each season using hand-hoes with the first weeding performed two weeks after planting. Armyworm (*Spodoptera exempta*) and other leaf eaters were controlled by spraying carbryl (1-naphthyl methylcarbamate) 85 % WP. Aphids were controlled in cowpea by spraying diamethoate (O, O-Dimethyl S-(N methylcarbamoylmethyl) phosphorodithioate). Harvesting was done at physiological maturity and yields were adjusted to moisture content by 10 and 12.5 % for legumes and cereals, respectively.

Statistical Analysis

Grain yields were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) in GenStat 14th edition (2011). The standard error of differences (SED) of the means (P < 0.05) was used to separate site and variety means.

Results

Figure 7.2 shows that in the first season (2011/2012) Matobo received the least amount of rainfall which was 66.5 % lower than the hotter analogue pair (Chiredzi). In the second season Kadoma received 74.7 % lower rainfall than the cooler



Fig. 7.2 Cumulative monthly rainfall recorded at the wetter and drier analogue sites during 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 cropping seasons. **a** Warm/wet and cool/wet analogue sites. **b** Warm/dry and cool/dry analogue sites

analogue pair (Mazowe) while the difference between the drier analogue pair was only 9.9 %. The soils from Mazowe and Kadoma were relatively more fertile as shown by higher pH, soil organic carbon and total nitrogen compared with Matobo (Table 7.2). Soil samples were not collected at the start of the first cropping season in Chiredzi.

Grain Yields at the Wetter Analogue Pair

In the first season maize yields followed the order long>short>medium season but the varietal differences were not significant (Table 7.3). In both seasons, maize yields were significantly higher (P < 0.001) at the cooler site (Tables 7.3 and 7.4). However, in the second season, varietal differences and variety by site interaction were significant (P < 0.01). The medium season variety (SC513) achieved the lowest yields in both seasons. The results implied a reduction in maize yield as

	Maize	yield	(kg ha ⁻¹)				Sorghum yield (kg ha ⁻¹)					
	SC403		SC513	13 SC727		Macia		SDSL89473		Pato		
Kadoma	3,373	3,373			3,979		3,121		5,468		3,928	
Mazowe	5,083		4,857		5,937		5,223		2,007		3,861	
		P va	P value		SED			P value		SED		
Site 0.005		5		547.1		0.088		251.7				
Variety	Variety 0.198		672.0		0.396		308.2					
Interaction 0.902		2		952.3			<0.001		435.9			

 Table 7.3 Maize and sorghum grain yields at Kadoma (hot/wet) and Mazowe (cool/wet),

 Zimbabwe in the 2011/2012 season

temperature increases, especially in the first season when rainfall amount between the cooler and hotter sites was comparable (Fig. 7.2).

In the first season sorghum yields were varying between sites and varieties but the interaction between site and variety was significant (P < 0.001) (Table 7.3). The short season variety achieved the highest yield at the cooler site while the medium season variety achieved the highest yield at the hotter site (Table 7.3), and the trend was reversed between the sites in the second season (Table 7.4). In the second season, in addition to varietal differences site and variety interaction was also significant. The results imply that sorghum yield was less affected by higher temperature, even in a low rainfall season, and therefore was more resilient and more suitable (than maize) to predicted higher temperature conditions by 2050s.

Groundnut yields were significantly different both across sites (P < 0.001) and varieties (P < 0.05), Higher yields were recorded at the cooler site in both seasons (Tables 7.5 and 7.6). The yields were much low at the hotter site due to late start and premature end of both seasons which affected pod filling. In the first season, cowpea was affected by a fungal disease at the cool site (Mazowe) hence no grain yields were obtained. In the second season, both site and variety effects, and their interaction, were significant with the highest yields being recorded at the hotter site for all three cowpea varieties (Table 7.6). The results imply that groundnut, a crop

	Maize yield (kg ha ⁻¹)							Sorghum yield (kg ha ⁻¹)							
	SC403	403 SC513		S	SC727	Macia		SDSL89473		Pato					
Kadoma	2,202		1,848	1	,552	3,164		2,085		1,595					
Mazowe	5,222		4,358	5	5,531	1,934		3,172		1,720					
P v		P va	alue		SED	P va		ue	SED						
Site		<0.0	001		106.0	106.0		5	36.2						
Variety 0.0		02 129.8		129.8	<0.00)1	44.3							
Interaction <0.0		< 0.0	01		183.6		< 0.00	01	62.7						

 Table 7.4 Maize and sorghum grain yields at Kadoma (hot/wet) and Mazowe (cool/wet),

 Zimbabwe in the 2012/2013 season

	Groundn	Cowpea	Cowpea yield (kg ha ⁻¹)					
	Nyanda	Natal common	Makhulu red	CBC1	CBC2	Landrace		
Kadoma	158	355	140	1,250	1,546	976		
Mazowe	3,809	1,420	2,094	-	-	-		
		P values	SED	P value		SED		
Site		< 0.001	259	-		-		
Variety		0.015	317.2	-		-		
Interaction		0.007	448.6	-		-		

Table 7.5 Groundnut and cowpea grain yields at Kadoma (hot/wet) and Mazowe (cool/wet), Zimbabwe in the 2011/2012 season

Table 7.6Groundnut and cowpea grain yields at Kadoma (hot/wet) and Mazowe (cool/wet),Zimbabwe in the 2012/2013 season

Groundnut y	g ha ⁻	Cowpea yield (kg ha ⁻¹)								
	Nyand	Nyanda Natal common			Makhulu red		CBC1	CBC2		Landrace
Kadoma	163 470			1,326		636	783		867	
Mazowe	662	2 603			1,027		306	692		514
P va		lue SE		D	P	value	SED		D	
Site		< 0.0	01	36.	.4 0.0).018		94.1	
Variety 0.00		07	44.	.5	0.086			115	.3	
Interaction < 0.001					.0	0.4	0.476		163.0	

which requires a relatively long season, will become less favorable in future as the temperature increases and rainfall reliability decreases. However cowpea will become more suitable as cooler wet areas become hotter.

Grain Yields at the Drier Analogue Pair

At the drier sites, maize yields were significantly higher (P < 0.001) at the cooler site (Matobo) in both seasons (Tables 7.7 and 7.8) although higher rainfall was recorded at the hotter site (Fig. 7.2). Variety and site by variety interaction effects

	Maize	yield	(kg ha ⁻¹)			Sorghum yield (kg ha ⁻¹)						
	SC403		SC513	;	SC727 N		ia	SDSL89473		Pato		
Chiredzi	75	75		1	245	26		185		15		
Matobo	2,523	2,523 2,		1	3,450	2,374		2,337		1,781		
		P va	P values		SED		P values		SED			
Site	Site <0.001			445.4		< 0.00	1	173.	173.5			
Variety	0.435		545.6		0.235		212.5					
Interaction	teraction 0.556		771.5		0.412		300.5					

 Table 7.7 Maize and sorghum grain yields at Chiredzi (hot/dry) and Matobo (cool/dry),

 Zimbabwe in the 2011/2012 season

Maize yield	(kg ha ⁻¹))		Sorghum yield (kg ha ⁻¹)						
	SC403		SC513	SC	727	Maci	ia	SDSL89473		Pato
Chiredzi	469		270	260	6	1,133		967		348
Matobo	3,648		2,844	2,3	10	3,131		1,662		1,543
P		P va	P value		SED		P value		SED	
Site <0.0		<0.0	01	10	109.4		0.018		473.9	
Variety <0.001		01	13	134.0		0.155		580.4		
Interaction 0.004		04	18	89.5		0.544		820.	7	

Table 7.8 Maize and sorghum grain yields at Chiredzi (hot/dry) and Matobo (cool/dry), Zimbabwe in the 2012/2013 season

were only significant in the second season. Sorghum yields were also significantly (P < 0.05) higher at the cooler site, and variety and site by variety interaction effects were not significant (Tables 7.7 and 7.8).

Groundnut yields were higher at the cooler site in both seasons but the differences were only significant in the second season (Tables 7.9 and 7.10). Variety and site by variety interaction effects were not significant in both seasons (Tables 7.9 and 7.10). Cowpea yields were significantly (P < 0.001) higher at the cooler site except for the long season variety which was higher at the hotter site (Table 7.10). Variety and site by variety interaction effects were only significant in the second season.

	Groundn	ut	yield (kg ha ⁻¹)	Cowpea yield (kg ha ⁻¹)								
	Nyanda		Natal common		Makhulu red	lakhulu red		CBC2		Landrace		
Chiredzi	170		431		298		255	94		27		
Matobo	410		432		416		857	1,167		1,153		
		P	P value		SED		value	SE		D		
Site		0.	0.303		110.2		0.001	15		6.0		
Variety 0		0.).593		135.0		0.927	19		1.1		
Interaction		0.	.685	1	190.9		0.358		270.2			

Table 7.9 Groundnut and cowpea grain yields at Chiredzi (hot/dry) and Matopos (cool/dry),Zimbabwe in the 2011/2012 season

 Table 7.10
 Groundnut and cowpea grain yields at Chiredzi (hot/dry) and Matopos (cool/dry),

 Zimbabwe in the 2012/2013 season

Groundnut y	vield (kg h	Cowpea	Cowpea yield (kg ha ⁻¹)				
	Nyanda	Natal common	Makhulu red	CBC1	CBC2		Landrace
Chiredzi	344	332	272	640	639		653
Matobo	520	467	385	982	1,032		380
·		P value	SED	P value		SED	
Site		0.009	45.4	0.001		36.5	
Variety		0.207	55.6	< 0.001		44.7	
Interaction		0.853	78.7	< 0.001		63.	.1

Discussion

At the wetter analogue sites, higher maize and groundnut yields were recorded at the cooler site. The higher grain yields at cooler site can be attributed to higher effective rainfall due to lower evapo-transpiration, especially in the first season when rainfall amount and distribution between the sites were similar. The lower maize and groundnut yields at the hotter site (Kadoma) imply that these crops will have a reduced contribution to food security in the Mazowe (cooler) in 2050s climate which is predicted to be hotter. Sorghum and cowpea yields at the hotter site were relatively high despite the higher temperature, even in a low rainfall season, and therefore will be more suitable (than maize and groundnut) in predicted 2050s climate in Mazowe. Currently sorghum is mostly grown in the drier parts of Zimbabwe (Rao and Mushonga 1987). Therefore there is need to prepare farmers in Mazowe, and similar agro-ecological areas, to adapt their future cropping systems to more drought tolerant crops such as sorghum and cowpea in order to reduce the impacts of climate change on their food security and income status. This will involve ensuring the availability of suitable crops and varieties, relevant technical advice, policy and institutional arrangements to ensure a sustainable value chain.

At the dry sites yields for all crops were higher at the cooler site (Matobo) compared with the hotter site (Chiredzi). In the second season yields for sorghum (967–1133 kg ha⁻¹ for short and medium season varieties) and cowpea (639–653 kg ha⁻¹) at the hotter site were reasonable when compared to the national average (<500 kg ha⁻¹ for sorghum) but still lower than at the cooler site (Matobo) where rainfall was much lower (Tables 7.7 and 7.8). The results imply that under the predicted 2050s climate for Matobo crop production will become more risky and therefore threatening the already low food security status of smallholder farmers. Sorghum and cowpea appear to be more resilient but there is need to develop even more tolerant varieties and to also explore the introduction of new crops which can withstand the predicted higher temperatures. There is need to consider alternative livelihood options for areas with predicted 2050s climatic conditions similar to those currently prevailing in Chiredzi. Livestock farming, supported by supplementary fodder production to complement natural grazing is one such option. There is also need to explore other off-farm livelihood options.

In the absence of related field studies in SSA modelling provides an alternative comparison. The results of our study are in agreement with estimates based on Global Circulation Models (GCMs) and crop simulation models (e.g. Rosenzweig et al. 1993; Matarira et al. 1995). National cereal yields for the 2050s are estimated to decrease by between 2.5 % and 30 % with and without CO2 (Parry et al. 2004). Using the CERES-Maize model under the geophysical fluid dynamics laboratory (GFDL), and the canadian climate centre model (CCCM) which estimate 2–4 °C increases in Zimbabwe and precipitation changes ranging from \pm 10 to 15 % on average during the October to April season (Unganai 1996; Matarira et al. 1995) projected maize yield declines particularly in dry-land farming in some Zimbabwe and communities by 2050.

Although the analogue pair concept provides valuable insights into future crop production scenarios it has got considerable limitations. For example, although rainfall amount may be similar at two sites, spatial and temporal variations between the sites occur and these may affect crop yield. Current agro-ecological conditions at a particular site impose certain growth conditions which limit the extent to which yields can be directly compared with another site.

Conclusions

We conclude that as temperature increases due to climate change, more drought tolerant crops such as sorghum and cowpea will become important in ensuring food security of smallholder farmers at the studied sites. For the drier sites, even drought tolerant crops will be inadequate to ensure food security of the farmers prompting the need to explore other tolerant crops or varieties or pursue livestock production or off-farm activities. The hypothesis that short season varieties will perform better as temperature increases was not confirmed.

Acknowledgments We would like to acknowledge the Deutsche Gesellschaft fur Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) on behalf of the Federal Republic of Germany who sponsored the project "Adapting agriculture to climate change: Developing promising strategies using analogue locations in Eastern and Southern Africa" (CALESA—Climate Analogue Locations in Eastern and Southern Africa).

References

Bruns HA (2009) A survey of factors involved in crop maturity. Agron J 101:60-66

- Carter TR, Jones R, Lu X, Bhadwal S, Conde C, Mearns L, O'neill B, Rounsevell M, Zurek M (2007) New assessment methods and the characterisation of future conditions. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- Eriksen S, Aldunce P, Bahinipati CS, Martins RDA, Molefe JI, Nhemachena C, O'brien K, Olorunfemi F, Park J, Sygna L (2011) When not every response to climate change is a good one: identifying principles for sustainable adaptation. Clim Dev 3:7–20
- Gregory PJ, Ingram JS, Brklacich M (2005) Climate change and food security. Philos Trans R Soc B Biol Sci 360:2139–2148
- Matarira C, Makadho J, Mwamuka F (1995) Zimbabwe: climate change impacts on maize production and adaptive measures for the agricultural sector. Interim report on climate change country studies, US country studies program, Washington, DC
- Nyabako T, Manzungu E (2012) An assessment of the adaptability to climate change of commercially available maize varieties in Zimbabwe. Environ Nat Resour Res 2:32
- Parry ML et al (2004) Effects of climate change on global food production under SRES emissions and socio-economic scenarios. Glob Environ Change 14:53–67
- Rao SA, Mushonga J (1987) A catalogue of passport and characterization data of sorghum, pearl milletand finger millet germplasm from Zimbabwe. A catalogue of passport and characterization data of sorghum, pearl milletand finger millet germplasm from Zimbabwe

Rosenzweig C, Parry ML, Fischer, Frohberg K (1993) Climate change and world food supply. Research report no. 3. Environmental change unit. University of Oxford. pp 1 24

Unganai LS (1996) Historic and future climatic change in Zimbabwe. Clim Res 6:137–145 VSN (2011) GenStat for Windows, 14th edn. VSN International, Hemel Hempstead